

Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

08-Aug-2012

English - Or. English

ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE
JOINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND
THE WORKING PARTY ON CHEMICALS, PESTICIDES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY

STREAMLINED SUMMARY DOCUMENT RELATED TO THE FLUORESCEIN LEAKAGE (FL) TEST METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION OF OCULAR CORROSIVES AND SEVERE IRRITANTS (TG 460)

Series on Testing and Assessment

No. 180

JT03325255

ENV/JM/MONO(2012)28

OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications Series on Testing and Assessment

No. 180

STREAMLINED SUMMARY DOCUMENT RELATED TO THE FLUORESCEIN LEAKAGE (FL) TEST METHOD FOR IDENTIFICATION OF OCULAR CORROSIVES AND SEVERE IRRITANTS (TG 460)



Environment Directorate
ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT
Paris 2012

Also published in the Series on Testing and Assessment:

- No. 1, Guidance Document for the Development of OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals (1993; reformatted 1995, most recently revised 2009)
- No. 2, Detailed Review Paper on Biodegradability Testing (1995)
- No. 3, Guidance Document for Aquatic Effects Assessment (1995)
- No. 4, Report of the OECD Workshop on Environmental Hazard/Risk Assessment (1995)
- No. 5, Report of the SETAC/OECD Workshop on Avian Toxicity Testing (1996)
- No. 6, Report of the Final Ring-test of the Daphnia magna Reproduction Test (1997)
- No. 7, Guidance Document on Direct Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water (1997)
- No. 8, Report of the OECD Workshop on Sharing Information about New Industrial Chemicals Assessment (1997)
- No. 9, Guidance Document for the Conduct of Studies of Occupational Exposure to Pesticides during Agricultural Application (1997)
- No. 10, Report of the OECD Workshop on Statistical Analysis of Aquatic Toxicity Data (1998)
- No. 11, Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Testing Methods for Pesticides and industrial Chemicals (1998)
- No. 12, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Germ Cell Mutagenicity in OECD Member Countries (1998)
- No. 13, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Sensitising Substances in OECD Member Countries 1998)
- No. 14, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Eye Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries (1998)
- No. 15, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Reproductive Toxicity in OECD Member Countries (1998)
- No. 16, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Skin Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries (1998)

- No. 17, Environmental Exposure Assessment Strategies for Existing Industrial Chemicals in OECD Member Countries (1999)
- No. 18, Report of the OECD Workshop on Improving the Use of Monitoring Data in the Exposure Assessment of Industrial Chemicals (2000)
- No. 19, Guidance Document on the Recognition, Assessment and Use of Clinical Signs as Humane Endpoints for Experimental Animals used in Safety Evaluation (1999)
- No. 20, Revised Draft Guidance Document for Neurotoxicity Testing (2004)
- No. 21, Detailed Review Paper: Appraisal of Test Methods for Sex Hormone Disrupting Chemicals (2000)
- No. 22, Guidance Document for the Performance of Out-door Monolith Lysimeter Studies (2000)
- No. 23, Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures (2000)
- No. 24, Guidance Document on Acute Oral Toxicity Testing (2001)
- No. 25, Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Specifics Target Organ Systemic Toxicity Repeated Exposure in OECD Member Countries (2001)
- No. 26, Revised Analysis of Responses Received from Member Countries to the Questionnaire on Regulatory Acute Toxicity Data Needs (2001)
- No 27, Guidance Document on the Use of the Harmonised System for the Classification of Chemicals which are Hazardous for the Aquatic Environment (2001)
- No 28, Guidance Document for the Conduct of Skin Absorption Studies (2004)
- No 29, Guidance Document on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media (2001)
- No 30, Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Mixtures (2001)
- No 31, Detailed Review Paper on Non-Genotoxic Carcinogens Detection: The Performance of In-Vitro Cell Transformation Assays (2007)

- No. 32, Guidance Notes for Analysis and Evaluation of Repeat-Dose Toxicity Studies (2000)
- No. 33, Harmonised Integrated Classification System for Human Health and Environmental Hazards of Chemical Substances and Mixtures (2001)
- No. 34, Guidance Document on the Development, Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of New and Updated Internationally Acceptable Test Methods in Hazard Assessment (2005)
- No. 35, Guidance Notes for Analysis and Evaluation of Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Studies (2002)
- No. 36, Report of the OECD/UNEP Workshop on the Use of Multimedia Models for Estimating Overall Environmental Persistence and Long Range Transport in the Context of PBTS/POPS Assessment (2002)
- No. 37, Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Substances which Pose an Aspiration Hazard (2002)
- No. 38, Detailed Background Review of the Uterotrophic Assay Summary of the Available Literature in Support of the Project of the OECD Task Force on Endocrine Disrupters Testing and Assessment (EDTA) to Standardise and Validate the Uterotrophic Assay (2003)
- No. 39, Guidance Document on Acute Inhalation Toxicity Testing (2009)
- No. 40, Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures which Cause Respiratory Tract Irritation and Corrosion (2003)
- No. 41, Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures which in Contact with Water Release Toxic Gases (2003)
- No. 42, Guidance Document on Reporting Summary Information on Environmental, Occupational and Consumer Exposure (2003)
- No. 43, Guidance Document on Mammalian Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Assessment (2008)
- No. 44, Description of Selected Key Generic Terms Used in Chemical Hazard/Risk Assessment (2003)
- No. 45, Guidance Document on the Use of Multimedia Models for Estimating Overall Environmental Persistence and Long-range Transport (2004)

- No. 46, Detailed Review Paper on Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances (2004)
- No. 47, Detailed Review Paper on Fish Screening Assays for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2004)
- No. 48, New Chemical Assessment Comparisons and Implications for Work Sharing (2004)
- No. 49, Report from the Expert Group on (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationships [(Q)SARs] on the Principles for the Validation of (Q)SARs (2004)
- No. 50, Report of the OECD/IPCS Workshop on Toxicogenomics (2005)
- No. 51, Approaches to Exposure Assessment in OECD Member Countries: Report from the Policy Dialogue on Exposure Assessment in June 2005 (2006)
- No. 52, Comparison of Emission Estimation Methods Used in Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) and Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs): Case Study of Pulp and Paper and Textile Sectors (2006)
- No. 53, Guidance Document on Simulated Freshwater Lentic Field Tests (Outdoor Microcosms and Mesocosms) (2006)
- No. 54, Current Approaches in the Statistical Analysis of Ecotoxicity Data: A Guidance to Application (2006)
- No. 55, Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Arthropods in Life Cycle Toxicity Tests with an Emphasis on Developmental, Reproductive and Endocrine Disruptive Effects (2006)
- No. 56, Guidance Document on the Breakdown of Organic Matter in Litter Bags (2006)
- No. 57, Detailed Review Paper on Thyroid Hormone Disruption Assays (2006)
- No. 58, Report on the Regulatory Uses and Applications in OECD Member Countries of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models in the Assessment of New and Existing Chemicals (2006)
- No. 59, Report of the Validation of the Updated Test Guideline 407: Repeat Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Laboratory Rats (2006)

