

**Unclassified**

**ENV/JM/MONO(2011)23**

Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques  
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

**29-Jul-2011**

**English - Or. English**

**ENVIRONMENT DIRECTORATE  
JOINT MEETING OF THE CHEMICALS COMMITTEE AND  
THE WORKING PARTY ON CHEMICALS, PESTICIDES AND BIOTECHNOLOGY**

**VALIDATION REPORT (PHASE 2) FOR THE FISH SEXUAL DEVELOPMENT TEST FOR THE  
DETECTION OF ENDOCRINE ACTIVE SUBSTANCES**

**Series on Testing and Assessment**

**No. 142**

**JT03305646**

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine  
Complete document available on OLIS in its original format



**ENV/JM/MONO(2011)23  
Unclassified**

**English - Or. English**



**OECD Environment, Health and Safety Publications**

**Series on Testing and Assessment**

**No. 142**

**VALIDATION REPORT (PHASE 2) FOR THE FISH SEXUAL DEVELOPMENT TEST FOR  
THE DETECTION OF ENDOCRINE ACTIVE SUBSTANCES**

**IOMC**

**INTER-ORGANIZATION PROGRAMME FOR THE SOUND MANAGEMENT OF CHEMICALS**

A cooperative agreement among **FAO, ILO, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD**

**Environment Directorate  
ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT  
Paris 2011**

**Also published in the Series on Testing and Assessment:**

- No. 1, *Guidance Document for the Development of OECD Guidelines for Testing of Chemicals (1993; reformatted 1995, revised 2006)*
- No. 2, *Detailed Review Paper on Biodegradability Testing (1995)*
- No. 3, *Guidance Document for Aquatic Effects Assessment (1995)*
- No. 4, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Environmental Hazard/Risk Assessment (1995)*
- No. 5, *Report of the SETAC/OECD Workshop on Avian Toxicity Testing (1996)*
- No. 6, *Report of the Final Ring-test of the Daphnia magna Reproduction Test (1997)*
- No. 7, *Guidance Document on Direct Phototransformation of Chemicals in Water (1997)*
- No. 8, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Sharing Information about New Industrial Chemicals Assessment (1997)*
- No. 9, *Guidance Document for the Conduct of Studies of Occupational Exposure to Pesticides during Agricultural Application (1997)*
- No. 10, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Statistical Analysis of Aquatic Toxicity Data (1998)*
- No. 11, *Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Testing Methods for Pesticides and industrial Chemicals (1998)*
- No. 12, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Germ Cell Mutagenicity in OECD Member Countries (1998)*
- No. 13, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Sensitising Substances in OECD Member Countries (1998)*
- No. 14, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Eye Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries (1998)*
- No. 15, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Reproductive Toxicity in OECD Member Countries (1998)*
- No. 16, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Skin Irritation/Corrosion in OECD Member Countries (1998)*

- No. 17, *Environmental Exposure Assessment Strategies for Existing Industrial Chemicals in OECD Member Countries (1999)*
- No. 18, *Report of the OECD Workshop on Improving the Use of Monitoring Data in the Exposure Assessment of Industrial Chemicals (2000)*
- No. 19, *Guidance Document on the Recognition, Assessment and Use of Clinical Signs as Humane Endpoints for Experimental Animals used in Safety Evaluation (1999)*
- No. 20, *Revised Draft Guidance Document for Neurotoxicity Testing (2004)*
- No. 21, *Detailed Review Paper: Appraisal of Test Methods for Sex Hormone Disrupting Chemicals (2000)*
- No. 22, *Guidance Document for the Performance of Out-door Monolith Lysimeter Studies (2000)*
- No. 23, *Guidance Document on Aquatic Toxicity Testing of Difficult Substances and Mixtures (2000)*
- No. 24, *Guidance Document on Acute Oral Toxicity Testing (2001)*
- No. 25, *Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Specifics Target Organ Systemic Toxicity Repeated Exposure in OECD Member Countries (2001)*
- No. 26, *Revised Analysis of Responses Received from Member Countries to the Questionnaire on Regulatory Acute Toxicity Data Needs (2001)*
- No. 27, *Guidance Document on the Use of the Harmonised System for the Classification of Chemicals which are Hazardous for the Aquatic Environment (2001)*
- No. 28, *Guidance Document for the Conduct of Skin Absorption Studies (2004)*
- No. 29, *Guidance Document on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media (2001)*
- No. 30, *Detailed Review Document on Hazard Classification Systems for Mixtures (2001)*
- No. 31, *Detailed Review Paper on Non-Genotoxic Carcinogens Detection: The Performance of In-Vitro Cell Transformation Assays (2007)*
- No. 32, *Guidance Notes for Analysis and Evaluation of Repeat-Dose Toxicity Studies (2000)*

No. 33, *Harmonised Integrated Classification System for Human Health and Environmental Hazards of Chemical Substances and Mixtures (2001)*

No. 34, *Guidance Document on the Development, Validation and Regulatory Acceptance of New and Updated Internationally Acceptable Test Methods in Hazard Assessment (2005)*

No. 35, *Guidance notes for analysis and evaluation of chronic toxicity and carcinogenicity studies (2002)*

No. 36, *Report of the OECD/UNEP Workshop on the use of Multimedia Models for estimating overall Environmental Persistence and long range Transport in the context of PBTS/POPS Assessment (2002)*

No. 37, *Detailed Review Document on Classification Systems for Substances Which Pose an Aspiration Hazard (2002)*

No. 38, *Detailed Background Review of the Uterotrophic Assay Summary of the Available Literature in Support of the Project of the OECD Task Force on Endocrine Disrupters Testing and Assessment (EDTA) to Standardise and Validate the Uterotrophic Assay (2003)*

No. 39, *Guidance Document on Acute Inhalation Toxicity Testing (in preparation)*

No. 40, *Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures Which Cause Respiratory Tract Irritation and Corrosion (2003)*

No. 41, *Detailed Review Document on Classification in OECD Member Countries of Substances and Mixtures which in Contact with Water Release Toxic Gases (2003)*

No. 42, *Guidance Document on Reporting Summary Information on Environmental, Occupational and Consumer Exposure (2003)*

No. 43, *Guidance Document on Mammalian Reproductive Toxicity Testing and Assessment (2008)*

No. 44, *Description of Selected Key Generic Terms Used in Chemical Hazard/Risk Assessment (2003)*

No. 45, *Guidance Document on the Use of Multimedia Models for Estimating Overall Environmental Persistence and Long-range Transport (2004)*

No. 46, *Detailed Review Paper on Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances (2004)*

- No. 47, *Detailed Review Paper on Fish Screening Assays for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2004)*
- No. 48, *New Chemical Assessment Comparisons and Implications for Work Sharing (2004)*
- No. 49, *Report from the Expert Group on (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationships [(Q)SARs] on the Principles for the Validation of (Q)SARs (2004)*
- No. 50, *Report of the OECD/IPCS Workshop on Toxicogenomics (2005)*
- No. 51, *Approaches to Exposure Assessment in OECD Member Countries: Report from the Policy Dialogue on Exposure Assessment in June 2005 (2006)*
- No. 52, *Comparison of emission estimation methods used in Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs) and Emission Scenario Documents (ESDs): Case study of pulp and paper and textile sectors (2006)*
- No. 53, *Guidance Document on Simulated Freshwater Lentic Field Tests (Outdoor Microcosms and Mesocosms) (2006)*
- No. 54, *Current Approaches in the Statistical Analysis of Ecotoxicity Data: A Guidance to Application (2006)*
- No. 55, *Detailed Review Paper on Aquatic Arthropods in Life Cycle Toxicity Tests with an Emphasis on Developmental, Reproductive and Endocrine Disruptive Effects (2006)*
- No. 56, *Guidance Document on the Breakdown of Organic Matter in Litter Bags (2006)*
- No. 57, *Detailed Review Paper on Thyroid Hormone Disruption Assays (2006)*
- No. 58, *Report on the Regulatory Uses and Applications in OECD Member Countries of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models in the Assessment of New and Existing Chemicals (2006)*
- No. 59, *Report of the Validation of the Updated Test Guideline 407: Repeat Dose 28-Day Oral Toxicity Study in Laboratory Rats (2006)*
- No. 60, *Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1A) (2006)*

- No. 61, *Report of the Validation of the 21-Day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (Phase 1B) (2006)*
- No. 62, *Final OECD Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase-1, Androgenic Response to Testosterone Propionate, and Anti-Androgenic Effects of Flutamide (2006)*
- No. 63, *Guidance Document on the Definition of Residue (2006)*
- No. 64, *Guidance Document on Overview of Residue Chemistry Studies (2006)*
- No. 65, *OECD Report of the Initial Work Towards the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Assay - Phase 1 (2006)*
- No. 66, *OECD Report of the Validation of the Rodent Uterotrophic Bioassay: Phase 2. Testing of Potent and Weak Oestrogen Agonists by Multiple Laboratories (2006)*
- No. 67, *Additional data supporting the Test Guideline on the Uterotrophic Bioassay in rodents (2007)*
- No. 68, *Summary Report of the Uterotrophic Bioassay Peer Review Panel, including Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the follow up of this report (2006)*
- No. 69, *Guidance Document on the Validation of (Quantitative) Structure-Activity Relationship [(Q)SAR] Models (2007)*
- No. 70, *Report on the Preparation of GHS Implementation by the OECD Countries (2007)*
- No. 71, *Guidance Document on the Uterotrophic Bioassay - Procedure to Test for Antioestrogenicity (2007)*
- No. 72, *Guidance Document on Pesticide Residue Analytical Methods (2007)*
- No. 73, *Report of the Validation of the Rat Hershberger Assay: Phase 3: Coded Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and Negative Reference Chemicals by Multiple Laboratories. Surgical Castrate Model Protocol (2007)*
- No. 74, *Detailed Review Paper for Avian Two-generation Toxicity Testing (2007)*
- No. 75, *Guidance Document on the Honey Bee (Apis Mellifera L.) Brood test Under Semi-field Conditions (2007)*



- No. 76, *Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay for the Detection of Thyroid Active Substances: Phase 1 - Optimisation of the Test Protocol (2007)*
- No. 77, *Final Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay: Phase 2 - Multi-chemical Interlaboratory Study (2007)*
- No. 78, *Final Report of the Validation of the 21-day Fish Screening Assay for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances. Phase 2: Testing Negative Substances (2007)*
- No. 79, *Validation Report of the Full Life-cycle Test with the Harpacticoid Copepods Nitocra Spinipes and Amphiascus Tenuiremis and the Calanoid Copepod Acartia Tonsa - Phase 1 (2007)*
- No. 80, *Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals (2007)*
- No. 81, *Summary Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Updated Test Guideline 407, and Agreement of the Working Group of National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the follow-up of this report (2007)*
- No. 82, *Guidance Document on Amphibian Thyroid Histology (2007)*
- No. 83, *Summary Report of the Peer Review Panel on the Stably Transfected Transcriptional Activation Assay for Detecting Estrogenic Activity of Chemicals, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2007)*
- No. 84, *Report on the Workshop on the Application of the GHS Classification Criteria to HPV Chemicals, 5-6 July Bern Switzerland (2007)*
- No. 85, *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Hershberger Bioassay, and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2007)*
- No. 86, *Report of the OECD Validation of the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay: Phase 2: Testing of Androgen Agonists, Androgen Antagonists and a 5  $\alpha$ -Reductase Inhibitor in Dose Response Studies by Multiple Laboratories (2008)*
- No. 87, *Report of the Ring Test and Statistical Analysis of Performance of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals and Metal Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/ Dissolution Protocol) (2008)*

- No.88, *Workshop on Integrated Approaches to Testing and Assessment (2008)*
- No.89, *Retrospective Performance Assessment of the Test Guideline 426 on Developmental Neurotoxicity (2008)*
- No.90, *Background Review Document on the Rodent Hershberger Bioassay (2008)*
- No.91, *Report of the Validation of the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay (Phase 3) (2008)*
- No.92, *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the Amphibian Metamorphosis Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-Up of this Report (2008)*
- No.93, *Report of the Validation of an Enhancement of OECD TG 211: Daphnia Magna Reproduction Test (2008)*
- No.94, *Report of the Validation Peer Review for the 21-Day Fish Endocrine Screening Assay and Agreement of the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme on the Follow-up of this Report (2008)*
- No.95, *Detailed Review Paper on Fish Life-Cycle Tests (2008)*
- No.96, *Guidance Document on Magnitude of Pesticide Residues in Processed Commodities (2008)*
- No.97, *Detailed Review Paper on the use of Metabolising Systems for In Vitro Testing of Endocrine Disruptors (2008)*
- No. 98, *Considerations Regarding Applicability of the Guidance on Transformation/Dissolution of Metals Compounds in Aqueous Media (Transformation/Dissolution Protocol) (2008)*
- No. 99, *Comparison between OECD Test Guidelines and ISO Standards in the Areas of Ecotoxicology and Health Effects (2008)*
- No.100, *Report of the Second Survey on Available Omics Tools (2009)*
- No.101, *Report of the Workshop on Structural Alerts for the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox, 15-16 May 2008, Utrecht, the Netherlands (2009)*
- No. 102, *Guidance Document for using the OECD (Q)SAR Application Toolbox to Develop Chemical Categories According to the OECD Guidance on Grouping of Chemicals (2009)*

