

# Innovative Citizen Participation and New Democratic Institutions

## **CATCHING THE DELIBERATIVE WAVE**

### Chapter 2 figures

## Models of representative deliberative processes


**TABLE 2.1. MODELS OF REPRESENTATIVE DELIBERATIVE PROCESSES**

	Average no. of participants per panel	Average length of meetings	Average length from first to last meeting	No. of times used to date process (panels)	Used by countries	Result	Policy questions Addressed to date
<b>Informed citizen recommendations on policy questions</b>							
1. Citizens' Assembly	90	18.8 days	47 weeks	6 (6)	Canada, Ireland	Detailed collective recommendations	Electoral reforms, institutional setup, constitutional questions
2. Citizens' Jury/Panel	34	4.1 days	5 weeks	115 (168)	Austria, Australia, Belgium, Canada, France, Poland, Spain, UK, USA	Collective recommendations	Broad range of topics. Most common: infrastructure, health, urban planning, environment
a) Consecutive day meetings	30	3.4 days	0 weeks	23 (40)			
b) Non-consecutive day meetings	35	4.1 days	7 weeks	90 (126)			
c) Ongoing	32	11 days	2 years	2 (2)	Canada		Ongoing processes mandated to provide input on various questions when public authority is in need.
3. Consensus Conference	16	4.0 days	2 weeks	19 (19)	Australia, Austria, Denmark, France, Norway, United Kingdom	Collective recommendations	New technology, environment, health
4. Planning Cell	24	3.2 days	0 weeks	57 (247)	Germany, Japan	Collective position report / citizens' report	Most common use for urban planning, but also other topics
<b>Citizen opinion on policy questions</b>							
5. G1000	346	1.7 days	4 weeks	12 (12)	The Netherlands, Spain	Votes on proposals	Strategic planning: developing a future vision for the city
6. Citizens' Council	15	1.7 days	1 week	14 (24)	Austria, Germany	Collective recommendations	Various topics, most common: environment, strategic planning
7. Citizens' Dialogues	148	2.1 days	4 weeks	38 (112)	Globally	Broad ideas / recommendations	Various topics, often several addressed at once
8. Deliberative Poll/Survey	226	1.6 days	0 weeks	14 (15)	Argentina, Australia, Brazil, China, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mongolia, USA	Survey opinions and opinion changes	Various topics
9. WWViews	120	1 day	0 weeks	4 (150)	Globally	Votes on proposals	Environment issues on a global scale
<b>Informed citizen evaluation of ballot measures</b>							
10. Citizens' Initiative Review	22	4.4 days	0 weeks	8 (8)	USA	Collective statement of key facts	Various topics
<b>Permanent deliberative bodies</b>							
11. Ostbelgien model	24	No data yet	1.5 years	1 (1)	Belgium	Collective recommendations	Mandate to set the agenda and initiate citizens' panels
12. City Observatory	49	8 days	1 year	1 (1)	Spain	Decisions on citizen proposals	Mandate to evaluate citizen proposals and suggest them for referenda


Note: All calculations for this table have been made by the authors on the basis of the data from the 289 cases, which together feature 763 separate deliberative panels, collected for this study, from OECD Member and non-Member countries. The average length from first to last meeting of the Planning Cell is an exception due to lack of data. In this instance, Nexus Institute, the principal organisation implementing Planning Cells in Germany, was consulted. The overall average length of meetings of the Citizens' Jury/Panel excludes the ongoing processes.

Source: OECD Database of Representative Deliberative Processes and Institutions (2020)


## FIGURE 2.1. TYPES OF PURPOSE OF REPRESENTATIVE DELIBERATIVE PROCESSES




## FIGURE 2.2. CITIZENS' ASSEMBLY MODEL




## FIGURE 2.4. CITIZENS' JURY/PANEL MODEL




**FIGURE 2.7. CONSENSUS CONFERENCE MODEL**



**FIGURE 2.9. PLANNING CELL MODEL**




**FIGURE 2.11. G1000 MODEL**







**FIGURE 2.13. CITIZENS' COUNCIL MODEL**




**FIGURE 2.15. CITIZENS' DIALOGUE MODEL**




**FIGURE 2.18. DELIBERATIVE POLL/SURVEY MODEL**




**FIGURE 2.20. WORLD WIDE VIEWS MODEL**




**FIGURE 2.21. CITIZENS' INITIATIVE REVIEW MODEL**



**FIGURE 2.23. OSTBELGIEN MODEL**



**FIGURE 2.25. CITY OBSERVATORY MODEL**



**FIGURE 2.27. PROPERTIES OF REPRESENTATIVE DELIBERATIVE MODELS**

	Complexity of the policy question		Depth of recommendations		Flexibility given to participants		Resources necessary		Length of the process		Level of government for which used so far				So far used as permanent or <i>ad hoc</i>	
	Simple	Complex	Broad	Detailed, extensive	Rigid format	Flexible, participant-led	Low-cost	High-cost	Short	Long	Local	Regional	National	International	Ad hoc	Permanent
<b>Informed citizen recommendations on policy questions</b>																
Citizens' Assembly	=====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓		✓	
Citizens' Jury/Panel	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Consensus Conference	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓		✓	
Planning Cell	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓		✓	
<b>Citizen opinion on policy questions</b>																
G1000	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓				✓	
Citizens' Council	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓		✓	✓
Citizens' Dialogues	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	
Deliberative Poll/Survey	====		=====		=====		=====		=====		✓	✓	✓		✓	
World Wide Views	====		=====		=====		=====		=====				✓		✓	
<b>Informed citizen evaluation of ballot measures</b>																
Citizens' Initiative Review	====		=====		=====		=====		=====						✓	✓
<b>Permanent deliberative bodies</b>																
The Ostbelgien Model	====		=====		=====		=====		ongoing		✓	✓				✓
City Observatory	====		=====		=====		=====		ongoing		✓					✓