

Draft Test Guideline

Hyalella azteca Bioconcentration Test (HYBIT)

INTRODUCTION

1. This Guideline provides a non-vertebrate test to derive the bioconcentration potential of chemicals. *In vivo* fish bioconcentration factors (BCFs) determined in accordance with the OECD test Guideline 305 (1) are used in many regulations for bioaccumulation assessment. However, not all regulatory frameworks permit vertebrate testing. Also, animal welfare reasons and cost reduction are aspects that may favour the application of alternative test methods. BCF values for lipophilic chemicals determined with the benthic freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca* show a strong correlation with BCFs that have been determined according to the OECD TG 305 when applying a normalisation to a total lipid content of 5% (2).

2. This Guideline describes a procedure for characterising the bioaccumulation potential of chemicals in the benthic freshwater amphipod *H. azteca*. It has been developed in such a way that it is as close as possible to the concept described in the OECD TG 305. However, a minimized exposure design and a protocol for the performance of biomagnification experiments are not available for *H. azteca* and thus not described.

3. In addition to the established flow-through regime commonly applied in bioconcentration studies, semi-static regimes are permissible as options in studies carried out according to this Guideline. Both regimes have been validated as part of an international ring trial (Schlechtriem et al., in prep.).

4. The aqueous exposure test is most appropriately applicable to stable organic chemicals with log K_{ow} values between 1.5 and 6.0 (3), but may still be used with strongly hydrophobic chemicals (having log $K_{ow} > 6.0$), if a stable and fully dissolved concentration of the test chemical in water can be demonstrated. The log K_{ow} measurement is based on the steady-state thermodynamics of solutes, and so the log K_{ow} test may not work or be inappropriate for many chemicals such as manufactured nanomaterials (MNs) that exist as suspensions. However, there is evidence that the *H. azteca* bioconcentration test works for MNs. Further guidance on the testing of MNs will be provided as a supplement to this guideline.

5. Radiolabelled test chemicals can facilitate the analysis of water and tissue samples, and may be used to determine whether identification and quantification of metabolites will be necessary. If total radioactive residues (TRR) are measured (e.g. by combustion or tissue solubilisation), the BCF is based on the total of the parent test chemical, any retained metabolites and also assimilated carbon.

BCF values based on TRR may not, therefore, be directly comparable to a BCF derived by specific chemical analysis of the parent test chemical only. Separation procedures, such as TLC, HPLC or GC should be employed before analysis in radiolabelled studies in order to determine a BCF based on the parent test chemical. When separation techniques are applied, a BCF determination for the parent test chemical should be based upon the concentration of the parent test chemical in *H. azteca* and not upon TRR.

6. The decision on whether to conduct a flow-through or semi-static exposure experiment should be based on the opportunity to maintain stable exposure concentrations in the water phase during uptake (cf. paragraph 17). Important factors that may influence application choice should be considered, including the test chemical's potential for adsorption to test vessels and apparatus, its stability in aqueous solution, etc. Information on such practical aspects may be available from other completed aqueous laboratory studies e.g. invertebrate toxicity tests or early life stage tests with fish which are also conducted under flow-through conditions. If no information is available, a pre-test should be conducted to confirm the suitability of the selected exposure regime.

7. It should be verified that the aqueous exposure concentration(s) to be applied are within the aqueous solubility range of the test chemical in the test media. Different methods for maintaining stable concentrations of the dissolved test chemical can be used, such as the use of stock solutions or passive dosing systems (e.g. column

48 elution method). It should be demonstrated that stable concentrations can be maintained and the test media are
49 not altered from the media recommended in Annex 3 and fulfil the requirements listed in paragraph 20.

50 PRINCIPLE OF THE TEST

51 8. The test consists of two phases: the exposure (uptake) and post-exposure (depuration) phases. During the
52 uptake phase, a group of *H. azteca* is exposed to the test chemical at one or more chosen concentrations,
53 depending on the properties of the test chemical (*cf.* paragraphs 14 and 38). They are then transferred to a medium
54 free of the test chemical for the depuration phase. The concentration of the test chemical in/on the analysed *H.*
55 *azteca* is followed through both phases of the test. Parameters which characterise the bioaccumulation potential
56 include the uptake rate constant (k_1), the depuration rate constant (k_2), the steady-state bioconcentration factor
57 (BCF_{SS}) and the kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_K). In addition to the exposed group, a dilution water
58 control should be included which is held under identical conditions (including sampling), to relate possible
59 adverse effects observed in the bioconcentration test to a matching control group and to obtain background
60 concentrations of the test chemical. If the use of solvent is required (*cf.* paragraph 23), one control containing
61 the solvent should be run in addition to the test series.

62 9. In the aqueous exposure test, the uptake phase is usually run for 3 – 10 days. The duration can be extended if
63 necessary, or shortened if it is demonstrated that steady-state has been reached earlier (*cf.* paragraph 10 and
64 paragraph 28). A prediction of the length of the uptake phase and the time to steady-state can be made from
65 empirical knowledge (2). The depuration period starts when the *H. azteca* are no longer exposed to the test
66 chemical, by transferring the *H. azteca* to test medium without test chemical in a clean vessel. Where possible
67 the bioconcentration factor is calculated preferably as a kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_K), which is
68 estimated as the ratio of the rate constants of uptake (k_1) and depuration (k_2) assuming first order kinetics (*cf.*
69 Annex 1 definitions and units).

70 10. The bioconcentration factor should also be calculated as the ratio of concentration in the *H. azteca* (C_H) and
71 in the water (C_w) at apparent steady-state (BCF_{SS} ; *cf.* Annex 5). In principle, the BCF_{SS} should be comparable to
72 the BCF_K but deviations may occur if steady-state was uncertain. If a ‘steady-state’ is not achieved within 10
73 days, the uptake phase can be extended. If this results in an impractically long uptake phase until steady-state is
74 reached, the calculation of a BCF is based exclusively on the kinetic approach (*cf.* Annex 5).

75 11. The uptake rate constant, the depuration (loss) rate constant (or constants, where more complex models are
76 involved), the bioconcentration factor (steady-state and/or kinetic), and, where possible, the confidence limits of
77 each of these parameters are calculated from the model that best describes the measured concentrations of the
78 test chemical in *H. azteca* and water (*cf.* Annex 5).

79 12. An increase in the mass of the *H. azteca* during the test can be neglected since adult individuals are used in
80 the test (2, 5). A correction of the kinetic BCF for the so-called growth dilution is therefore not necessary.

81 13. The BCF is based on the total concentration in *H. azteca* (*i.e.* per total wet weight of the sampled *H. azteca*).
82 Since, for many organic chemicals, there is a clear relationship between the potential for bioconcentration and
83 hydrophobicity, there is also a corresponding relationship between the lipid content of the test *H. azteca* and the
84 observed bioconcentration of such chemicals. Thus, to reduce this source of variability in test results for those
85 test chemicals with high lipophilicity (*i.e.* with $\log K_{ow} > 3$), bioconcentration should be expressed as normalised
86 to *H. azteca* with a default 3% lipid content (based on whole body wet weight). The lipid content of lab-raised
87 *H. azteca* is usually in the range of 1-3% (w/w) but may be higher in field caught *H. azteca* (1, 4). Lipid
88 measurements should be carried out for amphipods collected directly from the study. This is necessary to provide
89 a basis from which results for different chemicals and studies can be compared against one another.
90 Normalisation of HYBIT BCF values to a lipid content of 5% allows the comparison with fish BCFs described
91 in the literature as shown for hydrophobic chemicals (2, 5).

93 INFORMATION ON THE TEST CHEMICAL

94 14. Before carrying out the bioaccumulation test, the following information about the test chemical should be
95 known:

96 (a) Sensitivity of the analytical technique for measuring tissue and aqueous concentrations of the test
97 chemical.

98 (b) Solubility in water [Guideline 105; (6)]; this has to be determined in accordance with a method that
99 is appropriate for the (estimated) range of the solubility to obtain a reliable value. For hydrophobic test
100 chemicals, this will generally be the column elution method.

101 (c) *n*-Octanol-water partition coefficient, K_{ow} [Guidelines 107 (7), 117 (8), 123 (9)]; or other suitable
102 information on partitioning behaviour (*e.g.* sorption to lipids, K_{oc}); this has to be determined in
103 accordance with a method that is appropriate for the (estimated) range of the K_{ow} to obtain a reliable
104 value. For hydrophobic test chemicals, this will generally be the slow-stirring method [Guideline 123
105 (9)];

106 (d) Test chemical stability in water (hydrolysis [Guideline 111 (10)]);

107 (e) Information on phototransformation relevant for the light conditions in the test (11);

108 (f) Surface tension (*i.e.* for chemicals where the log K_{ow} cannot be determined) [Guideline 115 (12)];

109 (g) Vapour pressure [Guideline 104 (13)];

110 (h) Any information on biotic or abiotic degradation in water, including ready biodegradability
111 [Guidelines 301 A to F (14), 310 (15)], where appropriate;

112 (i) Information on metabolites: structure, log K_{ow} , formation and degradability, where appropriate;

113 (j) Acid dissociation constant (pK_a) for test chemicals that might ionise. If possible the pH of the test
114 water should be adjusted to ensure that the test chemical is in the unionised form in the test if compatible
115 with *H. azteca*.

116 15. An exposure concentration should be selected that does not cause adverse effects in the test species. If this
117 information is not available, a toxicity range finder is conducted as preliminary experiment (*cf.* paragraph 39;
118 Annex 9). Alternatively, other toxicity endpoints estimated from invertebrate tests can be used (*e.g.* OECD TG
119 202 (16), OECD TG 211 (17)).

120 16. An appropriate analytical method of known accuracy, precision and sensitivity should be available for the
121 quantification of the test chemical in the test solutions and in biological material, together with details of sample
122 preparation and storage. The analytical quantification limit of the test chemical in both water and *H. azteca*
123 tissues should also be known. When a radiolabelled test chemical is used, it should be of the highest purity (*e.g.*
124 preferably > 98%) and the percentage of radioactivity associated with impurities should be known.

