The Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) is a triennial survey of 15-year-old students that assesses the extent to which they have acquired the key knowledge and skills essential for full participation in society. The assessment focuses on proficiency in reading, mathematics, science and an innovative domain (in 2018, the innovative domain was global competence), and on students’ well-being.

**Sweden**

**What 15-year-old students in Sweden know and can do**

**Figure 1. Snapshot of performance in reading, mathematics and science**

- Students in Sweden scored higher than the OECD average in reading, mathematics and science.
- Compared to the OECD average, a larger proportion of students in Sweden performed at the highest levels of proficiency (Level 5 or 6) in at least one subject; at the same time a larger proportion of students achieved a minimum level of proficiency (Level 2 or higher) in at least one subject.

Note: Only countries and economies with available data are shown.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables I.1 and I.10.1.
What students know and can do in reading

- In Sweden, 82% of students attained at least Level 2 proficiency in reading (OECD average: 77%). At a minimum, these students can identify the main idea in a text of moderate length, find information based on explicit, though sometimes complex criteria, and can reflect on the purpose and form of texts when explicitly directed to do so.

- Some 13% of students in Sweden were top performers in reading, meaning that they attained Level 5 or 6 in the PISA reading test (OECD average: 9%). At these levels, students can comprehend lengthy texts, deal with concepts that are abstract or counterintuitive, and establish distinctions between fact and opinion, based on implicit cues pertaining to the content or source of the information. In 20 education systems, including those of 15 OECD countries, more than 10% of 15-year-old students were top performers.

What students know and can do in mathematics

- Some 81% of students in Sweden attained Level 2 or higher in mathematics (OECD average: 76%). At a minimum, these students can interpret and recognise, without direct instructions, how a (simple) situation can be represented mathematically (e.g. comparing the total distance across two alternative routes, or converting prices into a different currency). The share of 15-year-old students who attained minimum levels of proficiency in mathematics (Level 2 or higher) varied widely – from 98% in Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang (China) to 2% in Zambia, which participated in the PISA for Development assessment in 2017. On average across OECD countries, 76% of students attained at least Level 2 proficiency in mathematics.

- In Sweden, 13% of students scored at Level 5 or higher in mathematics (OECD average: 11%). Six Asian countries and economies had the largest shares of students who did so: Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang (China) (44%), Singapore (37%), Hong Kong (China) (29%), Macao (China) (28%), Chinese Taipei (23%) and Korea (21%). These students can model complex situations mathematically, and can select, compare and evaluate appropriate problem-solving strategies for dealing with them.

What students know and can do in science

- Some 81% of students in Sweden attained Level 2 or higher in science (OECD average: 78%). At a minimum, these students can recognise the correct explanation for familiar scientific phenomena and can use such knowledge to identify, in simple cases, whether a conclusion is valid based on the data provided.

- In Sweden, 8% of students were top performers in science, meaning that they were proficient at Level 5 or 6 (OECD average: 7%). These students can creatively and autonomously apply their knowledge of and about science to a wide variety of situations, including unfamiliar ones.
Performance trends

Figure 2. Trends in performance in reading, mathematics and science

After a rapid decline until 2012, mean reading, mathematics and science performance in Sweden recovered fully or almost fully between 2012 and 2018, returning to a level similar to that observed in the early PISA assessments. In mathematics, for example, mean performance in 2018 lay more than 20 points above the PISA 2012 mean score. Between 2012 and 2018, the proportion of low-achieving students (scoring below Level 2) shrank by eight percentage points and, at the same time, the proportion of top-performing students (scoring at Level 5 or 6) grew by about five percentage points. In reading and science, however, performance gaps widened over the long term. While no overall change could be determined amongst the highest-achieving students, performance amongst the lowest-achieving students tended to decline, particularly in reading.

Sweden’s improvement in mean performance since PISA 2012 was observed over a period of rapid increase in the proportion of immigrant students, who tended to score below non-immigrant students. It could be estimated that, if the student population in 2009 had the same demographic profile as the population in 2018, the average score in reading would have been nine points lower than what was observed that year – and the recent trends would have been even more positive. The widening gap in reading performance between the highest- and lowest-achieving students also seemed to be at least partly related to growing shares of immigrant students.