- No. 103, *Detailed Review Paper on Transgenic Rodent Mutation Assays (2009)*
- No. 104, *Performance Assessment: Comparison of 403 and CxT Protocols via Simulation and for Selected Real Data Sets (2009)*
- No. 105, *Report on Biostatistical Performance Assessment of the draft TG 436 Acute Toxic Class Testing Method for Acute Inhalation Toxicity (2009)*
- No. 106, *Guidance Document for Histologic Evaluation of Endocrine and Reproductive Test in Rodents (2009)*
- No. 107, *Preservative treated wood to the environment for wood held in storage after treatment and for wooden commodities that are not cover and are not in contact with ground. (2009)*
- No. 108, *Report of the validation of the Hershberger Bioassay (weanling model) (2009)*
- No. 109, *Literature review on the 21-Day Fish Assay and the Fish Short-Term Reproduction Assay (2009)*
- No. 110, *Report of the validation peer review for the weanling Hershberger Bioassay and agreement of the working of national coordinators of the test guidelines programme on the follow-up of this report (2009)*
- No. 111, *Report of the Expert Consultation to Evaluate an Estrogen Receptor Binding Affinity Model for Hazard Identification (2009)*
- No. 112, *The 2007 OECD List of High Production Volume Chemicals (2009)*
- No. 113, *Report of The Focus Session on Current and Forthcoming Approaches for Chemical Safety and Animal Welfare (2010)*
- No. 114, *Performance Assessment of Different Cytotoxic and Cytostatic Measures for the In Vitro Micronucleus Test (MNVT): Summary of results in the collaborative trial (2010)*
- No. 115, *Guidance Document on the Weanling Hershberger Bioassay in Rats: A Short-term Screening Assay for (Anti) Androgenic Properties (2009)*
- No. 116, *Guidance Document on the Design and Conduct of Chronic Toxicity and Carcinogenicity Studies, Supporting TG 451, 452 and 453 (2010)*
- No. 117, *Guidance Document 117 on the Current Implementation of Internal Triggers in Test Guideline 443 for an Extended One*

*Generation Reproductive Toxicity Study, in the United States and Canada (2011)*

No. 118, *Workshop Report on OECD Countries Activities Regarding Testing, Assessment and Management of Endocrine Disrupters Part I and Part II (2010)*

No. 119, *Classification and Labelling of chemicals according to the UN Globally Harmonized System: Outcome of the Analysis of Classification of Selected Chemicals listed in Annex III of the Rotterdam Convention (2010)*

No. 120, *Part 1: Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism-based Structural Alerts for the Identification of DNA Binding Chemicals*

No. 120, *Part 2: Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism-based Structural Alerts for the Identification of DNA Binding Chemicals*

No. 121, *Detailed review paper (DRP) on Molluscs life-cycle Toxicity Testing (2010)*

No. 122, *Guidance Document on the determination of the Toxicity of a Test Chemical to the Dung Beetle *Aphodius Constans* (2010)*

No. 123, *Guidance Document on the Diagnosis of Endocrine-related Histopathology in Fish Gonads (2010)*

No. 124, *Guidance for the Derivation of an Acute Reference Dose (2010)*

No. 125, *Guidance Document on Histopathology for Inhalation Toxicity Studies, Supporting TG 412 (Subacute Inhalation Toxicity: 28-Day) and TG 413 (Subchronic Inhalation Toxicity: 90-Day) (2010)*

No. 126, *Short Guidance on the Threshold approach for Acute Fish Toxicity (2010)*

No. 127, *Peer review report of the validation of the 21-day androgenised female stickleback screening assay (2010)*

No. 128, *Validation Report of the 21-day Androgenised Female Stickleback Screening Assay (2010)*

No. 129, *Guidance Document on using Cytotoxicity Tests to Estimate Starting Doses for Acute Oral Systemic Toxicity Tests*

No. 131, *Report of the Test Method Validation of Avian Acute Oral Toxicity Test (OECD test guideline 223) (2010)*

No.132, *Report of the Multi-Laboratory Validation of the H295R Steroidogenesis Assay to Identify Modulators (2010)*

No.133, *Peer Review Report for the H295R Cell-Based Assay for Steroidogenesis (2010)*

No.134, *Report of the Validation of a Soil Bioaccumulation Test with Terrestrial Oligochaetes by an International ring test (2010)*

No.135, *Detailed Review Paper on Environmental Endocrine Disruptor Screening: The use of Estrogen and Androgen Receptor Binding and Transactivation Assays in Fish (2010)*

No. 136, *Validation Report of The Chironomid Full Life-Cycle Toxicity Test (2010)*

No.137, *Explanatory Background Document to the OECD Test Guideline On In Vitro Skin Irritation Testing (2010)*

No.138, *Report of the Workshop on Using Mechanistic Information in Forming Chemical Categories (2011)*

No.139, *Report of the Expert Consultation on Scientific and Regulatory Evaluation of Organic Chemistry Mechanism Based Structural Alerts for the Identification of Protein-binding Chemicals (2011)*

No.140, *Report of the WHO/OECD/ILSI (Hesi) Workshop on Risk Assessment of Combined Exposures to Multiple Chemicals (2011)*

No.141, *Validation Report (Phase 1) for the Fish Sexual Development Test for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2011)*

No.142, *Validation Report (Phase 2) for the Fish Sexual Development Test for the Detection of Endocrine Active Substances (2011)*

No.143, *Peer Review Report for the Validation of the Fish Sexual Development Test and Agreement of the Working Group of National Co-ordinators of the Test Guideline Programme on the Follow-up of the Peer Review (2011)*

© **OECD 2011**

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all or part of this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, RIGHTS@oecd.org. OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France

## ABOUT THE OECD

The Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) is an intergovernmental organisation in which representatives of 34 industrialised countries in North and South America, Europe and the Asia and Pacific region, as well as the European Commission, meet to co-ordinate and harmonise policies, discuss issues of mutual concern, and work together to respond to international problems. Most of the OECD's work is carried out by more than 200 specialised committees and working groups composed of member country delegates. Observers from several countries with special status at the OECD, and from interested international organisations, attend many of the OECD's workshops and other meetings. Committees and working groups are served by the OECD Secretariat, located in Paris, France, which is organised into directorates and divisions.

The Environment, Health and Safety Division publishes free-of-charge documents in ten different series: **Testing and Assessment; Good Laboratory Practice and Compliance Monitoring; Pesticides and Biocides; Risk Management; Harmonisation of Regulatory Oversight in Biotechnology; Safety of Novel Foods and Feeds; Chemical Accidents; Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers; Emission Scenario Documents; and Safety of Manufactured Nanomaterials.** More information about the Environment, Health and Safety Programme and EHS publications is available on the OECD's World Wide Web site ([www.oecd.org/ehs/](http://www.oecd.org/ehs/)).

*This publication was developed in the IOMC context. The contents do not necessarily reflect the views or stated policies of individual IOMC Participating Organisations.*

The Inter-Organisation Programme for the Sound Management of Chemicals (IOMC) was established in 1995 following recommendations made by the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development to strengthen co-operation and increase international co-ordination in the field of chemical safety. The Participating Organisations are FAO, ILO, UNEP, UNIDO, UNITAR, WHO, World Bank and OECD. UNDP is an observer. The purpose of the IOMC is to promote co-ordination of the policies and activities pursued by the Participating Organisations, jointly or separately, to achieve the sound management of chemicals in relation to human health and the environment.

**This publication is available electronically, at no charge.**

**For this and many other Environment,  
Health and Safety publications, consult the OECD's  
World Wide Web site ([www.oecd.org/ehs/](http://www.oecd.org/ehs/))**

**or contact:**

**OECD Environment Directorate,  
Environment, Health and Safety Division  
2 rue André-Pascal  
75775 Paris Cedex 16  
France**

**Fax: (33-1) 44 30 61 80**

**E-mail: [ehscont@oecd.org](mailto:ehscont@oecd.org)**

## FOREWORD

This document presents the validation report (phase 2) of the Fish Sexual Development Test (FSDT). The Fish Sexual Development Test (FSDT) covers a life-stage where sexual development is particularly sensitive to perturbation caused by endocrine active chemicals. The chemical exposure lasts for about 60 days, at the end of which endpoints of ecological relevance like the sex ratio of the exposed fish is calculated and the biomarker endpoint vitellogenin is measured in individual animals.

In 2003, Denmark, on behalf of the European Nordic countries, proposed a new project to develop a Test Guideline on the fish sexual development test to the Working Group of the National Coordinators of the Test Guidelines Programme (WNT). The project was included on the Test Guidelines workplan in 2003, and extensive validation of the test method was carried out until 2009. Two validation studies were performed, including chemicals representing various modes of action (oestrogen, (anti-)androgen, aromatase inhibitor) and negative chemicals. The chemicals tested in the phase 2 validation included the weakly active oestrogenic 4-tert-octylphenol and the androgenic dihydrotestosterone for the species medaka and zebrafish, and the weakly active oestrogenic 4-tert-pentylphenol, the anti-androgenic flutamide and the oestrogenic 17 $\beta$ -estradiol for the stickleback.

The validation has been overseen by a validation management group for Eco-toxicity testing (VMG-eco) and a fish drafting group. A peer-review of the validation has been organised in 2011 and the report is available in the Series on Testing and Assessment as No.143. The draft validation report has been endorsed by the WNT at its meeting held on 12-14 April 2011. [The Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology agreed to its declassification on 22 June 2011].

This document is published under the responsibility of the Joint Meeting of the Chemicals Committee and the Working Party on Chemicals, Pesticides and Biotechnology.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD .....	16
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....	19
INTRODUCTION .....	20
SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THE CORE ENDPOINTS .....	21
Vitellogenin.....	21
Sex ratio .....	21
OBJECTIVES OF PHASE 2 .....	22
ORGANISATION OF PHASE 2 .....	22
Overview of the test protocol .....	23
Test chemicals and concentrations .....	23
Analytical determination of test concentrations .....	24
Test acceptability criteria.....	24
Collection of data and statistical analysis:.....	24
RESULTS .....	<b>ERROR! BOOKMARK NOT DEFINED.</b>
Analytical chemistry .....	27
4-tert-octylphenol .....	27
Dihydrotestosterone.....	29
Flutamide.....	31
17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2).....	32
Hatching rate and survival.....	33
4-tert-octylphenol .....	33
Dihydrotestosterone.....	34
4-tert-pentylphenol .....	35
Flutamide.....	36
17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2).....	37
Abnormal appearance and behaviour .....	38
Core endpoints .....	39
Zebrafish.....	41
Medaka .....	43
Stickleback.....	46
DISCUSSION .....	50
Purpose of the assay .....	50
The biological model.....	50
Control animal performance: Hatching and Survival, abnormal appearance and behaviour .....	50
Actual chemical water concentrations.....	51
Vitellogenin response.....	51
Sex ratio response.....	52
Genetic sex determination.....	52
Performance of endpoints.....	53
Hatching and survival.....	53
Vitellogenin: .....	53
Sex ratio.....	54

Kidney epithelium height (KEH).....	55
Summary of Phase-1 and Phase-2 validation results.....	55
APPENDIX.....	56
Figures from the FSDT Phase 2 validation .....	56
Schematic overview.....	56
VITELLOGENIN .....	58
4-tert-octylphenol .....	58
Zebrafish.....	58
Japanese medaka.....	60
Three spined stickleback.....	62
Dihydrotestosterone .....	63
Zebrafish.....	63
Japanese medaka.....	65
Three spined stickleback.....	67
4-tert-pentylphenol.....	68
Japanese medaka.....	68
17β-estradiol.....	69
Three spined stickleback.....	69
Flutamide.....	69
Three spined stickleback.....	69
Ammonia.....	70
N-octanol.....	70
Japanese medaka.....	70
SEX RATIO AND GENETIC SEX .....	71
4-tert-octylphenol .....	71
Zebrafish.....	71
Japanese medaka.....	72
Three spined stickleback.....	74
Dihydrotestosterone .....	75
Zebrafish.....	75
Japanese medaka.....	76
Three spined stickleback.....	77
4-tert-pentylphenol.....	78
Japanese medaka.....	78
17β-estradiol.....	79
Three spined stickleback.....	79
Flutamide.....	80
Three spined stickleback.....	80
KIDNEY EPITHELIUM HEIGHT (KEH) .....	81
4-tert-octylphenol .....	81
Three spined stickleback.....	81
Dihydrotestosterone .....	82
Three spined stickleback.....	82
17β-estradiol.....	82
Three spined stickleback.....	82
Flutamide.....	83
Three spined stickleback.....	83

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Four small fish species have been exposed to chemicals with different modes of action in two validation phases in a total of 29 FSDT experiments including two negative studies (ammonia and n-octanol). The tested species are zebrafish, Japanese medaka, fathead minnow and three spined stickleback. Zebrafish has been used in 13 experiments, Japanese medaka in seven experiments, three spined stickleback in six experiments and finally fathead minnow in three experiments. The weak estrogens 4-tert-pentylphenol and 4-tert-octylphenol was tested in zebrafish, fathead minnow and medaka, and zebrafish, medaka and stickleback respectively. The aromatase inhibiting fungicide prochloraz was tested in zebrafish and fathead minnow. The androgen dihydrotestosterone was tested in zebrafish, medaka and stickleback.

## INTRODUCTION

1. The need to develop and validate fish assays capable of measuring the effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals (or EDCs) originates from concerns that environmental levels of certain chemicals may be causing adverse effects in both humans and wildlife due to the interaction of these chemicals with the endocrine system. Several cases have been reported where exposures to exogenous chemicals have indeed resulted in effects on wildlife, in particular fish [Jensen et al. 2006; Milnes et al. 2006; Orlando et al. 2004]. In 1997, OECD member countries advised that existing test methods were insufficient to identify such substances and characterize their effects. As part of the OECD Test Guidelines Program a Special Activity on the Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters was therefore initiated to revise existing, and develop new, OECD Test Guidelines for the screening and testing of potential EDCs.

2. The Fish Sexual Development Test (FSDT) fits into the OECD Conceptual Framework (CF) for the Testing and Assessment of Endocrine Disrupters (EDs) at Level 4 or 5 (the CF is under revision). This framework identifies approaches, assays and long-term tests of increasing biological complexity, meant to gather information on potential EDCs. Each of the methods added to the framework requires validation to ensure its relevance and reliability, its two main pillars. OECD Guidance Document 34 on Validation and Acceptance of New and Updated Test Methods for Hazard Assessment [OECD 2005] provides definitions, principles and concrete examples of validation, applied in different areas of hazard assessment. The validation of the FSDT was conducted to address these principles and is presented in the Phase 1 and Phase 2 reports.

