Main Points of Discussion

This document presents a summary of the main points of discussion of the Workshop on Partner Country Perspectives for Tracking Domestic and International Climate- and Biodiversity-Related Finance of the Joint ENVIRONET-WP-STAT Task Team, held on 19th-20th May 2015 at the OECD in Paris, France.

Contacts:

Stephanie Ockenden, DCD/GPP, Tel: +(33-1) 45 24 15 23, Email: Stephanie.OCKENDEN@oecd.org

Gisela Campillo, DCD/GPP, Tel: +(33-1) 45 24 18 19, Email: Gisela.CAMPILLO@oecd.org
Table of Contents

Introduction ........................................................................................................................................... 3
Session 1: Overview of OECD DAC environmental statistics and recent progress to improve these. 3
Session 2a: Dialogue on Partner Country Approaches and Challenges in Tracking Climate and Biodiversity-related Finance. ......................................................................................................................... 4
Session 2b: Dialogue on Partner Country Approaches and Challenges in Tracking Climate and Biodiversity-related Finance (continued)......................................................................................................................... 5
Session 3: Perspectives for Improving the OECD DAC Climate- and Biodiversity-related Development Finance Statistics .................................................................................................................................................. 7
Session 4: Update on the Partnership for Climate Finance and Development and Post-2015 Dialogues ........................................................................................................................................................................... 8
LIST OF PARTICIPANTS ................................................................................................................................. 9
Main Points of Discussion

Introduction

1. The OECD DAC Workshop on Partner Country Perspectives for Tracking Domestic and International Climate- and Biodiversity-Related Finance was held at the OECD Conference Centre on May 19th and 20th. The workshop was followed by the Fourth Experts Meeting of the Joint ENVIRONET and WP-STAT Task team on OECD Rio markers, environment and development finance statistics.

2. The objectives of the workshop were:
   - To facilitate a dialogue between the DAC, partner countries and stakeholders on approaches and challenges to track domestic and international climate- and biodiversity-related finance in partner countries;
   - To explore the partner country perspective of OECD DAC environmental statistics, in particular the climate- and biodiversity-related “Rio markers”, on areas such as the understanding, access and relevance of these data;
   - To provide insights for the discussion on fine-tuning the “Rio marker” definitions and methodology that will take place during the 4th meeting of the Joint ENVIRONET-WP-STAT Task Team to Improve the Rio Markers, Environment and Development Finance Statistics (20-21 May 2015).

3. Attendance was high and included representatives from OECD DAC countries, representatives and experts from partner countries (Colombia, India, Indonesia, Mexico, Peru, the Philippines, Viet Nam and Zambia), international organisations (the United Nations Environment program (UNEP), the UN Development Programme (UNDP)), Development Finance Institutions and Multilateral Development Banks (KfW Development Bank, the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), the European Investment Bank (EIB), the Development Bank for Latin America (CAF), the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD), The World Bank (WB)), secretariats of the Rio conventions (the Global Mechanism of the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) and UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), research institutes (the Overseas development Institute (ODI), E3G, the German Development Institute (DIE), and Climate Analytics). (Please find the full participants list in Annex I).

4. Mr. Jon Lomøy (Director, OECD DAC Secretariat) provided the opening remarks and welcomed the participants to the workshop, followed by co-facilitators Mr. Matti Numelin (ENVIRONET Chair (Finland)) and Mr. Maher Mamhikoff (WP-STAT Chair (Canada). Dr. Amal-Lee Amin, ENVIRONET Member (E3G) also acted as co-facilitator for session 3.

Session 1: Overview of OECD DAC environmental statistics and recent progress to improve these.