The massive inflow of immigrants in the most recent period, however, also led to an increase in student exclusion rates. In 2018, about 11% of 15-year-old students were excluded from the PISA test – the highest rate amongst all participating countries/economies. While limited information is available about excluded students, this increase is most likely the consequence of the large (and temporary) increase, between 2015 and 2018, of recently arrived immigrants in the school system.
Where All Students Can Succeed

Figure 3. Differences in performance related to personal characteristics

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) Girls’ minus boys’ performance; (2) Advantaged minus disadvantaged students’ performance; (3) Immigrants’ minus non-immigrants’ performance in reading; After accounting for students’ and schools’ socio-economic profile.

Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables II.B1.2.3, II.B1.7.1, II.B1.7.3, II.B1.7.5 and II.B1.9.3.

Equity related to socio-economic status

- In Sweden, socio-economically advantaged students outperformed disadvantaged students in reading by 89 score points in PISA 2018. This is not significantly different from the average difference between the two groups (89 score points) across OECD countries. In PISA 2009, the performance gap related to socio-economic status was 91 score points in Sweden (and 87 score points on average across OECD countries).
- Some 25% of advantaged students in Sweden, but 5% of disadvantaged students, were top performers in reading in PISA 2018. On average across OECD countries, 17% of advantaged students, and 3% of disadvantaged students, were top performers in reading.
- Socio-economic status was a strong predictor of performance in mathematics and science in all PISA participating countries. It explained 13% of the variation in mathematics performance in PISA 2018 in Sweden (compared to 14% on average across OECD countries), and 13% of the variation in science performance (compared to the OECD average of 13% of the variation).
- Some 11% of disadvantaged students in Sweden were able to score in the top quarter of reading performance within Sweden, indicating that disadvantage is not destiny. On average across OECD countries, 11% of disadvantaged students scored amongst the highest performers in reading in their countries.
- In Sweden, low- and high-performing students are clustered in the same schools less often than the OECD average.
Figure 4. School segregation, and gap in material and staff shortage between advantaged and disadvantaged schools

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. The isolation indices ranging from 0 (no segregation) to 1 (full segregation) measure whether low-/high-performing students or disadvantaged students are more or less concentrated in some schools. See detailed description of the indices in Volume II Chapter 4.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables II.B1.4.1, II.B1.4.8, II.B1.5.13 and II.B1.5.14.

- School principals in Sweden reported more staff shortage and less material shortage than the OECD average; and school principals of disadvantaged schools more often reported staff shortage than principals of advantaged schools. In Sweden, 40% of students enrolled in a disadvantaged school and 19% of students enrolled in an advantaged school attend a school whose principal reported that the capacity of the school to provide instruction is hindered at least to some extent by a lack of teaching staff. On average across OECD countries, 34% of students in disadvantaged schools and 18% of students in advantaged schools attend such a school.

- According to school principals in Sweden, 88% of teachers in advantaged schools and 77% of teachers in disadvantaged schools are "fully certified". The proportions of teachers with at least a master's degree are similar in advantaged and disadvantaged schools.

- Many students, especially disadvantaged students, hold lower ambitions than would be expected given their academic achievement. In Sweden, about one in three high-achieving disadvantaged students – but fewer than one in ten high-achieving advantaged students – do not expect to complete tertiary education.

**Equity related to gender**

- In all countries and economies that participated in PISA 2018, girls significantly outperformed boys in reading – by 30 score points on average across OECD countries. In Sweden, the gender gap in reading (34 score points) was not significantly different from the average gap. The gap was lower than that observed in 2009 (46 score points), as boys’ performance improved and girls’ performance remained stable over the period.
In Sweden, girls scored similar to boys in mathematics. Across OECD countries, boys outperformed girls by five score points. While girls slightly outperformed boys in science (by two score points) on average across OECD countries in PISA 2018, in Sweden girls outperformed boys in science by eight score points.

Amongst high-performing students in mathematics or science, three in eight boys in Sweden expect to work as an engineer or science professional at the age of 30, while one in five girls expects to do so. About one in five high-performing girls expects to work in health-related professions, while fewer than one in ten high-performing boys expect to do so. Some 6% of boys and a negligible percentage of girls in Sweden expect to work in ICT-related professions.

**Equity related to immigrant background**

- In 2018, some 20% of students in Sweden had an immigrant background, up from 12% in 2009. Amongst these immigrant students, less than one in two were socio-economically disadvantaged.
- The average difference in reading performance between immigrant and non-immigrant students in Sweden was 83 score points in favour of non-immigrant students. After accounting for students’ and schools’ socio-economic profile the difference shrank to 54 score points.
- On average across OECD countries, 17% of them scored in the top quarter of reading performance in 2018. In Sweden, 10% of immigrant students performed at that level.
What School Life Means for Students’ Lives

How is the school climate in Sweden?