3. The FSDT is a modified version of OECD Test Guideline 210 [OECD 1992], the Fish Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test with added endpoints for the detection of endocrine disrupters (vitellogenin (VTG) measurement and sex ratio). The idea of the assay is that exposure of fish to certain EDs during the sensitive window for sexual development will alter the VTG concentration and/or the phenotypic sex. The FSDT was initially developed for zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), which possesses a sensitive window of exposure from 20-60 days post hatch (DPH). The window of exposure was chosen to avoid exposure during an oversensitive stage, between 10-20 DPH, when high larval mortality can occur [Andersen et al. 2003]. After discussion of the test at the OECD in 2003, it was decided that other OECD fish species such as Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) and fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*), and in 2006 three spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*), should also be tested in the validation exercise. Since the precise duration of sexual differentiation and the sensitive window of exposure in species other than zebrafish had not been fully explored, the test is started with newly fertilized eggs (instead of larvae) for all species, including zebrafish. Chemical exposure was extended until 60 days post hatch, when fish are normally sexually differentiated. At the end of the exposure period, animals are terminated and sampled for vitellogenin measurement and sex determination via histological examination of the gonads.

4. During autumn 2005 and early spring 2006 the test proposal was subjected to in-depth statistical evaluation, based on existing data from earlier experiments conducted on zebrafish. The first round (Phase 1) of this validation exercise took place from mid-2006 until mid-2007. Zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*) were used in the Phase 1. The substances tested were 4-tert-pentylphenol, an estrogenic chemical, and prochloraz, an aromatase inhibitor. Five European laboratories participated in Phase 1 of the validation. Results from Phase 1 are available in a separate report. The

outcome of Phase 1 demonstrated that: 1) the fathead minnow sexual differentiation was longer than the exposure duration of the test (i.e. 60 days), and 2) the test design allowing an analysis of the variance should be preferred over a test design meant to determine an effect concentration (EC<sub>x</sub>) through a regression analysis. Thus for Phase 2 of the validation, the fathead minnow was not maintained as a test species, but the zebrafish, the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) and the three spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) were used. The latter two species have the advantage to possess a genetic sex marker. Further, the test design used in Phase 2 was standardized with three test concentrations, 4 replicates per concentration and 40 eggs per replicate at the start of the test.

5. The second round (Phase 2) of the validation exercise took place from spring 2009 to summer 2010. The test substances were 4-tert-octylphenol and dihydrotestosterone. Ten laboratories took part in Phase 2 of the validation. The results of Phase 2 are reported here.

## SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE FOR THE CORE ENDPOINTS

### Vitellogenin

6. Vitellogenin (VTG) is a phospholipoglycoprotein precursor to egg yolk protein that normally occurs in sexually active females of all oviparous species; the production of VTG is controlled by interaction of estrogenic hormones, predominantly 17 $\beta$ -estradiol, with the estrogen receptor [Jobling et al. 1996]. Males retain the capacity to produce VTG in response to stimulation with estrogen receptor agonists; as such, induction of VTG in males has been successfully exploited as a biomarker specific for (anti-)estrogenic compounds in a variety of fish species, including fathead minnow, Japanese medaka, three spined stickleback and zebrafish. A number of VTG measurement methods have been developed and standardized for each of these species [Kunz et al. 2006; Lange et al. 2001; Panter et al. 2002; Panter et al. 2006; Hahlbeck et al. 2004b]. The criteria for selecting the methods used in this validation program are described further in the report.

### Sex ratio

7. When fish are exposed to EDs during the sensitive window of their sexual development (approximately from 0 to 60 days post hatch for the species in the FSDT validation), the phenotypic sex is influenced by this chemical exposure. Certain sex-reversed fish may maintain a certain reproductive capacity; however, skewed sex ratio in a fish population exposed to EDs can impact its sustainability [Kidd et al. 2007]. Phenotypic sex ratio (proportions of males, females etc) is determined via histological examination of the gonads. The sex is defined as female, male, intersex or undifferentiated. It is possible to determine the genetic sex in some species and this has been done for stickleback and medaka in the present validation.

## OBJECTIVES OF PHASE 2

8. The objectives of a validation program are to establish that a test method is relevant - *meaningful for the intended purpose* - and reliable - *reproducible over time and across laboratories*. Phase 1 of the validation exercise was the occasion to test two chemicals with different modes of action, an estrogen and an aromatase inhibitor, in a limited number of laboratories (n=5), and to demonstrate that the test actually *works* and produces meaningful results. A number of other aspects were also investigated in Phase 1, including the test design and two test species, zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) and fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*).

9. In Phase 2 of the validation, the focus was to investigate the reproducibility of results across several participating laboratories using the same fish species, and the same test chemicals at the same concentrations. The objective was to have a minimum of three repetitions for each experiment [chemical x fish species]. Two chemicals were used: 4-tert-octylphenol, another estrogen, and dihydrotestosterone, an androgen. Participating laboratories used zebrafish (LAB 1, LAB 2, LAB 3, LAB 4), the medaka (LAB 4, LAB 5, LAB 9 and LAB 10), and the stickleback (LAB 6, LAB 8). LAB 7 did not submit test results. In most cases, an inter-laboratory comparison of test results was possible. To complete the dataset for the stickleback, LAB 6 conducted additional experiments on flutamide and 17 $\beta$ -estradiol, and LAB 9 and LAB 10 conducted experiments using 4-tert-pentylphenol, the estrogenic chemical used in Phase 1 on zebrafish and fathead minnow, but not yet on medaka.

10. It is also the purpose of a validation program to understand and define the area of application of the test and any limitations to its use. Such limitations are presented in the test results and discussed further in the report. Beside the mandatory endpoints, the Kidney Epithelium Hight (KEH) was reported for stickleback as an endpoint reflecting the induction of the androgen related protein spiggin. These results are not discussed because the method is not validated. The figures are placed in the Appendix.

## ORGANISATION OF PHASE 2

11. The Danish lead laboratory that coordinated Phase 1 also acted as the lead for Phase 2 of the validation. The lead laboratory was responsible for:

- developing the test protocol, including standard operating procedures and distributing it to the participating laboratories;
- preparing a harmonized template for the collection of test results;
- centralizing the distribution of test chemicals;
- collecting all test results;
- preparing the draft report.

12. Phase 2 started in early 2009 and was completed mid-2010. Ten laboratories from Europe and Japan took part in the experimental work, as described in Table 1.

Table 1: Participation in Phase 2, fish species and chemicals tested.

Participating laboratory	Fish species used	Chemicals tested
LAB 1	Zebrafish (ZF)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone
LAB 2	Zebrafish (ZF)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone
LAB 3	Zebrafish (ZF)	dihydrotestosterone
LAB 4	Zebrafish (ZF) Medaka (MK)	4-tert-octylphenol 4-tert-octylphenol
LAB 5	Medaka (MK)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone
LAB 6	Stickleback (STK)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone, flutamide, 17beta-estradiol
LAB 8	Stickleback (STK)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone
LAB 9	Medaka (MK)	4-tert-octylphenol, dihydrotestosterone, 4-tert-pentylphenol
LAB 10	Medaka (MK)	4-tert-pentylphenol

### Overview of the test protocol

13. The experimental work was conducted according to the protocol prepared for Phase 2 of the validation of the Fish Sexual Development Test for Endocrine Active Substances. A summary of the protocol is provided below.

14. Newly fertilized eggs were exposed, 40 per replicate, 4 replicates per treatment level, three treatment levels and appropriate controls (including solvent controls if needed). The chemical exposure was flow-through and lasted until 60 days post hatch, the presumed completion of sexual differentiation in the following fish species: medaka, stickleback and zebrafish. The protocol was designed to detect the effects of EDCs in fish exposed during their sex differentiation period.

15. Exposure to the test chemical was aqueous, with or without carrier solvent. Monitoring continued for up to 60 days post hatch (dph) and included hatching rate, development, survival, growth (total length and body weight), sexual differentiation, and VTG concentrations in individual fish.

### *Test chemicals and concentrations*

16. The test chemicals and test concentrations were discussed and agreed by the Validation Management Group for Ecotoxicity Testing ahead of the study. Dihydrotestosterone is an androgen and 4-tert-octylphenol is a weak estrogen. Nominal concentrations of the test substances were as follows:

- 4-tert-octylphenol: 10, 32 and 100 µg/l (+ water control) for medaka and stickleback Lab 6 (6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 µg/l for medaka LAB 9)  
32, 100 and 320 µg/l for stickleback Lab 8 and zebrafish;
- Dihydrotestosterone: 100, 320 and 1000 ng/l (+ water control).

***Analytical determination of test concentrations***

17. Water samples were collected on a weekly basis in each tank and reported in a spreadsheet. The analytical methods used were GC-MS for 4-tert-octylphenol and LC-MSMS or RIA for dihydrotestosterone.

***Test acceptability criteria***

18. For the test results to be acceptable, the following conditions applied:

- The dissolved oxygen concentration was between 60 and 100 per cent of the air saturation value (ASV) throughout the exposure period.
- The water temperature did not differ by more than  $\pm 2.0^{\circ}\text{C}$  between test vessels at any one time during the exposure period.

***Collection of data and statistical analysis:***

19. All test results were collected and centrally analysed. Unless otherwise stated, a two-sided hypothesis testing was used.

The statistical analysis of vitellogenin measurements were performed by John W Green (DuPont Applied Statistics) following a defined protocol (Figure 1)






Figure 1: Protocol for guidance in statistical analysis of VTG data

20. The statistical analysis of phenotypic sex was also performed by John W Green following a defined protocol (Figure 2).




Figure 2: Protocol for guidance in statistical analysis of sex ratio response

## RESULTS

## Analytical chemistry

*4-tert-octylphenol*

**Table 2:** Measured concentrations of 4-tert-octylphenol; mean concentrations in  $\mu\text{g/l} \pm$  the standard deviation (SD). Numbers in brackets are N samplings. Lines in Bold are means and SD of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the mean treatment concentration  $\pm 20\%$ . *Lab 9 had nominal concentrations of 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100  $\mu\text{g/l}$  4-tert octylphenol.*

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 1 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 2 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 4 medaka mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 4 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 5 medaka mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 6 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 8 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 9 medaka mean $\pm$ SD (n)
control	1	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (4)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	<LOQ (5)
	2	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	<LOQ (4)
	3	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	<LOQ (3)
	4	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (3)	<LOQ (9)	<LOQ (1)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	-
	mean								-
solvent	1		10.1 $\pm$ 15.6 (3)	<LOQ (5)			<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	
	2		0.3 $\pm$ 0.6 (3)	<LOQ (5)			1.4 $\pm$ 2.6 (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	
	3	-	0.5 $\pm$ 0.9 (3)	<LOQ (5)	-	-	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	-
	4		2.1 $\pm$ 2.4 (3)	<LOQ (5)			1.3 $\pm$ 2.3 (9)	<1 $\mu\text{g/l}$ (10)	
	mean		<b>3.3 <math>\pm</math> 4.9 (12)</b>				<b>0.44 <math>\pm</math> 0.8 (36)</b>		
10 $\mu\text{g/l}$	1			10.8 $\pm$ 3.9 (5)	10.2 $\pm$ 2.8 (6)	15.0 $\pm$ 10.0 (4)	11.2 $\pm$ 3.3 (9)		6.0 $\pm$ 0.8 (4)
	2			10.5 $\pm$ 2.4 (5)	11.1 $\pm$ 3.4 (5)	13.3 $\pm$ 5.8 (3)	12.5 $\pm$ 2.3 (8)		6.8 $\pm$ 0.6 (4)
	3	-	-	11.6 $\pm$ 2.0 (5)	8.58 $\pm$ 1.6 (6)	10.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	11.3 $\pm$ 1.2 (8)	-	5.7 $\pm$ 0.4 (4)
	4			11.8 $\pm$ 2.3 (4)	7.93 $\pm$ 1.7 (5)	10.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	14.1 $\pm$ 1.9 (9)		-
	mean			<b>11.2 <math>\pm</math> 2.6 (19)</b>	<b>9.5 <math>\pm</math> 2.5 (22)</b>	<b>12.1 <math>\pm</math> 3.9 (13)</b>	<b>12.2 <math>\pm</math> 2.6 (34)</b>		<b>6.2 <math>\pm</math> 0.8 (12)</b>
32 $\mu\text{g/l}$	1		6.9 $\pm$ 4.6 (3)	28.9 $\pm$ 6.3 (5)	27.7 $\pm$ 5.3 (6)	32.5 $\pm$ 12.6 (4)	21.7 $\pm$ 4.3 (9)	42.8 $\pm$ 17.0 (9)	12.6 $\pm$ 1.4 (4)
	2		3.0 $\pm$ 5.2 (3)	29.6 $\pm$ 7.6 (5)	27.5 $\pm$ 6.4 (5)	26.7 $\pm$ 5.8 (3)	22.7 $\pm$ 6.5 (9)	37.5 $\pm$ 10.9 (8)	11.5 $\pm$ 0.9 (4)
	3		5.6 $\pm$ 8.1 (3)	34.4 $\pm$ 10.2 (5)	27.1 $\pm$ 6.0 (6)	33.3 $\pm$ 15.3 (3)	pooled with rep 2	44.5 $\pm$ 17.2 (8)	12.7 $\pm$ 2.5 (4)
	4		7.4 $\pm$ 5.7 (3)	33.8 $\pm$ 10.5 (5)	21.6 $\pm$ 4.3 (5)	30.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	pooled with rep 2	42.8 $\pm$ 17.6 (9)	-
	mean	<b>13.8 <math>\pm</math> 8.0 (12)</b>	<b>5.7 <math>\pm</math> 5.9 (12)</b>	<b>31.7 <math>\pm</math> 8.5 (20)</b>	<b>26.0 <math>\pm</math> 5.7 (22)</b>	<b>30.6 <math>\pm</math> 8.4 (13)</b>	<b>22.2 <math>\pm</math> 5.4 (18)</b>	<b>41.9 <math>\pm</math> 15.4 (34)</b>	<b>12.3 <math>\pm</math> 1.7 (12)</b>