- No. 60, Report of the Initial Work towards the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1A) (2006)
- No. 61, Report of the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1B) (2006)
- No. 62, Final OECD Report of the Initial Work towards the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase-1, Androgenic Response to Testosterone Propionate, and Anti-Androgenic Effects of Flutamide (2006)
- No. 63, Guidance Document on the Definition of Residue (2006)
- No. 64, Guidance Document on Overview of Residue Chemistry Studies (2006)
- No. 65, OECD Report of the Initial Work towards the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Assay Phase 1 (2006)
- No. 66, OECD Report of the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Bioassay: Phase 2. Testing of Potent and Weak Oestrogen Agonists by Multiple Laboratories (2006)
- No. 67, Additional Data Supporting the Test Guideline on the Uterotrophic Bioassay in rodents (2007)
- No. 68, Summary Report of the Uterotrophic Bioassay Peer Review Panel, including Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow up of this Report (2006)
- No. 69, Guidance Document on the Validation of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models (2007)
- No. 70, Report on the Preparation of GHS Implementation by the OECD Countries (2007)
- No. 71, Guidance Document on the Uterotrophic Bioassay Procedure to Test for Antioestrogenicity (2007)
- No. 72, Guidance Document on Pesticide Residue Analytical Methods (2007)
- No. 73, Report of the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase 3: Coded Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and Negative Reference Chemicals by Multiple Laboratories. Surgical Castrate Model Protocol (2007)
- No. 74, Detailed Review Paper for Avian Two-generation Toxicity Testing (2007)

- No. 75, Guidance Document on the Honey Bee (Apis Mellifera L.) Brood test Under Semi-field Conditions (2007)
- No. 76, Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances: Phase 1 Optimisation of the Test Protocol (2007)
- No. 77, Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay: Phase 2 Multi-chemical Interlaboratory Study (2007)
- No. 78, Final Report of the Validation of the 21-day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances, Phase 2: Testing Negative Substances (2007)
- No. 79, Validation Report of the Full Life-cycle Test with the Harpacticoid Copepods Nitocra Spinipes and Amphiascus Tenuiremis and the Calanoid Copepod Acartia Tonsa Phase 1 (2007)
- No. 80, Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals (2007)
- No. 81, Summary Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Updated Test Guideline 407, and Agreement of the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2007)
- No. 82, Guidance Document on Amphibian Thyroid Histology (2007)
- No. 83, Summary Report of the Peer Review Panel on the Stably Transfected Transcriptional Activation Assay for Detecting Estrogenic Activity of Chemicals, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2007)
- No. 84, Report on the Workshop on the Application of the GHS Classification Criteria to HPV Chemicals, 5-6 July, Bern Switzerland (2007)
- No. 85, Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Hershberger Bioassay, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2007)
- No. 86, Report of the OECD Validation of the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay: Phase 2: Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and a 5 α-Reductase Inhibitor in Dose Response Studies by Multiple Laboratories (2008)

- No. 87, Report of the Ring Test and Statistical Analysis of Performance of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/ Dissolution Protocol) (2008)
- No. 88, Workshop on Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment (2008)
- No. 89, Retrospective Performance Assessment of the Test Guideline 426 on Developmental Neurotoxicity (2008)
- No. 90, Background Review Document on the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay (2008)
- No. 91, Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay (Phase 3) (2008)
- No. 92, Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2008)
- No. 93, Report of the Validation of an Enhancement of OECD TG 211: Daphnia Magna Reproduction Test (2008)
- No. 94, Report of the Validation Peer Review for the 21-Day Fish Endocrine Screening Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-Up of this Report (2008)
- No. 95, Detailed Review Paper on Fish Life-Cycle Tests (2008)
- No. 96, Guidance Document on Magnitude of Pesticide Residues in Processed Commodities (2008)
- No. 97, Detailed Review Paper on the use of Metabolising Systems for In Vitro Testing of Endocrine Disruptors (2008)
- No. 98, Considerations Regarding Applicability of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/Dissolution Protocol) (2008)
- No. 99, Comparison between OECD Test Guidelines and ISO Standards in the Areas of Ecotoxicology and Health Effects (2008)
- No. 100, Report of the Second Survey on Available Omics Tools (2009)

- No. 101, Report of the Workshop on Structural Alerts for the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox, 15-16 May 2008, Utrecht, the Netherlands (2009)
- No. 102, Guidance Document for using the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox to Develop Chemical Categories According to the OECD Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals (2009)
- No. 103, Detailed Review Paper on Transgenic Rodent Mutation Assays (2009)
- No. 104, Performance Assessment: Comparsion of 403 and CxT Protocols via Simulation and for Selected Real Data Sets (2009)
- No. 105, Report on Biostatistical Performance Assessment of the draft TG 436: Acute Toxic Class Testing Method for Acute Inhalation Toxicity (2009)
- No. 106, Guidance Document for Histologic Evaluation of Endocrine and Reproductive Test in Rodents (2009)
- No. 107, Preservative Treated Wood to the Environment for Wood Held in Storage after Treatment and for Wooden Commodities that are not Covered and are not in Contact with Ground. (2009)
- No. 108, Report of the Validation of the Hershberger Bioassay (weanling model) (2009)
- No. 109, Literature Review on the 21-Day Fish Assay and the Fish Short-Term Reproduction Assay (2009)
- No. 110, Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Weanling Hershberger Bioassay and Agreement of the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2009)
- No. 111, Report of the Expert Consultation to Evaluate an Estrogen Receptor Binding Affinity Model for Hazard Identification (2009)
- No. 112, The 2007 OECD List of High Production Volume Chemicals (2009)
- No. 113, Report of the Focus Session on Current and Forthcoming Approaches for Chemical Safety and Animal Welfare (2010)
- No. 114, Performance Assessment of Different Cytotoxic and Cytostatic Measures for the In Vitro Micronucleus Test (MNVIT): Summary of results in the collaborative trial (2010)

- No. 115, Guidance Document on the Weanling Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-term Screening Assay for (Anti) Androgenic Properties (2009)
- No. 116, Guidance Document 116 on the Conduct and Design of Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Studies, Supporting Test Guidelines 451, 452 and $453 2^{nd}$ Edition (2011)
- No. 117, Guidance Document 117 on the Current Implementation of Internal Triggers in Test Guideline 443 for an Extended One Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study, in the United States and Canada (2011)
- No. 118, Workshop Report on OECD Countries' Activities Regarding Testing, Assessment and Management of Endocrine Disrupters Part I and Part II (2010)
- No. 119, Classification and Labelling of chemicals according to the UN Globally Harmonized System: Outcome of the Analysis of Classification of Selected Chemicals Listed in Annex III of the Rotterdam Convention (2010)
- No. 120, Part 1: Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism-based Structural Alerts for the Identification of DNA Binding Chemicals (2010)
- No. 120, Part 2: Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism-based Structural Alerts for the Identification of DNA Binding Chemicals (2010)
- No. 121, Detailed Review Paper (DRP) on Molluscs Life-cycle Toxicity Testing (2010)
- No. 122, Guidance Document on the Determination of the Toxicity of a Test Chemical to the Dung Beetle Aphodius Constans (2010)
- No. 123, Guidance Document on the Diagnosis of Endocrinerelated Histopathology in Fish Gonads (2010)
- No. 124, Guidance for the Derivation of an Acute Reference Dose (2010)
- No. 125, Guidance Document on Histopathology for Inhalation Toxicity Studies, Supporting TG 412 (Subacute Inhalation Toxicity: 28-Day) and TG 413 (Subchronic Inhalation Toxicity: 90-Day) (2010)
- No. 126, Short Guidance on the Threshold Approach for Acute Fish Toxicity (2010)

