

States of Fragility 2015

Meeting Post-2015 Ambitions

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264227699-en>

ISBN 978-92-64-22178-9 (print)

ISBN 978-92-64-22769-9 (PDF)

© OECD 2015

Corrigendum

Page 14:

This sentence now reads:

Just 4% of ODA to fragile states and economies was allocated to the PSGs for legitimate politics, 1.4% for security and 3% for justice.

Page 15:

Figure 0.1. The list of fragile states and economies used in this report

Mozambique and Iran are now unshaded; Kosovo and Gaza Strip are now shaded as follows:





Figure 1.1. Venn diagram representing fragility clusters across states and economies

Colombia, Guatemala, Paraguay and Rwanda now appear in the violence and resilience clusters; and Afghanistan, Burundi, Nepal and South Sudan now appear in the institutions, economic foundations, justice and violence clusters, as follows:




A StatLink is also now available for this figure: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933228077>.

Page 44:

Figure 2.4. (Q.2) Fragility clusters across states and economies

Afghanistan, Burundi, Nepal and South Sudan now rank in the following fragility dimensions: violence, justice, institutions and economic foundations. Algeria and the Syrian Arab Republic now rank in the following fragility dimensions: violence, institutions and economic foundations.



Page 103:

This sentence now reads:

For each goal proposed by the OWG, the authors designed a separate index, ranked all states and economies for which data were available (2012 data **unless otherwise stated**), and identified the 50 most vulnerable ones. For each goal proposed by the OWG, the authors designed a separate index.

Page 109:

This sentence now reads:

It was particularly difficult to differentiate between projects contributing to areas related to PSG 4 (economic foundations) and PSG 5 (revenues and services). In presenting the data, therefore, aid allocated to either area was combined into one lump sum (see [Figure 3.7 \[Q.5\]](#)).

Pages 117-118:

Table B.3. Financial flows as a percentage of GDP in fragile states and economies, 2012

The table was replaced as follows:


Table B.3. Financial flows as a percentage of GDP in fragile states and economies, 2012

	Foreign direct investment inflows (%)	Official development assistance (excl. debt relief) (%)	Other official flows (excl. debt relief) (%)	Remittance inflows (%)
Afghanistan	0.5	32.8	0.3	1.9
Bangladesh	1.3	1.9	0.3	12.2
Bosnia and Herzegovina	2.1	3.4	1.3	11.0
Burundi	0.0	21.1	0.0	1.9
Cameroon	2.0	2.3	0.4	0.8
Central African Republic	3.3	10.4		
Chad	2.7	3.7	0.3	
Comoros	1.7	10.9		
Congo	20.2	1.0	0.0	
Côte d'Ivoire	1.3	2.4	0.2	
Democratic People's Republic of Korea				
Democratic Republic of the Congo	10.5	8.1	0.2	0.0
Egypt	1.1	0.7	0.4	7.3
Eritrea	1.3	4.3		0.0
Ethiopia	0.7	7.6	0.2	1.5
Guinea	10.7	5.2	2.3	1.2
Guinea-Bissau	0.8	9.5		
Haiti	2.0	16.2	0.1	20.4
Iraq	1.6	0.6		0.1
Kenya	0.6	6.5	0.7	3.0
Kiribati	0.5	37.0	0.5	
Kosovo	4.5	8.8	0.0	16.4
Liberia	56.8	32.9	2.2	
Libya	1.7	0.1		
Madagascar	8.2	3.8	0.3	
Malawi	3.1	27.7		0.7
Mali	3.8	9.7	0.0	
Marshall Islands	2.0	43.9	0.0	
Mauritania	34.9	10.3	8.3	
Micronesia	0.2	35.3	0.5	
Myanmar				
Nepal	0.5	4.0	0.0	25.0
Niger	12.4	13.3	0.0	
Nigeria	1.5	0.4	0.0	4.5
Pakistan	0.4	0.9	0.4	6.2
Rwanda	2.2	12.3	1.0	2.6
Sierra Leone	14.5	11.7	1.1	1.6
Solomon Islands	6.8	30.5	3.5	1.7
Somalia				
South Sudan	0.0	14.9	0.0	
Sri Lanka	1.6	0.8	0.6	10.1
Sudan	3.7	1.6	0.1	0.6

Table B.3. Financial flows as a percentage of GDP in fragile states and economies, 2012 (cont.)

	Foreign direct investment inflows (%)	Official development assistance (excl. debt relief) (%)	Other official flows (excl. debt relief) (%)	Remittance inflows (%)
Syrian Arab Republic				
Timor-Leste	1.5	20.9	0.1	8.4
Togo	2.4	4.8	1.9	
Tuvalu	0.0	61.4	0.5	
Uganda	6.0	8.3	0.7	4.5
West Bank and Gaza Strip	1.8	19.6	0.3	20.1
Yemen	0.0	2.2		
Zimbabwe	3.2	8.0	0.2	

Sources: ODA excl. debt relief and OOF excl. debt relief from OECD (2014a), "Detailed aid statistics: ODA official development assistance: Disbursements", *OECD International Development Statistics* (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00069-en> and OECD (2014c), "Detailed aid statistics: Other official flows OOF", *OECD International Development Statistics* (database), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/data-00075-en>; remittance and FDI inflows, GDP from World Bank (2014a), "Foreign direct investment, net inflows (% of GDP)", *World Development Indicators* (database), available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.KLT.DINV.WD.GD.ZS>; FDI as a percentage of GDP converted to USD using World Bank (2014b), "GDP figures (in current USD)", *World Development Indicators* (database), available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD>; World Bank (2014c), "Personal remittances, received (current USD)", *World Development Indicators* (database), available at: <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/BX.TRF.PWKR.CD.DT>.

StatLink  <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888933185288>