The value of assets earmarked for retirement declined in most OECD countries in 2022 driven by negative nominal investment rates of return

- Fixed income instruments, the largest asset class for pension providers, have seen large drops in valuations across the globe driven by high inflation and interest rates
- Widespread decreases in equity valuations have exacerbated negative returns in several markets
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The value of pension assets declined in most OECD countries in 2022

After a strong performance in 2021, assets earmarked for retirement fell in 2022 in most OECD countries.\(^1\) Altogether, these plans held USD 48.1 trillion of assets at end-2022, 15.6% less than a year before (Table 1). The decline in pension assets was widespread and visible in 32 out of 38 OECD countries. The sharpest drops occurred in the Netherlands (-20.7%) and the United Kingdom (-20.2%), with eight other OECD countries experiencing a drop in the value of assets between 10% and 20%, including the United States (-15%). As a result of these declines, there was no OECD country where pension assets exceeded twice the GDP at end-2022, unlike at end-2021 when Denmark (233%), Iceland (219%) and the Netherlands (213%) did.

In contrast, pension assets grew in 24 out of 32 reporting jurisdictions from outside the OECD area. The aggregate growth in pension assets across these 32 jurisdictions was 4.7%. Asset-backed pension arrangements were introduced relatively recently in a number of non-OECD jurisdictions. For example, Georgia, which had one of the largest increases in pension assets (48.5%), introduced mandatory pension plans in 2019. These newly introduced plans, in Georgia and elsewhere, are gaining new members and benefit from growing amounts of contributions. These contributions exceed benefit payments that have just or not started yet and offset potential investment losses.

---

1 Preliminary data for 2022 were available for 96% of the plans monitored in the OECD area at the time of the drafting of this note. See the methodological notes at the end of this factsheet for more information about the plans covered.
Negative nominal investment rates of return explain most of the drop in pension assets

Investment rates of return were negative in almost all reporting OECD countries in nominal terms, and in all of them in real terms (Figure 1), explaining most of the drop in assets earmarked for retirement. The lowest real returns were close to -30% and were recorded in the Netherlands (-28%), Poland (-29%), Lithuania (-29.2%) and Latvia (-29.7%).

Pension plans also recorded negative real rates of return in all but four reporting non-OECD jurisdictions. In African countries with positive real returns, investment gains could be attributed to the performance of government securities (Uganda and Zambia), real estate (Zimbabwe) and foreign exchange gains (Zambia). The Maldives Retirement Pension Scheme also recorded investment gains in real terms as it benefited from the economic recovery following the rebound of tourism in 2022.

The full version of the 2023 edition of Pension Markets in Focus will look into the investment performance of asset-backed pension arrangements over a longer time period, as performance over several years is a better indicator than performance in a single year of the ability of pension arrangements to generate income to finance benefits.

Inflation, rising interest rates and declines in equity valuations are behind the low investment returns in 2022

Rising inflation in 2022, coupled with rising interest rates, led to a loss in the value of bonds in the portfolio of pension plan providers. Bonds accounted for 48% of the investments of pension plan providers at end-2022 on average among 65 reporting jurisdictions, and exceeded 50% of their investments in 27 of them (Figure 2). Albania recorded the largest proportion of pension assets in bonds (96%), due to a lack of other domestic
investment opportunities. Among OECD countries, the Czech Republic was the country where pension assets were invested the most in bonds (79%), as some types of pension funds (called transformed pension funds) invest in fixed-income instruments to be able to meet the annual non-negative nominal return guarantee they offer.

Besides investment losses on bonds, further losses came from widespread declines in equity valuations. Some of the major stock markets globally fell, with prices down by 19% for S&P500, by 9% for Nikkei 225 and by 12% for DAX at end-2022 compared to end-2021 for example. The three countries with the lowest rates of return in 2022 – i.e. Latvia, Lithuania and Poland – are also those where most pension assets were invested in equities: 44% in Latvia, 71% in Lithuania and 88% in Poland. The high allocation to equities in Poland is due to the prohibition for open pension funds to invest in government securities. In Lithuania, the default investment strategies of the second pension pillar are life-cycle funds with a high proportion of assets in equities for younger savers up to the end of their 40s.
METHODOLOGICAL NOTES

**General:** Data are collected from pension supervisory authorities or other bodies within the framework of the OECD Global Pension Statistics (GPS) project. This exercise covers all asset-backed pension arrangements where assets are accumulated to back future benefit payments, except reserves of public (pay-as-you-go) pension arrangements. Asset-backed pension plans may be financed through different vehicles (such as pension funds, pension insurance contracts, bank or investment company managed funds), publicly or privately administered, mandatory or voluntary, occupational or personal, defined benefit (DB) or defined contribution (DC), for public or private-sector workers. Employers’ book reserves are also in the scope. The classification of pension plans and the related definitions are available in Private Pensions: OECD Classification and Glossary, accessible at www.oecd.org/daf/pensions. Data are preliminary and may be revised in the 2023 edition of the full report Pension Markets in Focus (forthcoming).

Data for 2022 refer to the end of 2022, except for: Australia and Uganda where data refer to the end of June 2022; Canada, the United Kingdom and the United States (individual retirement accounts) where data refer to end Q3-2022.