125 **VALIDITY OF THE TEST**

126 17. For a test to be valid the following criteria should be met:

- 127 • The water temperature variation is less than $\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$;
- 128 • The concentration of dissolved oxygen does not fall below 50% saturation;
- 129 • The concentration of the test chemical in the test vessels is maintained within $\pm 20\%$ of the mean of the
130 measured values during the uptake phase;
- 131 • The concentration of the test chemical is below its limit of solubility in water (taking into account the
132 effect that the test media composition may have on effective solubility);
- 133 • Mortality of *H. azteca* is less than 20% at the end of the test in both the control and treatment group(s).

134 **REFERENCE CHEMICALS**

135 18. The use of reference chemicals of known bioconcentration potential (and low metabolism) would be useful
136 in checking the experimental procedure, when required (*e.g.* when a laboratory has no previous experience with
137 the test or experimental conditions have been changed). For a flow-through approach with highly hydrophobic
138 compounds, hexachlorobenzene (HCB) would be an appropriate reference chemical. For a semi-static approach
139 with moderately lipophilic compounds, chemicals like prochloraz would be suitable. Both chemicals were
140 applied during the HYBIT ring trial, the results of which provide information on the BCF ranges to be expected
141 (Schlechtriem et al., in prep.). However, other chemicals can also be used with an appropriate reasoning.
142

143 DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

144 Apparatus

145 19. Care should be taken to avoid the use of materials, for all parts of the equipment, that can dissolve, sorb or
146 leach and have an adverse effect on *H. azteca*. Standard rectangular glass aquariums with a volume capacity of
147 20 L can be used as test vessels. In order to provide a refuge for *H. azteca*, a mesh of stainless steel (850-
148 1000 µm, tunnel shaped) can be added to the aquarium during the test. The use of soft plastic tubing should be
149 minimised. Use Teflon®, stainless steel and/or glass tubing where it is possible. It is preferable to expose test
150 systems to concentrations of the test chemical to be used in the study for at least three days to demonstrate the
151 maintenance of stable exposure concentrations prior to the introduction of test organisms.

152 Water

153 20. Any laboratory test water acceptable under OECD criteria (*cf.* Annex 3) can be used as dilution water in a
154 test. The dilution water, which is the water that is mixed with the test chemical before entering the test vessel
155 (*cf.* paragraph 21-22), should be of a quality that will allow the survival of *H. azteca* for the duration of the
156 acclimation and test periods without them showing any abnormal appearance or behaviour. Ideally, it should be
157 demonstrated that the *H. azteca* can survive, grow and reproduce in the dilution water (natural water, tap water
158 or reconstituted medium), e.g. in laboratory culture or a life-cycle toxicity test. An acclimation phase might be
159 required to exclude mortality especially if a media change is performed between *H. azteca* husbandry and test
160 performance. The dilution water should be characterised at least by pH, hardness, total solids, total organic
161 carbon (TOC) and preferably also ammonium, nitrite and alkalinity. Some chemical and physical characteristics
162 of an acceptable dilution water as well as suitable reconstituted media are given in Annex 3.

163 21. The dilution water should be of constant quality during the period of a test. The pH value should be within
164 the range 6.0 to 8.5 at test start, but during a given test it should be within a range of ± 0.5 pH units. If natural
165 water or tap water is used instead of a reconstituted medium, samples should be taken at regular intervals (e.g.
166 twice per year) for determination of selected water parameters as given in Annex 3, in order to ensure that the
167 dilution water will not unduly influence the test result (for example, by complexation of the test chemical) or
168 adversely affect the performance of the stock of *H. azteca*.

169 22. The natural particle content as well as the TOC of the dilution water should be as low as possible to avoid
170 adsorption of the test chemical to organic matter which may reduce its bioavailability and thus result in an
171 underestimation of the BCF. The maximum acceptable value is 5 mg/L for particulate matter (dry matter, not
172 passing a 0.45 µm filter) and 2 mg/L for TOC (*cf.* Annex 3). If necessary, the dilution water should be filtered
173 before use.

174 Test Solutions

175 23. A stock solution of the test chemical should be prepared at a suitable concentration. The stock solution should
176 preferably be prepared by simply mixing or agitating the test chemical in the dilution water. An alternative that
177 may be appropriate in some cases is the use of a solid phase desorption dosing system. The use of solvents
178 (solubilising agents) is generally not recommended (*cf.* (18)). Biofilm formation may occur when solvents are
179 applied which can lead to dietary transfer of the test chemical and thus alter the uptake kinetics. However, the
180 use of these materials may still be required in order to produce a suitably concentrated stock solution, but every
181 effort should be made to minimise the use of such materials. Solvents which may be used are acetone, ethanol,
182 methanol, dimethyl formamide and triethylene glycol. The solvent concentration in the final test medium should
183 be the same in all treatments (i.e. regardless of test chemical concentration) and should not exceed the
184 corresponding toxicity thresholds determined for the solvent under the test conditions. The maximum level is a
185 concentration of 100 mg/L (or 0.1 mL/L). It is unlikely that a solvent concentration of 100 mg/L will significantly
186 alter the maximum dissolved concentration of the test chemical which can be achieved in the medium (18). The
187 test chemical concentration should be below the solubility limit of the test chemical in the test media in spite of
188 the use of a solvent or solubilising agent. The solvent's contribution (together with the test chemical) to the
189 overall content of organic carbon in the test water should be known. Throughout the test, the concentration of
190 total organic carbon in the test vessels should not exceed the concentration of organic carbon originating from
191 the test chemical, and solvent or solubilising agent (1), if used, by more than 10 mg/L ($\pm 20\%$). Organic matter
192 content can have a significant effect on the amount of freely dissolved test chemical, especially for highly
193 lipophilic chemicals (19). Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) (20, 21) can provide important information on
194 the ratio between bound and freely dissolved compounds, of which the latter is assumed to represent the
195 bioavailable fraction. Care should be taken when using readily biodegradable solvents (e.g. methanol) as these
196 can cause problems with bacterial growth. If it is not possible to prepare a stock solution without the use of a

199 solubilising agent, flow-through tests with a solid phase desorption dosing system (22) or a passive dosing device
200 (23) should be considered (*cf.* paragraph 24) as solvent free approaches.

201
202 24. For flow-through tests, a system which continuously dispenses and dilutes a stock solution of the test
203 chemical (*e.g.* metering pump, proportional diluter, saturator system) or a solid phase desorption dosing system
204 is required to deliver the test concentrations to the test vessels. Preferably allow at least five-volume
205 replacements through each test vessel per day. The flow-through mode is to be preferred, but where this is not
206 possible a semi-static technique may be used provided that the validity criteria are satisfied (*cf.* paragraph 17).
207 The flow rates of stock solutions and dilution water should be checked both 48 hours before and then at least
208 daily during the test. It is recommended to check the flow rate in each test vessel (which should not vary by more
209 than 20% between consecutive measurements) to ensure constant exposure conditions.

210 211 **Holding of *H. azteca***

212 25. The *H. azteca* used for the bioconcentration experiments should ideally be derived from an in-house
213 laboratory culture. Only if a laboratory husbandry is not possible, purchased amphipods from commercial
214 sources may be used in the test, provided that the amphipods have been acclimatized to the laboratory conditions
215 (media, feed and temperature) for at least one month. The recommended procedure for the laboratory husbandry
216 of *H. azteca* is based on an established protocol using a culture medium containing essential mineral nutrients
217 (24). The feed recommended for laboratory husbandry or acclimatization to laboratory conditions, differs from
218 that used during the test. An example of suitable husbandry and culture conditions is given in Annex 2.

219 26. *H. azteca* used in tests should be free from observable diseases and abnormalities. Any diseased amphipods
220 should be discarded. *H. azteca* should not receive treatment for disease in the two weeks preceding the test, or
221 during the test.

222 **PERFORMANCE OF THE TEST**

223 **Preliminary test**

224 27. It may be useful to conduct a preliminary experiment in order to optimise the test conditions of the definitive
225 test, *e.g.* exposure regime, selection of test chemical concentration(s), duration of the uptake and depuration
226 phases. A proposal for a preliminary toxicity test is given in Annex 9.

227 228 **Conditions of Exposure**

229 ***Duration of uptake phase***

230 28. In contrast to fish bioconcentration studies, a prediction of the duration of the uptake phase cannot be made
231 based on equations (1), but can be estimated based on log K_{ow} values as previously described (2):

- 232 • Low – moderate hydrophobicity ($\text{Log } K_{ow} < 4$): 2 – 4 days
- 233 • Moderate – elevated hydrophobicity ($\text{Log } K_{ow} 4 - 6$): 4 – 10 days
- 234 • High hydrophobicity ($\text{Log } K_{ow} > 6$): more than 10 days

235 29. The uptake phase should be run for a time period sufficiently long to guarantee that steady-state has been
236 reached. A steady-state is reached in the plot of test chemical in *H. azteca* (C_H) against time when the curve
237 reaches a plateau and three successive analyses of C_H made on samples taken at sufficiently large intervals
238 relative to the uptake phase are within $\pm 20\%$ of each other, and there is no significant increase of C_H between
239 the first and last successive analysis. For test chemicals with high hydrophobicity requiring an uptake phase of
240 10 days or more to reach steady-state, a sampling interval of at least 48 hours between the last three sampling
241 points should be established to allow evaluation of steady-state conditions. If steady-state has not been reached,
242 the BCF is calculated using only the kinetic approach, which does not depend on steady-state. However, the test
243 chemical concentration in *H. azteca* at the end of the uptake phase needs to be sufficiently high to ensure a
244 reliable estimation of the uptake and elimination rate constants. For cases where steady-state may not have been
245 reached at the end of the designated uptake phase, the extension of the exposure phase might be considered. A
246 number of spare animals should be available as a reserve to extend the uptake phase if required.

247 248 ***Duration of the depuration phase***

249 30. The duration of the depuration phase should be at least as long as the uptake phase and should be long enough
250 to allow an appropriate (*e.g.* 90% of mean steady-state tissue concentration) reduction in the body burden of the

251 test chemical to occur. If the time required to reach 90% loss is impractically long, the study can be terminated
 252 after twice the duration of the uptake phase provided that the concentration of test chemical in the amphipods is
 253 at least lower than 10% of the previously measured steady-state tissue concentration. If preliminary knowledge
 254 about the depuration behaviour of the test chemical is available, the depuration phase can be shortened or
 255 extended appropriately. For cases where a 90% reduction of the tissue concentrations is not reached at the end
 256 of the depuration phase, a number of spare animals should be available as a reserve to extend the depuration
 257 phase if required.