5. Ms. Stephanie Ockenden and Ms. Mariana Mirabile (OECD DAC Secretariat) provided an overview of the OECD DAC environment-related development finance statistics, in particular climate-related statistics, and recent progress made to improve these. This includes the integration of bilateral and multilateral climate-related development finance statistics into the DAC statistical framework, which has increased transparency, improved the availability of
project level data and allows for a detailed recipient and provider perspective in order to avoid double counting, supporting consistency and robustness. The OECD DAC Secretariat has been working towards improving the accessibility and visualisation of the data in order to improve the usefulness for DAC members and partner countries.

Session 2a: Dialogue on Partner Country Approaches and Challenges in Tracking Climate and Biodiversity-related Finance.

6. This session focused on partner country approaches, experiences and challenges encountered when tracking domestic climate- and biodiversity-related finance flows. The presentations provided by Mexico, Peru, Colombia drew insights from partner country definitions, methodologies and tools currently used or planned to track domestic and international biodiversity- and climate-related flows. The insights provided by UNDP and Grupo de Financiamiento Climático para América Latina y el Caribe (GFLAC) aimed at sharing the experience in the Asia-Pacific and Latin America regions in terms of access to data and priority within the relevant ministries.

7. The presentation by Ms. Neydi Cruz (Deputy Director General for International Cooperation and International Affairs at the Ministry of Environment and Natural resources of Mexico), highlighted the advances of Mexico in the development and implementation of domestic tracking initiatives to track climate finance. Currently five initiatives are tracking climate finance: three of them governmental and two non-governmental. The presentation further raised the issue of responsibility among the different government agencies in tracking climate finance, noting that Mexico passed a Law creating a specific climate change agency. Mexico plays a pivotal role as both a recipient and a donor in the climate finance arena, and the challenges associated with tracking international and domestic are strong, in particular with respect to co-financing and blended funds. Ms. Cruz pointed out that for the Mexican Government the focus is more on results rather than on quantification of flows, and noted that within the Government the knowledge on Rio markers methodology is scarce.

8. Ms. Verónica Villena Cardich, (Climate Change Office, Ministry of Environment of Peru) presented the Peruvian experience in tracking climate finance. The Peru climate Change Strategy approved in 2003 serves as a framework for all activities related to climate change in the country and defines institutional arrangements. However, each ministry and agency involved in climate change accounting has different definitions and systems. Examples of challenges included budget tracking of mainstreamed activities and sectoral support for capacity building. Since 2012 Peru launched a new financial tool to track public domestic expenditures and it included a budget item for climate change-related activities. This is based on tracking the full value of activities and it was noted that it was not as accurate as it could be owing to challenges and limited capacity.

9. In terms of international finance, the national agency for the management of international cooperation within the Ministry of Foreign Affairs conducted an analysis of international climate finance in Peru in 2013; but the DAC statistics where not used as they were not sufficiently known. Overall Ms. Villena highlighted that the main tracking challenges surround definitions and methodological challenges, together with the need for local level capacity building.

10. Mr. Jorge Iván Escalante Castellanos, (Presidential Agency for International Co-operation, Colombia), presented the challenges in tracking international cooperation in climate and biodiversity in Colombia. Mr. Escalante outlined the Unified system for Public Investment (SUINF) that identifies climate change investments. A main challenge identified by Colombia is the difficulty to define climate change activities at the project level, and classify them according to the markers for climate-related or biodiversity-related development finance.
Important efforts are being made to centralise Colombia’s climate finance data collection and to apply the CRS system. Finally it was noted that tracking initiatives should create dialogues to consider opportunities and best practices.

11. Mr. Tom Beloe (United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)) stressed the importance of articulating climate finance in a language familiar with Ministries of Finance in order to improve engagement and discussion. This could include a benefits based approach (drawing on economic evidence). Based on UNDPs experience with the Climate Public Expenditure and Institutional Reviews (CPEIRs) significant benefits are recognised from systematic coding and budget tracking systems for climate activities. UNDP are currently looking to consolidate data into a CPEIR database but significant challenges arise in terms of comparability in approaches within and across governments. There is significant interest to collaborate to explore the interface between the CPEIR domestic tracking approaches and OECD DAC international tracking systems.

