

# Outcome of breakout group on review, IAR and ICA

Co-facilitators:

Chaiwat Muncharoen (Thailand), Helen Plume (New Zealand)

Global forum on MRV and markets  
20/9/11



# IAR: Areas of agreement

- IAR could serve several purposes – need to work out what they are. For example, including building confidence and increasing learning by doing, seeing if ‘we are doing enough’. IAR can provide useful input to 2013-2015 review.
- Can’t look at IAR in isolation from ICA – or from reporting guidelines, e.g. on biennial reports.

# IAR: Areas of agreement



- Need to avoid duplication between the different reviews (e.g. NatCom, IAR), possibility to have output from NatCom reviewed as part of IAR process. Need to build on existing processes and make sure that IAR is an efficient process.
- IAR should also look at mitigation actions (as well as inventories). Needs to include a technical component.
- IAR should focus on progress to targets.

# IAR: Areas of non-convergence



- Link between IAR and compliance process.
- Consequences of IAR.
- Should there be differentiation within IAR (e.g. less for smaller countries such as Monaco)?
- Comparability – how to do this?
- Common accounting rules could be useful – need to know what this means.
- Resource implications of different designs of IAR process.
- Does scope of IAR include projections and/or support information?

# ICA: Areas of agreement



- Technical component is an important component of ICA
- Objective: improved transparency of mitigation actions and effects
- Different frequencies of ICA may be needed for different countries
- Capacity building and learning-by-doing are important aspects of ICA – but there are capacity constraints at present and building capacity takes time
- ‘Full-time’ experts (as well as national experts) may be needed to help prepare ICA

# ICA: Areas of agreement



- Possible elements of ICA decision in Durban: timing and frequency; scope; content of analysis; output; format for international consultations.
- Short-term crunch on support for reporting. Need expedited funding for reporting in order to get inputs for ICA ready to be used for 2013-2015 review. (GEF6 has money from 01.07.2014). Biennial reporting on LULUCF especially challenging.

# ICA: Areas of non-convergence



- Relationship of BR and ICA to the registry
- When would the whole process of enhanced MRV start in order to provide timely input to 2013-2015 review?
- Scope of BR (and ICA) re mitigation actions (supported and/or unsupported)
- Should ICA be in-country, centralised? How to choose?