258

259 ***Numbers of *H. azteca* in the test***

260 31. The numbers of *H. azteca* per test (concentration) should be selected in a way that a minimum of 20
 261 amphipods per replicate, and three replicates per sample are available at each sampling time. The collected *H.*
 262 *azteca* of one sample are pooled (20 amphipods) to ensure a sufficient sample size for the chemical analysis. An
 263 exemplary sampling scheme is provided in Annex 4. A higher amount of pooled *H. azteca* or an increased
 264 number of samples taken at each sampling will be necessary if required by the analytical procedure, or if
 265 additional analyses are intended. The lipid content should be determined on additional *H. azteca* that have been
 266 sampled from the test as the amphipods used to determine the concentration of the test chemical. An appropriate
 267 number of amphipods needs to be sampled to ensure an accurate determination of the lipid content. (cf. Annex
 268 6).

269 32. Only sexually mature males (> 8 weeks old) are used to avoid reproduction during the test. Male *H. azteca*
 270 are used due to their more uniform size and lipid content compared to female *H. azteca*. The sexing procedure
 271 to select sexually mature male *H. azteca* is described in Annex 7.

272 33. *H. azteca* used within one study should derive from the same source and culture batch. The approximate age
 273 of the test amphipods should be recorded.

274

275 ***Loading***

276 34. The test vessel should be filled with a sufficient volume (e.g. 15 L) of test medium to provide a high water-
 277 to-biomass ratio (e.g. 100 animals / L) in the test system. A potential reduction in the concentration of the test
 278 compound in water caused by the addition of the *H. azteca* at the start of the test can be neglected. The same
 279 applies to the decrease in dissolved oxygen concentration often observed in bioconcentration studies with fish.

280 ***Feeding***

281 35. During the test period, *H. azteca* are fed with ground agar-agar-bound fish flakes (so called Decotabs). The
 282 feed is applied daily ad libitum. At the beginning of the study, a higher amount of feed is supplied. Five cubes
 283 suffice for approx. 1000 *H. azteca*. With the number of test amphipods being reduced in the course of the study,
 284 the number of applied Decotab cubes is reduced accordingly. Uneaten Decotabs should be removed before
 285 adding fresh feed. Before the application, each cube is subdivided in smaller portions. Using Decotabs as feed
 286 will ensure that the *H. azteca* receive an appropriate diet of known lipid and total protein content in an amount
 287 sufficient to keep them in a healthy condition and to maintain body weight. A detailed protocol for preparing the
 288 Decotabs is described in Annex 8. Decotab-feeding has been shown to be the most appropriate feeding approach
 289 for *H. azteca* in BCF studies (5) and is thus the preferred feeding method for the HYBIT tests.

290 36. The test vessels need to be kept as clean as possible throughout the test to keep the concentration of organic
 291 matter (e.g. amphipod excreta and feed residues) as low as possible. The test vessel cleaning routine will depend
 292 on the chosen test setup and exposure method.

293 ***Light and temperature***

294 37. A 16-hour photoperiod and a temperature of 23°C ($\pm 2^\circ\text{C}$) are recommended. Light at an intensity not
 295 exceeding 8-16 $\mu\text{E}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ is measured at the water surface of the vessel. The light intensity should be 500 to
 296 1000 lux using wide-spectrum fluorescent lamps (840 K). LED light sources should be considered as alternative
 297 to fluorescent lighting.

298

299 ***Test concentrations***

300 38. Similar to the OECD test guideline 305, the test was originally designed for non-polar organic chemicals.
 301 For this type of chemicals, the exposure to a single concentration is expected to be sufficient, as no concentration
 302 effects are expected on bioconcentration. If test chemicals outside this domain are tested, or other indications of
 303 possible concentration dependence are known, the test has to be run with two or more concentrations. If only

304 one concentration is tested, justification for the use of one concentration should be given (*cf.* paragraph 63). The
305 tested concentration should be as low as is practical or technically possible (*i.e.* not close to the solubility limit).

306 39. The selected test chemical concentration for *H. azteca* should be below its chronic effect level or 1% of its
307 acute asymptotic LC₅₀. A proposal for a toxicity test as preliminary experiment for HYBIT tests is described in
308 Annex 9. Alternatively, a toxicity range finder can be run over the expected time of the uptake period to estimate
309 the NOEC level for the following HYBIT test. Generally, the exposure concentration should be at least an order
310 of magnitude above its limit of quantification in water as determined by the analytical method. In addition, care
311 should be taken that the test concentration is below the solubility limit of the test chemical in the test media.

312 **Control**

313 40. A water control should be included to demonstrate that the test conditions provided are appropriate for *H.*
314 *azteca*, ensuring a sufficient survival throughout the study. *H. azteca* of the control group should be sampled in
315 accordance to the test group to keep the stocking densities in both groups on the same level. If a solubilising
316 agent has been used to prepare an appropriately concentrated stock solution, the control group should be treated
317 in exactly the same way, but without the test chemical, so that the solvent concentration is the same in all
318 treatments.

320 **Frequency of Water Quality Measurements**

321 41. During the test, dissolved oxygen, total organic carbon (TOC), total hardness, pH and temperature are
322 measured in the test vessels. Dissolved oxygen, pH, and temperature are measured and recorded daily. TOC is
323 measured at the beginning of the test before addition of the *H. azteca*, at the end of the uptake phase and at the
324 beginning and end of the depuration phase. If an organic solvent is used in the uptake phase, an increased number
325 of measuring intervals for TOC may be applied. Hardness should be determined once during each test.

327 **Sampling and Analysis of *H. azteca* and Water**

328 ***H. azteca* and water sampling schedule**

329 42. Water samples are collected from the test vessel for the determination of test chemical concentration before
330 addition of the *H. azteca* to the test system and during both, uptake and depuration phases. The water samples
331 are taken before feeding, at the same time as the *H. azteca* sampling. During the uptake phase, the concentrations
332 of test chemical should be determined in order to check compliance with the validity criteria (*cf.* paragraph 17).
333 The concentration of the test chemical measured in the test solution should be maintained within $\pm 20\%$ of the
334 mean of the concentrations measured during the uptake phase. During the depuration phase, additional water
335 samples should be taken as a precautionary measure to confirm the absence of contamination in the test system
336 during the depuration phase. Under semi-static conditions water samples should be taken at least prior to the
337 first medium exchange following the start of the depuration phase. In the flow-through test setup, taking a single
338 water sample at the start of the depuration phase (within 60 minutes following transfer of all remaining *H. azteca*
339 to water free of test chemical) is sufficient, provided that the results of the water analysis show that the test
340 chemical is not detected (<LOQ). If the test chemical is still detected during the depuration phase, the water
341 exchange rate should be increased and further measurements should be performed on a daily basis until the
342 concentration is below the limit of quantification (LOQ).

343 43. In the semi-static test setup, analysis of test chemical concentration should be carried out on fresh and aged
344 media collected throughout the uptake phase. Aged media samples are taken prior to the daily media exchange.
345 Media exchange intervals can be shortened or extended to provide stable exposure conditions.

346 44. *H. azteca* are sampled on at least five occasions during the uptake phase and on at least four occasions during
347 the depuration phase to successfully capture both, the steady-state concentrations and the kinetics. Since on some
348 occasions it is difficult to calculate a reasonably precise estimate of the BCF value based on this number of
349 samples (especially when other than simple first order uptake and depuration kinetics are indicated), it may be
350 advisable to take samples at a higher frequency in both periods (*cf.* Annex 4). The sampling time points will
351 depend on the test chemical characteristic as well as on the chosen test setup.

352 45. The lipid content should be determined in additional amphipods collected from the test system at least at the
353 start and end of the uptake phase and at the end of the depuration phase. At each sampling three independent
354 replicates ($n = 3$; 10 *H. azteca* pooled each) are taken from the test vessel. The number of *H. azteca* per test

355 vessel at the start of the experiment should be adjusted accordingly. Dead or diseased *H. azteca* should not be
356 analysed for test chemical or lipid concentration.

357 46. Before beginning the depuration phase, the amphipods are transferred to clean vessels. They are collected
358 e.g. with a fine-mesh dip net, briefly rinsed with control medium and then added to the respective depuration
359 vessel.

360 47. At the end of the experiment, the surviving amphipods are counted. For the calculation of the mortality rate,
361 missing organisms are considered to be dead.

362 ***Sampling and sample preparation***

363 48. Water samples for analysis are obtained e.g. by siphoning through inert tubing from a central point in the
364 test vessel. Alternatively, water samples may be taken using a suitable pipet (e.g. 10 mL) after mixing the water
365 in the test vessel by carefully stirring. Especially for highly hydrophobic chemicals (i.e. those chemicals with a
366 log K_{ow} > 6) where adsorption to filter matrix or centrifugation vials could occur, collected samples should
367 neither be subjected to filtration nor centrifugation. Instead, measures should be taken to keep the tanks as clean
368 as possible (*cf.* paragraph 36) and the content of total organic carbon should be monitored during both the uptake
369 and depuration phases (*cf.* paragraph 20 and 41).

370 49. Amphipods are collected with a fine-mesh dip net, rinsed with water and blotted dry with a lint-free tissue
371 prior to weighing of the pooled samples.

372 50. All samples should be analysed preferably immediately after sampling in order to prevent degradation or
373 other losses of the test chemical and to be able to monitor the test concentration throughout the test period.
374 Failing immediate analysis, the samples should be stored under appropriate conditions. Before the beginning of
375 the study, information on the proper method of storage for the particular test chemical has to be gathered – for
376 example, deep-freezing, holding at 4°C, duration of storage, extraction, etc.

377 ***Quality of analytical method***

378 51. The quality of the analytical results is governed essentially by the accuracy, precision and sensitivity of the
379 analytical method used for the test chemical. Accordingly, it should be checked experimentally if the accuracy,
380 precision and reproducibility of the analysis, as well as recovery of the test chemical from both water and
381 amphipods are satisfactory for the particular method. Also, it should be asserted that the test chemical is not
382 detectable in the dilution water used. If necessary, the values of test chemical concentration in water and
383 amphipods obtained from the test for the recovery and background value of the control are corrected. Both,
384 amphipod and water samples should be handled in such a manner as to minimise contamination and loss (e.g.
385 resulting from adsorption by the sampling device) throughout the study.

