A. Introduction

1. The ADB/OECD Anti-Corruption Initiative for Asia and the Pacific is a regional forum initiated in 1999. It comprises 31 member countries, with additional input and support from relevant international organizations, donors, civil society, business organizations, and observer countries.

2. The Initiative supports national and multilateral efforts to address and reduce corruption in Asia and the Pacific. The goals of the Initiative are set out in six Strategic Principles which guide the Initiative’s Operational Activities [see Annex]:
   
   (a) Supporting the Initiative’s members to implement UNCAC;
   (b) Taking advantage of the Initiative’s feature as a regional network by addressing members’ common challenges and matters requiring regional co-operation;
   (c) Supporting learning with action and measurable outcomes;
   (d) Accommodating the diversity in members and stakeholders, including different state institutions, civil society and the private sector;
   (e) Enhancing member ownership of the Initiative; and
   (f) Avoiding duplication with other international bodies and initiatives.

B. Core activities for achieving the strategic objectives

3. The 2015-2017 Strategy and Work Program is based upon the Initiative’s previously-agreed Strategic Principles and Operational Activities [see Annex]. The Initiative has agreed that its work program will include six key activities as described below. Whether all of these activities can be achieved will depend on the available resources. The activities set out below are arranged by order of priority in accordance with the previously expressed sentiments of the Initiative members.

---

1 The Initiative’s 31 members are Afghanistan; Australia; Bangladesh; Bhutan; Cambodia; People’s Republic of China; Cook Islands; Fiji Islands; Hong Kong, China; India; Indonesia; Japan; Kazakhstan; Korea; Kyrgyz Republic; Macao, China; Malaysia; Mongolia; Nepal; Pakistan; Palau; Papua New Guinea; Philippines; Samoa; Singapore; Solomon Islands; Sri Lanka; Thailand; Timor-Leste; Vanuatu; and Vietnam.

2 The current members of the Advisory Group are the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (SIDA), Japan's Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Governance Network of the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC GOVNET), American Bar Association/Rule of Law Initiative (ABA-ROLI), Asia-Pacific Group on Money Laundering (APG), German Development Co-operation (GTZ), the Pacific Basin Economic Council (PBEC), Transparency International (TI), United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and World Bank.
4. Three **Annual Steering Group meetings** to take place in 2015, 2016 and 2017. These meetings are the priority for the Initiative. They are attended by members and encourage the sharing of information and peer learning. The meetings will be co-chaired by one member (typically the host country) and the Secretariat. Every two years the members will provide a written report on their anti-corruption efforts in advance of the meeting in accordance with an agreed template. These reports will be consolidated and published by the Secretariat. Brief oral reports during the meeting are also encouraged. A special consultation session with local civil society may also be arranged. Additional features and items to facilitate South-South co-operation and further networking could be considered.

5. Two **capacity building seminars** to take place in 2015 and 2016. Resource costs are reduced by holding the seminars back-to-back with the Steering Group meeting. These seminars are attended by members and focus on a specific topic of general interest. The topics will be suggested and agreed by the members at the preceding Steering Group meeting (i.e. in 2014 and 2015). Topics should be founded upon the Initiative’s Strategic Principles. Potential topics could include conducting a successful awareness-raising campaign, and the reporting of corruption by individuals in the public and private sectors and related whistleblower protection. After each seminar, members will report on how the knowledge obtained in the seminar has been disseminated and applied, and what the outcome was.

6. One **regional anti-corruption conference** to take place back-to-back with the Steering Group meeting in 2017. The conference is attended by members and the private sector and is typically less technical than the seminars. The conference topic is chosen in accordance with the Strategic Principles and is subject to approval of the host country in consultation with the Secretariat.

7. Ongoing work to **strengthen and expand partnerships** with relevant stakeholders. This work is important to the success of the initiative and is not overly resource-intensive. There are four focus areas:

   (a) Working with potential **new country participants** who have expressed an interest in becoming members or observers of the Initiative. Such requests can be accommodated where agreed by consensus. Potential members must endorse the Initiative’s Anti-Corruption Action Plan.

   (b) Working to strengthen existing partnerships and establish new contacts with **regional and international bodies**. Representatives from other fora can and have attended Initiative meetings and shared expertise. Where possible the Initiative can and should reciprocate this assistance. A recent example of such positive collaboration is the coordination between UNDP, UNODC and the Initiative in order to hold a UNDP and UNODC workshop on illicit financial flows alongside the Initiative’s 2014 Regional Conference. The Initiative would also consider collaboration with the Asia/Pacific Group

---

3 Information on previous seminars are available the Initiative’s website [www.oecd.org/corruption/asiapacific/capacitybuilding](http://www.oecd.org/corruption/asiapacific/capacitybuilding).

