The Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) is a triennial survey of 15-year-old students that assesses the extent to which they have acquired the key knowledge and skills essential for full participation in society. The assessment focuses on proficiency in reading, mathematics, science and an innovative domain (in 2018, the innovative domain was global competence), and on students’ well-being.

Denmark

What 15-year-old students in Denmark know and can do

Figure 1. Snapshot of performance in reading, mathematics and science

- Students in Denmark scored higher than the OECD average in reading, mathematics and science.
- Compared to the OECD average, a similar share of students in Denmark performed at the highest levels of proficiency (Level 5 or 6) in at least one subject; while a larger proportion of students achieved a minimum level of proficiency (Level 2 or higher) in at least one subject.
What students know and can do in reading

- In Denmark, 84% of students attained at least Level 2 proficiency in reading (OECD average: 77%). At a minimum, these students can identify the main idea in a text of moderate length, find information based on explicit, though sometimes complex criteria, and can reflect on the purpose and form of texts when explicitly directed to do so.
- Some 8% of students in Denmark were top performers in reading, meaning that they attained Level 5 or 6 in the PISA reading test (OECD average: 9%). At these levels, students can comprehend lengthy texts, deal with concepts that are abstract or counterintuitive, and establish distinctions between fact and opinion, based on implicit cues pertaining to the content or source of the information. In 20 education systems, including those of 15 OECD countries, more than 10% of 15-year-old students were top performers.

What students know and can do in mathematics

- Some 85% of students in Denmark attained Level 2 or higher in mathematics (OECD average: 76%). At a minimum, these students can interpret and recognise, without direct instructions, how a (simple) situation can be represented mathematically (e.g., comparing the total distance across two alternative routes, or converting prices into a different currency). The share of 15-year-old students who attained minimum levels of proficiency in mathematics (Level 2 or higher) varied widely—from 98% in Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang (China) to 2% in Zambia, which participated in the PISA for Development assessment in 2017. On average across OECD countries, 76% of students attained at least Level 2 proficiency in mathematics.
- In Denmark, 12% of students scored at Level 5 or higher in mathematics (OECD average: 11%). Six Asian countries and economies had the largest shares of students who did so: Beijing, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Zhejiang (China) (44%), Singapore (37%), Hong Kong (China) (29%), Macao (China) (28%), Chinese Taipei (23%) and Korea (21%). These students can model complex situations mathematically, and can select, compare and evaluate appropriate problem-solving strategies for dealing with them.

What students know and can do in science

- Some 81% of students in Denmark attained Level 2 or higher in science (OECD average: 78%). At a minimum, these students can recognise the correct explanation for familiar scientific phenomena and can use such knowledge to identify, in simple cases, whether a conclusion is valid based on the data provided.
- In Denmark, 6% of students were top performers in science, meaning that they were proficient at Level 5 or 6 (OECD average: 7%). These students can creatively and autonomously apply their knowledge of and about science to a wide variety of situations, including unfamiliar ones.
Performance trends

Figure 2. Trends in performance in reading, mathematics and science

Notes:*indicates mean-performance estimates that are statistically significantly above or below PISA 2018 estimates for Denmark. The blue line indicates the average mean performance across OECD countries with valid data in all PISA assessments. The red dotted line indicates mean performance in Denmark. The black line represents a trend line for Denmark (line of best fit).

- Mean performance in reading remained stable, around a flat trend line, throughout Denmark’s participation in PISA. In mathematics and science too, no overall direction of the trend could be detected; however, in mathematics, a declining trend up to 2012 was followed by a (partial) recovery over the 2012-18 period, while in science, performance in 2018 was about nine score points lower, on average, than in 2015. The overall trend in mathematics performance was negative amongst the highest-achieving students (at the 90th percentile).
Where All Students Can Succeed

Figure 3. Differences in performance related to personal characteristics

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) Girls’ minus boys’ performance; (2) Advantaged minus disadvantaged students’ performance; (3) Immigrants’ minus non-immigrants’ performance in reading; After accounting for students’ and schools’ socio-economic profile.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables II.B1.2.3, II.B1.7.1, II.B1.7.3, II.B1.7.5 and II.B1.9.3.