386 ***Analysis of *H. azteca* tissue samples***

387 52. The concentration of the test chemical should be determined for each weighed pooled sample. If radiolabelled
388 test chemicals are used in the test, it is possible to analyse for TRR (i.e. parent test chemical and metabolites).
389 The TRR may be further separated so that the parent test chemical can be analysed separately.

390 53. BCF values for lipid accumulating test chemicals should be expressed as normalised to a tissue with a 3%
391 lipid content (based on wet weight) in addition to that derived directly from the study. A suitable method should
392 be used for determination of the amphipods' lipid content. The Smedes-method with a down-scaled protocol for
393 small sample masses is recommended for *H. azteca* (2, 25, 26). The dry mass may also be reported to allow
394 conversion of lipid concentration from a wet to a dry mass basis. Care should be taken that a suitable
395 microbalance is used to determine the small mass of the test organisms.

396 ***H. azteca* weight measurement**

397 54. The average weight of *H. azteca* (determined for each pooled replicate sample) collected at each sampling
398 event should be measured before the chemical (or lipid) analysis is conducted. The use of an appropriate
399 microbalance is required (*cf.* paragraph 53).

400 **DATA AND REPORTING**

401 **Treatment of results**

402 55. The uptake curve of the test chemical is obtained by plotting its concentration in/on *H. azteca* (C_H) in the
 403 uptake phase against time on arithmetic scales. If the curve has reached a plateau, that is, become approximately
 404 asymptotic to the time axis, calculate the steady-state BCF (BCF_{SS}) from:

405

$$406 \quad BCF_{SS} = \frac{C_H \text{ at steady state (mean)}}{C_W \text{ as time weighted average (TWA)}} \quad \text{[Equation 1]}$$

407

408 As the mean exposure concentration (C_W) is influenced by variation over time, the time-weighted average
 409 (TWA) water concentration is more relevant and precise for bioaccumulation studies (especially under semi-
 410 static conditions), even if variation is within the appropriate validity range. The TWA C_W is calculated according
 411 to Annex 5.

412 56. The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_K) is determined as the ratio k_1/k_2 , the two first order kinetic rate
 413 constants. Rate constants k_1 and k_2 and BCF_K can be derived by simultaneously fitting both the uptake and the
 414 depuration phase (*cf.* Equation A 5.6). Alternatively, k_1 and k_2 can be determined sequentially (*cf.* Annex 5). If
 415 the uptake and/or depuration curve is obviously not first order, then more complex models should be employed
 416 (*cf.* references in Annex 5) and advice from a biostatistician sought.

417 ***H. azteca* weight data**

418 57. *H. azteca* wet weights should be determined individually for each of the (triplicate) pooled samples taken at
 419 each sampling interval for test (and control) groups during the uptake and the depuration phases.

420 ***Kinetic and steady-state bioconcentration factors***

421 58. Kinetic and steady-state bioconcentration factors should also be reported relative to a default tissue lipid
 422 content of 3% (w/w), unless there is evidence that the test chemical does not primarily accumulate in lipid. *H.*
 423 *azteca* tissue concentration data, or the BCF, are normalised according to the ratio between 3% (*cf.* paragraph
 424 13) and the actual (individual) mean lipid content (in % wet weight) (*cf.* Annex 5). Lipid normalization of BCF_K
 425 and BCF_{SS} values is required to improve the comparability of the results from different *H. azteca*
 426 bioconcentration tests. Normalization of HYBIT BCF values to a lipid content of 5% allows the comparison
 427 with BCFs from fish bioconcentration tests (2, 5).

428 **Interpretation of results**

429 59. The results should be interpreted with caution where measured concentrations of test solutions occur at levels
 430 near the detection limit of the analytical method.

431 60. Clearly defined uptake and depuration curves are an indication of good quality bioconcentration data. For
 432 the rate constants, the result of a χ^2 goodness-of-fit-test should show a good fit (i.e. small measurement error
 433 percentage (27)) for the bioaccumulation model, so that the rate constants can be considered reliable (*cf.* Annex
 434 5).

435 61. If two or more concentrations are tested, the results of both or all concentrations are used to examine whether
 436 the results are consistent and to show whether there is a concentration dependence of the BCF.

437 62. The resulting BCF_{SS} is questionable if the BCF_K is significantly larger, as this can be an indication that
 438 steady-state has not been reached or loss processes have not been taken into account. In cases where the BCF_{SS}
 439 is very much higher than the BCF_K , the derivation of the uptake and depuration rate constants should be checked
 440 for errors and re-evaluated. A different fitting procedure might improve the estimate of BCF_K (*cf.* Annex 5).

441 **Test Report**

442 63. The test report should include the following information:

443 ***Test chemical***

- 444 • Physical nature and, where relevant, physicochemical properties;

- 445 - Chemical identification data, such as IUPAC or CAS name, CAS number, SMILES or InChI
446 code, structural formula, purity, chemical identity of impurities as appropriate and practically feasible,
447 etc. (including the organic carbon content, if appropriate);
448 - For multi-constituent substances and UVCB (chemical substances of Unknown or Variable
449 composition, Complex reaction products and Biological materials) describe as far as possible the
450 chemical identity of the individual constituents and for each its percentage of the total mass of the
451 substance. Summarize how the analytical method used in the test reflects a measure of the concentration
452 of the substance;
453 - If radiolabelled, the precise position of the labelled atom(s) and the percentage of radioactivity
454 associated with impurities;
455 - Storage conditions of the test chemical and stability of the test chemical under storage conditions
456 if stored prior to use.

457 **Test species**

- 458 • Scientific name, source, any pre-treatment, acclimation (if necessary), age, sex.

459 **Test conditions**

- 460 • Test procedure used (e.g. flow-through or semi-static); application method (e.g. stock solutions or
461 passive dosing systems);
- 462 • Type and characteristics of illumination used and photoperiod(s);
- 463 • Test design (e.g. number and size of test vessels, water volume replacement rate, loading rate, number
464 of replicates, number of *H. azteca* per replicate, number of test concentrations (if applicable), length of
465 uptake and depuration phases, sampling frequency for *H. azteca* and water samples);
- 466 • Method of preparation of stock solutions and frequency of renewal (the solvent, its concentration and
467 its contribution to the organic carbon content of test water should be given, when used) or description
468 of alternative dosing system;
- 469 • The nominal test concentration in the test medium, the means of the measured values and their standard
470 deviations in the test vessels and the method by which these were attained;
- 471 • Source of the dilution water, description of any pre-treatment and water characteristics: pH, hardness,
472 temperature, dissolved oxygen concentration, residual chlorine levels (if measured), total organic
473 carbon, and any other measurements made;
- 474 • Water quality within test vessels, pH, hardness, TOC, temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration
475 including methods used and frequency of measurements;
- 476 • Detailed information on feeding, e.g. type of food(s), source, composition (at least lipid and protein
477 content if possible), amount given and frequency;
- 478 • Information on the treatment of *H. azteca* and water samples, including details of preparation, storage,
479 extraction and analytical procedures (and precision) for the test chemical and lipid content.
- 480 • Date of introduction of test organisms to test solutions and test duration.

481 **Results**

- 482 • Results from any preliminary study performed;
- 483 • Mortality of the *H. azteca* during the study and any observed abnormal behaviour;
- 484 • Information on any adverse effects observed (reduced movement, colour change, water turbidity, ...);
- 485 • Complete description of all analytical procedures employed including limits of detection and
486 quantification, variability and recovery;
- 487 • The lipid content of the *H. azteca*, including the method used, and if derived lipid normalisation factor
488 (L_n , factor to express results relative to a tissue lipid content of 3%);
- 489 • Tabulated *H. azteca* wet weight data, linked to each sample's chemical concentrations (and lipid content,
490 if applicable), both for control and exposure groups (for example using unique identifiers for each
491 sample);
- 492 • Tabulated test chemical concentration data in *H. azteca* (C_H , linked to each individual sample) and water
493 (C_w) (with TWA values for test group, standard deviation and range, if appropriate) for each sampling
494 time (C_H expressed in mg/kg wet weight of whole body or specified tissues thereof e.g. lipid, and C_w in
495 mg/L, dpm/L or Bq/L). C_w values for the control series (background should also be reported);

- 496 • Curves (including all measured data), showing the following (if applicable, concentrations may be
 497 expressed in relation to the whole body and the lipid content normalised to 3% of the amphipods):
- 498 - the uptake and depuration of the test chemical in *H. azteca*
- 499 - the time to steady-state (if achieved)
- 500 - both uptake and depuration phase curves, showing both the data and the fitted model
- 501 • If a visual inspection of a plot shows obvious outliers, a statistically valid outlier test may be applied to
 502 remove spurious data points as well as documented justification for their omission;
- 503 • The steady-state bioconcentration factor, (BCF_{SS}), if steady-state is achieved;
- 504 • The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_K) and derived uptake and depuration rate constants k_1 and k_2 ,
 505 together with the variances/errors in k_2 (slope and intercept) if sequential fitting is used;
- 506 • Confidence limits, standard deviation (as available) and methods of computation/data analysis for each
 507 parameter for each concentration of test chemical used;
- 508 • Any information concerning metabolites and their accumulation;
- 509 • Anything unusual about the test, any deviation from these procedures, and any other relevant
 510 information;
- 511 • A summary table of relevant measured and calculated data, as exemplified below:

512 **Table 1: Exemplary table to list uptake and depuration rate constants and bioconcentration factors of a HYBIT**
 513 **study**

k_1 (overall uptake rate constant; $L\ kg^{-1}\ day^{-1}$)	Insert Value (95% CI) ⁽¹⁾
k_2 (overall depuration rate constant; day^{-1})	Insert Value (95% CI) ⁽¹⁾
C_H (chemical concentration in <i>H. azteca</i> at steady-state ⁽¹⁾ ; $mg\ kg^{-1}$)	Insert Value \pm SD ⁽²⁾
C_w (chemical concentration in the water; $mg\ L^{-1}$)	Insert Value \pm SD ⁽²⁾
L_n (lipid normalisation factor)	Insert Value \pm SD ⁽²⁾
BCF_{SS} (steady-state BCF; $L\ kg^{-1}$)	Insert Value \pm SD ⁽²⁾
BCF_{SSL} (lipid normalised steady-state BCF; $L\ kg^{-1}$)	Insert Value \pm SD ⁽²⁾
BCF_K (kinetic BCF; $L\ kg^{-1}$)	Insert Value (95% CI) ⁽¹⁾
BCF_{KL} (lipid normalised kinetic BCF; $L\ kg^{-1}$)	Insert Value

514 (1) CI: confidence interval (where possible to estimate)

515 (2) SD: Standard deviation (where possible to estimate)

516

517 64. Results reported as “not detected/quantified at the limit of detection/quantification” by pre-test method
 518 development and experimental design should be avoided, since such results cannot be used for rate constant
 519 calculations.