4 Information on previous conferences are available the Initiative’s website [www.oecd.org/corruption/asiapacific/stg](http://www.oecd.org/corruption/asiapacific/stg).

5 Relevant bodies that have previously been involved or could be involved in the work of the Initiative include UNODC, the OECD Working Group on Bribery, the APEC Anti-Corruption and Transparency Task Force, ASEAN, the United Nations Asia and Far East Institute for the Prevention of Crime and the Treatment of Offenders, the Commonwealth Secretariat, and the Basel Institute on Governance.
on Money Laundering (APG) and Asset Recovery Interagency Network - Asia Pacific (ARIN-AP).

(c) Working to develop partnerships between the Initiative and the private sector and civil society. These stakeholders (for example, the business sector and Transparency International) can offer a different and valuable perspective and are often invited to the Initiative’s regional conferences.

(d) Actively raising awareness of the Initiative’s work and its members’ achievements by both the members (through domestic activity) and the Secretariat (through the Initiative’s website and a widely-distributed periodic newsletter).

8. One or possibly two thematic reviews as demand requires and where resources allow. The thematic review is conducted by the Secretariat or a consultant. Members submit to a questionnaire which, in addition to extensive research, is used to write a report analyzing each member’s efforts in a specific area and giving recommendations for improvement. The reports are agreed by the member before being published, and implementation of the recommendations is followed-up by the Initiative after two years. A horizontal study identifying cross-cutting trends is also completed and published. The topic is agreed by the Initiative in accordance with the Strategic Principles.6

9. Volunteer country reviews (“scoping exercises”) subject to available funding and volunteering members. These reviews identify and address deficiencies in a specific area. The scope is determined by the volunteer country with a focus on overcoming specific difficulties while avoiding duplication with other reviews.7 The report is approved by the volunteer country before being published. After the first two reviews, the methodology for the exercise should be reviewed. To reduce resource costs, these reviews could be conducted alongside or in the form of an OECD Integrity Scan (an OECD-supported assessment of a country’s integrity and anti-corruption framework).

C. Financing the Initiative

1. Overview of the Initiative’s financial arrangements

In the past, the Initiative’s Work Program has been funded by member countries in-kind contributions, ADB Technical Assistance Grants and voluntary contributions by donors to the OECD. These financial contributions are used to finance the travel, accommodation and per diem expenses for resource persons (such as expert speakers) and eligible Initiative-funded meeting participants8 at the Initiative’s meetings, seminars and conferences. The contributions are also used to support the Initiative’s other activities (such as communications-related activities), staffing

---

6 Past reviews have focused on corruption in public procurement (2005); extradition, mutual legal assistance and asset recovery in corruption cases (2007); the criminalization of bribery (2010); and accounting and auditing, and corporate compliance, internal controls and ethics to prevent and detect corruption (2014). Information on previous Thematic Reviews is available at www.oecd.org/document/4/0,3746,en_34982156_34982431_43094468_1_1_1_1,00.html.

7 The full methodology is available at: www.oecd.org/document/38/0,3746,en_34982156_34982441_43094438_1_1_1_1,00.html

8 The Initiative only funds one official from each member country classified as eligible to borrow from ADB’s Asian Development Fund (ADF).
of the Initiative’s Secretariat, and administrative costs (such as overhead and financial management). The Initiative has also agreed that members that are financially supported could make in-kind contributions, such as by hosting events, providing speakers, and representing the Initiative at meetings of other international bodies.

2. 2015-2017 budget

The following budget estimate covers only expenditure from ADB or OECD managed fund sources and is based on past experience.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget estimate for core activities (amounts in EUR)*</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Steering Group meeting and capacity building seminar</td>
<td>190,167</td>
<td>191,474</td>
<td>192,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One thematic review (2015-16)**</td>
<td>67,000</td>
<td>67,000</td>
<td>67,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One country review/Scoping exercise**</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public communications (website, brochures, newsletter)</td>
<td>11,717</td>
<td>11,836</td>
<td>11,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participation in relevant meetings of partner organizations</td>
<td>14,422</td>
<td>14,563</td>
<td>14,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundraising and fund management, financial reporting</td>
<td>8,479</td>
<td>8,595</td>
<td>8,706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total expenses 2015</strong></td>
<td>591,785</td>
<td>Total expenses 2016 593,468</td>
<td>Total expenses 2017 595,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total 2015-2017</strong></td>
<td>1,780,319</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All voluntary contributions (VCs) to the OECD incur a standard administration charge of 6.3% (as of 1 January 2012) to cover the administrative costs of processing, managing and reporting on VCs. Due to the uncertainty of future VCs to the OECD for this project at the time of the program preparation, this charge has not been included in this budget estimate, and will be charged individually to each donor upon reception of the VC.