- In Sweden, 19% of students reported being bullied at least a few times a month, compared to 23% on average across OECD countries. At the same time, 90% of students in Sweden (and 88% of students on average across OECD countries) agreed or strongly agreed that it is a good thing to help students who cannot defend themselves.

- Some 26% of students in Sweden (OECD average: 26%) reported that, in every or most language-of-instruction lessons, their teacher has to wait a long time for students to quiet down. In Sweden, students who reported that, in every or most lessons, the teacher has to wait a long time for students to quiet down scored 14 score points lower in reading than students who reported that this never happens or happens only in some lessons, after accounting for socio-economic status.

- On average across OECD countries, 21% of students had skipped a day of school and 48% of students had arrived late for school in the two weeks prior to the PISA test. In Sweden, 10% of students had skipped a day of school and 53% of students had arrived late for school during that period. In most countries and economies, frequently bullied students were more likely to have skipped school, whereas students who valued school, enjoyed a better disciplinary climate and received greater emotional support from parents were less likely to have skipped school.

Figure 5. School climate

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) In every or most language-of-instruction lessons; (2) Very or extremely true; (3) Agreed or strongly agreed.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables III.B1.2.1, III.B1.3.1, III.B1.4.1, III.B1.8.1, III.B1.8.2 and III.B1.9.1

- Some 78% of students in Sweden (OECD average: 74%) agreed or strongly agreed that their teacher shows enjoyment in teaching. In most countries and economies, including in Sweden, students scored higher in reading when they perceived their teacher as more enthusiastic, especially when students said their teachers are interested in the subject.
In Sweden, 63% of students reported that their schoolmates co-operate with each other (OECD average: 62%) and 52% reported that they compete with each other (OECD average: 50%). Some 16% of students in Sweden (OECD average: 16%) agreed or strongly agreed that they feel lonely at school.

**How do students in Sweden feel about their lives and learning?**

- In Sweden, 67% of students (OECD average: 67%) reported that they are satisfied with their lives (students who reported between 7 and 10 on the 10-point life-satisfaction scale).
- Some 88% of students in Sweden reported sometimes or always feeling happy and about 5% of students reported always feeling sad. In most countries and economies, students were more likely to report positive feelings when they reported a stronger sense of belonging at school and greater student co-operation, and were more likely to express sadness when they were bullied more frequently.
- In Sweden, 83% of students agreed or strongly agreed that they can usually find a way out of difficult situations (OECD average: 84%), and 53% agreed or strongly agreed that, when they fail, they worry about what others think of them (OECD average: 56% of students). In almost every education system, including Sweden, girls expressed greater fear of failure than boys, and this gender gap was considerably wider amongst top-performing students.
- A majority of students across OECD countries holds a growth mindset (they disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statement "Your intelligence is something about you that you can’t change very much"). In Sweden, 63% of students hold a growth mindset.

**Figure 6. Student well-being and growth mindset**

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) Between 7 and 10 on the life-satisfaction scale; (2) Agreed or strongly agreed; (3) Disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Key features of PISA 2018

The content

- The PISA 2018 survey focused on reading, with mathematics, science and global competence as minor areas of assessment; Sweden did not participate in the assessment of global competence. PISA 2018 also included an assessment of young people’s financial literacy, which was optional for countries and economies. Results for reading, mathematics and science are released on 3 December 2019 and results for global competence and financial literacy in 2020.

The students

- Some 600 000 students completed the assessment in 2018, representing about 32 million 15-year-olds in the schools of the 79 participating countries and economies. In Sweden, 5 504 students, in 227 schools, completed the assessment, representing 93 129 15-year-old students (86% of the total population of 15-year-olds).

The assessment

- Computer-based tests were used in most countries, with assessments lasting a total of two hours. In reading, a multi-stage adaptive approach was applied in computer-based tests whereby students were assigned a block of test items based on their performance in preceding blocks.
- Test items were a mixture of multiple-choice questions and questions requiring students to construct their own responses. The items were organised into groups based on a passage of text describing a real-life situation. More than 15 hours of test items for reading, mathematics, science and global competence were covered, with different students taking different combinations of test items.
- Students also answered a background questionnaire, which took about 35 minutes to complete. The questionnaire sought information about the students themselves, their attitudes, dispositions and beliefs, their homes, and their school and learning experiences. School principals completed a questionnaire that covered school management and organisation, and the learning environment.
- Some countries/economies also distributed additional questionnaires to elicit more information. These included: in 19 countries/economies, a questionnaire for teachers asking about themselves and their teaching practices; and in 17 countries/economies, a questionnaire for parents asking them to provide information about their perceptions of and involvement in their child’s school and learning.
- Countries/economies could also chose to distribute three other optional questionnaires for students: 52 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire about students’ familiarity with computers; 32 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire about students’ expectations for further education; and 9 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire, developed for PISA 2018, about students’ well-being.
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Map of PISA countries and economies