520

521 REFERENCES

- 522 1. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD Guidelines
523 for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris,
524 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en>.
- 525 2. Schlechtriem C, Kampe S, Bruckert HJ, Bischof I, Ebersbach I, Kosfeld V, Kotthoff M, Schäfers C,
526 L'Haridon J (2019), Bioconcentration studies with the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella azteca*: are the
527 results predictive of bioconcentration in fish? *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 26:1628–1641.
528 doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3677-4.
- 529 3. Connell DW (1988), Bioaccumulation behaviour of persistent chemicals with aquatic organisms. *Rev*
530 *Environ Contam Toxicol* 102:117-156.
- 531 4. Arts MT, Ferguson ME, Glozier NE, Robarts RD, Donald DB (1995), Spatial and temporal variability in
532 lipid dynamics of common amphipods: assessing the potential for uptake of lipophilic contaminants.
533 *Ecotoxicology* 4(2):91-113.
- 534 5. Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schlechtriem C (2020),
535 Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro
536 depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyalella*
537 *azteca*. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 39(9):1813–1825. Available from: DOI: 10.1002/etc.4791.
- 538 6. OECD (1995), Test No. 105: Water Solubility, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1,
539 OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069589-en>.
- 540 7. OECD (1995), Test No. 107: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method, OECD
541 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
542 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069626-en>.
- 543 8. OECD (2022), Test No. 117: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), HPLC Method, OECD Guidelines
544 for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
545 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069824-en>.
- 546 9. OECD (2022), Test No. 123: Partition Coefficient (1-Octanol/Water): Slow-Stirring Method, OECD
547 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
548 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264015845-en>.
- 549 10. OECD (2004), Test No. 111: Hydrolysis as a Function of pH, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of
550 Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069701-en>.
- 551 11. OECD (1997), Guidance Document on Direct Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water, OECD Series
552 on Testing and Assessment, No. 7, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264078000-en>.
- 553 12. OECD (1995), Test No. 115: Surface Tension of Aqueous Solutions, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of
554 Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069787-en>.
- 555 13. OECD (2006), Test No. 104: Vapour Pressure, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1,
556 OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069565-en>.
- 557 14. OECD (1992), Test No. 301: Ready Biodegradability, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals,
558 Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264070349-en>.
- 559 15. OECD (2014), Test No. 310: Ready Biodegradability - CO₂ in sealed vessels (Headspace Test), OECD
560 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris,
561 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264224506-en>.
- 562 16. OECD (2004), Test No. 202: *Daphnia sp.* Acute Immobilisation Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing
563 of Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069947-en>.
- 564 17. OECD (2012), Test No. 211: *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of
565 Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185203-en>.

- 567 18. OECD (2019), Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures,
568 OECD Series on Testing and Assessment No. 23, (Second Edition), OECD Publishing, Paris,
569 <https://doi.org/10.1787/0ed2f88e-en>.
- 570 19. Parkerton TF, Arnot JA, Weisbrod AV, Russom C, Hoke RA, Woodburn K, Traas T, Bonnell M,
571 Burkhard LP, Lampi MA (2008), Guidance for evaluating in vivo fish bioaccumulation data. *Integr*
572 *Environ Assess Manag* 4:139-155. https://doi.org/10.1897/IEAM_2007-057.1
- 573 20. Böhm L, Düring RA, Schlechtriem C (2017), Can solid-phase microextraction replace solvent extraction
574 for water analysis in fish bioconcentration studies? *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 36:2887-
575 2894.
- 576 21. Böhm L, Schlechtriem C, Düring RA (2016), Sorption of highly hydrophobic chemicals to organic matter
577 relevant for fish bioconcentration studies. *Environmental Science & Technology* 50(15):8316–8323.
578 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b01778>
- 579 22. Schlechtriem C, Böhm L, Bebon R, Bruckert HJ, Düring RA (2017), Fish bioconcentration studies with
580 column-generated analyte concentrations of highly hydrophobic organic chemicals. *Environ Toxicol*
581 *Chem*;36(4):906–16.
- 582 23. Wang H, Birch H, Sjöholm KK, Xia X, Mayer P (2022), In-tube passive dosing of hydrophobic organic
583 chemicals: Controlling freely dissolved concentrations in flow-through and large-volume experiments.
584 *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, 9(4):339-344. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00158>
- 585 24. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalella azteca*: A standard
586 artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. *Arch Env Contam Toxicol*. 30(3):356–363.
- 587 25. Smedes F (1999), Determination of total lipid using non-chlorinated solvents. *Analyst* 124(11):1711–8.
588 Available from: <http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=a905904k>
- 589 26. Schlechtriem C, Fliedner A, Schäfers C (2012), Determination of lipid content in fish samples from
590 bioaccumulation studies: Contributions to the revision of guideline OECD 305. *Environ Sci Eur*
591 24(4):1-7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-13>
- 592 27. OECD (2006), OECD Environmental Health and Safety Publications Series on Testing and Assessment
593 No. 54, Current approaches in the statistical analysis of ecotoxicity data: a guidance to application.
594 ENV/JM/MONO(2006)18. OECD Publishing, Paris, France.

595

596 **ANNEX 1: DEFINITIONS AND UNITS**

597 **BCF**: The bioconcentration factor (BCF or K_B) at any time during the uptake phase of this accumulation test is
 598 the concentration of test chemical in/on *H. azteca* (C_H as mg/kg) divided by the concentration of the
 599 chemical in the surrounding medium (C_w as mg/L). BCF is expressed in $L \cdot kg^{-1}$. Please note that corrections
 600 for a standard lipid content are not accounted for.

601 **BCF_K**: The kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_K) is the ratio of the uptake rate constant, k_1 , to the depuration
 602 rate constant, k_2 (i.e. k_1/k_2 – cf. respective definitions). In principle the value should be comparable to the
 603 BCF_{SS} (cf. respective definition), but deviations may occur if steady-state was uncertain.

604 **BCF_{KL}**: The lipid normalised kinetic bioconcentration factor (BCF_{KL}) is normalised to *H. azteca* tissue with a
 605 3% lipid content.

606 **BCF_{SS}**: The steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF_{SS}) does not change significantly over a prolonged period
 607 of time, the concentration of the test chemical in the surrounding medium being constant during this period
 608 of time (cf. definition of steady-state).

609 **BCF_{SSL}**: The lipid normalised steady-state bioconcentration factor (BCF_{SSL}) is normalised to *H. azteca* tissue
 610 with a 3% lipid content.

611 **Bioaccumulation**: Bioaccumulation is generally referred to as a process in which the chemical concentration in
 612 an organism achieves a level that exceeds that in the respiratory medium (e.g., water for a fish or air for a
 613 mammal), the diet, or both (1).

614 **Bioconcentration**: Bioconcentration is the increase in concentration of the test chemical in or on an organism
 615 (or specified tissues thereof) relative to the concentration of test chemical in the surrounding medium.

616 **Depuration**: The depuration or post-exposure (loss) phase is the time, following the transfer of the test
 617 *H. azteca* from a medium containing test chemical to a medium free of that chemical, during which the
 618 depuration (or the net loss) of the chemical from the test *H. azteca* (or specified tissue thereof) is studied.

619 **DOC**: Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is a measure of the concentration of carbon originating from dissolved
 620 organic sources in the test media.

621 **Exposure phase**: cf. 'Uptake phase'.

622 **HCB**: Hexachlorobenzene is an organochloride with the molecular formula C_6Cl_6 .

623 **k₁**: The uptake rate constant (k_1) is the numerical value defining the rate of increase in the concentration of test
 624 chemical in/on test *H. azteca* (or specified tissues thereof) when the *H. azteca* are exposed to that chemical
 625 (k_1 is expressed in $L \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot day^{-1}$).

626 **k₂**: The depuration (loss) rate constant (k_2) is the numerical value defining the rate of reduction in the
 627 concentration of the test chemical in the test *H. azteca* (or specified tissues thereof) following the transfer
 628 of the test *H. azteca* from a medium containing the test chemical to a medium free of that chemical (k_2 is
 629 expressed in day^{-1}).

630 **K_{OW}**: The octanol-water partition coefficient (K_{OW}) is the ratio of a chemical's solubility in n-octanol and
 631 water at equilibrium (OECD Guidelines 107 (2), 117 (3), 123 (4)); also expressed as P_{OW} . The logarithm of
 632 K_{OW} is used as an indication of a chemical's potential for bioconcentration by aquatic organisms.

633 **LC₅₀**: Lethal Concentration 50. The exposure concentration of a toxic substance lethal to half of the test
 634 animals.

635 **LOQ**: limit of quantitation

636 **MN**: Manufactured nanomaterials.

637 **NOEC**: No observed effect concentration

638 **SD**: Standard deviation

639 **SPME:** Solid-phase microextraction (SPME) is a solvent-free analytical technique developed for dilute
640 systems. In this method, a polymercoated fiber is exposed to the gas or liquid phase containing the analyte
641 of interest. Generally, a minimum analysis time is imposed so that equilibrium conditions are established
642 between the solid and fluid phases, with respect to the measured species. Subsequently the concentration of
643 the analyte of interest can be determined directly from the fiber or after extracting it from the fiber into a
644 solvent, depending on the determination technique.

645 **Steady-state:** A steady-state is reached in the plot of test chemical in *H. azteca* (C_H) against time when the
646 curve becomes parallel to the time axis and three successive analyses of C_H within an appropriate time
647 spacing are within $\pm 20\%$ of each other, and there are no significant increases among the three sampling
648 periods. When pooled samples are analysed at least three successive analyses are required. For test
649 chemicals which are taken up slowly the intervals need to be adjusted accordingly.

650 **TOC:** Total organic carbon (TOC) is a measure of the concentration of carbon originating from all organic
651 sources in the test media, including particulate and dissolved sources.

652 **TRR:** Total radioactive residues.