** The figures for the Thematic Review and country review/scoping exercise are only estimates. The actual cost may vary, e.g. depending on the scope and subject matter of the review. Each Thematic Review is expected to take two years to complete; the budget figure for each year therefore represents the cost of half a Review.
Annex: Strategic Principles and Operational Activities of the Initiative

A. Introduction

1. In 2009, the ADB/OECD Anti-Corruption Initiative for Asia and the Pacific retained two independent consultants to conduct a review of the Initiative. The purpose of the review was to assess the Initiative’s efficacy and relevance at the global, regional and country levels, and to identify options for sustaining regional anticorruption activities in the future. The consultants presented a draft report at the 14th Steering Group Meeting in Manila in September 2009 and submitted the final report in November 2009.

2. At the September 2009 meeting, the Steering Group decided to establish a sub-group of Steering Group and Advisory Group members to formulate proposals for implementing the Review's recommendations. This Small Group on Implementation (SGI) was eventually formed with representatives from Bhutan; Macao, China; Pakistan; Samoa; Thailand; Transparency International; United Nations Development Program, and the World Bank. The SGI members nominated Thailand as the Chair. The National Anti-Corruption Commission of Thailand generously hosted a meeting of the SGI in March 2010 to allow the Group to discuss proposals for implementing the Review's Recommendations.

3. The SGI then presented detailed proposals for implementing the Review's Recommendations at the 15th Steering Group Meeting in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia in September 2010. These proposals covered four areas:

   (a) A Statement of the Initiative’s Objective: This is meant to succinctly capture the Initiative’s role and vision. This objective will then be implemented through the strategic principles and operational activities described below.

   (b) Strategic Guiding Principles: These would be general strategies for guiding the Initiative in achieving its objective. These principles are important as they would define the Initiative’s long-term direction and focus.

   (c) Specific Operational Activities: These refer to the activities in which the Initiative would engage at the operational level. These are the core activities that would serve to achieve the Initiative’s Objective. The Strategic Guiding Principles would inform these operational activities.

   (d) Financial Issues: These refer to the Initiative’s financing.

4. This document contains the proposals that were ultimately adopted by the Steering Group. The Steering Group and the Secretariat will now take steps to implement the adopted proposals. The Initiative expresses its gratitude to all SGI members for their efforts, and to Thailand for its generosity in hosting the March 2010 meeting.
B. The Initiative’s General Objective

The Initiative is a regional forum for supporting national and multilateral efforts to address and reduce corruption in Asia and the Pacific, primarily through the effective implementation of UNCAC. The Initiative seeks to achieve this goal through capacity building based on peer-learning, mutual support and exchange of expertise, while taking into account the geographical and developmental diversity of its members; demands on members’ human and financial resources; and the need to provide value-added in view of the functions and roles of other multilateral anti-corruption bodies.

C. The Initiative’s Strategic Principles

1. Extending Support for UNCAC Implementation: The Initiative’s primary goal should be to help its members implement UNCAC. Where appropriate, the Initiative could also address issues not covered by UNCAC, or provisions in the UNCAC that are not mandatory.

2. The Initiative’s Feature as a Regional Network: The Initiative should add value to the global fight against corruption by taking advantage of its feature as a regional network, such as by addressing members’ common challenges, and matters requiring regional co-operation.

3. Support Learning with Action and Measurable Outcomes: The Initiative should develop a system to identify how its activities and events are used by its members in their anti-corruption efforts.

4. Accommodate the Diversity in Members and Stakeholders: The Initiative should design its activities to also accommodate the different characteristics and needs of its members, and to encourage and support the participation of relevant stakeholders, including different state institutions, civil society and the private sector.

5. Enhance Member Ownership: The Initiative should enhance its ownership by its members, particularly by its members playing a more prominent role in developing the Initiative’s strategies and activities, and in its operation.

6. Avoid Duplicating Other Forums: The Initiative should co-ordinate with other anti-corruption initiatives and design its activities with a view to avoiding unnecessary duplication while providing value-added in view of the functions and roles of other multilateral initiatives.