Equity related to socio-economic status

- In Denmark, socio-economically advantaged students outperformed disadvantaged students in reading by 78 score points in PISA 2018. This is smaller than the average difference between the two groups (89 score points) across OECD countries. In PISA 2009, the performance gap related to socio-economic status was 81 score points in Denmark (and 87 score points on average across OECD countries).
- Some 16% of advantaged students in Denmark, but 3% of disadvantaged students, were top performers in reading in PISA 2018. On average across OECD countries, 17% of advantaged students, and 3% of disadvantaged students, were top performers in reading.
- Socio-economic status was a strong predictor of performance in mathematics and science in all PISA participating countries. It explained 10% of the variation in mathematics performance in PISA 2018 in Denmark (compared to 14% on average across OECD countries), and 12% of the variation in science performance (compared to the OECD average of 13% of the variation).
- Some 12% of disadvantaged students in Denmark were able to score in the top quarter of reading performance within Denmark, indicating that disadvantage is not destiny. On average across OECD countries, 11% of disadvantaged students scored amongst the highest performers in reading in their countries.
- In Denmark, low- and high-performing students are clustered in the same schools less often than the OECD average.
Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. The isolation indices ranging from 0 (no segregation) to 1 (full segregation) measure whether low-/high-performing students or disadvantaged students are more or less concentrated in some schools. See detailed description of the indices in Volume II Chapter 4.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables II.B1.4.1, II.B1.4.8, II.B1.5.13 and II.B1.5.14.

• School principals in Denmark reported less staff shortage and less material shortage than the OECD average; and school principals of disadvantaged schools more often reported staff shortage than principals of advantaged schools. In Denmark, 11% of students enrolled in a disadvantaged school and a negligible percentage of students enrolled in an advantaged school attend a school whose principal reported that the capacity of the school to provide instruction is hindered at least to some extent by a lack of teaching staff. On average across OECD countries, 34% of students in disadvantaged schools and 18% of students in advantaged schools attend such a school.

• Many students, especially disadvantaged students, hold lower ambitions than would be expected given their academic achievement. In Denmark, about one in four high-achieving disadvantaged students – but one in eight high-achieving advantaged students – do not expect to complete tertiary education.

**Equity related to gender**

• In all countries and economies that participated in PISA 2018, girls significantly outperformed boys in reading – by 30 score points on average across OECD countries. In Denmark, the gender gap in reading (29 score points) was not significantly different from the average gap. The gap was similar to that observed in 2009 (29 score points), and both boys’ and girls’ performance remained stable over the period.

• In Denmark, girls scored similar to boys in mathematics. Across OECD countries, boys outperformed girls by five score points. While girls slightly outperformed boys in science (by two score points) on average across OECD countries in PISA 2018, in Denmark girls and boys performed similarly in science.
Amongst high-performing students in mathematics or science, about one in three boys in Denmark expect to work as an engineer or science professional at the age of 30, while one in six girls expects to do so. Three in ten high-performing girls expect to work in health-related professions, while one in nine high-performing boys expects to do so. Some 6% of boys and a negligible percentage of girls in Denmark expect to work in ICT-related professions.

Equity related to immigrant background

- In 2018, some 11% of students in Denmark had an immigrant background, up from 9% in 2009. Amongst these immigrant students, four in seven were socio-economically disadvantaged.
- The average difference in reading performance between immigrant and non-immigrant students in Denmark was 65 score points in favour of non-immigrant students. After accounting for students’ and schools’ socio-economic profile the difference shrank to 34 score points.
- On average across OECD countries, 17% of them scored in the top quarter of reading performance in 2018. In Denmark, 9% of immigrant students performed at that level.
What School Life Means for Students’ Lives

How is the school climate in Denmark?

- In Denmark, 21% of students reported being bullied at least a few times a month, compared to 23% on average across OECD countries. At the same time, 92% of students in Denmark (and 88% of students on average across OECD countries) agreed or strongly agreed that it is a good thing to help students who cannot defend themselves.
- Some 14% of students in Denmark (OECD average: 26%) reported that, in every or most language-of-instruction lessons, their teacher has to wait a long time for students to quiet down.
- On average across OECD countries, 21% of students had skipped a day of school and 48% of students had arrived late for school in the two weeks prior to the PISA test. In Denmark, 20% of students had skipped a day of school and 48% of students had arrived late for school during that period. In most countries and economies, frequently bullied students were more likely to have skipped school, whereas students who valued school, enjoyed a better disciplinary climate and received greater emotional support from parents were less likely to have skipped school.