- No. 127, Peer Review Report of the Validation of the 21-Day Androgenised Female Stickleback Screening Assay (2010)
- No. 128, Validation Report of the 21-Day Androgenised Female Stickleback Screening Assay (2010)
- No. 129, Guidance Document on Using Cytotoxicity Tests to Estimate Starting Doses for Acute Oral Systemic Toxicity Tests (2010)
- No. 131, Report of the Test Method Validation of Avian Acute Oral Toxicity Test (OECD Test Guideline 223) (2010)
- No. 132, Report of the Multi-Laboratory Validation of the H295R Steroidogenesis Assay to Identify Modulators (2010)
- No.133, Peer Review Report for the H295R Cell-Based Assay for Steroidogenesis (2010)
- No.134, Report of the Validation of a Soil Bioaccumulation Test with Terrestrial Oligochaetes by an International ring test (2010)
- No.135, Detailed Review Paper on Environmental Endocrine Disruptor Screening: The use of Estrogen and Androgen Receptor Binding and Transactivation Assays in Fish (2010)
- No. 136, Validation Report of the Chironomid Full Life-Cycle Toxicity Test (2010)
- No. 137, Explanatory Background Document to the OECD Test Guideline on In Vitro Skin Irritation Testing (2010)
- No. 138, Report of the Workshop on Using Mechanistic Information in Forming Chemical Categories (2011)
- No. 139, Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism Based Structural Alerts for the Identification of Protein-binding Chemicals (2011)
- No. 140, Report of the WHO/OECD/ILSI (Hesi) Workshop on Risk Assessment of Combined Exposures to Multiple Chemicals (2011)
- No. 141, Report of the Phase 1 of the Validation of the Fish Sexual Development Test for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2011)
- No. 142, Report of the Phase 2 of the Validation of the Fish Sexual Development Test for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2011)

- No. 143, Peer Review Report for the Validation of the Fish Sexual Development Test and Agreement of the Working Group of National Co-ordinators of the Test Guideline Programme on the Follow-up of the Peer Review (2011)
- No. 144, Validation Report for the Acute Chironomid Assay (2011)
- No. 145, Transgenic Rodent Somatic and Germ Cell Gene Mutation Assay: Retrospective Performance Assessment (2011)
- No. 146, Syrian Hamster Embryonic (SHE) Cell PH 6.7 Cell Transformation Assay Prevalidation Study Report (2012)
- No. 147, Syrian Hamster Embryonic (SHE) Cell PH 7.0 Cell Transformation Assay Prevalidation Study Report (2012)
- No. 148, Guidance Document on the Androgenised Female Stickleback Screen (2011)
- No. 149, Validation Report of the Balb/c 3T3 Cell Transformation Assay (2012)
- No. 152, Case Study: Assessment of an Extended Chemical Category, the Short-chain Methacrylates, Targeted on Bioaccumulation (2011)
- No. 153, Guidance Document for the Derivation of an Acute Reference Concentration (Arfc) (2011)
- No. 154, Validation Report: Part 1 Validation of Efficacy Methods for Antimicrobials used on Hard Surfaces (2011)
- No. 154, Validation Report: Part 2 Validation of Efficacy Methods for Antimicrobials used on Hard Surfaces (2011)
- No. 155, Peer Review for the Validation of the Modified Skin Irritation Test Method using LabyCyte EPI-MODEL24; Additional Studies; and Agreement of the Working Group of National Coordinators on the Follow-up to the Peer Review (2011)
- No. 156, Guidance Notes on Dermal Absorption (2011)
- No. 157, Validation Report Phase 1 for the Zebrafish Embryo Toxicity Test (2011)
- No. 158, Report of Progress on the Interlaboratory Validation of the OECD Harpacticoid Copepod Development and Reproduction Test (2011)

- No. 159, Validation Report for the Skin Irritation Test Method using Labcyte Epi-Model24 (2011)
- No. 160, Guidance Document on the Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability (BCOP) and Isolated Chicken Eye (ICE) Test Methods: Collection of Tissues for Histological Evaluation and Collection of Data on Non-Severe Irritants (2011)
- No. 161, Peer Review Report for the Validation of the Stably Transfected Transcriptional Activation Assay for the Detection of Androgenic and Anti-Androgenic Activity of Chemicals (2011)
- No. 165, Guidance Document on Crop Field Trials (2011)
- No. 166, SIDS Initial Assessment Profiles agreed in the course of the OECD HPV Chemicals Programme from 1993 to 2013 (2012)
- No. 167, Crosswalk of Harmonized U.S. Canada Industrial Function and Consumer and Commercial Product Categories with EU Chemical Product and Article Categories (2012)
- No. 168, The Adverse Outcome Pathway for Skin Sensitisation Initiated by Covalent Binding to Proteins Binding to Proteins (2012)
- No. 169, Use of the AOP to Develop Chemical Categories and Integrated Assessment and Testing Approaches (2012)
- No. 170, Draft Guidance Document for Demonstrating Efficacy of Pool and Spa Disinfectants and Field Testing (2012)
- No. 171, Fish Toxicity Testing Framework (2012)
- No. 172, Validity Report (Phase 2) for the Zebrafish Embryo Toxicity Test (2012)
- No. 173, Performance Standards for Stably Transfected Transactivation in vitro Assays to Detect Estrogen Agonists for TG 455 Guidance Document on Standardised Test (2012)
- No. 174, Performance Standards for the BG1Luc ER TA Transactivation Method to Detect Estrogen Receptor Antagonists (2012)
- No. 175, Validation Report of a Ring Test for the OECD 305 Dietary Exposure Bioaccumulation Fish Test (Part 1) with Additional Report Including Comparative Analysis of Trout and Carp Results (Part II) (2012)
- No. 176, Report on the Retrospective Analysis of 2-Generation Reprotoxicity Data (2012)

ENV/JM/MONO(2012)28

No. 177, Guidance Document on Standardised Test Guidelines for Evaluating Chemicals for Endocrine Disruption (2012)

No. 178, Detailed Review Paperstate of the Science on Novel In Vitro and In Vivo Screening and Testing Methods and Endpoints for Evaluating Endocrine Disruptors (2012)

No. 179, Draft Validation Report (Phase 2) for the Zebrafish Embryo Toxicity Test (2012)

© OECD 2012

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, RIGHTS@oecd.org. OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

ABOUT THE OECD

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an intergovernmental organisation in which representatives of 34 industrialised countries in North and South America, Europe and the Asia and Pacific region, as well as the European Commission, meet to co-ordinate and harmonise policies, discuss issues of mutual concern, and work together to respond to international problems. Most of the OECD's work is carried out by more than 200 specialised committees and working groups composed of member country delegates. Observers from several countries with special status at the OECD, and from interested international organisations, attend many of the OECD's workshops and other meetings. Committees and working groups are served by the OECD Secretariat, located in Paris, France, which is organised into directorates and divisions.

The Environment, Health and Safety Division publishes free-of-charge documents in ten different series: Testing and Assessment; Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring; Pesticides and Biocides; Risk Management; Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology; Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds; Chemical Accidents; Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers; Emission Scenario Documents; and Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials. More information about the Environment, Health and Safety Programme and EHS publications is available on the OECD's World Wide Web site (www.oecd.org/ehs/).