653 **TWA:** time-weighted average (water concentration)

654 **Uptake phase:** The exposure or uptake phase is the time during which the *H. azteca* are exposed to the test
655 chemical.

656 **UVCB:** Chemical substances of unknown or variable composition, complex reaction products and biological
657 materials.

658

659 **References:**

- 660 1. Gobas FA, de Wolf W, Burkhard LP, Verbruggen E, Plotzke K. 2009, Revisiting bioaccumulation
661 criteria for POPs and PBT assessments. *Integr Environ Assess Manag* 5:624–637.
- 662 2. OECD (1995), Test No. 107: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water): Shake Flask Method, OECD
663 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
664 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069626-en>.
- 665 3. OECD (2022), Test No. 117: Partition Coefficient (n-octanol/water), HPLC Method, OECD Guidelines
666 for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
667 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264069824-en>.
- 668 4. OECD (2022), Test No. 123: Partition Coefficient (1-Octanol/Water): Slow-Stirring Method, OECD
669 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 1, OECD Publishing, Paris,
670 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264015845-en>.

671

672

673

674 **ANNEX 2: PROPOSAL FOR A LABORATORY HUSBANDRY METHOD FOR *HYALELLA AZTECA***

675 The suggested procedure for the laboratory husbandry of *H. azteca* is based on the protocol of Borgmann,
 676 1996 (1). During husbandry, the amphipods are kept in culture medium in 2 L beakers (e.g. polypropylene).
 677 The culture medium is based on an established method (1) and contains the essential mineral nutrients (Table
 678 A2-1). For the preparation, 500-fold concentrated stock solutions of the minerals (solution 1-3, cf. Table A2-1)
 679 are used. The 2 L beakers are filled up with holding and dilution water or deionized water and the three
 680 solutions are added (each 4 ml).

681 **Table A2-1: Culture medium *H. azteca* (1)**

		Culture medium [mM]	Stock solution [mM]	Stock solution [g/L]
Solution 1	CaCl ₂	1	500	73.51
	NaBr	0.01	5	0.5145
	KCl	0.05	25	1.864
Solution 2	NaHCO ₃	1	500	42.0
Solution 3	MgSO ₄	0.25	125	30.81

682

683 The use of other culture media (e.g. Elendt M4) for laboratory husbandry of *H. azteca* is possible as long as a
 684 comparable reproduction and health status of the animals is ensured. A detailed description of the culture
 685 conditions needs to be included in the test report.

686 General holding conditions:

687

688 **Table A 2-2: Temperature, aeration and light conditions during *H. azteca* husbandry**

Water temperature	23 ± 2°C
Aeration	No additional aeration during husbandry
Illuminance	500 to 1000 lux
Light quality	Wide-spectrum fluorescent lights, 840 K
Photoperiod	16 h light : 8 h dark
Refugium for amphipods	Mesh of cotton gauze or nylon mesh (5 x 5 cm slices)
Feeding	Two times per week with ground fish food flakes; once per week with 4:1 ground fish food flakes:spirulina powder

689

690 *H. azteca* are fed with commercial fish flakes, which have been ground to fine powder using a porcelain mortar
 691 or similar. Feeding is carried out 2 times per week by adding 20 - 30 mg of the fish flakes powder to each of
 692 the beakers. Once per week the same amount containing a mixture of 4:1 ground fish flakes and spirulina
 693 powder is added to each beaker. To dip the food under the water surface, it is sprayed with holding and
 694 dilution water by using a manual pump spray or similar. In addition, every beaker contains an approximately 5
 695 x 5 cm piece of gauze which serves as place of refuge. Since the gauze is gradually consumed by *H. azteca*, the
 696 availability should be checked weekly and the gauze replaced if needed. For the establishment of a new
 697 laboratory husbandry, at least 150 – 200 adult amphipods are needed. These amphipods are subdivided to at
 698 least 5 beakers and form the stock culture.

699 Each beaker contains 15 male and 15 female *H. azteca* each, which are sieved weekly with two Artemia sieves
 700 (900 µm and 180 µm) to separate the juvenile amphipods. The juveniles collected from 5 beakers form a new
 701 group of offspring which is placed in a 2 L beaker containing culture medium. *H. azteca* are fed with ground
 702 commercial fish flakes following the same protocol as applied for maintaining the stock culture. When the
 703 offspring reach the age of 7-9 weeks, new groups of stock culture (containing 15 male and 15 female *H.*
 704 *azteca*) can be formed until at least 20 groups (beakers) are installed, which are required to obtain a constant
 705 supply of *H. azteca* for bioconcentration studies. The number of adult amphipods per beaker of the stock
 706 culture is checked monthly. Missing amphipods should be replaced by young adult amphipods, male or female
 707 as required, taken from the offspring (at least 2 months old).

708 In the stock culture, culture medium is replaced on a weekly basis, when juvenile and adult amphipods are
 709 separated by sieving. The groups of offspring are sieved (900 µm and 180 µm) once every three to four weeks
 710 during media replacement to remove juvenile amphipods. The formation of a moderate biofilm in the beakers

711 has a positive effect on the culture conditions and beakers should thus be used without cleaning for about a
712 month.

713 **References:**

714 1. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalella azteca*: A standard
715 artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. Arch Env Contam Toxicol. 30(3):356–363.

716

DRAFT

717 **ANNEX 3: INFORMATION ON ACCEPTABLE DILUTION AND TEST WATER**

718 The culture medium described in Borgmann, 1996 (1) should be used for a prolonged *H. azteca* culture. For
 719 bioconcentration tests, a medium switch can be performed if no adverse effects occur after the medium switch.

720 The following water types were successfully applied as dilution water in bioconcentration studies with *H.*
 721 *azteca*:

- 722 • De-chlorinated, copper reduced, aerated tap water
- 723 • Borgmann medium (1) (cf. Annex 2)
- 724 • Reconstituted water, e.g., according to EN ISO 6341 (including NaBr)
- 725 • Elendt M4 medium (including NaBr)

726

727 Certain water quality parameters should not be exceeded, similarly to the OECD TG 305 (2), as listed in Table
 728 A3-1 (to be monitored for natural water and tapwater).

Table A3-1: Recommended maximum concentrations of selected water parameters as given in OECD TG 305 (2)

Substance	Limit concentration
Particulate matter	5 mg/L
Total organic carbon	2 mg/L
Un-ionised ammonia	1 µg/L
Residual chlorine	10 µg/L
Total organophosphorous pesticides	50 ng/L
Total organochlorine pesticides plus polychlorinated biphenyls	50 ng/L
Total organic chlorine	25 ng/L
Aluminium	1 µg/L
Arsenic	1 µg/L
Chromium	1 µg/L
Cobalt	1 µg/L
Copper	1 µg/L
Iron	1 µg/L
Lead	1 µg/L
Nickel	1 µg/L
Zinc	1 µg/L
Cadmium	100 ng/L
Mercury	100 ng/L
Silver	100 ng/L

729

730 **References:**

- 731 1. Borgmann U (1996), Systematic analysis of aqueous ion requirements of *Hyalomma azteca*: A standard
 732 artificial medium including the essential bromide ion. Arch Env Contam Toxicol. 30(3):356–363.
 733
- 734 2. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD
 735 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris,
 736 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en>.

737

738 ANNEX 4: EXEMPLARY SAMPLING SCHEDULE

739 Table A4-1: Exemplary sampling schedule for a semi-static exposure test

	Hours	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, tissue analysis	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, lipid analysis	Test medium samples (fresh)*	Test medium samples (aged)*
Uptake phase	0	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i> **	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i> **	2 x 10 mL	
	1	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	3	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	6	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	24	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	48	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	72	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
Depuration phase	1 (73)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	
	3 (75)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	6 (78)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>			
	24 (96)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	48 (120)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL	2 x 10 mL
	72 (144)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
Summary:		13 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 780 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 30 <i>H.a.</i> = 90 <i>H.a.</i>	12 x 10 mL	12 x 10 mL
		780 <i>H.a.</i> + 90 <i>H.a.</i> = 870 <i>H.a.</i>			
		870 <i>H.a.</i> x 1.2*** = 1044 <i>H.a.</i>			

740 *Water concentration is checked in the aged and fresh medium prior to and after medium exchange,
741 respectively.

742 ** *H. azteca* at t=0 are collected from the batch of male amphipods just before the amphipods are placed in the
743 test vessel.

744 ***further amphipods (approx. 20%) should be added to compensate potential losses.

745

746

747

748

749

750 **Table A4-2: Exemplary sampling schedule for a flow-through exposure test**

	Hours	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, tissue analysis	<i>H. azteca</i> samples, lipid analysis	Test medium samples
Uptake phase	0	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i> *	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i> *	2 x 10 mL
	1	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	3	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	6	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	24	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	48	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	72	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i>	2 x 10 mL
Depuration phase	1 (73)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	3 (75)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	6 (78)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		
	24 (96)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	48 (120)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>		2 x 10 mL
	72 (144)	3 x 20 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 10 <i>H.a.</i>	2 x 10 mL
Summary		13 x 60 <i>H.a.</i> = 780 <i>H.a.</i>	3 x 30 <i>H.a.</i> = 90 <i>H.a.</i>	14 x 10 mL
		780 <i>H.a.</i> + 90 <i>H.a.</i> = 870 <i>H.a.</i>		
		870 <i>H.a.</i> x 1.2** = 1044 <i>H.a.</i>		

751 * *H. azteca* at t=0 are collected from the batch of male amphipods just before amphipods are placed in the test
752 vessel.

753 **further amphipods (approx. 20%) should be added to compensate potential losses.

754

755

756

757 ANNEX 5: CALCULATIONS

758 The bioconcentration factor (BCF) is determined based on the measured test item concentrations in *H. azteca*
 759 and in water samples collected during the uptake phase as well as during the depuration phase of the study.
 760 The method used for BCF determination in *H. azteca* is largely based on the method described for fish in
 761 Annex 5 of the OECD test guideline 305 (1). Detailed assumptions for the applied bioconcentration model can
 762 be found there. In contrast to the BCF determination in fish according to OECD TG 305, growth can be
 763 neglected in *H. azteca* BCF calculation due to the short duration of the studies and the use of adult *H. azteca* in
 764 the tests.