ENV/JM/MONO(2011)23

100 µg/l	1		12.5± 19.1 (3)	98.7 ± 21.2 (5)	87,6 ± 23,6 (6)	105.0 ± 23.8 (4)	66.9 ± 11.7 (9)	128.8 ± 17.8 (4)	25.2 ± 4.5 (4)
	2		33.9 ± 22.2 (3)	102.2 ± 23.3 (5)	93,2 ± 12,5 (4)	86.7 ± 32.1 (3)	pooled with rep 1	126.5 ± 13.9 (4)	21.1 ± 1.1 (4)
	3		10.9 ± 18.8 (3)	116.0 ± 18.0 (5)	95,6 ± 12,0 (6)	103.3 ± 25.2 (3)	pooled with rep 1	133.0 ± 115.3 (4)	24.4 ± 4.8 (4)
	4		13.3 ± 18.2 (3)	102.0 ± 27.8 (5)	89,6 ± 10,1 (4)	63.3 ± 41.6 (3)	pooled with rep 1	134.3 ± 16.3 (4)	-
	mean	<b>40.6 ± 8.0 (12)</b>	<b>17.6 ± 19.4 (12)</b>	<b>104.7 ± 22.1 (20)</b>	<b>91.5 ± 15.4 (20)</b>	<b>89.6 ± 30.7 (13)</b>	<b>66.9 ± 11.7 (9)</b>	<b>130.6 ± 14.6 (16)</b>	<b>23.6 ± 4.0 (12)</b>
*200 µg/l 320 µg/l	1		37.3± 64.5 (3)*		342,0 ± 41.2 (2)			481.0 ± 195.2 (2)	52.3 ± 0.7 (4)
	2		44.9 ± 41.3 (3)*		231,9 ± 0 (1)			450.0 ± 159.8 (2)	48.7 ± 5.5 (4)
	3		39.6 ± 68.7 (3)*	-	323,3 ± 0.78 (2)	-	-	510.0 ± 241.8 (2)	50.1 ± 6.1 (4)
	4		48.1 ± 39.5 (3)*		295,3 ± 0 (1)			514.5 ± 228.4 (2)	-
	mean	<b>73.1 ± 8.0 (12)</b>	<b>42.5 ± 47.2 (12)*</b>		<b>298.1 ± 45.6 (6)</b>			<b>488.9 ± 160.2 (8)</b>	<b>50.4 ± 4.6 (12)</b>
								89.1 ± 7.1 (4)	
								105.9 ± 13.2 (4)	
								106.9 ± 9.9 (4)	
								-	
								<b>100.6 ± 12.7 (12)</b>	

Measured concentrations were generally close to nominal concentrations, except in LAB 1 and LAB 2 testing zebrafish, where measured concentrations were much lower than expected.

*Dihydrotestosterone***Table 3:** Measured concentrations of DHT; mean concentrations in ng/l  $\pm$  the standard deviation (SD). Numbers in brackets are N samplings. Lines in Bold are means and SD of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the mean treatment concentration  $\pm$  20%.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 1 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 2 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 3 zebrafish mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 5 medaka mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 6 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 8 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)	LAB 9 medaka mean $\pm$ SD (n)
control	1	0.1 $\pm$ 0.1 (10)	2.2 $\pm$ 1.9 (3)	0.4 $\pm$ 1.1 (8)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (4)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	<LOQ (10)	<LOQ (11)
	2	1.4 $\pm$ 4.3 (10)	1.3 $\pm$ 1.6 (3)	0.1 $\pm$ 0.4 (8)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	<LOQ (10)	
	3	9.5 $\pm$ 19.6 (10)	6.4 $\pm$ 7.2 (3)	0.3 $\pm$ 0.7 (7)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	-	
	4	35.1 $\pm$ 70.8 (10)	1.4 $\pm$ 1.6 (3)	0.7 $\pm$ 1.8 (7)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (3)	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	-	
	mean	<b>11.5 <math>\pm</math> 38.1 (40)*</b>	<b>2.8 <math>\pm</math> 4.0 (12)</b>	<b>0.4 <math>\pm</math> 1.1 (30)</b>				
solvent	1	-	2.4 $\pm$ 2.0 (3)	0.4 $\pm$ 1.2 (8)	-	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	<LOQ (10)	
	2	-	3.5 $\pm$ 1.8 (3)	1.0 $\pm$ 2.8 (8)	-	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	<LOQ (10)	
	3	-	3.4 $\pm$ 2.5 (3)	1.9 $\pm$ 5.1 (7)	-	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	-	-
	4	-	4.3 $\pm$ 5.4 (3)	2.1 $\pm$ 5.7 (7)	-	0.0 $\pm$ 0.0 (9)	-	-
	mean		<b>3.3 <math>\pm</math> 2.8 (12)</b>	<b>1.3 <math>\pm</math> 3.8 (30)</b>				
100 ng/l	1	42.6 $\pm$ 56.0 (10)	4.6 $\pm$ 1.0 (3)	20.8 $\pm$ 17.2 (8)	77.8 $\pm$ 62.9 (4)	123.3 $\pm$ 19.7 (9)	186.4 $\pm$ 58.3 (9)	94.4 $\pm$ 12.4 (11)
	2	43.8 $\pm$ 47.4 (10)	2.4 $\pm$ 0.7 (3)	16.8 $\pm$ 10.8 (8)	40.0 $\pm$ 47.8 (3)	125.7 $\pm$ 30.3 (8)	209.2 $\pm$ 74.4 (9)	-
	3	90.9 $\pm$ 198.5 (10)	1.4 $\pm$ 1.2 (3)	24.5 $\pm$ 10.7 (7)	39.0 $\pm$ 28.7 (3)	122.8 $\pm$ 24.8 (8)	204.7 $\pm$ 55.5 (9)	-
	4	62.8 $\pm$ 84.8 (10)	5.0 $\pm$ 5.1 (3)	21.0 $\pm$ 12.7 (7)	38.3 $\pm$ 24.1 (3)	126.3 $\pm$ 26.3 (9)	208.9 $\pm$ 53.2 (9)	-
	mean	<b>60.0 <math>\pm</math> 111.3 (40)</b>	<b>3.3 <math>\pm</math> 2.8 (12)</b>	<b>20.6 <math>\pm</math> 12.8 (30)</b>	<b>48.8 <math>\pm</math> 40.9 (13)</b>	<b>124.6 <math>\pm</math> 24.5 (34)</b>	<b>202.3 <math>\pm</math> 58.3 (36)</b>	<b>94.4 <math>\pm</math> 12.4 (11)</b>
320 ng/l	1	142.9 $\pm$ 195.5 (10)	3.9 $\pm$ 4.6 (3)	17.5 $\pm$ 14.5 (8)	188.0 $\pm$ 105.4 (4)	263.7 $\pm$ 59.6 (9)	352.2 $\pm$ 92.5 (9)	314.9 $\pm$ 52.1 (11)
	2	204.5 $\pm$ 271.4 (10)	2.1 $\pm$ 2.9 (3)	14.6 $\pm$ 12.2 (8)	150.4 $\pm$ 45.1 (3)	273.6 $\pm$ 51.1 (9)	339.9 $\pm$ 82.9 (9)	-
	3	123.4 $\pm$ 145.7 (10)	3.9 $\pm$ 6.8 (3)	27.2 $\pm$ 15.5 (7)	140.8 $\pm$ 63.0 (3)	264.8 $\pm$ 45.5 (9)	316.3 $\pm$ 82.9 (9)	-
	4	112.3 $\pm$ 133.5 (10)	3.5 $\pm$ 3.3 (3)	25.1 $\pm$ 19.5 (7)	139.2 $\pm$ 93.1 (3)	280.2 $\pm$ 63.1 (9)	305.7 $\pm$ 58.7 (9)	-
	mean	<b>145.8 <math>\pm</math> 190.3 (40)</b>	<b>3.3 <math>\pm</math> 4.0 (12)</b>	<b>20.8 <math>\pm</math> 15.6 (30)</b>	<b>154.6 <math>\pm</math> 76.6 (13)</b>	<b>270.6 <math>\pm</math> 53.3 (36)</b>	<b>328.5 <math>\pm</math> 79.8 (36)</b>	<b>314.9 <math>\pm</math> 52.1 (11)</b>
1000 ng/l	1	228.2 $\pm$ 184.4 (10)	13.2 $\pm$ 13.7 (3)	53.1 $\pm$ 29.0 (8)	628.8 $\pm$ 113.5 (4)	792.4 $\pm$ 155.9 (9)	1125.3 $\pm$ 263.8 (9)	1003.9 $\pm$ 126.6 (11)
	2	303.6 $\pm$ 140.6 (10)	16.9 $\pm$ 16.2 (3)	83.6 $\pm$ 52.7 (8)	765.0 $\pm$ 88.5 (3)	725.2 $\pm$ 125.9 (9)	1089.2 $\pm$ 251.8 (9)	-
	3	228.5 $\pm$ 154.2 (10)	1.4 $\pm$ 0.8 (3)	84.5 $\pm$ 87.8 (7)	683.3 $\pm$ 161.7 (3)	742.7 $\pm$ 138.5 (8)	1116.9 $\pm$ 226.4 (9)	-
	4	330.2 $\pm$ 250.7 (10)	4.2 $\pm$ 2.5 (3)	158.2 $\pm$ 200.6 (7)	667.5 $\pm$ 66.3 (3)	690.3 $\pm$ 131.2 (8)	894.2 $\pm$ 482.1 (9)	-
	mean	<b>272.6 <math>\pm</math> 185.7 (40)</b>	<b>8.7 <math>\pm</math> 11.1 (12)</b>	<b>93.1 <math>\pm</math> 110.9 (30)</b>	<b>711.1 <math>\pm</math> 107.5 (13)</b>	<b>738.9 <math>\pm</math> 137.4 (34)</b>	<b>1056.4 <math>\pm</math> 324.6 (36)</b>	<b>1003.9 <math>\pm</math> 126.6 (11)</b>

In laboratories testing with zebrafish, measured concentrations were generally much lower than nominal concentrations, in particular in LAB 2, the highest concentration tested did not reach 10 ng/L instead of 1000 ng/L. 4-tert-pentylphenol

**Table 4:** Measured concentrations of 4-tert-pentylphenol; mean concentrations in µg/l ± the standard deviation (SD). Numbers in brackets are N samplings. Lines in Bold are means and SD of all treatment samples.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 9 medaka mean ± SD (n)	LAB 10 medaka mean ± SD (n)
control	1	<LOQ (5)	<LOQ (9)
	2	<LOQ (4)	<LOQ (9)
	3	<LOQ (3)	<LOQ (9)
	4	-	<LOQ (9)
solvent	1		
	2	-	-
	3		
	4		
32 µg/l	1	32.8 ± 4.3 (5)	26.8 ± 2.9 (9)
	2	31.5 ± 4.1 (4)	27.0 ± 2.4 (9)
	3	29.7 ± 2.5 (3)	27.0 ± 2.0 (9)
	4	-	27.0 ± 2.3 (9)
	mean	<b>31.5 ± 3.7 (12)</b>	<b>27.0 ± 2.8 (36)</b>
100 µg/l	1	111.0 ± 10.2 (5)	93.4 ± 6.1 (9)
	2	101.1 ± 15.5 (4)	93.8 ± 6.1 (9)
	3	97.1 ± 14.5 (3)	93.4 ± 7.0 (9)
	4	-	93.7 ± 5.7 (9)
	mean	<b>104.2 ± 13.4 (12)</b>	<b>93.6 ± 5.9 (36)</b>
320 µg/l	1	324.3 ± 40.6 (5)	295.3 ± 19.7 (9)
	2	324.0 ± 42.3 (4)	294.9 ± 18.2 (9)
	3	298.5 ± 20.3 (3)	293.5 ± 18.3 (9)
	4	-	293.5 ± 17.1 (9)
	mean	<b>317.7 ± 36.0 (12)</b>	<b>294.3 ± 17.6 (36)</b>

All measured concentrations remained within the +/-20% range of nominal concentrations

**Flutamide****Table 5:** Measured concentrations of flutamide; mean concentrations in  $\mu\text{g/l} \pm$  the standard deviation (SD). Numbers in brackets are N samplings. Lines in Bold are means and SD of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the mean treatment concentration  $\pm 20\%$ .

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 6 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)
control	1	<LOQ (5)
	2	<LOQ (1)
	3	<LOQ (1)
	4	<LOQ (5)
solvent	1	<LOQ (5)
	2	<LOQ (1)
	3	<LOQ (5)
	4	<LOQ (1)
32 $\mu\text{g/l}$	1	42.2 $\pm$ 10.7 (5)
	2	17.2 $\pm$ (1)
	3	48.3 $\pm$ 4.9 (5)
	4	33.0 $\pm$ (1)
	mean	<b>41.9 <math>\pm</math> 11.4 (12)</b>
100 $\mu\text{g/l}$	1	125.6 $\pm$ (1)
	2	145.4 $\pm$ 18.0 (5)
	3	135.6 $\pm$ (1)
	4	137.1 $\pm$ 24.9 (5)
	mean	<b>139.4 <math>\pm</math> 19.5 (12)</b>
320 $\mu\text{g/l}$	1	373.2 $\pm$ (1)
	2	377.9 $\pm$ 42.5 (5)
	3	433.3 $\pm$ (1)
	4	388.4 $\pm$ 34.0 (5)
	mean	<b>386.5 <math>\pm</math> 36.4 (12)</b>

Measured test concentrations were generally within an acceptable range of variability around the nominal concentrations.

