

## *Table of contents*

<b>Executive summary .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Overview.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Chapter 1. The focus on evaluation and assessment .....</b>	<b>29</b>
The growing importance of evaluation and assessment.....	30
Methodology.....	30
Organisation of the report.....	32
<b>Chapter 2. Trends in evaluation and assessment .....</b>	<b>35</b>
Introduction.....	36
Trends in evaluation and assessment .....	36
Contextual developments shaping evaluation and assessment .....	45
References.....	53
<b>Chapter 3. The evaluation and assessment framework: Embracing a holistic approach.....</b>	<b>57</b>
Introduction.....	58
Definitions and scope.....	59
Educational context.....	61
Governance .....	62
Overarching reference: Goals for student learning .....	73
Design .....	78
Capacity for evaluation and assessment .....	97
Use of results .....	102
Implementation of evaluation and assessment policies .....	108
Pointers for future policy development.....	117
References.....	129
<b>Chapter 4. Student assessment: Putting the learner at the centre .....</b>	<b>139</b>
Introduction.....	140
Analytical approach .....	140
Impact, drivers and contextual developments.....	144
Governance .....	152
Procedures.....	170
Capacity .....	191
Reporting and use of results.....	202
Pointers for future policy development.....	213
References.....	225
Annex 4.A1 Features of student assessment frameworks in lower secondary education .....	239
Annex 4.A2 Features of student assessment frameworks in primary and upper secondary education .....	269

<b>Chapter 5. Teacher appraisal: Enhancing teacher professionalism.....</b>	<b>271</b>
Introduction.....	272
Analytical approach.....	272
Impact, drivers and contextual developments.....	274
Governance.....	280
Procedures.....	292
Capacity.....	312
Use of results.....	322
Pointers for future policy development.....	332
References.....	343
Annex 5.A Features of teacher appraisal frameworks.....	353
<b>Chapter 6. School evaluation: From compliancy to quality.....</b>	<b>383</b>
Introduction.....	384
Analytical approach.....	384
Impact, drivers and contextual developments.....	386
Governance.....	393
Procedures.....	414
Capacity.....	432
Reporting and use of results.....	445
Pointers for future policy development.....	463
References.....	473
<b>Chapter 7. The appraisal of school leaders: Fostering pedagogical leadership in schools.....</b>	<b>485</b>
Introduction.....	486
Analytical approach.....	486
Impact, drivers and contextual developments.....	496
Governance.....	506
Procedures.....	517
Capacity.....	539
Use of results.....	543
Pointers for future policy development.....	550
References.....	561
Annex 7.A Features of school leader appraisal frameworks.....	569
<b>Chapter 8. Education system evaluation: Informing policies for system improvement.....</b>	<b>583</b>
Introduction.....	584
Analytical approach.....	584
Impact, drivers and contextual developments.....	587
Governance.....	590
Procedures.....	595
Capacity.....	618
Reporting and use of results.....	626
Pointers for future policy development.....	639
References.....	649
<b>Annex A. How the Review on Evaluation and Assessment Frameworks for Improving School Outcomes was conducted.....</b>	<b>657</b>
<b>Annex B. Summary of policy directions from the Review on Evaluation and Assessment Frameworks for Improving School Outcomes.....</b>	<b>667</b>