This publication was developed in the IOMC context. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or stated policies of individual IOMC Participating Organisations.

The Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen co-operation and increase international co-ordination in the field of chemical safety. The Participating Organisations are FAO, ILO, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD. UNDP is an observer. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote co-ordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organisations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

This publication is available electronically, at no charge.

For this and many other Environment, Health and Safety publications, consult the OECD's World Wide Web site (www.oecd.org/ehs/)

or contact:

OECD Environment Directorate, Environment, Health and Safety Division 2 rue André-Pascal 75775 Paris Cedex 16 France

Fax: (33-1) 44 30 61 80

E-mail: ehscont@oecd.org

FOREWORD

This streamlined summary document (SSD) was developed to address a request from the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme (WNT) at its 2011 meeting. It was developed by a Secretariat consultant and submitted at the meeting of an expert group on eye irritation/corrosion, which was held on 29-30 September 2011 at the European Centre for Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) (JRC, Italy). A first draft was submitted to the WNT in November 2011, for information, when approval of the draft Test Guideline for the Fluorescein Leakage Test Method was requested from the WNT by written procedure.

The draft document was approved with a few changes at the WNT meeting that was held on 24-27 April 2012; the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology (hereafter Joint Meeting) agreed to its declassification on 7 August 2012.

This document is published under the responsibility of the Joint Meeting.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 1. Throughout Europe and the USA, the Fluorescein Leakage test method (FL) assay has been used by industry, as a screening step to detect potential eye irritants, in the early developmental phase of product ingredients and formulations. EC-ECVAM conducted a retrospective validation study of the (FL), and evaluated several different INVITTOX protocols (1). The Fluorescein Leakage (FL) test method is an in vitro test method that can be used under certain circumstances and with specific limitations to classify chemicals (substances and mixtures) as ocular corrosives and severe irritants, as defined by the United Nations (UN) Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (Category 1), the European Union (EU) Regulation on Classification, Labelling and Packaging of Substances and Mixtures (CLP) (Category 1), and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (Category I) (2) (3) (4). Severe irritants are defined as chemicals that cause tissue damage in the eye following test substance administration that is not reversible within 21 days or causes serious physical decay of vision, while ocular corrosives are chemicals that cause irreversible tissue damage to the eye. These chemicals are classified as UN GHS Cat. 1, EU CLP Cat. 1, or U.S. EPA Cat. I. While the FL test method is not considered valid as a complete replacement for the *in vivo* rabbit eye test (5), the FL is recommended for use as part of a tiered testing strategy for regulatory classification and labelling. Thus, the FL is recommended as an initial step within a Top-Down approach to identify ocular corrosives/severe irritants, specifically for limited types of chemicals (i.e. water soluble substances and mixtures) (1) (6). However, a chemical that is not predicted as ocular corrosive or severe irritant with the FL test method would need to be tested in one or more additional test methods (in vitro and/or in vivo) that are capable of accurately identifying i) chemicals that are in vitro false negative ocular corrosives/severe irritants in the FL (UN GHS Cat. 1; EU CLP Cat. 1; U.S. EPA Cat. I): ii) chemicals that are not classified for eve corrosion/irritation (UN GHS No Cat.: EU CLP No Cat.; U.S. EPA Cat. IV); and/or iii) chemicals that are moderate/mild eye irritants (UN GHS Cat. 2A and 2B; EU CLP Cat. 2; U.S. EPA Cat. II and III).
- 2. The comprehensive Background Review Document (BRD)(1) evaluates four different INVITTOX protocols (Nos. 71, 82, 86 and 120); however, the ECVAM Scientific Advisory Committee (ESAC) only recommended the use of INVITTOX protocol No. 71 (1) (7) and the performance presented in this document is based on results from the No. 71 protocol. However, for intra, and inter-laboratory reproducibility, also other protocols have been considered. The tables for chemical classes, performance and list of chemicals and formulations are based on the INVITTOX No. 71 protocol. In addition, several other studies not cited in this document are also evaluated by the BRD. Annex 1 is a list the 60 evaluated chemicals tested in 4 laboratories with comparisons of *in vivo* and *in vitro* ocular irritancy classifications.

SCIENTIFIC BASIS FOR THE FL TEST

3. The integrity of trans-epithelial permeability is a major function of an epithelium such as that found in the conjunctiva and the cornea. Trans-epithelial permeability is controlled by various tight junctions. Increasing the permeability of the corneal epithelium *in vivo* has been shown to correlate with the level of inflammation and surface damage observed as eye irritation develops. In the FL test method, toxic effects after a short exposure time to the test chemical are measured by an increase in permeability of sodium fluorescein through the epithelial monolayer of Madin-Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells cultured on permeable inserts. The amount of fluorescein leakage that occurs is proportional to the chemical-induced damage to the tight junctions, desmosomal junctions and cell membranes, and can be used to estimate the ocular toxicity potential of a test chemical. The FL assay was developed by Tchao (1988) (8) as a model for detecting materials that are potentially irritating to the eye. *In vivo*, the tight junctions and desmosomes of the corneal epithelium prevent solutes and foreign materials moving into the cornea. Solutes in the cornea can induce water to move by osmosis into the cornea, thus causing oedema. Loss of trans-epithelial impermeability, due to damaged tight junctions and desmosomes, is one of the early events in chemical-induced ocular irritation. A confluent layer of MDCK cells consists of inter-cellular tight junctions and desmosomes. The

confluent monolayer used in the FL assay is non-proliferating, which models the non-proliferating state of the *in vivo* corneal epithelium. Whilst desmosomes maintain cell to cell adhesion, tight junctions form between adjacent cells and form a permeability barrier that can prevent the movement of molecules as small as 350 MW. Tight junctions are found at the apical surfaces of conjunctiva, corneal and skin epithelia. It is assumed that a significant part of ocular irritation is related to the state and ability of the corneal epithelium to act as a barrier against foreign and potentially irritant material. Increasing the permeability of the corneal epithelium *in vivo* has been found to accompany the inflammation and surface damage observed when eye irritation develops.

IDENTIFIED LIMITATIONS, WEAKNESSES AND STRENGTHS

4. The FL assay has predominately been used to test surfactant and surfactant-based materials. There is limited data regarding the predictive capacity of the FL assay for other chemical classes. In summary, the FL assay has many features rendering it suitable as an *in vitro* model for predicting *in vivo* ocular irritation, *e.g.*, relevant cell types, chemical concentrations, exposures, and endpoint. The FL assay is particularly advantageous in that it allows effects to be measured, which occur prior to, or even independent of cell death. Often, cell death does not occur in the cases of mild irritation and therefore a sensitive assay like the FL assay is essential (1).

