Chile

Progress in the net zero transition
**Target notes:** Emissions targets included in the Net Zero Tracker database from ECIU before January 25, 2021 are considered.

**Figure notes:** Figures 1, 2, 3, the national and the OECD average show OECD calculations based on estimated greenhouse gas emissions data from the European Commission’s Joint Research Centre (ECJRC). The Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research of the ECJRC allocates national greenhouse gas emissions to locations according to about 300 proxies. See Box 3.7 in the 2021 OECD Regional Outlook for more details.

### EMISSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2018 OECD average:</th>
<th>2018 Chilean average:</th>
<th>Chilean target:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.5 tCO₂e/capita</td>
<td>6.5 tCO₂e/capita</td>
<td>net zero GHG emissions by 2050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Large regions (TL2)**

**Figure 1. Estimated regional greenhouse gas emissions per capita**

Tons CO₂ equivalent (tCO₂e), large regions (TL2), 2018

- Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions per capita generated in the majority of Chilean large regions are below 10 tCO₂e per capita. Only Coquimbo, Atacama, Aysén, Antofagasta and Magallanes y Antártica have higher emissions per capita than the OECD average of 11.5 tCO₂e.
- Estimated emissions per capita in Magallanes y Antártica are more than ten times higher than in Santiago.

**Small regions (TL3)**

**Figure 2. Contribution to estimated GHG emissions**

By type of small region, 2018

- Across the OECD, metropolitan regions emit more greenhouse gases than remote regions. In Chile, the distribution is more balanced. Emissions per capita in Chilean remote rural regions are much higher than in metropolitan regions. The difference is more pronounced than for the average OECD country.

**Figure 3. Estimated GHG emissions per capita**

By type of small region, 2018
Chilean electricity mix

Figure 4. National electricity generation by energy source in 2019

Share of coal-fired electricity generation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2019 OECD average: 23%</th>
<th>2019 Chilean average: 33%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2030 well below 2°C benchmark for Central and South America: 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 1.5°C benchmark for OECD countries: 0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Regional coal-fired electricity generation estimates
Per cent of total electricity generation, large regions (TL2), 2017

Most regions do not use coal in electricity generation. Some regions still rely largely on coal. For example, Atacama, Antofagasta and Tarapacá depended on coal for over 60% of their electricity generation. No new capacity is planned or being built.
Benchmark notes: The well-below 2°C benchmarks show IEA Sustainable Development Scenario (SDS) numbers. The SDS models how the global energy system can evolve in alignment with the Paris Agreement’s objective to keep the global average temperature increase well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. According to the Powering Past Coal Alliance (PPCA), a phase-out of unabated coal by 2030 for OECD countries is cost-effective to limit global warming to 1.5°C.

Figure notes: Figure 4 shows data from the IEA (2020). Figures 5 and 6 show OECD calculations based on the Power Plants Database from the WRI. The database captures electricity generation from the power plants connected to the national power grid. As a result, small electricity generation facilities disconnected from the national power grid might not be captured. See here for more details. Figures 7 and 8 show the power potential of solar and wind. Mean wind power density (WPD) is a measure of wind power available, expressed in Watt per square meter (W/m²). Global horizontal irradiation (GHI) is the sum of direct and diffuse irradiation received by a horizontal surface, measured in kilowatt hours per square metre (kWh/m²).

### Wind power

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OECD average: 8%</th>
<th>Chilean average: 6%</th>
<th>2030 well below 2°C benchmark for Central and South America: &gt;8%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Figure 6. Regional wind power generation estimates**

Per cent of total electricity generation, large regions (TL2), 2017

Regional wind electricity generation is estimated using facility level data for 69% of Chile’s wind capacity.

Source: Map produced by The Global Wind Atlas

### Solar power

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>OECD average: 3%</th>
<th>Chilean average: 8%</th>
<th>2030 well below 2°C benchmark for Central and South America: &gt;11%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Figure 7. Wind power potential**

Mean wind power density (W/m²)

Mean wind power density (W/m²)

Source: Map produced by The Global Wind Atlas

**Figure 8. Solar power potential, northern Chile**

Global horizontal irradiation (kWh/m²)

Wind power density is highest offshore, solar power potential is higher in the north.

Source: Map produced by The Global Solar Atlas
There will be both employment gains and losses due to the transition to net zero greenhouse gas emissions. They may not be distributed in the same way across regions. Regions with higher emissions per capita in industry may have a higher transition risk from rising carbon prices. In Chile, industrial emissions per capita are highest in Atacama, Antofagasta and Magallanes y Antártica. The transition to net-zero greenhouse gas emissions needs to be just, avoiding social hardship. Regions with higher industrial emissions per capita are not necessarily the worst performers in terms of GDP per capita, lower long-term unemployment and relative poverty.

Figure notes: Figure 9 is based on data from OECD Statistics and ECJRC. Poverty risk is assessed from individuals’ survey respondents indicating there have been times in the past 12 months when they did not have enough money to buy food that they or their family needed. Long-term unemployment is defined as unemployed for 12 months or more.
**TRANSPORT**

**Electrification of passenger cars**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2019 Chilean average share of full-electric new passenger car sales: &lt;1%</th>
<th>Benchmarks for new zero-emission passenger car sales:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEA well-below 2°C benchmark: 100% by 2040. Aligned with net zero emissions by 2050: 100% by 2035 at the latest. 2030 cost-effective.</td>
<td>Chilean target sales of zero emission new passenger cars: No full phase out date of internal combustion cars yet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Modal shift**

Santiago has a relatively good public transport performance. For comparison, London (UK) has among the highest public transport performance scores. Inhabitants of the metropolitan area of London can on average reach 95% of the population living within 8 km in 30 minutes by public transport.

![Figure 10. Public transport performance in 2018](image)

Benchmark notes: In the IEA’s Sustainable Development Scenario, OECD countries (such as the European Union, Japan and the United States) as well as China fully phase out conventional car sales by 2040. This scenario is aligned with the Paris Agreement’s objective to keep the global average temperature increase well below 2°C above pre-industrial levels. The UK Committee on Climate Change finds that all new cars and vans should be electric (or use a low carbon alternative such as hydrogen) by 2035 at the latest to reach net zero GHG emission targets by 2050. A more cost-effective date from the point of view of users is 2030.

Figure notes: Figure 10 is based on data from ITF and OECD Statistics. See Box 3.10 in the 2021 OECD Regional Outlook for more details. GDP per capita is expressed in USD per head, PPP, constant prices from 2015.
Policies towards net-zero greenhouse gas emissions can bring many benefits beyond halting climate change. They include reduced air and noise pollution, reduced traffic congestion, healthier diets, enhanced health due to increased active mobility, health benefits through thermal insulation, and improved water, soil and biodiversity protection. Some are hard to quantify.

In most regions, 100% of the population is exposed to air pollution above the WHO threshold. Small particulate matter (PM2.5) is the biggest cause of human mortality induced by air pollution. Major disease effects include stroke, cardiovascular and respiratory disease. Air pollution amplifies respiratory infectious disease such as Covid-19. It affects children the most. It reduces their educational outcomes as well as worker productivity.

Figure notes: Figure 11 is based on data from OECD Statistics.