## Tables

Table 3.1 Use of results for accountability and development across countries (2012) .....	64
Table 3.2 Specialised intermediate agencies with a role in the evaluation and assessment framework, by country (2012) .....	69
Table 3.3 Comprehensiveness and degree of structure of evaluation and assessment frameworks across countries (2012).....	89
Table 3.4 Key articulations within components of the evaluation and assessment framework .....	93
Table 3.5 Key articulations between components of the evaluation and assessment framework .....	95
Table 4.1 References used in student assessment in lower secondary education – ISCED 2 (2012).....	169
Table 4.2 Characteristics of central examinations in lower secondary education – ISCED 2 (2011-12) ..	173
Table 5.1 Responsibilities for determining procedures for teacher appraisal (2011-12).....	291
Table 5.2 Reference documents used for different types of teacher appraisal (2011-12) .....	295
Table 5.3 Evaluators involved in teacher appraisal processes (2011-12).....	312
Table 5.4 Evaluators involved in teacher appraisal processes (2011-12).....	319
Table 5.5 Influence of teacher appraisal on professional development (2011-12).....	323
Table 5.6 Influence of teacher appraisal on career decisions (2011-12) .....	326
Table 5.7 Rewards connected to teacher appraisal (2011-12).....	329
Table 5.8 Responses to underperformance of teachers (2011-12) .....	331
Table 6.1 Purpose of school evaluation.....	394
Table 6.2 Responsibilities for external school evaluation .....	401
Table 6.3 Legal frameworks for external school evaluation .....	403
Table 6.4 Requirements for school self-evaluation .....	406
Table 6.5 Frequency of school self-evaluations .....	409
Table 6.6 Links between external school evaluation and school self-evaluation (2009) .....	412
Table 6.7 Areas addressed during school evaluation .....	415
Table 6.8 Collecting information for compliance-related reporting.....	425
Table 6.9 Classroom observation indicators to evaluate the quality of teaching and learning.....	428
Table 6.10 National initiatives to support school self-evaluation .....	437
Table 6.11 Use of school evaluation results and school performance measures for accountability (2009).....	447
Table 6.12 Use of the results of external school evaluation for accountability (2009) .....	454
Table 7.1 A taxonomy of country approaches to the appraisal of school principals in public schools ...	507
Table 7.2 The use of school leader appraisal results .....	544
Table 8.1 Policy frameworks and development of education system evaluation (2012) .....	592
Table 8.2 Indicators of a strategic approach to education system evaluation in OECD countries (2012).....	593
Table 8.3 National assessments in OECD countries (2012).....	601
Table 8.4 Testing formats used in national assessments (2012).....	607
Table 8.5 OECD country participation in international achievement studies (2012).....	609
Table 8.6 The use of stakeholder surveys in the OECD (2012) .....	612
Table 8.7 Availability of longitudinal information for education system evaluation (2012) .....	615
Table 8.8 Capacity for evaluating the education system and sub-national systems (2012) .....	619
Table 8.9 National reporting on the results of education system evaluation (2012) .....	627

## Figures

Figure 3.1 Main features of the overall evaluation and assessment framework.....	60
Figure 3.2 Student test scores as a criterion of teacher appraisal and school evaluation (2007-08) .....	80
Figure 3.3 Publication of school evaluations (2007-08).....	82
Figure 3.4 Publication of student achievement data (2009) .....	83
Figure 3.5 Direct appraisal of classroom teaching as a criterion of teacher appraisal and school evaluation (2007-08) .....	84
Figure 3.6 Participation rates in professional development activities involving the sharing of classroom practice (2007-08) .....	85
Figure 3.7 Teachers who received no appraisal or feedback and teachers in schools that had no school evaluation in the previous five years (2007-08).....	88
Figure 3.8 Conceptual framework to analyse evaluation and assessment in school systems.....	91
Figure 3.9 Articulations within the evaluation and assessment framework .....	92
Figure 3.10 Teachers' perceptions of professional development needs in student assessment practices (2007-08).....	99
Figure 3.11 School principals according to their management styles (2007-08) .....	101
Figure 4.1 Conceptual framework for student assessment .....	143
Figure 4.2 Existence of central frameworks for internal summative assessment at ISCED levels 1-3 (2012).....	154
Figure 4.3 Existence of standardised central examinations at ISCED levels 1-3 (2012) .....	155
Figure 4.4 Existence of central frameworks for formative assessment at ISCED levels 1-3 (2012) .....	156
Figure 4.5 Existence of standardised central assessments with no stakes for students at ISCED levels 1-3 (2012).....	158
Figure 5.1 Conceptual framework for teacher appraisal .....	275
Figure 5.2 Teacher perceptions of the appraisal and/or feedback they received (2007-08).....	276
Figure 5.3 Existence of policy frameworks for teacher appraisal across countries (2011-12).....	282
Figure 5.4 Teachers missing out on appraisal and feedback opportunities (2007-08) .....	288
Figure 5.5 Direct appraisal of classroom teaching as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08).....	301
Figure 5.6 Student test results as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08) .....	305
Figure 5.7 Student and parent feedback as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08) .....	308
Figure 6.1 Conceptual framework for school evaluation .....	387
Figure 6.2 Evidence on reported improvement actions in schools following external school evaluation .....	392
Figure 6.3 Criteria for student learning outcomes used in school evaluation (2007-08).....	423
Figure 6.4 Direct appraisal of classroom teaching in school evaluation .....	426
Figure 6.5 School use of standardised tests and assessment data (PISA 2009) .....	429
Figure 6.6 Use of student assessment results in school self-evaluation (PISA 2009).....	451
Figure 6.7 Public reporting of student performance and reporting to parents (PISA 2009).....	460
Figure 7.1 Conceptual framework for school leadership appraisal .....	495
Figure 7.2 School autonomy over resource allocation (2009).....	503
Figure 7.3 School autonomy over curricula and assessment (2009) .....	504
Figure 7.4 School principals observing instruction in classrooms (2009).....	526
Figure 7.5 School principals using exam results for curriculum development (2009).....	527
Figure 7.6 Use of student achievement data in the appraisal of school principals' performance (2009).....	538
Figure 8.1 Conceptual framework for education system evaluation .....	586
Figure 8.2 School monitoring by administrative authorities (PISA 2009).....	625