***17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2)***

**Table 6:** Measured concentrations of E2; mean concentrations in ng/l  $\pm$  the standard deviation (SD). Numbers in brackets are N samplings. Lines in Bold are means and SD of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the mean treatment concentration  $\pm$  20%.

nominal conc.	replicate	Lab 6 stickleback mean $\pm$ SD (n)
control	1	<LOQ (5)
	2	<LOQ (1)
	3	<LOQ (1)
	4	<LOQ (5)
solvent	1	<LOQ (5)
	2	<LOQ (1)
	3	1.8 $\pm$ 4.1 (5)
	4	<LOQ (1)
32 ng/l	1	29.2 $\pm$ (1)
	2	38.0 $\pm$ 5.2 (5)
	3	32.1 $\pm$ (1)
	4	38.0 $\pm$ 6.6 (5)
	mean	<b>36.8 <math>\pm</math> 5.9 (12)</b>
100 ng/l	1	111.2 $\pm$ 31.6 (5)
	2	103.5 $\pm$ 37.4 (5)
	3	63.0 $\pm$ (1)
	4	47.2 $\pm$ (1)
	mean	<b>98.6 <math>\pm</math> 36.2 (12)</b>
320 ng/l	1	380.1 $\pm$ (1)
	2	394.8 $\pm$ 67.5 (5)
	3	390.9 $\pm$ 63.7 (5)
	4	370.4 $\pm$ (1)
	mean	<b>389.9 <math>\pm</math> 56.4 (12)</b>

Measured test concentrations were generally within an acceptable range of variability around the nominal concentrations.



## Hatching rate and survival

### *4-tert-octylphenol*

**Table 7:** Hatching rate and survival from hatch to end of exposure (4-tert-octylphenol): Survival in percentage per replicate. Lines in Bold are means of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the validation criteria. *Nominal concentrations for LAB 9 were 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 and 100 µg/l 4-tert-octylphenol*

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 1 zebrafish		LAB 2 zebrafish		LAB 4 medaka		LAB 4 zebrafish		LAB 5 medaka		LAB 6 stickleback		LAB 8 stickleback		LAB 9 medaka	
		Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	Hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)
control	1	100.0	90.0	100	90.0	70.0	85.7	100.0	80.0	76.0	89.5		95.0	60.0	91.6	80.0	80.0
	2	100.0	95.0	100	88.0	60.0	100.0	100.0	70.0	88.0	90.9		80.0	83.0	95.8	93.3	77.8
	3	100.0	88.0	100	89.0	90.0	83.3	97.5	74.4	84.0	85.7	77.0	90.0	90.0	84.3	80.0	80.0
	4	100.0	83.0	100	89.0	90.0	83.3	97.5	66.7	64.0	100		95.0	80.0	100.0	84.3	79.3
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>89.0</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>89.0</b>	<b>77.5</b>	<b>87.1</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>72.8</b>	<b>78.0</b>	<b>91.5</b>		<b>90.0</b>	<b>78.3</b>	<b>92.9</b>	<b>84.3</b>	<b>79.3</b>
solvent	1			100	97.0								100.0	53.3	87.5		
	2			100	98.0								90.0	30.0	96.0		
	3	-	-	100	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	77.2	95.0	83.3	88.0		
	4	-	-	100	95.0								80.0	80.0	0.0		
	mean			<b>100</b>	<b>97.0</b>								<b>91.3</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>67.9</b>		
10 µg/l	1					45.0	88.9	100.0	70.0	100	88.0		90.0			93.3	80.0
	2					45.0	100.0	100.0	72.5	68.0	88.2		69.1			86.7	86.7
	3	-	-	-	-	35.0	100.0	100.0	75.0	88.0	100	76.4	90.0			93.3	93.3
	4					35.0	100.0	100.0	65.0	92.0	95.7		90.0			91.1	86.7
	mean					<b>40.0</b>	<b>96.9</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>70.6</b>	<b>87.0</b>	<b>93.0</b>		<b>84.8</b>			<b>91.1</b>	<b>86.7</b>
32 µg/l	1	100.0	90.0	100	83.0	-	-	100.0	62.5	76.0	100		75.9	56.6	100.0	90.3	93.3
	2	100.0	100.0	100	79.0	-	-	100.0	52.5	76.0	94.7		80.0	26.6	84.0	100.0	93.3
	3	100.0	85.0	100	80.0	50.0	100.0	97.5	71.8	80.0	100	60.2	Pooled	43.3	94.7	80.0	80.0
	4	100.0	90.0	100	95.0	80.0	88.0	95.0	57.9	80.0	100		Pooled	80.0	0.0	91.1	88.9
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>91.3</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>84.0</b>	<b>65.0</b>	<b>92.3</b>	<b>98.1</b>	<b>61.2</b>	<b>78.0</b>	<b>98.7</b>		<b>78.0</b>	<b>51.6</b>	<b>69.7</b>	<b>91.1</b>	<b>88.9</b>
100 µg/l	1	100.0	85.0	100	85.0	55.0	90.9	97.5	43.6	68.0	88.2		65.2	60.0	2.7	80.0	73.3
	2	100.0	70.0	100	78.0	70.0	78.6	100.0	17.5	84.0	76.2	17.6	Pooled	22.5	0.0	93.3	93.3
	3	100.0	88.0	100	86.0	50.0	90.0	100.0	27.5	84.0	100		Pooled	23.3	0.0	93.3	93.3

	4 mean	100.0 <b>100.0</b>	87.0 <b>82.5</b>	100 <b>100</b>	80.0 <b>82.0</b>	80.0 <b>63.8</b>	100.0 <b>90.2</b>	95.0 <b>98.1</b>	36.8 <b>31.4</b>	96.0 <b>83.0</b>	100 <b>91.1</b>		Pooled <b>65.2</b>	33.3 <b>34.8</b>	0.0 <b>0.7</b>	<b>88.9</b>	<b>86.7</b>
*200 µg/l 320 µg/l	1	100.0	83.0*	100	86.0*			50	0.0					0	0	<b>73.3</b>	73.3
	2	100.0	100.0*	100	79.0*			32.5	0.0					0	0	80.0	80.0
	3	100.0	75.0*	100	79.0*	-	-	32.5	0.0		-	-		0	0	100.0	80.0
	4	100.0	80.0*	100	85.0*			22.5	0.0					0	0	<b>84.4</b>	<b>77.8</b>
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>84.5*</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>82.0*</b>			<b>34.4</b>	<b>0.0</b>					<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>		
																100.0	93.3
																80.0	0
																86.7	73.3
																<b>88.9</b>	<b>55.6</b>

*Dihydrotestosterone*

**Table 8:** hatching rate and survival from hatch to end of exposure (DHT): Survival in percentage per replicate. Lines in Bold are means of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the validation criteria.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 1 zebrafish		LAB 2 zebrafish		LAB 3 zebrafish		LAB 5 medaka		LAB 6 stickleback		LAB 8 stickleback		LAB 9 medaka	
		hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)
control	1	100.0	45.0	100.0	85	93.3	78.3	80.0	100		95.0	60.0	91.6	100.0	80.0
	2	100.0	68.0	100.0	61.0	91.7	80.0	72.0	88.9		80.0	83.0	95.8	100.0	85.0
	3	100.0	43.0	100.0	63.0	95.0	83.3	80.0	95.0	<b>77.0</b>	90.0	90.0	84.3	95.0	80.8
	4	100.0	50.0	100.0	59.0	90.0	76.7	92.0	100		95.0	80.0	100	100.0	100.0
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>51.5</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>67.0</b>	<b>92.5</b>	<b>79.6</b>	<b>81.0</b>	<b>96.0</b>		<b>90.0</b>	<b>78.3</b>	<b>92.9</b>	<b>98.8</b>	<b>86.4</b>
solvent	1			100.0	72.0	95.0	88.3				100.0	53.3	87.5		
	2			100.0	74.0	95.0	45.0				90.0	30.0	96.0		
	3	-	-	100.0	76.0	96.7	66.7	-	-	<b>77.2</b>	95.0	83.3	88.0	-	-
	4			100.0	58.0	93.3	70.0				80.0	80.0	pooled		
	mean			<b>100.0</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>95.0</b>	<b>67.5</b>				<b>91.3</b>	<b>61.7</b>	<b>90.5</b>		
100 ng/l	1	100.0	68.0	100.0	82.0	95.0	65.0	96.0	91.7		80.0	83.3	75.4	95.0	85.5
	2	100.0	73.0	100.0	79.0	85.0	65.0	64.0	100	<b>84.7</b>	90.0	66.6	90.5	70.0	49.0
	3	100.0	65.0	100.0	65.0	96.7	76.7	64.0	87.5		95.0	43.2	90.5	85.0	68.0

	4 mean	100.0 <b>100.0</b>	55.0 <b>61.5</b>	100.0 <b>100.0</b>	51.0 <b>69.0</b>	98.3 <b>93.8</b>	80.0 <b>71.7</b>	84.0 <b>77.0</b>	95.2 <b>93.6</b>		90.0 <b>84.8</b>	66.6 <b>64.9</b>	80.9 <b>84.3</b>	80.0 <b>82.5</b>	52.0 <b>63.6</b>
320 ng/l	1	100.0	68.0	100.0	45.0	96.7	96.7	88.0	90.9	<b>84.7</b>	76.0	25.8	100.0	100.0	95.0
	2	100.0	73.0	100.0	65.0	88.3	83.3	80.0	90.0		80.0	56.6	83.0	85.0	68.0
	3	100.0	73.0	100.0	43.0	95.0	60.0	84.0	100		Pooled	47.0	93.7	95.0	71.3
	4	100.0	55.0	100.0	49.0	96.7	66.7	64.0	100		Pooled	40.0	Pooled	100.0	80.0
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>67.3</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>50.0</b>	<b>94.2</b>	<b>76.7</b>	<b>79.0</b>	<b>95.2</b>		<b>78.0</b>	<b>42.4</b>	<b>92.2</b>	<b>95.0</b>	<b>78.6</b>
1000 ng/l	1	100.0	85.0	100.0	55.0	93.3	80.0	80.0	100	<b>85.5</b>	65.2	78.1	100.0	90.0	63.0
	2	100.0	60.0	100.0	78.0	95.0	81.7	56.0	100		Pooled	56.6	95.8	95.0	80.8
	3	100.0	68.0	100.0	61.0	96.7	63.3	64.0	93.8		Pooled	54.5	90.4	85.0	21.3
	4	100.0	45.0	100.0	79.0	91.7	50.0	80.0	90.0		Pooled	50.0	Pooled	90.0	76.5
	mean	<b>100.0</b>	<b>64.5</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>68.0</b>	<b>94.2</b>	<b>68.8</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>95.9</b>		<b>65.2</b>	<b>59.8</b>	<b>95.4</b>	<b>90.0</b>	<b>60.4</b>

#### *4-tert-pentylphenol*

**Table 9:** hatching rate and survival from hatch to end of exposure (4-tert-pentylphenol): Survival in percentage per replicate. Lines in Bold are means of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the validation criteria.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 9 medaka		LAB 10 medaka	
		hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)	hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)
control	1	86.7	<b>69.3</b>	90.0	90.0
	2	93.3	80.9	95.0	95.0
	3	93.3	<b>68.5</b>	95.0	95.0
	4	-	-	95.0	85.0
	mean	<b>91.1</b>	<b>72.9</b>	<b>93.8</b>	<b>91.25</b>
solvent	1				
	2				
	3	-	-	-	-
	4				
	mean				
32 µg/l	1	80.0	64.0	100.0	95.0
	2	93.3	87.1	100.0	95.0

	3	80.0	53.3	100.0	100.0
	4	-	-	100.0	100.0
	mean	<b>84.4</b>	<b>68.2</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>97.5</b>
100 µg/l	1	93.3	74.7	100.0	95.0
	2	93.3	80.9	100.0	90.0
	3	93.3	80.9	100.0	100.0
	4	-	-	100.0	90.0
	mean	<b>93.3</b>	<b>78.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>93.8</b>
320 µg/l	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	95.0
	2	73.3	53.8	100.0	85.0
	3	86.7	69.3	100.0	100.0
	4	-	-	100.0	95.0
	mean	<b>86.7</b>	<b>74.4</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>93.8</b>

### *Flutamide*

**Table 10:** Hatching rate and survival from hatch to end of exposure (flutamide): Survival in percentage per replicate. Lines in Bold are means of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the validation criteria.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 6 stickleback	
		hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)
control	1	50.0	100.0
	2	71.4	Pooled
	3	75.0	Pooled
	4	87.5	92.3
	mean	<b>71.0</b>	<b>96.2</b>
solvent	1	75.0	100.0
	2	85.7	Pooled
	3	87.5	100.0
	4	75.0	Pooled
	mean	<b>80.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>

32 µg/l	1	83.3	100.0
	2	71.4	Pooled
	3	90.0	89.5
	4	66.7	Pooled
	mean	<b>77.9</b>	<b>94.7</b>
100 µg/l	1	81.8	pooled
	2	80.0	95.8
	3	85.7	Pooled
	4	86.7	90.9
	mean	<b>83.6</b>	<b>93.4</b>
320 µg/l	1	81.8	Pooled
	2	90.0	94.4
	3	66.7	Pooled
	4	83.3	100.0
	mean	<b>80.5</b>	<b>97.2</b>

***17β-estradiol (E2)***

**Table 11:** hatching rate and survival from hatch to end of exposure (E2): Survival in percentage per replicate. Lines in Bold are means of all treatment samples. Highlighted lines are outliers from the validation criteria.

nominal conc.	replicate	LAB 6 stickleback	
		hatching rate (%)	survival (%) (n)
control	1	50.0	100.0
	2	71.4	Pooled
	3	75.0	Pooled
	4	87.5	92.3
	mean	<b>71.0</b>	<b>96.2</b>
solvent	1	75.0	100.0
	2	85.7	Pooled
	3	87.5	100.0
	4	75.0	Pooled

	mean	<b>80.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>
32 ng/l	1	71.4	Pooled
	2	83.3	100.0
	3	50.0	Pooled
	4	89.5	100.0
	mean	<b>73.6</b>	<b>100.0</b>
100 ng/l	1	88.9	100.0
	2	90.9	100.0
	3	80.0	pooled
	4	80.0	Pooled
	mean	<b>85.0</b>	<b>100.0</b>
320 ng/l	1	100.0	Pooled
	2	90.9	94.1
	3	71.4	100.0
	4	83.3	Pooled
	mean	<b>86.4</b>	<b>97.1</b>

### Abnormal appearance and behaviour

No dose related abnormal appearance or behaviour was reported from the laboratories except for LAB 6 where male aggressive behaviour was registered (as number of nips) and a 4 fold reduction was observed at 739 ng/l DHT. A 1½ fold reduction was observed from 66.9 µg/l 4-tert-octylphenol.