765 In a standard BCF test uptake and depuration can be described in terms of two first order kinetic processes:

$$766 \text{Rate of uptake} = k_1 * C_w \quad \text{[Equation A5.1]}$$

$$767 \text{Overall depuration rate} = k_2 * C_H \quad \text{[Equation A5.2]}$$

768

770 At steady-state, assuming growth and metabolism are negligible, the rate of uptake equals the rate of
 771 depuration, and so combining Equation A5.1 and Equation A5.2 gives the following relationship:

$$772 BCF = \frac{C_H @ SS}{C_w @ SS} = \frac{k_1}{k_2} \quad \text{[Equation A5.3]}$$

773

774 Where

775 $C_H @ SS$ = Concentration in *H. azteca* tissue at steady-state (mg kg⁻¹ wet weight).

776 $C_w @ SS$ = Concentration in water at steady-state (mg L⁻¹).

777

778 The ratio of k_1/k_2 is known as the kinetic BCF (BCF_K) and should be equal to the steady-state BCF (BCF_{SS})
 779 obtained from the ratio of the steady-state concentration in *H. azteca* tissue to that in water, but deviations may
 780 occur if steady-state was uncertain. However, as k_1 and k_2 are constants, steady-state does not need to be
 781 reached to derive a BCF_K .

782 **Calculation: Sequential method: determination of depuration (loss) rate constant k_2**

783 Most bioconcentration data have been assumed to be “reasonably” well described by a simple two-
 784 compartment/two-parameter model, as indicated by the rectilinear curve which approximates to the points for
 785 concentrations in fish (on a natural logarithmic (ln) scale), during the depuration phase.

786 Note that deviations from a straight line may indicate a more complex depuration pattern than first order
 787 kinetics. The graphical method may be applied for resolving types of depuration deviating from first order
 788 kinetics. To calculate k_2 for multiple time (sampling) points, perform a linear regression of logarithmized (ln)
 789 concentrations versus time. The slope of the regression line is an estimate of the depuration rate constant k_2 (2).
 790 From the intercept the average concentration in the fish at the start of the depuration phase ($C_{0,d}$; which equals
 791 the average concentration in *H. azteca* tissue at the end of the uptake phase) can easily be calculated (including
 792 error margins) (2).

$$793 C_{0,d} = e^{\text{intercept}} \quad \text{[Equation A5.4]}$$

794

795 **Sequential method: determination of uptake rate constant k_1**

796 To find a value for k_1 given a set of sequential time concentration data for the uptake phase, use a computer
 797 programme to fit the following model:

$$798 C_H(t) = C_w(t) * \frac{k_1}{k_2} * (1 - e^{-k_2 * t}) \quad \text{[Equation A5.5]}$$

799 Where k_2 is given by the previous calculation, $C_H(t)$ and $C_w(t)$ are the concentrations in *H. azteca* tissue and
 800 water, respectively, at time t .

801

802 Simultaneous method: determination of uptake and depuration rate constants

803 Simultaneous method for the calculation of uptake and depuration (loss) rate constants. Computer programmes
804 can be used to find values for k_1 and k_2 given a set of sequential time concentration data and the model:

805

$$806 \quad C_H = C_w * \frac{k_1}{k_2} * (1 - e^{-k_2 * t}) \quad 0 < t < t_c \quad \text{[Equation A5.6]}$$

$$807 \quad C_H = C_w * \frac{k_1}{k_2} * (e^{-k_2 (t - t_c)} - e^{-k_2 t}) \quad t > t_c \quad \text{[Equation A5.7]}$$

808 Where

809 t_c = time at the end of the uptake phase

810

811 This approach directly provides standard errors for the estimates of k_1 and k_2 . When k_1/k_2 is substituted by
812 BCF (*cf.* Equation A5.3) in Equation A5.6 and Equation A5.7, the standard error and 95% CI of the BCF can
813 be estimated as well. This is especially useful when comparing different estimates due to data transformation.
814 The dependent variable (*H. azteca* tissue concentration) can be fitted with or without ln transformation, and the
815 resulting BCF uncertainty can be evaluated.

816 The guidance document to OECD TG 305 (3) proposes a package for the programming software R that
817 enables such an estimation. Information on the application of the R-package and interpretation of the
818 calculated results can be obtained from the OECD web page
819 (<https://www.oecd.org/chemicalsafety/testing/section-3-environmental-fate-behaviour-software-tg-305.htm>
820 link valid June 2023).

821 As a strong correlation exists between the two parameters k_1 and k_2 if estimated simultaneously, it may be
822 advisable first to calculate k_2 from the depuration data only (see above); k_2 in most cases can be estimated from
823 the depuration curve with relatively high precision. k_1 can be subsequently calculated from the uptake data
824 using non-linear regression. It is advised to use the same data transformation when fitting sequentially.

825 It should be realised that the uncertainty in the k_2 estimate is not used properly in the bioaccumulation model
826 when this is essentially regarded as constant when fitting k_1 in the sequential fit method. The resulting BCF
827 uncertainty will therefore be different between the simultaneous and sequential fitting methods.

828 Visual inspection of the resulting slopes when plotted against the measured sample point data can be used to
829 assess goodness of fit. If it turns out that this method has given a poor estimate for k_1 then the simultaneous
830 approach to calculate k_1 and k_2 can be applied. Again, the fitted model should be compared against the plotted
831 measured data for visual inspection of goodness of fit and the resulting parameter estimates for k_1 , k_2 and
832 resulting BCF and their standard errors and/or confidence intervals should be compared between different
833 types of fit.

834 If the goodness of fit is poor this may be an indication that first order kinetics do not apply and other more
835 complex models should be employed. In this case advice from a biostatistician should be sought.

836

837 Calculation of the TWA (flow-through exposure)

838 In the case water samples were drawn in two or more unequal time intervals, time-weighted average (TWA)
839 concentrations of the test chemical in the test solutions should be determined to account for the variation in
840 time between samplings (*i.e.* accounting for the time interval represented by a pair of samples. To this end,
841 weighted average concentrations are calculated by multiplying the average of two subsequently measured
842 concentrations by the time period (h) that elapsed between both measurements.

843

844 Afterwards all weighted average concentrations are then summed up and divided by the total time (h) of the
 845 uptake period resulting in the TWA concentration using the following equation described in OECD GD to
 846 OECD TG 305 (3):

$$C_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(C_{start,i} + C_{end,i})}{2} w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

847 [Equation A5.8]

848 Where:

849 C_w is the time-weighted arithmetic mean concentration

850 n is the number of sampling periods

851 $C_{start,i}$ is the concentration of the fresh test solution of period i

852 $C_{end,i}$ is the concentration of the old solution of period i

853 w_i is time $t_i - t_{i-1}$, the number of hours or days in the interval between measurements of concentration

854

855 Calculation of the TWA (semi-static exposure)

856 In case of a semi-static exposure scenario, the concentration of the test chemical can decline over the period
 857 between medium renewals. A TWA for this scenario can be calculated according to the method described in
 858 Annex 6 of the OECD TG 211 (4). The following equation is applied for the measured concentrations at start
 859 and end of a given sampling interval:

$$860 \text{ Area} = \frac{\text{Conc}_0 - \text{Conc}_1}{\ln(\text{Conc}_0) - \ln(\text{Conc}_1)} \times \text{days} \quad [Equation A5.9]$$

861 Where:

862 Conc_0 is the measured concentration at the start of a given renewal interval

863 Conc_1 is the measured concentration at the end of given renewal interval

864 $\ln(\text{Conc}_0)$ is the natural logarithm of Conc_0

865 $\ln(\text{Conc}_1)$ is the natural logarithm of Conc_1

866 Days is the number of days in the renewal interval

867 Area is the area under the exponential curve for a given renewal interval

868 The time-weighted average (TWA) is the sum of all areas (“Total Area”) divided by the sum of all days in all
 869 renewal intervals.

870

871 References:

- 872 1. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD
 873 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris,
 874 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en>.
 875 2. Kristensen, P, Nyholm, N (1987), Bioaccumulation of chemical substances in fish: the flow-through
 876 method - Ring Test Programme, 1984-1985 Final report, CEC, March 1987.
 877 3. OECD (2017), Guidance Document on Aspects of OECD TG 305 on Fish Bioaccumulation, OECD
 878 Environment, Health and Safety Publications, Series on Testing & Assessment No. 264,
 879 ENV/JM/MONO(2017)16, 19-Jul-2017.

- 880 4. OECD (2012), Test No. 211: *Daphnia magna* Reproduction Test, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of
881 Chemicals, Section 2, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185203-en>).

DRAFT

882 **ANNEX 6: LIPID DETERMINATION IN *H. AZTECA***

883 For determination of the lipid content of the test organisms, the lipid extraction method of Smedes (1) adapted
884 by Schlechtriem et al. (2) should be used.

885 Material needed:

- 886 • Small glass vials (7 ml, one glass per sample, e.g. liquid scintillation vials)
- 887 • drying cabinet
- 888 • desiccator
- 889 • centrifuge
- 890 • glass test tubes (at least 10 ml)
- 891 • homogeniser with Teflon pestle
- 892 • Vortex generator
- 893 • Pasteur pipette
- 894 • Cyclohexane
- 895 • Isopropanol
- 896 • N₂ for evaporating

897 The small glass vials are stored over night at 75°C in a drying cabinet, placed in a desiccator for additional
898 30 min and weighted (empty). They are used to pool the lipid extract.

899 The amphipods are transferred in glass test tubes. After 200 µl of solution 1 (Table A6-1) are added to the
900 tube, the amphipods are homogenised for about 1 min with a homogeniser with Teflon pestle. The pestle is
901 rinsed with 4.3 ml solution 1, which are also collected in the tube. After 2.75 ml of distilled water are added,
902 the tube is vortexed and centrifuged (12 min, Relative Centrifugal Force approx. 500 x g). The organic phase is
903 transferred into the small glass vial using a Pasteur pipette.

904 After 2.5 ml of solution 2 (Table A6-1) have been added to the remaining aqueous phase, the tube is vortexed
905 again and centrifuged under the same conditions. The organic phase is pooled with the first one and evaporated
906 with nitrogen until only the lipid phase is left. The extract in the glass vial is stored over night at 75°C in a
907 drying cabinet, placed in a desiccator for additional 30 min and weighted again. The net dry weight is
908 determined with a microbalance (precision 0.001 mg) for a total lipid content by weight.