<u>Table 1:</u> Physicochemical properties and compatibility with the FL test, from Table 2.1.3 of the FL BRD(1)

	FL assay capable of testing materials with this physicochemical
Physicochemical Property	property?
Alcohol	Yes
Fixative	No
Gases	No
Liquids	Yes (if aqueous soluble)
Solid materials	Yes (if aqueous soluble, but cannot be tested in its solid form)
Emulsions	Yes
Granular materials	Yes (if forms stable emulsion)
Suspensions	Impaired***
Coloured materials	Impaired**
Toxicity affected by dilution	No*
Highly viscous materials	Impaired
Volatile materials	Impaired
Reactive chemistries	No
Hydrophobic/lipophilic chemicals	No
Neat concentrations of chemicals	Yes
MW > 350	No

^{*} the FL assay is unable to measure the toxicity of chemicals that have basic toxic mechanisms which are affected by dilution.

5. The test method is not recommended for the identification of non-irritant (not classified) and mild/moderate irritant chemicals (substances and mixtures), strong acids and bases, cell fixatives, and highly volatile compounds. Due to the short exposure periods, the FL assay generally measures the effects of relatively high chemical concentrations. FL assay data differs from many other *in vitro* cytotoxicity assays which measure the effects of relatively low chemical concentrations and longer exposures on cell viability and replication rates. An advantage of the short incubation period used in the FL assay is that water-based ingredients and formulations can be tested neat if they can be easily removed after the short exposure period. This allows more direct comparisons of the FL assay results with the chemical effects *in vivo*.

^{**} the FL assay is able to measure coloured materials which can be fully removed from the insert following the chemical exposure and therefore do not interfere with OD readings.

^{***} solid materials suspended in liquid have the propensity to precipitate out and the final concentration exposed to cells can be difficult to determine.

- 6. A problem associated with the short exposure period of the FL assay is the difficulty of efficiently removing the test materials after the short exposure period. This is particularly true for viscous materials, such as gels and creams which are the type of materials often tested using the FL assay. Due to the short exposure period, the mild materials often need to be tested neat in order to produce a response which can be measured. Therefore the problems associated with viscous materials cannot be reduced by dilution. Test materials can also bind to the insert membrane, thus making their removal very difficult. Chemical binding to the insert membrane is more common for cationic materials, such as benzalkonium chloride, which are attracted to the positively charged membrane. Increased washing steps to remove the test material from the insert can also lead to insert membrane damage and thus erroneous results. Alternatively, if test materials are not removed fully and/or efficiently, they can potentially physically block the passage of the sodium-fluorescein through the insert, which would cause chemical effects to be under-estimated. In general, additional uncontrolled exposure time is a greater proportion of the short exposure period of the FL assay, than with assays with longer exposures. This leads to greater variability in results, and low assay reproducibility. As the FL assay can be repeated at multiple time-points, erroneous results due to ineffective removal of the test material would be more likely detected in comparison to cell viability assays which use single time-points. The efficient removal of test agents from the eye is also a concern of the *in vivo* test (1).
- 7. In conclusion, the FL assay is better suited to measure high concentrations of test materials that have low to mid-range toxicity and are soluble in water or HBSS. Test materials that are difficult to remove from the inserts due to viscosity or binding to the membrane are not accurately measured. Materials that have their basic toxic mechanism affected by dilution are not accurately measured by the FL assay (1).

USE OF THE FL TEST METHOD

Potential role in an ITS

8. The proposed FL test method is intended to be used in a Top-Down approach, as part of a tiered testing strategy, for classification of ocular severe irritants and corrosives (EU R41, GHS Cat. 1 and EPA Cat. I) for water-soluble chemicals (substances and mixtures) (1) (6). It can also be used to identify ocular corrosive and severe eye irritant chemicals like the validated and regulatory accepted organotypic assays BCOP (9) and ICE (10). The FL complements the applicability domain of BCOP and ICE, being able to correctly predict severe eye irritants within some of the chemical classes that are more problematic for the organotypic assays. Integration into test strategies of several *in vitro* test methods covering the full range of irritation as well as different physico-chemical classes, will be needed to achieve a full replacement of the *in vivo* eye test.

Categories of irritancy

9. The FL test method can be used for classification of ocular severe irritants and corrosives (EU R41, GHS Cat. 1 and EPA Cat. I) for water-soluble chemicals (substances and mixtures)(1)(6), and is not applicable for classification of chemicals as not irritating, nor for mild or moderate eye irritation.

APPLICABILITY DOMAIN

Mode of Action (MoA)

10. An Expert meeting held at EC-ECVAM in 2005 (6) recommended to expand the concept of defining the applicability domain as not only chemical classes, but also as a function of the mechanism of eye irritation. The four identified MoA that were discussed included: (i) cell membrane lysis (breakdown of membrane integrity as might occur from exposure to membrane active materials *e.g.*, surfactants), (ii) saponification (breakdown of lipids by alkaline action), (iii) coagulation (precipitation/denaturation of macromolecules), and (iv) actions of macromolecules (chemicals that react with cellular constituents/organelles). (1).

<u>Table 2:</u> Summary of the events involved in chemical-induced eye irritation *in vivo* which are (not) modelled by the FL assay. Text in italics indicates irreversible responses, from table 2.1.4.1 of the FL BRD (1).

Event involved in chemical-induced eye irritation	Modelled by the FL assay
Chemical interaction with tear film	No
Chemical binding to the conjunctival epithelium	No
Adhesion molecules compromised	Yes
Corneal epithelium damage	Yes
* inhibition of receptor-mediated membrane transport	Yes
* compromise of cell membrane integrity of upper corneal epithelium	Yes
* cell membrane lysis of all corneal epithelium layers	Yes
Hydration of corneal stroma	No
Cross-linking of proteins in corneal stroma	No
Erosion of corneal stroma	No
Cell damage to corneal epithelium and limbus	No
Dilation and increased lymphatic leakage from scleral vasculature (oedema and	
erythema)	No
Stimulation of nerve endings, i.e. enhanced blinking, tearing	No
Erosion of nerve endings in cornea and sclera	No
Duration of response, i.e. length of time cell responses deteriorate. Duration of	
response covers the effects of reactive chemicals which can cause coagulation ,	
saponification , that are effects which develop and increase over time.	Yes
Recovery from response, i.e. length of time for cell responses to return to control	
levels	Yes

Chemical classes

11. A total of 60 chemicals and products have been evaluated in the test method evaluation, for a complete list see Annexes IVb, Vai and Vc of the FL BRD (1). <u>Table 3</u> shows the performance of the FL test method with regard to false positives for the major chemical classes and physicochemical properties of interest, according the GHS classification system.

<u>Table 3:</u> False Positive and *False Negative Rates* of the FL Test Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the GHS Classification System.

<u>Table 3</u> : False Positive and False Negative Rates of the FL Test Method, by Chemical Class and Properties of Interest, for the GHS¹ Classification System									
Category	N^2	\mathbf{A}^7	False Po	sitive Rate ³	False Nega	tive Rate⁴			
			%	No. ⁵	%	No.			
Overall	54	216	5.65	7/124	77.17	71/92			
			Chemic	al Class ⁶					
Acyl Halide	1	4	0	0/4	0	0/0			
Alcohol	10	40	9.3	3/32	100	8/8			
Alkali	2	8	0	0/4	0	0/4			
Amine/Amidine	3	12	0	0/4	62.5	5/8			
Carboxylic acid	9	36	25	3/12	79.2	19/24			
Ester	7	28	3.6	1/28	0	0/0			
Ether/Polyether	2	8	0	0/4	50	2/4			
Heterocycle	7	28	0	0/8	85	17/20			
Hydrocarbon	1	4	0	0/4	0	0/0			
Inorganic salt	3	12	0	0/4	75	6/8			
Ketone	5	20	0	0/20	0	0/0			
Onium	2	8	0	0/0	75	6/8			
compound									
Organic Sulfur compound	2	8	0	0/0	100	8/8			
•			Properties	of Interest					
Liquids	38	152	5.5	6/108	65.9	15/44			
Solids	16	64	6.3	1/16	87.5	42/48			
Pesticides	3	12	0	0/0	100	0/12			
Surfactants-	2	8	75	3/4	0	0/4			
anionic									
Surfactants-	5	20	0	0/4	75	12/16			
cationic									
Surfactants- nonionic	4	16	0	0/12	50	2/4			

¹GHS = Globally Harmonized System (UN 2003).