**Core endpoints****Table 12:** Overview of NOEC/LOEC for vitellogenin measurements. \*=Jonkheere Terpstra One-sided alternative hypothesis.

Exposure chemical	species	Lab	NOEC VTG (µg/l)	LOEC VTG (µg/l)	comments
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	1	13.8	40.6	increase undifferentiated
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	2	17.6	42.5	increase females and males
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	4	9.5	26.0*	increase males
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	4	31.7	105	increase females
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	5	<12.1	12.1	increase undifferentiated
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	9	6.2	12.3	increase males
4-tert-octylphenol	stickleback	6	22.2	66.9	against solvent control only
4-tert-octylphenol	stickleback	8	>41.9	>41.9	No effect
DHT	zebrafish	1	60.0 ng/l	146 ng/l	decline males
DHT	zebrafish	2	3.1 ng/l	3.3 ng/l	No dose response
DHT	zebrafish	3	20.8 ng/l	93.1* ng/l	increase males
DHT	medaka	5	48.8 ng/l	155 ng/l	decline females
DHT	medaka	9	<94.0 ng/l	94.4 ng/l	increase males
DHT	stickleback	6	739 ng/l	>739 ng/l	no effect
DHT	stickleback	8	<202 ng/l	202 ng/l	decline males, no dose response
4-tert-pentylphenol	medaka	9	31.5	104.2	increase males
4-tert-pentylphenol	medaka	10	<27.0	27.0	increase females
E2	stickleback	6	36.8 ng/l	98.6 ng/l	increase females and undiff.
flutamide	stickleback	6	41.9*	139	increase females
ammonia	zebrafish	1	1.94 mg/l	3.88 mg/l	no dose response
n-octanol	medaka	9	1.0 mg/l	3.2 mg/l	decline females

**Table 13:** Overview of NOEC/LOEC for sex ratio measurements

Exposure chemical	species	Lab	NOEC sex ratio (µg/l)	LOEC sex ratio (µg/l)	comments
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	1	<13.8	13.8	more females
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	2	5.7	17.6	less males
4-tert-octylphenol	zebrafish	4	9.5	26.0	more females
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	4	<11.2	11.2	more females
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	5	<12.1	30.6	more females
4-tert-octylphenol	medaka	9	23.5	50.4	more females
4-tert-octylphenol	stickleback	6	66.0	>66.0	no males
4-tert-octylphenol	stickleback	8	>41.9	>41.9	100% mortality above 41.9 µg/l
DHT	zebrafish	1	<60.0 ng/l	60.0 ng/l	more males
DHT	zebrafish	2	>8.7 ng/l	>8.7 ng/l	low chemical concentration
DHT	zebrafish	3	20.6 ng/l	20.8 ng/l	more males
DHT	medaka	5	<48.8 ng/l	48.8 ng/l	less females
DHT	medaka	9	<94.0 ng/l	94.0 ng/l	genetic females => males
DHT	stickleback	6	271 ng/l	739 ng/l	One intersex fish in middle and
DHT	stickleback	8	202 ng/l	329 ng/l	two in high exposure conc.
					more intersex, less females
4-tert-pentylphenol	medaka	9	104	318	genetic males => females
4-tert-pentylphenol	medaka	10	27.0	93.6	more intersex
E2	stickleback	6	98.6 ng/l	390 ng/l	more intersex
flutamide	stickleback	6	>383	>383	no males
ammonia	zebrafish	1	>7.75 mg/l	>7.75 mg/l	100% mortality at 15 mg/l
n-octanol	medaka	9	>3.2 mg/l	>3.2 mg/l	no effect



**Zebrafish****4-tert-octylphenol*****Vitellogenin levels*****Table 14:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. LAB 4 used 10, 32 and 100 µg/L as test concentrations. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	32 µg/L	100 µg/L	200 µg/L
LAB 1	Males	4036 (34751)	83 (52)	-	-
	Females	261 915 (226 671)	193 729 (271 226)	162 690 (460 756)	6 606 446 (3 572 973)
	Undiff.	22 402 (66 974)	1 848 (4 535)	158 705 (485 012)	5 716 012 (3561 727)
LAB 2	Males	1129 (2521) [solvent control: 54 (58)]	2178 (8510)	678 (3098)	26 797 (39 265)
	Females	352 251 (629 865) [solvent control: 25 101 (56634)]	49 735 (222 099)	13422 (47 939)	354 966 (887 221)
	Undiff	23 038 (54 416) [solvent control: 1395 (4873)]	2544 (10 045)	41 (62)	66 169 (215 054)
LAB 4	Males	11 (6)	11 (5)	22 (19)	-
	Undiff.	12 (7)	10 (5)	694 (1655)	241 925 (270 745)
	Intersex.	10 (6)	13 (11)	1 444 (2 037)	157 801 (159 027)
	Females	18 808 (52 405)	3 751 (7 427)	12 756 (28 091)	184 347 (230 426)

***Sex ratio*****Table 15:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance

	Proportions (SD)	Control	10 µg/L	32 µg/L	100 µg/L	200 µg/L
LAB 1	Males	0.567 (0.06)	-----	0.184 (0.23)	0	0
	Females	0.355 (0.07)	-----	0.762 (0.22)	0.563 (0.12)	0.628 (0.03)
	Intersex	0.014 (0.03)	-----	0	0	0

	Undifferentiated	0.064 (0.03)	-----	0.054 (0.06)	0.438 (0.12)	0.372 (0.03)
LAB 2	Males	0.369 (0.99)	-----	0.285 (0.79)	0.193 (0.46)	0.07 (0.28)
	Females	0.479 (0.46)	-----	0.523 (0.80)	0.496 (0.19)	0.525 (0.56)
	Intersex	None	-----	None	None	None
	Undifferentiated	0.150 (0.79))	-----	0.192 (0.17)	0.309 (0.37)	0.400 (0.31)
LAB 4	Males	0.409 (0.08)	0.274 (0.13)	0.104 (0.09)	0	-----
	Females	0.461 (0.05)	0.619 (0.14)	0.729 (0.06)	0.633 (0.18)	-----
	Not Intersex	0.954 (0.03)	0.938 (0.04)	0.978 (0.02)	0.870 (0.12)	-----
	Not Undifferentiated	0.964 (0.05)	0.973 (0.03)	0.891 (0.02)	0.765 (0.18)	-----

Dihydrotestosterone (DHT)

*Vitellogenin levels*

**Table 16:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 1	Males	288 (668)	51 814 (314 747)	37 (13)	26 (11)
	Females	1 333 455 (1 671 066)	633 262 (1 478 884)	-	-
LAB 2	Males	363 (1 203)	40 (23)	3545 (17 744)	5 641 (18 302)
	Females	587 960 (1 128 779)	1 692 (5 082)	162 958 (467 117)	85 829 (284 038)
		[solvent control: 34 497 (66 562)]			
LAB 3	Males	35 (36)	53 (43)	78 (111)	61 (39)
	Females	9 076 (32 187)	5 044 (16 518)	15 559 (41 956)	265 (193)

*Sex ratio***Table 17:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 1	Males	0.561 (0.26)	0.837 (0.80)	1 (0)	0.99 (0.08)
	Females	0.390 (0.22)	0.163 (0.80)	0	0
	Intersex	0	0	0	0.010 (0)
	Undifferentiated	0.049 (0)	0	0	0
LAB 2	Males	0.559 (0.54)	0.50 (0.77)	0.586 (0.41)	0.57 (0.38)
	Females	0.394 (0.58)	0.353 (0.70)	0.363 (0.60)	0.331 (0.27)
	Intersex	None	None	None	None
	Undifferentiated	0.044 (0.05)	0.145 (0.11)	0.061 (0.06)	0.098 (0.02)
LAB 3	Not Males	0.400 (0.03)	0.298 (0.16)	0.161 (0.20)	0.087 (0.14)
	Females	0.400 (0.03)	0.287 (0.15)	0.148 (0.17)	0.087 (0.14)
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----

*Medaka*4-tert-octylphenol*Vitellogenin levels***Table 18:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	10 µg/L	32 µg/L	100 µg/L
LAB 4	Males	<limit of quantification	<limit of quantification	<limit of quantification	<limit of quantification
	Females	9 (6)	32 (73)	25 (38)	153 (104)
LAB 5	Males	128 (18)	7 165 (36 614)	134 (27)	2629 (6 972)
	Females	6128 (15460)	10 122 (30 584)	27 445	59 599

			(44 327)	(93 915)
LAB 9	Males	0.7 (0.1)	6 (7)	31 (21)
	Females	1711 (412)	1170 (415)	1180 (542)
				2132 (298)

### *Sex ratio*

**Table 19:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	10 µg/L	32 µg/L	100 µg/L
LAB 4	Males	0.666 (0.57)	0.451 (0.58)	0.296 (0.23)	0.043 (0.15)
	Females	0.203 (0.58)	0.483 (0.60)	0.444 (0.59)	0.478 (0.55)
	Intersex	0	0.032 (0.19)	0.185 (0.48)	0.413 (0.29)
	Undifferentiated	0.129 (0.31)	0.032 (0.19)	0.074 (0.26)	0.065 (0.24)
LAB 5	Males	0.500	0.406	0.452	0.317
	Not Females	0.569	0.440	0.452	0.393
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAB 9	Males	0.519 (0.081)	0.425 (0.115)	0.610 (0.091)	0 (0)
	Females	0.481 (0.081)	0.575 (0.115)	0.390 (0.091)	1 (0)

### Dihydrotestosterone

#### *Vitellogenin levels*

**Table 20:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 5	Males	161 (223)	128 (15)	125 (0.2)	132 (24)
	Females	14 101 (21 365)	13 230 (23 977)	3 919 (7 589)	-
LAB 9	Males	0.5 (0.7)	15 (58)	18 (39)	19 (29)
	Females	329 (320)	402 (195)	179 (125)	-

*Sex ratio***Table 21:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 5	Not Males	0.499	0.394	0.060	0.048
	Females	0.430	0.299	0.060	0
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAB 9	Males	0.486	0.458	0.652	1
	Females	0.514	0.542	0.348	0
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----

4-tert-pentylphenol*Vitellogenin levels***Table 22:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	32 µg/L	100 µg/L	320 µg/L
LAB 9 (70d)	Males	1.2 (0.3)	1.3 (0.7)	1.7 (0.2)	3 (2)
	Females	882 (513)	613 (172)	767 (417)	-
LAB 10 (60d)	Males	0.68 (0.5)	0.90 (0.88)	2.45 (2.95)	85 (156)
	Females	1019 (736)	1719 (649)	3215 (1480)	5099 (4427)

*Sex ratio***Table 23:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance (\*=one-sided hypothesis testing).

	Proportion (SD)	Control	10 µg/L	32 µg/L	100 µg/L

LAB 9 (70d)	Males	0.551	0.411	0.403	0.304*
	Females	0.448 (0.08)	0.588 (0.08)	0.596 (0.06)	0.521 (0.07)
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAB 10 (60d)	Males	0.45	0.40	0.35	0.20
	Females	0.55	0.60	0.50	0.70
	Intersex	-----	-----	0.15	0.10
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----

***Stickleback***

4-tert-octylphenol

***Vitellogenin levels***

**Table 24:** Vitellogenin levels detected in males and female fish following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	32 µg/L	100 µg/L	200 µg/L
LAB 6	Males	35 (34)	42 (25)	42 (20)	83 431 (74 047)
		Solvent control: 79 (54)			
	Females	43 (38)	36 (28)	40 (25)	168 842 (192 588)
		Solvent control: 72 (37)			
LAB 8	Males	1632 (566)	2222 (1972)	-	-
	Females	1845 (813)	5724 (7766)	-	-

***Sex ratio***

**Table 25:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	32 µg/L	100 µg/L	200 µg/L
LAB 6	Males	0.462 (0.30)	0.555 (0.44)	0.372 (0.44)	0.533
	Females	0.537 (0.30)	0.444 (0.44)	0.558 (0.56)	0.466
	Intersex	None	None	0.069 (0.12)	None
	Undifferentiated	None	None	None	None
LAB 8	Males	0.389 (0.17)	0.547 (0.06)	-----	-----
	Females	0.611 (0.17)	0.453 (0.06)	-----	-----
	Intersex	None	None	None	None
	Undifferentiated	None	None	-----	-----

### Dihydrotestosterone

#### *Vitellogenin levels*

**Table 26:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 6	Males	35 (34) Solvent control:79 (54)	57 (47)	53 (35)	58 (62)
	Females	43 (38) Solvent control:72 (47)	54 (42)	49 (40)	42 (36)
LAB 8	Males	1687 (2129)	621 (441)	815 (586)	1990 (2855)
	Females	1965 (2014)	859 (496)	209 (272)	1283 (644)

***Sex ratio*****Table 27:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	100 ng/L	320 ng/L	1000 ng/L
LAB 6	Males	0.463 (0.07)	0.428 (0.14)	0.430 (0.11)	0.440 (0.18)
	Females	0.536 (0.07)	0.571 (0.14)	0.555 (0.09)	0.529 (0.12)
	Intersex	-----	-----	0.016 (0.03)	0.031 (0.06)
	Undifferentiated	-----	-----	-----	-----
LAB 8	Males	0.509 (0.80)	0.513 (0.95)	0.612 (0.04)	0.446 (0.17)
	Females	0.490 (0.80)	0.486 (0.95)	0.308 (0.07)	0.412* (0.16)
	Intersex	0	0	0.081* (0.03)	0.143* (0.05)
	Undifferentiated	0	0	0	0

Flutamide***Vitellogenin levels*****Table 28:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to flutamide for 42 dph. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	45 µg/L	141 µg/L	383 µg/L
LAB 6	Undiff.	95 (212)	54 (13)	57 (8)	52 (7)
	Females	49 (12)	51 (11)	56 (19)	71 (70)

***Sex ratio*****Table 29:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to flutamide for 42 dph. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	45 µg/L	141 µg/L	383 µg/L
LAB 6	Males	None	None	None	None
	Females	0.608 (0.382)	0.558 (0.02)	0.578 (1.43)	0.583 (0.22)
	Intersex	-----	-----	-----	-----



Undifferentiated 0.391 (0.38) 0.441 (0.02) 0.421 (1.43) 0.416 (0.22)

17 $\beta$ -estradiol

***Vitellogenin levels***

**Table 30:** Vitellogenin levels detected in male and female fish following exposure to 17 $\beta$ -estradiol for 42 dph. Standard deviation is indicated in parenthesis. Shaded cell indicate the statistical significance.