D. The Initiative’s Specific Operational Activities

1. Steering Group and Advisory Group Meetings
   (a) Chairing Steering Group meetings: One member of the Initiative will initially co-chair each Steering Group meeting with the Secretariat on a rotating and voluntary basis. Eventually, members should chair the meetings independently on a rotating basis. The Secretariat will continue to provide logistical and analytical support.
   (b) Participation in the Advisory Group: One or two members of the Initiative should attend the Advisory Group on a rotating and voluntary basis.
   (c) Participation of the business community: In line with the principle of accommodating all relevant stakeholders, the Initiative should consider increasing participation from the
business community, where appropriate, given issues of confidentiality. Business organizations other than PBEC should be invited. The host country of a Steering Group meeting could invite the local business association(s) to attend and/or include an item on the agenda for them to make a short presentation.

(d) Participants in Steering Group meetings: For each meeting, members should, where appropriate, include in their delegations the appropriate parts of their governments depending on the topics covered. For members that have ACAs, this may require including an agency outside the ACA. The Secretariat will consult with the focal point before deciding which agency should be funded to attend the event.

(e) Frequency of meetings: Steering Group meetings should be held annually. This reduces duplication with other anti-corruption initiatives and lessens the pressure on members’ resources. It also facilitates planning since the Secretariat and members generally have annual budgets.

(f) Informal atmosphere: The meetings will continue to have an informal atmosphere to encourage open and frank discussion.

2. Country Self-Reporting

(a) Written report format: Consistent with the principle of supporting UNCAC implementation, the written report template could be redesigned with sub-topics drawn from UNCAC and additional areas covered by the Action Plan. The template would group activities into categories. In addition, members should include in their written reports how they have used the Initiative’s activities and events in their anti-corruption efforts. Members will provide a written report every two years.

(b) Source of information: The written report should identify the source of information.

(c) Oral reporting: Members will make 10-minute presentations on one or two issues. However, not all delegations have to report, but only if they would like to report a success story, challenge, a particular good practice etc. Members will inform the Secretariat prior to the meeting whether they wish to make a presentation and on what topic. After the presentations, if small group discussions would be useful for problem solving and sharing experiences, then the members will break into small groups for this purpose. Each small group will then report back to the plenary to ensure a full sharing of the information exchanged in the small groups so that delegations of all sizes benefit from this format.

In deciding the topics for oral reporting, members and the Secretariat will bear in mind the Initiative’s strategic principles. Ideally, topics should be relevant to UNCAC implementation. They should also take advantage of the Initiative’s feature as a regional network by focusing on issues or challenges that are common to a significant number of members.

3. Capacity Building Seminars

(a) Frequency of seminars: Seminars should continue to be held back-to-back with Steering Group meetings (unless a Regional Conference takes place at the same time, in which case the Conference would replace the seminar).

(b) Seminar topics: Members should suggest seminar topics (e.g. during Steering Group meetings) in order to enhance member ownership. The choice of topics should be guided by all of the Initiative’s strategic principles described above. This suggests that
the choice of topics should:

(i) Support UNCAC implementation, such as by targeting areas of deficiency identified in UNCAC implementation reviews.

(ii) Account for diversity and differences among members. Where appropriate, the Initiative may wish to consider holding sub-regional seminars focusing on an issue that is relevant to a significant number (but not all) of the members.

(iii) Take advantage of the Initiative’s feature as a regional network. For example, seminars could focus on issues with an international dimension, such as transnational corruption or international co-operation in investigations and prosecutions.

(iv) Avoid unnecessarily duplicating the efforts of other relevant organizations. Where appropriate, the Initiative could also hold joint seminars with other international organizations (e.g. APEC and UNODC) or national institutions on anti-corruption (e.g. those found in Japan, Hong Kong, Singapore, Australia, Malaysia and Philippines).

(c) Seminar participants: Recalling the principle of accommodating the diversity in members and stakeholders, members should ensure that the appropriate entities in their governments attend the seminar. If the topic is more relevant to a body other than the ACA in a country (including the private sector or non-governmental bodies), then the other body should participate in place of or in addition to the ACA. In other words, the focal point must be prepared to forego its attendance if another agency can benefit more from the seminar.

(d) Country-level actions: The Initiative’s seminars should be guided by the principle of supporting learning with action and measurable outcomes. Ideally, the Initiative should measure how a seminar has increased members’ ability to fight corruption. Where this is not possible, it should at least gather information on whether and how members apply what they learn in seminars.