12. Ms. Sandra Guzman, GFLAC, presented on GFLAC’s experiences in building methods to track climate finance in Latin America. It was highlighted that the main challenge related to how to define and classify climate change activities, in particular in reflecting country contexts. Ms. Guzman noted the importance of not only identifying how much is invested in climate-related activities, but also in tracking activities that are causing the problem. The need for improved transparency and access to information was highlighted as key to both governments and civil society, and with respect to both finance and results in order to improve effectiveness.

Session 2b: Dialogue on Partner Country Approaches and Challenges in Tracking Climate and Biodiversity-related Finance (continued).

13. This session focused on partner country approaches, experiences and challenges encountered when tracking international climate- and biodiversity-related finance flows. The first part of the session was focused on learning from the experience of South East Asian countries.

14. Ms. Ria Sartika Azhari and Mr. Hageng Suryo Nugroho, (Centre for Climate Change Finance and Multilateral Policy, Ministry of Finance, Indonesia), presented the experience of Indonesia in tracking biodiversity-related finance. In 2011 the Government performed an in depth analysis of the public expenditure in climate change, evaluating data form 11 ministries and surveying data from national and international sources. Indonesia have developed a climate tracking within their budget tracking system – were all climate expenditure are “tagged”. The focus is to increase the quality of expenditure and accountability. It was noted in discussion that Indonesia’s climate budget tracking system is a leading international example. Challenges were highlighted in tracking data at the activity level, and in capacity to undertake this especially at the municipal level.

15. Colleagues in Indonesia reflected on the OECD DAC Rio markers and had undertaken an exercise to compare this with official databases held by the Ministries of Planning and of Finance. A limited share of OECD DAC climate-related development finance statistics were captured in Indonesia’s official data. In was highlighted that one significant source of discrepancy could be off budget flows, as well as timing issues between commitments (in the DAC data) and disbursements to Indonesia and by the Indonesian Ministry of Finance to other ministries. Colleagues from Indonesia emphasised to members that it was very useful for their development planning if donors could report and register off budget expenditures and future plans also.
16. Ms. Nguyen Thi Dieu Trinh, *(Ministry of Planning and Investment, Viet Nam)* presented the experience of the country in tracking international climate-related finance. In the framework of Viet Nam’s Green Growth Strategy, efforts are being undertaken to account for the needs for green finance in order to demonstrate Viet Nam’s commitment to these issues and for effective co-ordination. Viet Nam is engaging in a series of activities to track its climate finance flows, including a Climate Public Expenditure and Institutional Review (CPEIR) and a mapping exercise. Ms. Thi Dieu Trinh put emphasis in the complicated nature of climate finance flows that had been identified through these initiatives and the difficulties to link policy with finance. Owing to capacity constraints and challenges Viet Nam only has a fragmented picture of green finance flows in country. The range of different tracking approaches was also noted, and that developing more common approach could be useful for countries, for example across the UNDP CPEIR and OECD DAC Rio marker methodology.

17. Mr. John Adrian Narag, *(Department of Finance, Philippines)* provided an overview of the experience in tracking climate- and biodiversity-related finance in the Philippines. Regarding climate change tracking expenditure, Philippines produced a CPEIR in 2011 with support of the World Bank, which resulted increased efforts to integrate climate change into budget planning and management tools, like for example through the establishment of a helpdesk to support agencies in their budget recording. In terms of biodiversity efforts, the country follows a performance based budget approach, and are partnering strongly with UNDP in this area. The focus is on the delivered results and in achieving maximum transparency. They are aiming at enhancing the reporting system throughout the government agencies and capture financing flows, including a better understanding on climate tracking.

18. Mr. David Meyers, *(The Biodiversity Finance Initiative (BIOFIN) at UNDP)* presented the main activities led by the Initiative, such as Policy and Institutional reviews, Biodiversity reviews, Biodiversity finance needs and gaps and resource mobilisation efforts. The Initiative is actively preparing dissemination materials and tools and engaging with relevant partners.