	Sex	Control	100 $\mu$ g/L	320 $\mu$ g/L	1000 $\mu$ g/L
LAB 6	Undiff.	95 (212)	55 (23)	1 059 086 (542 386)	1 314 685 (4 455 324)
	Females	49 (12)	56 (15)	1 143 310 (743 571)	10 167 541 (3 360 089)

***Sex ratio***

**Table 31:** Proportions of each sex determined following exposure to 17 $\beta$ -estradiol for 42 dph.

	Proportions (SD)	Control	32 $\mu$ g/L	100 $\mu$ g/L	320 $\mu$ g/L
LAB 6	Males	None	None	None	None
	Females	0.608 (0.38)	0.464 (0.041)	0.366 (1.14)	0.562 (0)
	Intersex	0	0	0.033 (0.19)	0.156* (0.53)
	Undifferentiated	0.391 (0.38)	0.535 (0.04)	0.600 (0.95)	0.281 (0.53)

## DISCUSSION

### Purpose of the assay

The purpose of the Fish Sexual Development Test (FSDT) is to assess early life-stage effects and potential adverse consequences of putative endocrine disrupting chemicals (e.g., estrogens, androgens and steroidogenesis inhibitors). The combination of the two core endocrine endpoints, vitellogenin concentration and the population-relevant sex ratio enable the test to be used for hazard and risk assessment when the mode of action of the test substance is already known or identified by the FSDT.

### The biological model

The biological model utilized in the Fish Sexual Development Test is the hormone regulated sexual differentiation and development in fish, where substances mimicking, inducing or inhibiting the endogenous hormones can skew the sex ratio of a sexual developing fish population toward more females, more males, more intersex fish or more undifferentiated fish. Besides, the hormone dependant induction or inhibition of the yolk protein vitellogenin (VTG) (see also OECD TG 229 and TG 230) is utilized in combination with the sex ratio to establish an identification of the mode of action (MOA) of the substance as demonstrated in Table 32.

MOA	VTG ♂	VTG ♀	Sex ratio	references
Weak estrogen agonist	↑	↑	↑♀, ↑Undiff	[Panter et al. 2006;Schafers et al. 2007]
Strong estrogen agonist	↑	↑	↑♀, ↑Undiff, No ♂	[Holbech et al. 2006;Schafers et al. 2007]
Estrogen antagonist	?	↓	↓♀, ↑Undiff.	[Andersen et al. 2004]
Weak androgen agonist	?	?	? ♂	
Strong androgen agonist	↓	↓	↑♂, No ♀	[Holbech et al. 2006]
Androgen antagonist	-	↑	↑intersex	[Kiparissis et al. 2003;Panter et al. 2004]
aromatase inhibitor	↓	↓	↓♀	[Kinnberg et al. 2007]

**Table 32:** Effect response table of VTG and sex ratio to different modes of action of chemicals. ↑=rise in VTG concentration or phenotypic sex. ↓= decline in VTG concentration or phenotypic sex.

### Control animal performance: Hatching and Survival, abnormal appearance and behaviour

The Hatching rate in the experiments with 4-tert-octylphenol did fulfil the validity criteria of 80% with exception of four experiments where the hatching rate was 77.5%, 78% and 77.3 and 78% respectively. These small abbreviations from the validity criteria are not expected to influence the results. Non of the laboratories reported any dose related delay in hatching. The survival of larvae and juvenile fish did fulfil the validity criteria of 70% in all experiments with 4-tert-octylphenol. In summary the hatching and survival in the eight experiments with 4-tert-octylphenol were satisfactory.

The hatching rate in all experiments with DHT did fulfil the validity criteria of 80% with exception of two experiments where the hatching rate was 77.0 and 78.3% which did not influence the test results. The survival of larvae and juvenile fish did fulfil the validity criteria of 70% in all experiments with dihydrotestosterone with the exception of two experiments where the survival rate was 51.5% and 67.0% respectively. The results of the first experiment however, were comparable to the results of the other experiments and therefore the aberration from the validity criteria are not expected to influence the results. The second experiment failed in keeping the DHT nominal concentration in acceptable levels but the survival was close to the 70% validity criteria and did not affect the results. In summary the hatching and survival in the eight experiments with dihydrotestosterone were satisfactory.

The Hatching rate in the two experiments with 4-tert-pentylphenol did fulfil the validity criteria of 80%. The survival of larvae and juvenile fish did fulfil the validity criteria of 70% in both experiments with dihydrotestosterone. In summary the hatching and survival in the two experiments with 4-tert-pentylphenol were satisfactory.

The Hatching rate in the experiments with 17 $\beta$ -estradiol and flutamide (same control groups) did not fulfil the validity criteria of 80% as it was 71%. The survival of larvae and juvenile fish did fulfil the validity criteria of 70% in both experiments. In summary the hatching and survival in the two experiments with 17 $\beta$ -estradiol and flutamide were satisfactory.

LAB 6 reported a reduction in male aggressive behaviour (registered as number of nips) during the exposures. A 4 fold reduction was observed at 739 ng/l DHT and a 1½ fold reduction was observed at 66.9  $\mu$ g/l 4-tert-octylphenol. The reduced aggressive behaviour during DHT exposure was not expected but might be related to a negative feed back mechanism (on e.g. 11-keto testosterone, that is known to induce this male aggressive behaviour [Bell 2001]) but this has not been confirmed experimentally. The effect of 4-tert-octylphenol is expected because it has previously been observed in stickleback that an estrogen reduces male aggressive behaviour [Bell 2001]. It should though be noted that the reference study was done with adult males in contrast to 0-60 DPH males in the present study. No other laboratories reported any dose related abnormal appearance or behaviour. Single cases of lordosis (curvature of the vertebral column) were observed in some of the laboratories but at a very low rate, unrelated to the test substance and probably something common in different laboratory stocks.

### **Actual chemical water concentrations**

The actual chemical water concentration was measured in all experiments. Especially for the experiments with zebrafish, these concentrations were much below the nominal concentrations which is not satisfactory. Especially the exposure concentrations in the Lab 2 experiments are outliers and the results of these two experiments can not be compared to the rest. The endpoint responses are though strongly connected to the actual chemical concentrations which can be seen on the NOEC/LOEC values of Table 12 and Table 13 and therefore the experiments are recognised as valid. The results confirm the necessity of regular chemical analysis of the exposure water and raise the question about exposure system design. A guidance document on aquatic flow through test systems might be a good idea.

### **Vitellogenin response**

Vitellogenin is also a biomarker in TG 229 and TG 230 and the issue of inter laboratory comparison and variation in the response has been addressed in the validation process of these TGs. The vitellogenin response in the FSĐT, where hazard and risk assessment could be relevant, should be seen in connection with the sex ratio because the skewing of the sex ratio where genetic sex is changed phenotypically can affect the vitellogenin concentration as seen in Figure 15 D where the vitellogenin concentration is significant different between genetic males and phenotypically sex reversed females. In contrast to TG 229

and TG 230, the FSDT should be able to identify the mode of action of the test substance and in this context, the sex specific changes in the VTG concentrations is a key biomarker as it can be seen from the effect contingency table in the FSDT draft proposal. One should also take into account that the FSDT is designed to be terminated as soon as the fish are sexually differentiated. This means that the size and developmental stages can vary significantly between experiments and therefore the background vitellogenin concentration can also vary between experiments as it is affected by these parameters. It is therefore expected to see higher control variability in the FSDT vitellogenin than in adult assays as TG 229 and TG 230 vitellogenin but this should not affect the FSDT results because induction or reduction in vitellogenin is normally massive in response to chemicals ([Kinnberg et al. 2007;Zerulla et al. 2002], and because the vitellogenin response should always be connected to the sex ratio response as discussed above.

### **Sex ratio response**

The skewing of the sex ratio can be a population relevant endpoint if the skewing causes a reproductive performance decline in the affected fish population. The size of the skewing of the sex ratio that will cause a population relevant effect is dependent on the reproductive strategy of the species. The differences in the sensitivity of species have been demonstrated in a whole lake exposure scenario where EE2 was introduced for three years and different fish populations were followed [Kidd et al. 2007;Palace et al. 2009].

The sex ratio skewing in the present FSDT validation and in published literature, where FSDT-like exposure scenarios were used, demonstrate a very strong response toward both estrogens, androgens and aromatase inhibitors and often a 100% effect on either phenotypic females or males are seen [Holbech et al. 2006;Kinnberg et al. 2007;Lange et al. 2001;Morthorst et al. 2010;Panter et al. 2006]. Due to this strong response scenario a rather marked change in the sex ratio could be defined as the threshold for a population relevant effect on the sex ratio. For example a 50% change in female or male phenotype proportions could be set as the threshold. The size of the population relevant effect threshold should though be discussed and decided in the FDG and/or VMG-Eco. A skewing toward more undifferentiated fish is not necessarily population relevant but can be so if for example the delay in sexual development of one of the sexes causes an asynchronous mating behaviour that affect breeding. The effects of intersex on fish populations are probably very much dependant on the severity of the intersex as well as the percentage of fish with intersex and also whether it is genetic females or males that turn into intersex fish.

It should be noted that in the present validation report and in the FSDT draft proposal, sex ratio is defined as proportions of sex, where sex can be females, males, intersex or undifferentiated. The statistics are made on each of the four sex-definitions based on these proportions. As an example females are tested against all other fish (non-females) and males are tested against all other fish (non-males). Therefore, a significant change related to “more females” does not automatically mean “fewer males” because the proportions of intersex and undifferentiated can also change. The NOEC/LOEC data in Table 13 are based on the most sensitive change in each experiment and this is the cause of the different statements as “more females”, “more intersex” or “less males”.

### **Genetic sex determination**

The determination of the genetic sex of the individual fish is currently possible for Japanese medaka and three spined stickleback. It improves the power of the test because it can identify each single fish that changes phenotypic sex in contrast to gonadal sexing alone where there is a need of a significant skewing of a proportion of sex before phenotypic sex reversal can be addressed. Besides, genetic sex determination clarifies the difference in vitellogenin concentrations between genetic males and sex reversed females and potentially also between genetic females and sex reversed males.

Guidance on the determination of genetic sex in Japanese medaka has been included in the FSDT draft proposal as an appendix.

## **Performance of endpoints**

### ***Hatching and survival***

A significant decline in the hatching rate was seen in the experiment with 4-tert-octylphenol and zebrafish in LAB 4 at 298 µg/l. The survival was affected at both 91.5 and 298 µg/l. In LAB 8, 4-tert-octylphenol caused a significant reduction in both the hatching rate and the survival in stickleback at 131 µg/l. at 489 µg/l no surviving larvae were observed. A decline in hatching was also seen in stickleback at 66.9 µg/l in LAB 6. It seems as sticklebacks and zebrafish are slightly more sensitive to 4-tert-octylphenol than Japanese medaka where actual concentrations between 89.6 and 105 µg/l did affect neither hatching nor survival in the three experiments (LAB 4, 5 and 9). The results on medaka are in line with Seki et al., [2003] who found no effect on hatch or survival of 94 µg/l 4-tert-octylphenol.

### ***Vitellogenin:***

Vitellogenin was measured in head/tail homogenate or plasma in zebrafish, in head/tail homogenate in stickleback and in liver homogenate or plasma in medaka. Table 12 presents vitellogenin NOEC/LOEC values from all laboratories.

In all experiments with 4-tert-octylphenol, NOECs were equal to or below 41.9 µg/l with the lowest values of 6.2 µg/l for medaka (comparable to a NOEC of 6.9 µg/l in Seki et al. ([2003]), 9.5 µg/l for zebrafish and 22.2 µg/l for stickleback. The mean NOEC from all these experiments was 19.4 µg/l with a standard deviation of 12.1 µg/l. These data are very consistent seen in the context of 8 different experiments with three different species. It is important to underline that the VTG data should not be validated alone but in combination with the sex ratio data.

The NOECs from the experiments with DHT varied from 739 ng/l in stickleback to 20.8 ng/l in zebrafish. Lab 2 was not included in the calculations due to low test concentrations. The mean NOEC from the 6 experiments was 194 ng/l with a standard deviation of 274 ng/l. The high variability was partly caused by large inter species differences and partly by the fact that the female phenotype disappeared in several of the experiments at medium or high exposure concentrations which make a significant decline in VTG difficult to obtain.