## Boxes

Box 3.1 The Comprehensive Reform of Basic Education in Mexico .....	77
Box 3.2 Availability of evaluation experts in Denmark and Hungary .....	100
Box 3.3 Data information systems in Australia (New South Wales) .....	104
Box 3.4 Collaboration initiatives and partnerships in Norway.....	105
Box 3.5 Promoting dialogue and reaching common views on educational evaluation in Denmark .....	111
Box 4.1 How student assessment influences learning outcomes: A brief overview of research evidence.....	145
Box 4.2 Key competencies around the world.....	148
Box 4.3 Matching a commitment to formative assessment with concrete support for teachers.....	157
Box 4.4 Defining and communicating the purposes of assessment.....	161
Box 4.5 Monitoring student learning in the Netherlands .....	165
Box 4.6 Classification of learning outcomes: A brief overview .....	171
Box 4.7 Assessment formats that measure broader competencies .....	178
Box 4.8 Continuous teacher-based assessment of student competencies.....	180
Box 4.9 Singapore: Creating “thinking schools”.....	182
Box 4.10 Sophisticated ICT-based assessments.....	186
Box 4.11 Canada: Policies on reporting student performance .....	206
Box 4.12 Innovations in the reporting system in Australia .....	207
Box 4.13 Organising remedial education and student orientation based on formative assessment results.....	212
Box 5.1 How teacher appraisal influences teaching and learning: A brief overview of research evidence.....	277
Box 5.2 Research and experiences regarding probation.....	284
Box 5.3 Appraisal systems for registration and certification in Australia and the United States.....	285
Box 5.4 Using appraisal results for performance review and professional development.....	286
Box 5.5 Involving the teaching profession in the development of professional standards .....	297
Box 5.6 The Good Teaching Framework in Chile .....	299
Box 5.7 United States: Using evidence of student growth to appraise teachers.....	307
Box 5.8 Using student feedback as formative feedback for teachers .....	309
Box 5.9 Using multiple instruments for teacher appraisal .....	311
Box 5.10 Developing central expertise for teacher appraisal in Chile .....	314
Box 5.11 Supporting school leaders in developing skills for teacher appraisal .....	316
Box 5.12 Building capacity for peer appraisal .....	318
Box 5.13 Linking teacher appraisal to professional development.....	324
Box 5.14 Advanced Skills Teaching positions in Australia .....	327
Box 5.15 Singapore: Linking teacher appraisal to career pathways.....	328
Box 6.1 Strengthening the focus on school development .....	395
Box 6.2 Strengthening the focus on school accountability .....	396
Box 6.3 Self-review at the heart of school evaluation: New Zealand .....	413
Box 6.4 Evaluating a school’s capacity for improvement.....	416
Box 6.5 Example of areas addressed in school evaluation: Canada and the Flemish Community of Belgium.....	418
Box 6.6 Indicators used to judge school quality: The Netherlands .....	420
Box 6.7 Developing evaluation criteria for school self-evaluation .....	421
Box 6.8 Collecting feedback from students, teachers and parents .....	430
Box 6.9 Recruiting senior educators to join external school evaluation teams, Northern Ireland, United Kingdom .....	433
Box 6.10 Effective self-evaluation .....	436
Box 6.11 Centrally developed tools for self-evaluation in Scotland, the United Kingdom .....	439

