

Open Innovation: A New Paradigm for Managing Technology

Presentation to OECD Conference on


New Business Strategies for R&D

October 22, 2001


Henry Chesbrough

Assistant Professor and Class of 1961 Fellow
Harvard Business School


A Closed Innovation System




The Virtuous Circle for R&D



The Payoff to Doing Research



The Payoff to Research - Pharma



Source: K. Lim, National University of Singapore

Hidden Assumptions in the Internally-focused Innovation System


- If I discover it, I will find a market for it
- If I discover it first, I will get it to market first
- If I discover it first, I will own it
- The important technologies I will need can be anticipated in advance
- The best people in this field work for us

Shifts in the Research Environment


- Increasingly mobile trained workers
- More capable Universities
- Knowledge distributed more widely
- Diminished US hegemony in many leading technology fields
- Erosion of oligopoly market positions
- Deregulation
- Enormous increase in Venture Capital

Xerox's Innovation System

The CIC/XNE Project Funnel




The Virtuous Circle Broken




The Payoff to Research - Semiconductors

Semiconductor Firms - Relevant Patents vs Basic Research Publications, 1981-97



Source: K. Lim, National University of Singapore

The Open Innovation Paradigm



Implications for Firms

- Can internal R&D continue to be justified, in a world of dispersed ideas, abundant capital, and a mobile workforce?
- If startups are generating numerous experiments, how can firms learn from their experiences?
 - Are startups parasitic, or mutualistic?
 - Should good research practice admit careful monitoring of startups' activities?
 - Should companies *promote* startup activity?

IBM's Innovation System

- Research internally *generated*, but externally *applied*
 - Global Services supports multiple vendors
 - Unbundling components of systems for sale to competitors
- Careful patenting and vigorous enforcement
 - Leading US patent recipient for each of last 6 years
 - Collected over \$1.7 billion in patent royalties in 2000
 - compared with ~\$600 million in basic research


Intel's Innovation System

- Little investment in basic research
 - in an industry driven by Moore's law
 - internal labs focused on taking research into mfg.
- Aggressive program to sponsor academic research
 - monitor external research developments
 - stimulate research in areas of interest
 - manage the institution, as well as the researcher
 - create an Intellectual Commons
 - “we don't have to own it to profit from it”
- Intel had 600 internal researchers in 1996
- Intel spent over \$100 M in external research in 1996

Intel's Innovation System - part II

- Intel pioneered the use of corporate venture capital to stimulate the growth of markets for its products
 - spent <\$50M in 1994, spent \$1.3B in 2000
- Intel has achieved good returns from its investments (until this year)
- Intel's corporate investments also stimulate the search for new markets and new technologies
 - communications market a prime example
 - Intel Labs and Intel Capital report to same person!

Locus of Research/Paths to Market



The Logic of “Open Innovation”

- We should not restrict research to internal pathways to market.
- We must manage IP in order to manage research:
 - need to access external IP
 - need to profit from our own IP
- Our researchers must expand their role: knowledge brokers, as well as knowledge generators.
- We don't have to own the research to profit from it.
- Not all of the smart people in the world work for us.
- We must have enough smart people to recognize excellent research. Therefore we must do some internal research.
- We must compete and collaborate to advance our technology. Research can help define how we collaborate.

Implications for Science & Technology Policy

- Open Innovation will generate lots of recombination, due to wide diffusion of knowledge.
- Where will the “seed corn” for fundamental breakthroughs come from in future?
- What institutions are needed?
 - Labor markets: training, education, univ. research
 - Capital markets: startup formation, discipline
 - IP: access to public, limited protection of private
- To what extent should policy promote diffusion, vs. protect invention activity in this new environment?