19. Mr. Dave Steinbach *(International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED),* presented recent work in collaboration with Ethiopia, Rwanda, Nepal and Bangladesh looking at the effectiveness of climate finance delivery. Some of the lessons learned include the significant differences encountered at the national level between reported flows and what the government receives (mostly due to off budget support); the strong leadership of countries in developing strategies and having an integrated approach to both mitigation and adaptation. Mr. Steinbach also reports and increased focus on public private partnerships, and an increasing interest at analysing the impact of leveraging on domestic finance.

20. The main points of discussion were as follows:

- Challenges in classification of climate change-related expenditures and finance: in general, the countries feel like the definitions are not clear enough, leading to poor classification.
- Difficulties in adjusting national system to track climate and biodiversity activities: existing system ate not always adapted properly to climate and biodiversity-related tracking needs, and the crosscutting nature of the activities further adds to the risks of duplication or insufficient tracking of flows.
- Limited capacity of personnel in ministries to track climate and biodiversity flows: the technical needs are higher than the capacity in general. This area must be strengthened.
- Alignment between national policies and climate-and biodiversity-related finance is needed and is key for the Ministries of the countries.
- Differences in approaches between CRS and national systems lead to technical challenges. Although it is not expected that a global system replaces a national system, it is important to harmonize approaches to be complementary.
- In order to establish links between national strategies and climate-related finance, the cost and benefits need to be included in the planning process.
- Private sector finance remains an issue, it is essential to capture its finance and to find incentives to participate and finance activities.

Session 3: Perspectives for Improving the OECD DAC Climate- and Biodiversity-related Development Finance Statistics.

21. This session focused on how OECD DAC data can be further enhanced to improve the partner country perspective and experiences, including reflections on the use and relevance of OECD DAC data by partner countries.

22. Mr. David Kaluba (National Climate Change Secretariat, Ministry of Finance, Zambia) presented the experience of Zambia in tracking climate-related finance. In the framework of Zambia’s inclusive green growth approach, the Zambian government sets as objective that a real increase of 25% in key sectors regarding climate resilient programmes. Early results suggest that an 8% increase has happened. However Mr. Kaluba recognizes the need to improve the current tracking systems and expand the tracking to disbursements, as the public budget is not properly organized to recognize climate finance and does not distinguish clearly between adaptation and mitigation.

23. Mr. Appukuttan Nair Damodaran, (Indian Institute of Management Bangalore, India) presented India’s Climate Finance Resource Assessment Exercise on Rio markers and other Tracking tools. Mr. Damodaran insisted on the need to harmonize national methodologies with the OECD methodology, and build awareness at the federal and state levels. Also, Mr. Damodaran highlighted the importance of sharing the results of the pilot tracking exercises, and of modifying the taxonomy for biodiversity vs. climate change.

24. Ms. Andrea Iro (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ), Germany) shared Germany’s experience with 4 countries (Ghana, Chile, Dominican republic and Philippines) to develop capacity on national statistics and harmonize approaches. GIZ developed a Climate Finance readiness Training tool to help countries navigate the mentioned complexity. It should also enable them to identify ways to enhance capacities in their countries towards climate finance readiness. Ms. Iro further raised the issue of balancing between a snapshot approach (such as a public climate expenditure review) or investing in labor intensive systematic integration.

25. Mr. Sudo Tomonori, (JICA Research Institute, Japan) presented Japan’s marking approach. Mr. Tomonori introduced JICA’s Climate Finance Impact Tool (Fit). This tool that offers both methodologies to implement MRVS systems to mitigation projects to calculate and estimate GHG emission reductions, as well as guidelines to mainstream adaptation concepts into projects. He also stressed the importance of the Rio markers as mainstreaming tool for biodiversity and climate change and recommended the introduction of the Rio markers as mandatory in the project formulation process.