Box 6.12 School self-evaluation related competencies for school leadership: Ontario, Canada .....	440
Box 6.13 Targeted training on school self-evaluation for school principals in New Zealand .....	441
Box 6.14 Building professional learning communities: A challenge shared.....	443
Box 6.15 Emerging peer reviews among schools in the Flemish Community of Belgium.....	445
Box 6.16 Using school self-evaluation results in school improvement planning.....	449
Box 6.17 Factors promoting use of assessment data for self-evaluation.....	452
Box 6.18 Follow up on implementation of external school evaluation recommendations: Ireland .....	456
Box 6.19 Reporting results of external school evaluation.....	457
Box 6.20 The public reporting of school performance.....	462
Box 7.1 Aligning the school evaluation process and the individual appraisal of school leaders: The School Accountability and Performance Improvement Framework in the Northern Territory, Australia .....	489
Box 7.2 The appraisal of distributed school leadership: The Appraisal of Collective Performance process ( <i>Asignación de Desempeño Colectivo</i> ) in Chile .....	491
Box 7.3 Embedding school leader appraisal within a comprehensive leadership framework and strategy: The cases of Victoria, Australia, and Ontario, Canada.....	501
Box 7.4 School leader appraisal procedures at a local level .....	510
Box 7.5 Properties of school leader appraisal .....	512
Box 7.6 Approaches to combining developmental and accountability functions within one appraisal framework .....	514
Box 7.7 The introduction of two separate appraisal schemes for different purposes: The cases of Chile and Korea.....	515
Box 7.8 Developing professional school leadership standards in federally governed education systems .....	530
Box 7.9 Different approaches to the definition of professional school leadership standards.....	531
Box 8.1 The impact of PISA on national policy making.....	590
Box 8.2 The influence of developments in public sector performance management.....	594
Box 8.3 Benchmarks for education systems: European Union .....	598
Box 8.4 The development of indicator frameworks for system evaluation in Australia and Canada .....	599
Box 8.5 Sample surveys in the Netherlands and New Zealand.....	604
Box 8.6 The major international surveys providing information to evaluate the education system .....	610
Box 8.7 Longitudinal research programmes in the Netherlands .....	614
Box 8.8 Tensions between monitoring changes over time and holding schools accountable .....	617
Box 8.9 National agencies with specific responsibility for education system evaluation.....	621
Box 8.10 Commissioning specific education reviews in Korea and the Netherlands .....	623
Box 8.11 Annual analytical reports on the education system: Czech Republic, Norway and Portugal.....	629
Box 8.12 Examples of reports on the education system.....	631
Box 8.13 An illustration of the intended use of the results of education system evaluation: Slovak Republic .....	632
Box 8.14 Strategy to make education system evaluation results more accessible in Sweden.....	634
Box 8.15 Promoting the use of results from international comparative studies in Slovenia.....	635
Box 8.16 Stakeholder discussion of major education system results in Denmark and the Flemish Community of Belgium.....	635
Box 8.17 Support for evidence-based policy making within ministries.....	637
Box 8.18 Information systems for evaluation of local school systems: The United States.....	639