



Regulatory Performance Indicators

OECD Workshop on Measuring Progress in
Regulatory Reform – Perception Surveys,
Istanbul, 21 June 2010, Session 6

Christiane Arndt and Gregory Bounds, Analysts,
Regulatory Policy Division, OECD

Why are governments interested in measuring performance?

- Allocate resources
- Adjust reform design
- Demonstrate/justify that reforms work

What are we interested in?

- Performance of individual regulations and agencies?
- Performance of regulatory management programmes and tools?
- Performance of structural reforms?

What is likely to be of policy interest?

- How to promote improved regulatory performance?
- How to identify what works?
- What achieves better outcomes within government and in a multi-agency framework?

Challenges

- Definition of performance
- Reconciling the policy aims of regulations
- Data scarcity
- Identification of causal links
- Context-specificity versus comparability
- Creating the right incentives with indicators

What can the OECD contribute?

- Identify good practices (e.g. on methodology, implementation)
- Share lessons on use of performance information
- Provide guidelines on tools
- Draw on expertise from different sectors
- Benchmarking

What work would be useful for countries?

- How can we build on existing practices and experiences?
- In which areas?
 - Performance of individual regulations and agencies?
 - Performance of regulatory management programmes and tools?
 - Performance of structural reforms?
- What role should the OECD play?
- Are you interested in benchmarking
 - Over time?
 - Across countries?



Thank you for your attention

Christiane Arndt and Gregory Bounds

Analysts

Regulatory Policy Division

Directorate for Public Governance and Territorial Development

Christiane.arndt@oecd.org and gregory.bounds@oecd.org