26. Ms. Smita Nakhooda, Climate and Environment, Overseas Development Institute (ODI) offered her insights on the marking process, and noted that studies had shown that up to a quarter of the projects in DAC stats need to be recoded due to difficulties in the understanding of the definitions. She stressed the need to provide more precise guidance on what can be considered adaptation at the country context in order to support improvements in data.
more accurate the data reporting is the more confident will the stakeholders be in the process. Ms. Nakhooda also proposed that progress in the context of ODA should be carried to non-concessional flows as well, and posed the question of how to judge if the development portfolio is climate adequate. Ms. Nakhooda also insisted on the relevance of the improvements in results management systems, in particular in regard to the adaptation marker.

27. The main points of discussion were as follows:

- There is a need to adapt to current climate finance landscape and include diversity of flows. Often the larger flows identified for mitigation don’t reflect the priorities, as adaptation continues to be vital for many partner countries and therefore for donors.
- An urgent need has been identified to improve data reporting through improved understanding and clear definitions of categories.
- There is an identified need to improve domestic climate budget categories in order to improve systematic tracking. Several members commented on the differences between tracking at the national level vs. tracking international finance.
- The mismatch between donor marking on Rio Markers and recipients own system is an issue. Therefore emphasis should be put on harmonising methodologies, although the members agree the aim is not to have a global system that replaces any national systems.
- Adaptation continues to be a sensitive sector to define categories and activities.
- An important part of the discussion revolved around the consideration of applications or Rio markers for resource mobilisation and evaluation of resource effectiveness.

Session 4: Update on the Partnership for Climate Finance and Development and Post-2015 Dialogues

28. The Partnership for Climate Finance and Development is a voluntary initiative promoting the deployment and effective use of climate finance at country-level through coherence and collaboration among climate change, finance and development co-operation communities at the country, regional and global levels.

29. Ms. Jan Corfee-Morlot (OECD DAC Secretariat) and Mr. Tom Beloe, (UNDP) debriefed the participants on the activities on the partnership in 2015, as well as on two recent informal dialogues organised by ENVIRONET on the post 2015 agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals. The Partnership builds upon the approach that environment, climate and development issues are fundamentally related –reflected in wide number of proposed SDGs.

30. After a series of events (April 2014 in Mexico; February 2015, and April 2015 in Paris) the ways forward of the partnership include fostering a holistic, joined up and integrated approach to implementation, looking for policy and financial coherence and avoiding fragmentation. This can only be possible by building local and global partnerships to tackle the challenges and opportunities on each particular context.

Further information:

The presentations from the Workshop on Partner Country Perspectives for Tracking Domestic and International Climate- and Biodiversity-Related Finance of the Task Team are available online here.
Workshop on Partner Country Perspectives for Tracking Domestic and International Climate- and Biodiversity-Related Finance

**LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

**Co-facilitators:**

**Mr. Matti Numelin,** ENVIRONET Chair *(Senior Environmental Adviser, Department for Development Policy Ministry for Foreign Affairs (Finland))*

**Dr. Amal-Lee AMIN,** ENVIRONET Member *(Associate Director - International Climate Finance, Third Generation Environmentalism(E3G))*