SENSITIVITY, SPECIFICITY AND ACCURACY

<u>Table 4</u>: Evaluation of the performance of INVITTOX Protocol No. 71 for predicting ocular irritation according to the UN GHS, EU and US EPA classification systems – Severe irritants versus the rest (from table 6.2.4.2.3a, b, and c of the FL BRD (1))

Data Sourc e	No*	Concor	rdance	Sensitivi	ty	Specifici	ty	False Rate	Positive	False Rate	Negative
		%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.
GHS	54	77-5	117/151	43.7	21/48	93.2	96/103	6.8	7/103	56.3	27/48
EU	50	77.9	113/145	45.7	21/46	92.9	92/99	7.1	7/99	54.3	25/46
US EPA**	48	81.1	103/127	46.4	13/28	90.9	90/99	9.1	9/99	53.6	15/28

^{*} The reduced number of chemicals for the different classification schemes compared to the original dataset of 60 is due to the fact that not all chemicals met the classification criteria of each scheme.

₂N = Number of substances.

³False Positive Rate = the proportion of negative calls for substances that are falsely identified as positive *in vitro*. ⁴False Negative Rate = the proportion of all positive calls for substances that are falsely identified as negative *in vitro*.

⁵Data used to calculate the percentage.

⁶Chemical classes included in this table are assigned based on the MeSH categories (www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh)

⁷Total number of calls per category (4 calls per substance; substances for which study criteria were Not Met were excluded.)

**As the PM was not able to distinguish EPA Category III and Category IV materials, materials with these classifications were considered in the analyses concerning non-irritants. Refer to Annex V for the origins of the *in vitro* data and *in vivo* classification (1)

BETWEEN-LABORATORY RELIABILITY

12. Based on the data acquired in the validation study for 60 chemicals according to INVITTOX protocol 71, 43/60 materials (71.7%) had 100% agreement among all 4 participating laboratories. When concordance between 3 of the 4 laboratories was investigated, 59/60 materials (98.3%) had 100% agreement among 3 of the 4 laboratories. Moreover, data from INVITTOX protocol 120 were used as weight of evidence to further assess the Reproducibility of the FL test method. A good agreement of classification was obtained with 7/9 materials (77.8%) having 100% agreement among 3 laboratories, and 26/29 materials (89.7%) having 100% agreement among 2 laboratories.

REFERENCES:

- 1. EC-ECVAM (2008), Fluorescein Leakage Assay Background Review Document as an Alternative Method for Eye Irritation Testing. Available under *Validation Study Documents*, Section *Eye Irritation* at: [http://ecvam.jrc.it/index.htm]
- 2. UN (2003), Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), New York & Geneva: United Nations Publications. Available: [http://www.unece.org/trans/danger/publi/ghs/ghs rev00/00files e.html]
- 3. EU (2001), Commission Directive 2001/59/EC of 6 August 2001 adapting to technical progress for the 28th time Council Directive 67/548/EEC on the approximation of the laws, regulations and administrative provisions relating to the classification, packaging and labelling of dangerous substances. Official Journal of the European Communities L255:1-333.
- 4. U.S. EPA (1996), Label Review Manual: 2nd Edition, EPA737-B-96-001, Washington, DC: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- 5. OECD (2002), *Test No. 405: Acute Eye Irritation/Corrosion*, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing. doi: 10.1787/9789264070646-en
- 6. Scott, L., *et al.* (2010), A proposed eye irritation testing strategy to reduce and replace *in vivo* studies using Bottom-Up and Top-Down approaches, *Toxicol. In Vitro*, 24: 1-9.
- 7. EC-ECVAM: (1999), INVITOX Protocol 71: Fluorescein Leakage Test, Ispra, Italy: European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM), Available at: [http://ecvam-dbalm.jrc.ec.europa.eu]
- 8. Tchao, R. (1988), Trans-epithelial permeability of fluorescein in vitro as an assay to determine eye irritants, In "Progress In In-Vitro Toxicology," 6: 271-283.
- 9. OECD (2009a), Test No. 437: Bovine Corneal Opacity and Permeability Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing. doi: 10.1787/9789264076303-en
- 10. OECD (2009), Test No. 438: Isolated Chicken Eye Test Method for Identifying Ocular Corrosives and Severe Irritants, OECD Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals, Section 4, OECD Publishing. doi: 10.1787/9789264076310-en

ANNEX 1
LIST OF THE 60 EVALUATED CHEMICALS TESTED IN 4 LABORATORIES AND COMPARISONS OF IN VIVO AND IN VITRO OCULAR IRRITANCY CLASSIFICATIONS: SORTED BY SUBSTANCE (1)

Chemical	CASRN ¹	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class 2,3	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
				No Cat/	Cat II/			
1-naphthalene acetic acid	86-87-3	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthalene acetic acid	86-87-3	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthaiene acetic acid	80-87-3	×230	NC/R30	No Cat/	Cat II/	1041	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthalene acetic acid	86-87-3	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
•				No Cat/	Cat II/			
1-naphthalene acetic acid	86-87-3	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthalene acetic acid, Na	(1.21.4	. 250	NG/DAG	No Cat/	Cat II/	D.41	0.1	G . I
salt 1-naphthalene acetic acid, Na	61-31-4	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2 No Cat/	III/IV Cat II/	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
salt	61-31-4	>500	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthalene acetic acid, Na	01 31 1	7 300	110/1050	Cut 2	111/1 4	KII	Cut 1	Cut I
salt	61-31-4	171	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
1-naphthalene acetic acid, Na								
salt	61-31-4	245	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
2,2-dimethylbutanoic acid	595-37-9	>810	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
2,2-dimethylbutanoic acid	595-37-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
2,2-dimethylbutanoic acid	595-37-9	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
2,2-dimethylbutanoic acid	595-37-9	172	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
2,5-dimethylhexanediol	110-03-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
2,5-dimethylhexanediol	110-03-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
2,5-dimethylhexanediol	110-03-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
2,5-dimethylhexanediol	110-03-2	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
2,6-dichlorobenzoyl chloride	4659-45-4	>1500	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
2,6-dichlorobenzoyl chloride	4659-45-4	>1448	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
2,6-dichlorobenzoyl chloride	4659-45-4	>1400	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
2,6-dichlorobenzoyl chloride	4659-45-4	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	Cat 2A	Cat II
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	>730	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	NC	Cat 2A	Cat II
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	230	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat II
2-ethyl-1-hexanol	104-76-7	78,4	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	NC	Cat 2A	Cat II
2 caryr r nexunor	101/0-/	70,7	1171	No Cat/	Cat II/	110	Cut 2/1	Cut II
4-carboxybenzaldehyde	619-66-9	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
4-carboxybenzaldehyde	619-66-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
4-carboxybenzaldehyde	619-66-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
4-carboxybenzaldehyde	619-66-9	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II