Unless stated otherwise, data cover all asset-backed pension arrangements in all vehicles, excluding reserves of public pension arrangements, in all jurisdictions except: Australia (all schemes but Exempt Public Superannuation Schemes that do not report data to the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority); Austria (pension funds and some pension insurance contracts only); Belgium (pension funds only); Canada (employer-sponsored registered pension plans only); Chile (plans managed by APFIs only); Finland (pension funds only); France (pension funds only); Germany (Pensionskassen and Pensionsfonds only); Greece (occupational pension funds only); Hungary (all but IDOP); Iceland (all but foreign providers of pension insurance contracts); Ireland (occupational pension schemes and personal retirement savings accounts only); Israel (pension funds only); Japan (pension funds only); Korea (occupational retirement pension plans only); Luxembourg (pension funds under the supervision of the CAA and CSSF only); Mexico (plans in AFORES only); Netherlands (pension funds and premium pension institutions); Norway (pension funds only); Poland (pension funds only); Portugal (all but collective pension insurance contracts and retirement saving schemes managed by investment companies); Sweden (all but individual pension insurance); Switzerland (pension funds only); Türkiye (personal plans only); United Kingdom (pension funds only) among OECD countries; and Armenia (mandatory pension funds only); India (National Pension System and the Atal Pension Yojana scheme only); Indonesia (employer pension funds and financial institution pension funds only); Suriname (pension funds only); Zambia (private occupation pension schemes only) and Zimbabwe (standalone and self-administered pension funds, and insured funds only) among other jurisdictions.

**Table 1:** The table shows the amount of assets in all pension arrangements for which 2022 data are already available. Investments are used as a proxy of assets. The column %“change” shows the nominal change of assets in these arrangements in national currency compared to December 2021, except for Australia and Uganda (June 2022). The column “in USD million” is based on exchange rates coming from the IMF International Financial Statistics database. The column %“of GDP” is based on GDP values coming from OECD.Stat and the IMF World Economic Outlook. As data are preliminary, they may not cover the overall asset-backed pension system or the whole set of plans covered in the OECD Global Pension Statistics (GPS) exercise. Therefore, the column “data coverage” provides the proportion of assets that available data represent in the overall asset-backed pension system (“system”) or among all plans collected in the OECD GPS exercise (“available plans”), based on 2022 data (2020 for India). (1) The increase in pension fund assets is likely due to a restructuring of the sector with the transfer of assets from pension contracts of insurance companies (data unavailable yet for these contracts) to a new pension fund vehicle subject to a different regulatory regime. (2) The large increase in pension assets comes mostly from a high nominal investment rate of return as well as the nominal increase in pension contributions. (3) The total % change is calculated as the change of total assets in the considered area (in US dollar) between end-2021 and end-2022. The indicator “Total pension assets as a % of GDP” is calculated as the ratio between the sum of all pension assets and the sum of all the GDPs (in US dollar) of the reporting jurisdictions in the area considered. The total data coverage is the ratio of assets in 2021 in plans with available data in 2022 (in USD) over the assets in all plans in 2021 (in USD).

**Figure 1:** Data have been calculated using a common formula for the average nominal net investment rate of return (ratio between the net investment income at the end of the period and the average level of assets over the period) for all jurisdictions, except for Austria, Estonia, Finland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Lithuania, Netherlands, Slovenia, and the United States among OECD countries and for Armenia, Croatia, Hong Kong (China) and Jamaica where values come from the own calculations of national authorities or from other official sources. Average real net investment rates of return have been calculated using the nominal investment rate of return (as described above) and the variation of the end-of-period consumer price index (extracted from OECD.Stat, the IMF’s online database or the IMF’s World Economic Outlook published in April 2023) for the same period over which the nominal return is calculated, i.e. between June 2021 and June 2022 for Austria (available plans), based on 2021 data (2020 for India). (1) The increase in pension fund assets is likely due to a restructuring of the sector with the transfer of assets from pension contracts of insurance companies (data unavailable yet for these contracts) to a new pension fund vehicle subject to a different regulatory regime. (2) The large increase in pension assets comes mostly from a high nominal investment rate of return as well as the nominal increase in pension contributions. (3) The total % change is calculated as the change of total assets in the considered area (in US dollar) between end-2021 and end-2022. The indicator “Total pension assets as a % of GDP” is calculated as the ratio between the sum of all pension assets and the sum of all the GDPs (in US dollar) of the reporting jurisdictions in the area considered. The total data coverage is the ratio of assets in 2021 in plans with available data in 2022 (in USD) over the assets in all plans in 2021 (in USD).

**Figure 2:** The GPS database gathers information on investments in Collective Investment Schemes (CIS) and the look-through of these investments in equities, bills and bonds, cash and deposits and other. Data on asset allocation in the figure include both direct investment in equities, bills and bonds, cash and deposits and indirect investment through CIS when the look-through of CIS investments is available. Otherwise, investments in CIS are shown in a separate category. Negative values have been excluded from the calculations of the asset allocation. Data for Australia do not cover small APRA funds and Single-Member Funds. Data for Denmark refer to pension funds and pension insurance contracts. Data for Estonia refer to the second pension pillar only. Data for Germany are estimates; the breakdown of investments through CIS has not been approved by external auditors yet and is not available for Pensionsfonds. Data for Hungary, Italy, Spain and Namibia refer to pension funds only. Data for Ireland refer to DB plans only. The high value for the “Other” category in Japan is mainly driven by outward investments in securities. Data for Sweden do not cover book reserves nor individual pension insurance. Data for Hong Kong (China) cover MPF schemes and MPF-exempted ORSO registered schemes only.

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of the Member countries of the OECD.

This document, as well as any data and map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

The statistical data for Israel are supplied by and under the responsibility of the relevant Israeli authorities. The use of such data by the OECD is without prejudice to the status of the Golan Heights, East Jerusalem and Israeli settlements in the West Bank under the terms of international law. All references to Kosovo are without prejudice to positions on status, and are in line with United Nations Security Council Resolution 1244/99 and the Advisory Opinion of the International Court of Justice on Kosovo’s declaration of independence.