**Mr. Maher Mamhikoff,** Chair of the OECD DAC Working Party on Development Finance Statistics *(Canada)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia/Australie</td>
<td>Ms. Karen MACLENNAN</td>
<td>Director ODA Statistics and Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>External Budget Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria/Autriche</td>
<td>Ms. Hedwig RIEGLER</td>
<td>TBK Technical Consultancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium/Belgique</td>
<td>Mr. Patrick HOLLEBOSCH</td>
<td>In charge of Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FPS Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Mr. Maarten DE GROOT</td>
<td>Sr. Environment Policy Advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Food Security and Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mr. Maher MAMHIKOFF</td>
<td>Manager, Statistical Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chief Financial Officer Branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Foreign Affairs, Trade and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark/Danemark</td>
<td>Mr. Ole Jacob HJØLLUND</td>
<td>IT-Architect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quality Assurance of Development Assistance (KVA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ms. Sabrina MEINECKE</td>
<td>Head of section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Department for Quality Assurance of Development Assistance,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry of Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU/UE</td>
<td>Mr. Jens FUGL</td>
<td>Policy Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DG DEVCO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland/Finlandde</td>
<td>Mr. Matti NUMMELIN</td>
<td>ENVIRONET Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senior Environmental Adviser, Department for Development Policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ministry for Foreign Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany/Allemagne</td>
<td>Ms. Marianne ALKER</td>
<td>Advisor, Environment and Climate Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deutsche Gesellschaft fuer Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GmbH</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Ms. Marianna KNIRSCHE  Advisor Biodiversity  
Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) 

Ms. Andrea IRO  GIZ - Advisor commissioned by BMZ Special Unit 'Climate' 

Mr. Benjamin HODICK  Senior technical advisor  
Deutsche Desellschaft fur Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) 

Ms. Asami TAKEDA  Researcher  
Permanent Delegation of Japan to the OECD  

Mr. Tomonori SUDO  Senior Research Fellow  
Office for Global Issues and Development Partnerships, Operations  
Strategy Department  
Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA) 

Ms. Yoon Jeong KOO  Senior Representative - Kexim Bank  
The Permanant Delegation of Korea to the OECD  

Ms. Eunoh CHANG  Expert  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs  

Ms. Hanseul CHO  Specialist in Statistics  
Research & Development Office  
Korea International Cooperation Agency (KOICA)  

Ms. Chanyou KIM  Specialist in Statistics,  
Research & Development Office  
KOICA (Korea International Cooperation Agency)  

Ms. Irene KNOBEN  Coordinating Policy Officer, Inclusive Green Growth department/Climate cluster  
Multilateral Affairs and Human Rights department  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs  

Ms. Reidun GJENGERDAL  Assistant Director  
Statistics Section, Dept. for Quality Assurance,  
Norwegian Agency for Development Co-operation (NORAD)  

Ms. Monika KUSMIERZYK  Specialist  
Department of Sustainable Development  
Ministry of the Environment (MOS)  

Ms. Maria do Carmo FERNANDES  Climate Change Focal Point  
Camões - Institute for Cooperation and Language  

Mme Carolina MAYEUR DANEL  Head of Food Security and Environment Area in Development Policy Unit  
SGCID - Office of Development Planning and Policy Evaluation  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation  

Ms. Maria del Mar REQUENA QUESADA  Expert, Environmental sustainability and climate change  
General Secretariat for International Development Coorperation  
Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Cooperation  

Dr. Anders EKBOM  Deputy director, Center for Environment and Sustainability  
University of Gothenburg  

Mr. Mirza TOPIC  Statistician, Analysis and Coordination Unit  
Department for Corporate Management  
Sida -Swedish international development and cooperation agency  

Mr. Robert PHILLIPS  Statistician  
Department for International Development (DFID)
United States/États-Unis
Mr. Darren ENTERLINE
Economist, DevTech Systems, USAID Contactor

Mr. William MCCORMICK
Financial Economist, Office of Development Partners, ODP/Bilateral and Multilateral Donors, BMD
US Agency for International Development (USAID)

UN Development Programme (UNDP)/Programme des Nations Unies pour le développement (PNUD)
Mr. Thomas BELOE
Asia-Pacific

Ms. Alice RUHWEZA
Regional Team Leader - Africa & Regional Technical Advisor
Ecosystems and Biodiversity, Global Environment Finance Unit

