

# Mapping the Institutional Landscape of Global Governance: Formal Intergovernmental Organizations and Transnational Public-Private Governance Initiatives

Oliver Westerwinter  
University of St. Gallen, Department of Political Science


`oliver.westerwinter@unisg.ch`  
`www.oliverwesterwinter.com`

April 11, 2018


# The problem

- ▶ The organizational means to govern global problems have become increasingly diversified
- ▶ How can we describe this institutional diversity? What patterns can we identify?
- ▶ What drives this diversity?
- ▶ What are its consequences for global governance?


# FIGO growth




# Comparing FIGO and TGI growth




# Distribution across issue areas



# State participation in FIGOs



# State participation in TGIs



# What do we know?

- ▶ Creation/participation in TGLs:
  - ▶ Economic size/power (++)
  - ▶ Veto players (++)
  - ▶ Democracy (+-)
  - ▶ Diffusion (+-)
- ▶ Effectiveness of TGLs:
  - ▶ Institutionalization (++)
  - ▶ Resources/capacity (++)
  - ▶ Membership (+-)
  - ▶ Organizational learning (+-)



# Open questions

- ▶ How effective are FIGOs and TGLs in governing global problems? Conditions of effectiveness?
- ▶ Do different forms of governance have comparative advantages?
- ▶ How do different forms of governance interact with each other? Cooperation or competition?