OECD member countries

Australia  |  Lithuania
Australia  |  Luxembourg
Australia  |  Mexico
Belgium    |  Netherlands
Chile      |  New Zealand
Colombia   |  Norway
Czech Republic |  Poland
Denmark    |  Portugal
Estonia    |  Slovak Republic
Finland    |  Slovenia
France     |  Spain
Germany    |  Sweden
Greece     |  Switzerland
Hungary    |  Turkey
Iceland    |  United Kingdom
Ireland    |  United States*
Israel     |  United States*
Italy      |  United States*
Japan      |  United States*
Korea      |  United States*
Latvia     |  United States*

Partner countries and economies in PISA 2018

Albania  |  Argentina  |  Malaysia
Albania  |  Armenia  |  Malta
Baku (Azerbaijan)  |  Belarus  |  Republic of Moldova
Bosnia and Herzegovina  |  Brazil  |  Montenegro
Brazil  |  Brunei Darussalam  |  Morocco
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Bulgaria  |  Republic of North Macedonia
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Costa Rica  |  Panama
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Croatia  |  Peru
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Cyprus*  |  Philippines
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Dominican Republic  |  Qatar
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Georgia  |  Romania
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Hong Kong (China)  |  Russia
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Indonesia  |  Russian Federation
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Jordan  |  Saudi Arabia
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Kazakhstan  |  Serbia
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Kosovo  |  Singapore
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Lebanon  |  Chinese Taipei
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Macao (China)  |  Thailand
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Mauritius  |  United Arab Emirates
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Morocco  |  Uruguay
B-S-J-Z (China)**  |  Netherlands  |  Vietnam

Partner countries and economies in previous cycles

Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Liechtenstein
Alberta  |  Armenia  |  Mauritius
Algeria  |  Argentina  |  Mozambique
Algeria  |  Armenia  |  Myanmar
Algeria  |  Australia  |  Namibia
Algeria  |  Austria  |  Niger
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Norfolk Island
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Norway
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Papua New Guinea
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Poland
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Portugal
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Qatar
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Romania
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Russian Federation
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Romania
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Russian Federation
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Samoa
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Sao Tome and Principe
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  San Marino
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Saudi Arabia
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Senegal
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Serbia
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Singapore
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  South Africa
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  South Korea
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Spain
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Sri Lanka
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Suriname
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Sweden
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Switzerland
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Syria
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Taiwan
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Thailand
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Togo
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Tonga
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Trinidad and Tobago
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Tunisia
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Turkey
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Turkmenistan
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Tuvalu
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  United Arab Emirates
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  United Kingdom
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  United States*
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Uruguay
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Vanuatu
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Vietnam
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Yemen
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Zambia
Algeria  |  Azerbaijan  |  Zimbabwe

* Puerto Rico participated in the PISA 2015 assessment (as an unincorporated territory of the United States).

** B-S-J-Z (China)** refers to four PISA 2018 participating Chinese provinces/municipalities: Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang. In PISA 2015, the four PISA participating Chinese provinces/municipalities were: Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Guangdong.

1. **Note by Turkey:** The information in this document with reference to “Cyprus” relates to the southern part of the Island. There is no single authority representing both Turkish and Greek Cypriot people on the Island. Turkey recognises the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (TRNC). Until a lasting and equitable solution is found within the context of the United Nations, Turkey shall preserve its position concerning the “Cyprus issue”.

2. **Note by all the European Union Member States of the OECD and the European Union:** The Republic of Cyprus is recognised by all members of the United Nations with the exception of Turkey. The information in this document relates to the area under the effective control of the Government of the Republic of Cyprus.

This work is published under the responsibility of the Secretary-General of the OECD. The opinions expressed and arguments employed herein do not necessarily reflect the official views of OECD member countries.

This document, as well as any data and any map included herein, are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.

For more information about PISA 2018 visit http://www.oecd.org/pisa/

Data can also be found online by following the StatLinks under the tables and charts in the publication.
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