Hence, after each seminar or conference the Secretariat would contact Members to encourage them to disseminate and consider the information that they had acquired at the event. Members will also be asked to inform the Secretariat of their efforts and the outcome in this regard. Members should provide this information to the Secretariat before registering for the next training event and Steering Group meeting at the latest, unless there are extenuating circumstances. Information provided by Members would be published to ensure transparency.

4. Thematic Reviews

(a) Country ownership: Thematic Reviews should be conducted only when the Steering Group decides that there is a need for such a review on a particular topic. In other words, Thematic Reviews should be demand driven.

(b) Commitment to participate: Members should commit to actively participate in a Thematic Review. This includes responding to information-gathering questionnaires and requests for information, and commenting on draft reports. Members should also commit to involving all relevant government bodies, including bodies that are not ACAs, where appropriate.

(c) Thematic Review topics: The same principles for choosing seminar topics also apply to thematic reviews, subject to the following:

(i) The breadth of the topic needs to be relatively narrow. Otherwise, it would require too much time and resources.
(ii) The topic needs to be amenable to a review, i.e. capable of assessment.

(d) Follow-up Actions: Thematic Reviews should also be guided by the principle of supporting learning with action and measurable outcomes. A system of follow-up and reporting would be consistent with this principle:

(i) Two years after a Thematic Review is concluded, members should provide to the Secretariat a written report on steps taken to implement a Thematic Review’s recommendations. The Steering Group would then discuss these reports at its meeting. The Secretariat would also publish these reports.

(ii) Thereafter members should continue to report steps taken to implement the recommendations in the country self-reporting written report.

5. Volunteer Country Reviews (or “Scoping Exercise”): The Initiative should proceed with the two pilot country reviews as envisaged in the methodology adopted by the Initiative in 2009. As noted by the Independent Review, voluntary country reviews can identify and address deficiencies in a country’s anti-corruption framework. They can also help mobilize donor resources for technical assistance. These reviews are therefore consistent with the principles of UNCAC implementation and of supporting learning with action and measurable outcomes. When the pilot reviews are completed, members will be in a better position to assess whether their concerns about country reviews are in fact valid. After the two pilot reviews have been conducted, the Initiative can reassess the value of this activity. In the meantime, the Steering Group could consider re-naming voluntary country reviews to “voluntary country scoping exercise” to better reflect that the process is intended to support countries’ anti-corruption efforts through technical advice.

6. Regional Conferences

(a) Frequency of conferences: Conferences should continue to be held once every three years if funding and a host member are available.

(b) Conference topics: The same principles for choosing seminar topics also apply to conferences.

7. Stocktaking Report: The Initiative will discontinue its Stocktaking Reports. The Initiative’s other activities have now superseded the Stocktaking Report. For instance, much of the members’ anti-corruption efforts are published in the reports of the Country Self-Reporting exercise and Thematic Reviews. The Stocktaking Reports also require enormous resources from the Secretariat. Finally, Stocktaking Reports are relatively incomplete and superficial because their scope – covering all three pillars of the Action Plan – is too broad to be manageable.

8. Raising Awareness of the Initiative: Members will take a more active role in raising awareness of the Initiative through various means:

(a) Domestic awareness-raising: Some of the proposals above, e.g. involving other relevant government bodies in the Initiative’s events and self-reporting exercise, will help raise awareness domestically. Members could undertake to do more, such as by providing more information about the Initiative on their websites.

(b) Awareness in other forums: Members should take a more active role in other forums, including by raising awareness of the Initiative’s activities in other relevant forums.
E. Financial Issues

1. Members that are not financially supported by the Initiative could make financial contributions to the Initiative in the form of:
   (a) Voluntary contributions to the Initiative.
   (b) Annual membership fees.
   (c) Registration fees for participants of capacity building seminars and regional conferences.

2. Members that are financially supported by the Initiative could make in-kind financial contributions, such as by:
   (a) Hosting events;
   (b) Providing speakers at events,
   (c) Representing the Initiative at meetings of other international bodies.

3. In light of its budgetary constraints, the Initiative should:
   (a) Design future work programs that are appropriate and feasible in light of the available financial resources;
   (b) Seek to work with other organizations on specific activities where this would be appropriate and more cost effective;
   (c) Prioritise the “operational activities” described in this document above;
   (d) Finance the attendance in the Initiative’s events of one official from each Member classified as eligible to borrow from ADB’s Asian Development Fund (ADF).