Chemical	CASRN ¹	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
Acetone	67-64-1	839	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
Acetone	67-64-1	523	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
Acetone	67-64-1	709,25	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
Acetone	67-64-1	678	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
ammonium nitrate	6484-52-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
ammonium nitrate	6484-52-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
ammonium nitrate	6484-52-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
ammonium nitrate	6484-52-2	551	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
benzalkonium chloride (1 %)	63449-41-2	>960	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (1 %)	63449-41-2	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (1 %)	63449-41-2	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (1 %)	63449-41-2	91	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (10%)	63449-41-2	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (10%)	63449-41-2	19	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (10%) benzalkonium chloride	63449-41-2	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
(10%)	63449-41-2	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (5%)	63449-41-2	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (5%)	63449-41-2	18	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (5%)	63449-41-2	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzalkonium chloride (5%)	63449-41-2	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
benzoyl-L-tartaric acid	2743-38-6	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
benzoyl-L-tartaric acid	2743-38-6	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
benzoyl-L-tartaric acid	2743-38-6	49,5	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
benzoyl-L-tartaric acid	2743-38-6	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
butyl acetate	123-86-4	>940	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
butyl acetate	123-86-4	>874	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
butyl acetate	123-86-4	>860	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
butyl acetate	123-86-4	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
captan 90 concentrate	133-06-2	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
captan 90 concentrate	133-06-2	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
captan 90 concentrate	133-06-2	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
captan 90 concentrate	133-06-2	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cetylpyridinium bromide (0.1%)	140-72-7	>900	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
cetylpyridinium bromide (0.1%)	140-72-7	>984	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III

Chemical	CASRN 1	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class 2,3	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
cetylpyridinium bromide (0.1%)	140-72-7	>960	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
cetylpyridinium bromide (0.1%)	140-72-7	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
cetylpyridinium bromide	1.10.72.7	0.60			G . 777/777		G . 4	G
(10%) cetylpyridinium bromide	140-72-7	>960	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
(10%)	140-72-7	27	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cetylpyridinium bromide (10%)	140-72-7	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cetylpyridinium bromide (10%)	140-72-7	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cetylpyridinium bromide (6%)	140-72-7	>810	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
cetylpyridinium bromide (6%)	140-72-7	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
cetylpyridinium bromide (6%)	140-72-7	93	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
cetylpyridinium bromide (6%)	140-72-7	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
chlorhexidine	55-56-1	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
chlorhexidine	55-56-1	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
chlorhexidine	55-56-1	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
chlorhexidine	55-56-1	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
cyclohexanol	108-93-0	>938	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cyclohexanol	108-93-0	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cyclohexanol	108-93-0	473	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
cyclohexanol	108-93-0	741	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
dibenzyl phosphate	1623-08-1	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
dibenzyl phosphate	1623-08-1	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
dibenzyl phosphate	1623-08-1	21	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
dibenzyl phosphate	1623-08-1	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
ethanol	64-17-5	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
ethanol	64-17-5	516	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
ethanol	64-17-5	698,64	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
ethanol	64-17-5	590	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
ethyl acetate	141-78-6	>887	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl acetate	141-78-6	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl acetate	141-78-6	231	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl acetate	141-78-6	746	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl trimethyl acetate	3938-95-2	>850	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl trimethyl acetate	3938-95-2	>844	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl trimethyl acetate	3938-95-2	>800	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
ethyl trimethyl acetate	3938-95-2	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III

Chemical	CASRN 1	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class 2,3	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
ethyl-2-methylacetoacetate	609-14-3	>990	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	Cat 2B	Cat III
ethyl-2-methylacetoacetate	609-14-3	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	Cat 2B	Cat III
ethyl-2-methylacetoacetate	609-14-3	369	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2B	Cat III
ethyl-2-methylacetoacetate	609-14-3	*				NC	Cat 2B	Cat III
fomesafen	72128-02-0	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
fomesafen	72128-02-0	> 100	NC/D26	No Cat/	Cat II/	COMM	SCNM	Cot III
Tomesaten	/2128-02-0	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2 No Cat/	III/IV Cat II/	SCNM	SCINIVI	Cat III
fomesafen	72128-02-0	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
fomesafen	72128-02-0	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
gammabutyrolactone	96-48-0	133	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
gammabutyrolactone	96-48-0	160,69	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
gammabutyrolactone	96-48-0	482	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
gammabutyrolactone	96-48-0	152	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
glycerol	56-81-5	>1200	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
glycerol	56-81-5	>1254	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
glycerol	56-81-5	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
glycerol	56-81-5	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
hexanol	111-27-3	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
hexanol	111-27-3	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
hexanol	111-27-3	270	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
hexanol	111-27-3	12,67	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
imidazole	288-32-4	135	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
imidazole	288-32-4	185	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
imidazole	288-32-4	185	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
imidazole	288-32-4	86,33	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
isobutanol	78-83-1	>770	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
isobutanol	78-83-1	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
isobutanol	78-83-1	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
isobutanol	78-83-1	369	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
isopropanol	67-63-0	992	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
isopropanol	67-63-0	549	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
isopropanol	67-63-0	714,96	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
isopropanol	67-63-0	618	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	Cat 2A	Cat III
L-aspartic acid	70-47-3	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
L-aspartic acid	70-47-3	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
L-aspartic acid	70-47-3	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
L-aspartic acid	70-47-3	16	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
maneb	12427-38-2	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
maneb	12427-38-2	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
maneb	12427-38-2	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/	Cat II/	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III

ENV/JM/MONO(2012)28

Chemical	CASRN 1	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class 2,3	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
				Cat 2	III/IV			
	12122 20 2	2.50	31G/P36	No Cat/	Cat II/	2000	a an n t	G . ****
maneb	12427-38-2	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	Cat III
methyl acetate	79-20-9	>870	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl acetate	79-20-9	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl acetate	79-20-9	361	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl acetate	79-20-9	518,8	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl cyanoacetate	105-34-0	>1100	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl cyanoacetate	105-34-0	>1115	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl cyanoacetate	105-34-0	>750	NC	No Cat/	Cat III/IV Cat II/	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl cyanoacetate	105-34-0	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	256	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	273,25	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
methyl ethyl ketone	78-93-3	235	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat III
methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	>800	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	>792	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	>770	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methyl isobutyl ketone	108-10-1	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methylcyclopentane	96-37-7	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methylcyclopentane	96-37-7	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
	06.07.7		210/200	No Cat/	Cat II/		37. 6	G . ****
methylcyclopentane	96-37-7	>741	NC/R36	Cat 2 No Cat/	III/IV Cat II/	NC	No Cat	Cat III
methylcyclopentane	96-37-7	>670	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
octanol	111-87-5	>770	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
octanol	111-87-5	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
octanol	111-87-5	198,5	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
octanol	111-87-5	82,4	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R36	Cat 2A	Cat II
parafluoraniline	371-40-4	>1146	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
parafluoraniline	371-40-4	>1120	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
parafluoraniline	371-40-4	55	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
parafluoraniline	371-40-4	*				SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
polyethylene glycol 400	25322-68-3	>1200	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
polyethylene glycol 400	25322-68-3	>1110	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
polyethylene glycol 400	25322-68-3	>1100	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
polyethylene glycol 400	25322-68-3	>1	unknown	unknown	unknown	NC	No Cat	Cat IV
potassium cyanate	590-28-3	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
potassium cyanate	590-28-3	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
potassium cyanate	590-28-3	>500	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
potassium cyanate	590-28-3	>500	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	SCNM	SCNM
promethazine HCl	58-33-3	12	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
promethazine HCl	58-33-3	53,01	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM

Chemical	CASRN ¹	Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage (FL20)	In vitro predicte d EU ^{2,3}	In vitro predicte d GHS 4,5	In vitro predicted EPA ^{6,7}	In vivo EU class	In vivo GHS class ^{4,5}	In vivo EPA class ^{6,7}
promethazine HCl	58-33-3	65,1	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
promethazine HCl	58-33-3	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
pyridine	110-86-1	989	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
pyridine	110-86-1	176	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
pyridine	110-86-1	315,83	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
pyridine	110-86-1	371	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	SCNM
quiniacrine	69-05-6	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
quiniacrine	69-05-6	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
quiniacrine	69-05-6	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
quiniacrine	69-05-6	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
sodium hydroxide (1%)	1310-73-2	132	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2B	Cat III
sodium hydroxide (1%)	1310-73-2	125,17	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2B	Cat III
sodium hydroxide (1%)	1310-73-2	127	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2B	Cat III
sodium hydroxide (1%)	1310-73-2	133	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R36	Cat 2B	Cat III
sodium hydroxide (10%)	1310-73-2	6,3	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium hydroxide (10%)	1310-73-2	13,26	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium hydroxide (10%)	1310-73-2	5,8	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium hydroxide (10%)	1310-73-2	10	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium lauryl sulfate (3 %)	151-21-3	317	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	No Cat	Cat III
sodium lauryl sulfate (3 %)	151-21-3	25	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	NC	No Cat	Cat III
sodium lauryl sulfate (3 %)	151-21-3	14,7	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	NC	No Cat	Cat III
sodium lauryl sulfate (3 %)	151-21-3	73	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	NC	No Cat	Cat III
sodium lauryl sulphate (15 %) sodium lauryl sulphate (15	151-21-3	12	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium lauryl sulphate (15 sodium lauryl sulphate (15	151-21-3	8,87	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
%)	151-21-3	24	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium lauryl sulphate (15 %)	151-21-3	70	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium oxalate	62-76-0	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
sodium oxalate	62-76-0	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
sodium oxalate	62-76-0	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
sodium oxalate	62-76-0	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/	SCNM	Cat 1	SCNM
sodium perborate	10486-00-7	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2 No Cat/	Cat II/ III/IV Cat II/	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium perborate	10486-00-7	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2 No Cat/	III/IV Cat II/	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium perborate	10486-00-7	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
sodium perborate	10486-00-7	50,5	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
tetraaminopyrimidine sulphate	5392-28-9	>100	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III

		Conc. Causing 20% Fl. leakeage	In vitro	In vitro predicte d GHS	In vitro	In vivo EU class	In vivo	In vivo EPA
Chemical	CASRN 1	(FL20)	d EU ^{2,3}	4,5	EPA 6,7	2,3	class 4,5	class ^{6,7}
tetraaminopyrimidine sulphate	5392-28-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	NC	No Cot	Cot III
tetraaminopyrimidine	3392-28-9	>230	NC/R30	No Cat/	Cat II/	INC	No Cat	Cat III
sulphate	5392-28-9	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
tetraaminopyrimidine				No Cat/	Cat II/			
sulphate	5392-28-9	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
a .	(2.56.6	. 100	NG/DAG	No Cat/	Cat II/	Animal	Animal	Animal
thiourea	62-56-6	>100	NC/R36	Cat 2 No Cat/	III/IV Cat II/	died Animal	died Animal	died Animal
thiourea	62-56-6	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	died	died	died
unoureu	02 30 0	250	110/100	No Cat/	Cat II/	Animal	Animal	Animal
thiourea	62-56-6	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	died	died	died
		2.70	210/200	No Cat/	Cat II/	Animal	Animal	Animal
thiourea	62-56-6	>250	NC/R36	Cat 2	III/IV	died	died	died
toluene	108-88-3	>860	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
toluene	108-88-3	>800	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
toluene	108-88-3	>830	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
toluene	108-88-3	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
trichloroacetic acid (3%)	76-03-9	803	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
trichloroacetic acid (3%)	76-03-9	>1006	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
trichloroacetic acid (3%)	76-03-9	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
trichloroacetic acid (3%)	76-03-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
trichloroacetic acid (30%)	76-03-9	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
trichloroacetic acid (30%)	76-03-9	>250	NC/R36	No Cat/ Cat 2	Cat II/ III/IV	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
trichloroacetic acid (30%)	76-03-9	120	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
trichloroacetic acid (30%)	76-03-9	>25	unknown	unknown	unknown	R41	Cat 1	Cat I
triton X-100 (10 %)	9002-93-1	339	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat II
triton X-100 (10 %)	9002-93-1	665	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	R41	Cat 1	Cat II
triton X-100 (10 %)	9002-93-1	90	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat II
triton X-100 (10 %)	9002-93-1	99,44	R41	Cat 1	Cat I	R41	Cat 1	Cat II
triton X-100 (5 %)	9002-93-1	167	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	SCNM	Cat 2A	Cat III
triton X-100 (5 %)	9002-93-1	163,72	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	SCNM	Cat 2A	Cat III
triton X-100 (5 %)	9002-93-1	625	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	SCNM	Cat 2A	Cat III
triton X-100 (5 %)	9002-93-1	715	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	SCNM	Cat 2A	Cat III
tween 20	9005-64-5	653	R36	Cat 2	Cat II	NC	No Cat	Cat III
tween 20	9005-64-5	>1101	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
tween 20	9005-64-5	>750	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III
tween 20	9005-64-5	>1000	NC	No Cat	Cat III/IV	NC	No Cat	Cat III

¹CASRN=Chemical Abstract Services Registry Number

²EU=European Union (EU [2001]).

³Risk phrase R41 = risk of serious damage to the eyes; R36 = irritating to the eyes; not classified.

⁴GHS=Globally Harmonized System (UN [2003])

 $^{^5}$ Eye Irritant Category 1 = irreversible effects on the eye/serious damage to the eye; Category 2A = reversible effects on the eye/irritating to the eyes; Category 2B = reversible effects on the eye/mildly irritating to the eyes; No category

⁶EPA=U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA [1996]).

Abbreviations: MMAS scores reported in Balls et al. (1995) and in the ECETOC Technical Report n. 48 (1998), SCNM=Study Crtieria Not Met, n.p.=not provided

⁷Toxicity Category I for the Primary Eye Irritation Study = Corrosive, or corneal involvement or irritation not reversible within 21 days; Category II = Corneal involvement or irritation clearing in 8-21 days; Category III = Corneal involvement or irritation clearing in 1-7 daysl; Category IV: minimal effects clearing in less than 24 hr