Mr. Davie MEYERS
Senior Technical Advisor, BIOFIN

UN Environment Programme (UNEP)/Programme des Nations Unies pour l'environnement (PNUE)
Ms. Francoise D'ESTAIS
Head of Climate Finance Unit
Division Technology, Industry and Economics
United Nations - Environment Programme (UNEP)

German Development Institute (DIE)
Ms. Katharina Stepping
Dept. Environmental Policy and Natural Resources Management

E3G-Third Generation Environmentalism
Ms. Amal-Lee AMIN
Associate Director, International Climate Finance
E3G-Third Generation Environmentalism

Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies
Mr. Philippe SANER
Scientific Staff

Overseas Development Institute (ODI)
Ms. Smita Nakhooda
Climate Change, Environment and Forets

International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED)
Mr. David STEINBACH
Climate Change Group

European Investment Bank (EIB)/Banque européenne d'investissement (BEI)
Ms. Nancy SAICH
Adviser in Climate and Environment
Environment, Climate and Social Office

KfW Development Bank
Mr. Josef HAIDER
Senior Sector Economist Climate Change & Environment
Competence Centre for Environment & Climate

Mr. Jochen HARNISCH
Head of Division
Competence Centre for Environment & Climate

World Bank/Banque mondiale
Mr. Eduardo FERREIRA
Senior Financial Specialist
Climate Policy & Finance Department

UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD)
Mr. Simone QUATRINI
Coordinator, Policy and Investment Analysis
Global Mechanism of the UNCCD

UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)
Mr. Marenglen GJONAJ
Programme Officer, Finance sub-programme
Long Term Finance unit

Colombia/Colombie
Mr. Jorge Ivan ESCALANTE CASTELLANOS
Advisor for Planning, Evaluation and Monitoring
Colombian Cooperation Agency

GFLAC
Ms. Sandra GUZMAN
General Coordinator
Latin American and the Caribbean Climate Finance Group

India/Inde
Professor A. DAMODARAN
Professor
Indian Institute of Management Bangalore

Indonesia
Ms. Ria Sartika AZAHARI  
Head of Division of Fiscal Policy for Climate Change, Centre for Climate Change Finance and Multilateral Policy, Fiscal Policy Agency

Mr. Hageng Suryo NUGROHO  
Subdivision Head of Energy Sector  
Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia  
Fiscal Policy Agency  
Mexico/Mexique

Ms. Neydi SAGNITE CRUZ  
Deputy Director General for International Cooperation  
International Affairs, SEMARNAT  
Peru/Pérou

Ms. Maria Veronica VILLENA CARDICH  
Economist  
Climate Change Office, Ministry of Environment  
Philippines

Mr. John Adrian NARAG  
Chief Bilateral Assistance Division  
Department of Finance, International Finance Group  
Viet Nam

Ms. Thi Dieu Trinh NGUYEN  
Senior Official  
Department of Science, Education, Natural Resources and Environment, Ministry of Planning and Investment  
Zambia/Zambie

Mr. David Chama KALUBA  
Principal Economist and National Coordinator (PPCR)  
Economic Management Department  
Ministry of Finance – Interim Secretariat for Climate Change  
OECD/OCDE

Ms. Julia BENN  
Senior Policy Analyst, DCD/SDF

Ms. Gisela CAMPILLO  
Junior Policy Analyst, DCD/GPP

Mr. Juan CASADO ASENSIO  
Policy Analyst – Engagement, DCD/REED

Ms. Jan CORFEE-MORLOT  
Senior Policy Analyst - Team Leader, DCD/GPP

Ms. Valérie GAVEAU  
Statistical Analyst, DCD/SDF

Ms. Alexis JUBIN  
Assistant, DCD/GPP

Ms Mariana MIRABILE  
Statistician, Development Finance, DCD/SDF

Ms. Stephanie OCKENDEN  
Policy Analyst, DCD/GPP

Ms. Lisa SMADJA  
Assistant, DCD/GPP

Mr. Haje SHÜTTE  
Head of Development Finance and Statistics Division