Figure 5. School climate

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) In every or most language-of-instruction lessons; (2) Very or extremely true; (3) Agreed or strongly agreed.
Source: OECD, PISA 2018 Database, Tables III.B1.2.1, III.B1.3.1, III.B1.4.1, III.B1.8.1, III.B1.8.2 and III.B1.9.1

- Some 83% of students in Denmark (OECD average: 74%) agreed or strongly agreed that their teacher shows enjoyment in teaching. In most countries and economies, including in Denmark, students scored higher in reading when they perceived their teacher as more enthusiastic, especially when students said their teachers are interested in the subject.
- In Denmark, 81% of students reported that their schoolmates co-operate with each other (OECD average: 62%) and 43% reported that they compete with each other (OECD average: 50%).
Some 11% of students in Denmark (OECD average: 16%) agreed or strongly agreed that they feel lonely at school.

**How do students in Denmark feel about their lives and learning?**

- Some 91% of students in Denmark reported sometimes or always feeling happy and about 3% of students reported always feeling sad. In most countries and economies, students were more likely to report positive feelings when they reported a stronger sense of belonging at school and greater student co-operation, and were more likely to express sadness when they were bullied more frequently.
- In Denmark, 90% of students agreed or strongly agreed that they can usually find a way out of difficult situations (OECD average: 84%), and 58% agreed or strongly agreed that, when they fail, they worry about what others think of them (OECD average: 56% of students). In almost every education system, including Denmark, girls expressed greater fear of failure than boys, and this gender gap was considerably wider amongst top-performing students.
- A majority of students across OECD countries holds a growth mindset (they disagreed or strongly disagreed with the statement “Your intelligence is something about you that you can’t change very much”). In Denmark, 75% of students hold a growth mindset.

**Figure 6. Student well-being and growth mindset**

![Chart showing student well-being and growth mindset](chart.png)

Notes: Only countries and economies with available data are shown. (1) Between 7 and 10 on the life-satisfaction scale; (2) Agreed or strongly agreed; (3) Disagreed or strongly disagreed.

Key features of PISA 2018

The content

- The PISA 2018 survey focused on reading, with mathematics, science and global competence as minor areas of assessment; Denmark did not participate in the assessment of global competence. PISA 2018 also included an assessment of young people's financial literacy, which was optional for countries and economies. Results for reading, mathematics and science are released on 3 December 2019 and results for global competence and financial literacy in 2020.

The students

- Some 600 000 students completed the assessment in 2018, representing about 32 million 15-year-olds in the schools of the 79 participating countries and economies. In Denmark, 7 657 students, in 371 schools, completed the assessment, representing 59 967 15-year-old students (88% of the total population of 15-year-olds).

The assessment

- Computer-based tests were used in most countries, with assessments lasting a total of two hours. In reading, a multi-stage adaptive approach was applied in computer-based tests whereby students were assigned a block of test items based on their performance in preceding blocks.
- Test items were a mixture of multiple-choice questions and questions requiring students to construct their own responses. The items were organised into groups based on a passage of text describing a real-life situation. More than 15 hours of test items for reading, mathematics, science and global competence were covered, with different students taking different combinations of test items.
- Students also answered a background questionnaire, which took about 35 minutes to complete. The questionnaire sought information about the students themselves, their attitudes, dispositions and beliefs, their homes, and their school and learning experiences. School principals completed a questionnaire that covered school management and organisation, and the learning environment.
- Some countries/economies also distributed additional questionnaires to elicit more information. These included: in 19 countries/economies, a questionnaire for teachers asking about themselves and their teaching practices; and in 17 countries/economies, a questionnaire for parents asking them to provide information about their perceptions of and involvement in their child’s school and learning.
- Countries/economies could also chose to distribute three other optional questionnaires for students: 52 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire about students’ familiarity with computers; 32 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire about students’ expectations for further education; and 9 countries/economies distributed a questionnaire, developed for PISA 2018, about students’ well-being.
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