909
910 **Table A6-1: Working solutions for lipid extraction**

Composition	
Solution 1	Cyclohexane / Isopropanol 5:4 (v/v)
Solution 2	Cyclohexane / Isopropanol 87:13 (v/v)

911

912 **References:**

913 1. Smedes F (1999), Determination of total lipid using non-chlorinated solvents. *Analyst* 124(11):1711–8.
914 Available from: <http://xlink.rsc.org/?DOI=a905904k>

915 2. Schlechtriem C, Flidner A, Schäfers C (2012), Determination of lipid content in fish samples from
916 bioaccumulation studies: Contributions to the revision of guideline OECD 305. *Environ Sci Eur* 24(4):1
917 7. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/2190-4715-24-13>

918

919 **ANNEX 7: SEXING OF *H. AZTECA***

920 To collect male or female amphipods, adult *H. azteca* (older than 2 months) are transferred into a petri dish
 921 and examined under a stereomicroscope (magnification factor: 6 – 10 x). Eggs are visible in the marsupium at
 922 the ventral side of the female. The specific sexual characteristics of male *H. azteca* are gnathopods located on
 923 the front body (Figure A7-1).

924 During the mating process, the male amphipod is attached to the dorsal side of the female amphipod. Female
 925 and male amphipods can be separated by using spring steel tweezers. Generally, only healthy amphipods,
 926 which show a normal behaviour, are selected. Test organisms which are used in bioconcentration studies
 927 should be 7 - 9 weeks old. An Artemia sieve of wider mesh size (900 µm) is used to separate larger amphipods
 928 and to obtain test organisms of similar size. The male amphipods are collected, counted, and transferred into
 929 beakers (2 L polypropylene) filled with a mix of culture medium (50%) and holding and dilution water (50%)
 930 to allow gradual adaptation of the amphipods to the test water. Instead of the cotton gauze used during
 931 husbandry, steel mesh shelters are used during the study and are placed in the beakers to provide a sufficiently
 932 dimensioned place of refuge for the dense group of *H. azteca*. However, each beaker should not contain more
 933 than 130 to 150 amphipods to avoid competitive behaviour and cannibalism. The selected test organisms will
 934 remain in the collection beakers until the start of the test. The holding conditions (feeding, light, temperature)
 935 during this phase are in agreement with the husbandry conditions described above (*cf.* Annex 2). The sexing
 936 should take place 1 - 2 days before test start. If the time period between separating and test start is longer than
 937 2 days, the amount of selected male amphipods should be re-counted. If necessary, amphipod losses should be
 938 replaced by additional male amphipods. Materials required for amphipod sexing are described in Table A7-1.



939
 940 **Figure A7-1: Sexual dimorphism in *H. azteca*.** Arrows indicate sex-specific characteristics. A: female *H.*
 941 *azteca* with eggs; B: male *H. azteca* with gnathopods

942 **MATERIAL LIST - SEXING**943 **Table A7-1: Compilation of materials needed for *H. azteca* sexing**

Article	Remarks
Stereomicroscope	
Spring steel tweezer	
Tip-cut plastic Pasteur pipets	
Mesh of stainless steel	three parts per 20 L test aquarium required
2 L polypropylen beaker	
Food TetraMin® (ground fish food flakes)	
Petri dishes	

944

945

946 **ANNEX 8: PREPARATION OF TEST FOOD (DECOTAB)**

947 A pre-test investigating the suitability of different food items was conducted to find the most appropriate
948 feeding protocol for *H. azteca* in BCF studies (1). In this study, the filter disc method (2) was compared to
949 agar-bound feed, so called Decotabs, which were prepared according to Kampfraath et al. (2012) (3). Decotab
950 feeding is the recommended feeding method for HYBIT tests because feeding the agar-agar cubes enriched
951 with ground fish food flakes ensures optimal nutrient supply to the amphipods while algal growth in the test
952 system remains low. Decotabs are readily accepted by *H. azteca*.

953
954 The preparation of the Decotabs should be done as follows:
955

956 **Material:**

- 957 • A silicone tray with wells that provide a volume of approx. 1 mL (here we use a cubic shape)
 - 958 • 1 mL 2% agar solution per cube
 - 959 • 75 mg finely ground fish food per cube
- 960

961 An appropriate volume of a 2% agar-agar solution in ultra-pure H₂O solution is boiled on a heated plate under
962 stirring until the agar-agar has dissolved completely. After a short cool-down phase, ground fish food flakes
963 are added to the solution equivalent to 75 mg ground fish food per mL. The suspension is stirred and poured
964 into the wells of the silicone tray. The agar-agar cubes solidify rapidly. The silicone tray is then sealed with a
965 plastic bag to avoid evaporation and stored at 4°C. The cubes will start to deteriorate after 8-10 days and
966 should therefore be used within 7 days. Alternatively, the cubes can be stored at -20°C for a prolonged time
967 (approx. 2 - 4 weeks, should be evaluated by each lab). Prior to feeding, the frozen cubes should have been
968 thawed thoroughly.

969

970

971

972 **References:**

- 973 1. Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schlechtriem C (2020),
974 Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro
975 depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyaella*
976 *azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 39(9):1813–1825. Available from: DOI: 10.1002/etc.4791.
977
- 978 2. Schlechtriem C, Kampe S, Bruckert HJ, Bischof I, Ebersbach I, Kosfeld V, Kotthoff M, Schäfers C,
979 L'Haridon J (2019), Bioconcentration studies with the freshwater amphipod *Hyaella azteca*: are the
980 results predictive of bioconcentration in fish? Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:1628–1641.
981 doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3677-4.
982
- 983 3. Kampfraath AA, Hunting ER, Mulder C, Breure AM, Gessner MO, Kraak MHS, Admiraal W (2012),
984 DECOTAB: a multipurpose standard substrate to assess effects of litter quality on microbial
985 decomposition and invertebrate consumption. Freshw Sci 31(4):1156–62. Available from:
986 <http://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1899/12-075.1>.

987

988

989

990

991 **ANNEX 9: PROPOSAL FOR A TOXICITY TEST AS PRELIMINARY EXPERIMENT FOR HYBIT**
 992 **TESTS**

993 Since toxic effects are not desired and should be avoided in bioconcentration studies (1), it is important to
 994 select an exposure concentration that does not cause adverse effects in the test species.
 995 Sufficient information on the toxicity of the test chemical towards aquatic invertebrates is not always available.
 996 Therefore, an appropriate exposure concentration has to be determined prior to the bioconcentration test in this
 997 case. The following paragraphs describe a proposal for such an evaluation in the style of an acute toxicity test
 998 with the endpoint mortality.
 999 A semi-static exposure scenario is proposed. However, if the chemical characteristics do not allow for a semi-
 1000 static exposure, the test setup may have to be changed to a flow-through one.

1001
 1002

1003 **Material:**

- 1004 • Glass aquarium (as water bath)
- 1005 • Beaker (250 mL)
- 1006 • Water heating element / climate chamber
- 1007 • Shortened stainless-steel mesh shelters
- 1008 • DECOTABs
- 1009 • Artemia sieves
- 1010 • Adult *H. azteca* (> 2 months old; male, female or mixed)

1011

1012 **Test setup:**

- 1013 • 1 control
- 1014 • 5 concentrations (treatments)
- 1015 • 3 - 6 replicates per control / treatment
- 1016 • 20 *H. azteca* per replicate
- 1017 • Exposure duration: Approx. the planned duration of the uptake phase in the bioconcentration test
 1018 (here: 4 days / 96 hrs)
- 1019 • Exposure method: semi-static (change to flow-through, if necessary / desirable)
- 1020 • Recommended water temperature of 23°C (\pm 2°C)
- 1021 • Daily medium renewal, if test chemical concentrations are not stable
- 1022 • Daily temperature and O₂ saturation as well as pH determination
- 1023 • Randomised placement of beakers in water bath
- 1024 • Daily feeding with DECOTABs, ¼ cube per day per beaker
- 1025 • Daily determination of water concentration (fresh and aged medium)
- 1026 • Daily count of alive and, if visible, dead *H. azteca*, in each beaker

1027

Table A9-1: Exemplary concentrations for a toxicity range-finder test for prochloraz with *H. azteca*. The concentration selection was based on a *G. pulex* LC₅₀ (96 hrs) of 2.2 mg/L (2) and the exposure concentration of 50 µg/L in already conducted *H. azteca* bioconcentration tests (3). A spacing of approx. 3.5 was used between all concentrations.

Scenario	Prochloraz in medium (mg/L)
Concentration 1	2.143
Concentration 2	0.612
Concentration 3	0.175
Concentration 4	0.050
Concentration 5	0.014
Control	0.000

1028

1029 **Pooling option:**

1030 With 36 beakers in the test, daily media renewal and a determination of fresh and aged media concentrations of
 1031 the test chemical, a considerable number of samples is generated. ‘Sample pooling’ can help to reduce the
 1032 number of samples for analyses. Aliquots (5 mL) collected from each beaker (total of 30 mL) should be
 1033 sufficient to determine the average parameters of each treatment. This option should only be selected if there
 1034 are no indications that the treatments differ significantly from each other.

1035

1036 **Validity criteria:**

1037 The following validity criteria for a preliminary, acute toxicity test with *H. azteca* may be used:

- 1038 • Control mortality \leq 10%

1039 **Troubleshooting:**

- 1040 • Artemia sieves should have no holes / pockets that allow the amphipods to hide in them. Otherwise *H.*
1041 *azteca* loss that is not mortality skews the results.

1042

1043

1044 **References:**

1045

- 1046 1. OECD (2012), Test No. 305: Bioaccumulation in Fish: Aqueous and Dietary Exposure, OECD
1047 Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 3, OECD Publishing, Paris, Available from:
1048 <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264185296-en>.

1049

- 1050 2. Beketov MA, Liess M (2008), Potential of 11 pesticides to initiate downstream drift of stream
1051 macroinvertebrates. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 55(2):247–53.

1052

- 1053 3. Kosfeld V, Fu Q, Ebersbach I, Esser D, Schauerte A, Bischof I, Hollender J, Schleichriem C (2020),
1054 Comparison of alternative methods for bioaccumulation assessment: Scope and limitations of in vitro
1055 depletion assays with rainbow trout and bioconcentration tests in the freshwater amphipod *Hyaella*
1056 *azteca*. Environ Toxicol Chem 39(9):1813–1825. Available from: DOI: 10.1002/etc.4791.

1057

1058

1059