Two experiments were performed with medaka and 4-tert-pentylphenol. The NOECs were 31.5 µg/l and 27.0 µg/l respectively, which give a mean of 29.3 µg/l and a standard deviation of 3.2 µg/l. These data are comparable to the validation Phase 1 results of 32 µg/l in zebrafish and 36 µg/l in fathead minnow.

E2 and flutamide was tested in stickleback only but the E2 NOEC of 36.8 ng/l is comparable to the results in zebrafish from a published studies [Holbech et al. 2006].

One negative study with ammonia was performed on zebrafish. No effect was observed on female VTG but a non dose related effect was seen on male VTG with a significant induction in the medium exposure concentration (3.88 mg/l). No effect in the highest exposure concentration was seen and these variable results are probably seen because to few a number of fish were analysed for VTG (<20 for each sex). A negative study with n-octanol was performed on medaka. No effect on male VTG was observed whereas a slight but significant reduction in female VTG was seen at the highest exposure concentration. A reduction in VTG can be caused by a toxic effect on the liver of the fish at his concentration (3.20 mg/l).

***Sex ratio***

The sexing of fish was performed by histological evaluation of the gonads. Fish were identified as females, males, intersex or undifferentiated. The statistics were calculated on basis of the proportions of sex and replicates were taken into account to include between replicate variations.

The six 4-tert-octylphenol experiments with zebrafish and medaka all produced NOECs from 23.5 µg/l or below and LOEC's from 50.4 µg/l or below (Table 13). The mean NOEC was 12.6 µg/l with a standard deviation of 6.0 µg/l and the mean LOEC was 24.9 µg/l with a standard deviation of 14.5 µg/l. These results are uniform and it can be concluded that zebrafish and medaka are equally sensitive to this weak estrogen and different laboratory populations of the two species perform equally. The medaka results are also comparable to published results with a NOEC/LOEC of 23.7/48.1 µg/l 4-tert-octylphenol [Seki et al. 2003].

The results from the two experiments with stickleback suggest that phenotypic sex reversal in this species is less sensitive to 4-tert-octylphenol than in zebrafish and Japanese medaka because no LOEC was found. A higher systemic toxicity of 4-tert octylphenol to stickleback than to zebrafish and medaka could also be a part of the explanation of lack of effect.

Five experiments were including zebrafish and medaka to DHT exposure. The Lab 2 experiment failed due to a maximum measured test concentration of 8.7 ng/l (nominal 1000 ng/l) and the results from this test can only be used as a "negative" study showing background sex ratio in zebrafish. The remaining four studies had NOECs below 94.4 ng/l for medaka and 60.0 ng/l for zebrafish and LOEC's from 94.4 ng/l and below for medaka and 60.0 ng/l and below for zebrafish. The mean NOEC was 56.4 ng/l with a standard deviation of 30.2 ng/l and the mean LOEC was 56.5 ng/l with a standard deviation of 30.1 ng/l. These results are also very uniform and it can be concluded that medaka and zebrafish are equally sensitive to the androgen DHT.

Two experiments were including stickleback to DHT exposure. with Lab 6 finding a NOEC of 739 ng/l and no LOEC and Lab 8 finding a NOEC of 202 ng/l and a LOEC of 329 ng/l expressed as intersex fish. The results indicate that in stickleback it is more difficult to reverse the phenotypic sex compared to medaka and zebrafish.

Two studies were performed on medaka with 4-tert-pentylphenol exposure. NOECs were 27.0 µg/l and 104.2 µg/l which can be compared to the NOEC's of 32 µg/l, 34 µg/l and 36 µg/l in the three valid studies on zebrafish and fathead minnow in the phase 1 validation.

A NOEC of 98.6 ng/l and a LOEC of 390 ng/l (more intersex fish and 13% genetic males changed to phenotypic females) was found in the study on E2 from Lab 6, whereas no LOEC was found in the study on flutamide in stickleback from Lab 6. The NOEC of 98.6 ng/l in the E2 study is higher than published studies on zebrafish and Japanese medaka where NOEC was below 50 ng E2/l, e.g. 24 ng/l [Holbech et al. 2006] and below 33.5 ng/l [Hirai et al. 2006]. Again, it is evident that at least in the populations of stickleback used for the validation work it is difficult to chemically skew in regard to sex ratio. It should be noted that for both experiments (E2 and flutamide) the exposure was terminated at 42 dph, which explain why all undifferentiated fish were genetic males. These two studies were part of investigations aiming to establish if the FSDT could be of shorter duration when using the stickleback based on previous information that sexual differentiation in this species is completed by 42dph [Hahlbeck et al. 2004a]

Two negative studies were performed: One with ammonium in zebrafish and one with n-octanol in Japanese medaka. No effect on sex ratios was seen in the experiments.

***Kidney epithelium height (KEH)***

The measurement of the height of the stickleback kidney epithelium is a qualitative measurement of the androgen related spiggin induction that should respond to androgens by an increase. It is not a mandatory endpoint in the FSDT. The KEH was analysed in the four experiments conducted in Lab 6: In the experiment with 4-tert octylphenol an increase in KEH was observed for all exposure concentrations. This effect cannot be explained by an androgen mode of action but might indicate some kind of toxic effect of 4-tert octylphenol on the kidneys. In the DHT experiment, an increase was observed at the highest exposure concentration of 739 ng/l. This would be expected to be an androgenic induction. An increase in KEH was also observed after E2 exposure at all concentrations in both males and females. Again this effect cannot be explained by an androgenic effect. No change in KEH was observed after exposure to the anti-androgenic flutamide.

**Summary of Phase-1 and Phase-2 validation results**

The present validation work is a good example of the importance of analysing the chemical concentrations in the water in aquatic exposure systems regularly. Several of the participating laboratories had difficulties with the acceptability criteria of a maximum deviation of  $\pm 20\%$  of the mean measured concentration. This is not a FSDT specific problem and it should be seen in the context of the diversity of the participating laboratories. Many are university laboratories not used to standardised test protocols. The use of contract- and industrial laboratories would for sure eliminate many of these problems.

The two core endocrine endpoints in the FSDT are sex ratio and vitellogenin concentrations. As described earlier they should be validated in combination because this can give a picture of the MOA of the test substance. It is therefore important that the two endpoints have approximately the same sensitivity to the exposure chemicals. The sensitivity of the endpoints is indeed comparable, which can be seen from Table 12 and 13. For example the mean VTG NOEC from three zebrafish 4-tert-octylphenol experiments is 13.6  $\mu\text{g/l}$  and the corresponding mean sex ratio NOEC is 9.7  $\mu\text{g/l}$ . The corresponding NOECs for medaka are 16.7  $\mu\text{g/l}$  and 15.6  $\mu\text{g/l}$ . For some modes of action as for example strong androgens the skewing of sex toward males is so strong the female VTG measurement is based on very few individuals and therefore not always useful. But in these cases the sex ratio response is sufficient for a risk or hazard assessment of the substance because a “no female” population is achieved.

The validation of zebrafish, Japanese medaka and fathead minnow has identified equally sensitivity to the test substances regardless the strain or population used. A test with an androgen is needed in fathead minnow to complete the validation of this species. The three-spined stickleback showed comparable sensitivity to the zebrafish and the medaka by means of effects on VTG concentrations. However, it was proved less sensitive to phenotypic sex reversal caused by the present exposure chemicals compared to zebrafish and Japanese medaka. In the majority of cases the chemicals did have an effect on stickleback sexual differentiation but this was more by means of inducing a low incidence of intersex rather than causing a dramatic effect in the sex ratio. The reason might be a stronger genetic sex determination mechanism in comparison to the other test species.

## APPENDIX

## Figures from the FSDT Phase 2 validation

*Schematic overview*

Table 33: Overview of the Annex 1 figures

Exposure chemical	endpoint	species	LAB	Figure
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	1	Figure 3
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	2	Figure 4
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	4	Figure 5
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	4	Figure 6
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	5	Figure 7
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 8
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 9
4-tert-octylphenol	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	8	Figure 10
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	1	Figure 11
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	2	Figure 12
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Zebrafish	3	Figure 13
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	5	Figure 14
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 15
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 16
Dihydrotestosterone	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	8	Figure 17
4-tert-pentylphenol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 18
4-tert-pentylphenol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	10	Figure 19
17 $\beta$ -estradiol	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 20
flutamide	vitellogenin	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 21



ammonium	vitellogenin	zebrafish	1	Figure 22
n-octanol	vitellogenin	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 23
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Zebrafish	1	Figure 24
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Zebrafish	2	Figure 25
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Zebrafish	4	Figure 26
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	4	Figure 27
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	5	Figure 28
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 29
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 30
4-tert-octylphenol	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	8	Figure 31
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Zebrafish	1	Figure 32
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Zebrafish	2	Figure 33
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Zebrafish	3	Figure 34
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	5	Figure 35
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 36
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 37
Dihydrotestosterone	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	8	Figure 38
4-tert-pentylphenol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 39
4-tert-pentylphenol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	10	Figure 40
17 $\beta$ -estradiol	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 41
flutamide	sex ratio	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 42
Ammonia	sex ratio	zebrafish	1	Figure 43
n-octanol	sex ratio	Japanese medaka	9	Figure 44
4-tert-octylphenol	KEH	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 45
Dihydrotestosterone	KEH	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 46


17β-estradiol	KEH	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 47
flutamide	KEH	Three spined stickleback	6	Figure 48

**VITELLOGENIN**

**4-tert-octylphenol**


**Zebrafish**

*Lab 1*




**Figure 3: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A), male (B) and undifferentiated (C) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**


Lab 2



**Figure 4: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A), male (B) and undifferentiated (C) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

Lab 4






**Figure 5: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A), male (B), undifferentiated (C) and intersex (D) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control. (\*)=Significant different from control using 1-sided hypothesis testing.**


*Japanese medaka*

*Lab 4*




**Figure 6: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05 . \*=Significant different from control**

Lab 5



**Figure 7: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control. (\*)=Significant different from control using 1-sided hypothesis testing.**

Lab 9






**Figure 8: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A), male (B) and genetic females versus sex reversed males (C) medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

*Three spined stickleback*

*Lab 6*



**Figure 9: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) stickleback after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

Lab 8




Figure 10: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) stickleback after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control

Dihydrotestosterone

Zebrafish

Lab 1




Figure 11: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control

Lab 2





Figure 12: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control

Lab 3






**Figure 13: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control. (\*)=Significant different from control using 1-sided hypothesis testing.**

*Japanese medaka*

*Lab 5*



Lab 9





Figure 15: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) medaka after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Percentage sex reversal (C) and vitellogenin of sex reversed fish (D). Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control


*Three spined stickleback*

*Lab 6*



**Figure 16: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) stickleback after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

*Lab 8*




**Figure 17: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) stickleback after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

**4-tert-pentylphenol**


*Japanese medaka*

*Lab 9*



**Figure 18: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) medaka after 70 D exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

*Lab 10*



**Figure 19: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control**

17β-estradiol

Three spined stickleback

Lab 6




Figure 20: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and undifferentiated (B) stickleback after 37 D exposure to 17β-estradiol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control

Flutamide

Three spined stickleback

Lab 6





Figure 21: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and undifferentiated (B) stickleback after 41 D exposure to flutamide. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control. (\*)=Significant different from control using 1-sided hypothesis testing.

**Ammonia**

*Zebrafish Lab 1*




**Figure 22: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (a) and male (B) zebrafish after 60 D exposure to ammonium. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control.**

**N-octanol**

*Japanese medaka*

*Lab 9*




**Figure 23: Vitellogenin concentrations in female (A) and male (B) Japanese medaka after 60 D exposure to n-octanol. Numbers at the bottom are N. P=0.05. \*=Significant different from control.**

**SEX RATIO AND GENETIC SEX**

**4-tert-octylphenol**


*Zebrafish*

*Lab 1*



**Figure 24: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

*Lab 2*



**Figure 25: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

Lab 4




Figure 26: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

Japanese medaka

Lab 4




Figure 27: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05



Lab 5




Figure 28: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05. (\*)=one-sided test.

Lab 9





Figure 29: (A) Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05. (B) Percentage sex reversed males of total number of genetic males.


**Three spined stickleback**

*Lab 6*



**Figure 30: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

*Lab 8*




**Figure 31: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

**Dihydrotestosterone**


**Zebrafish**

*Lab 1*



**Figure 32: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

*Lab 2*



**Figure 33: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

Lab 3




Figure 34: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

Japanese medaka

Lab 5




Figure 35: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

Lab 9




Figure 36: (A) Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05. (B) Percentage sex reversed females of total number of genetic females.

Three spined stickleback

Lab 6




Figure 37: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

Lab 8




Figure 38: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

4-tert-pentylphenol

Japanese medaka

Lab 9




Figure 39: (A) Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 70 D exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. Asterisk in brackets: Genetic males changed to phenotypic females. P=0.05. (B) Percentage sex reversed males of total number of genetic males.

Lab 10




Figure 40: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex medaka after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-pentylphenol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

17β-estradiol

Three spined stickleback

Lab 6





Figure 41: (A) Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 42 D exposure to 17β-estradiol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05. (B) Percentage sex reversed males of total number of genetic males.


**Flutamide**

*Three spined stickleback*

*Lab 6*



**Figure 42: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex stickleback after 42 D exposure to flutamide. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**



**Figure 43: Sex ratio as percentage females, males, undifferentiated and intersex zebrafish after 60 D exposure to ammonium. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**






Figure 44: Sex ratio as percentage females, males and sex reversed males. Japanese medaka after 60 D exposure to n-octanol. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

### KIDNEY EPITHELIUM HEIGHT (KEH)

The measurement of the stickleback KEH is a qualitative measurement of the androgen related spiggin induction. It is not a mandatory endpoint in the FSDT.

#### 4-tert-octylphenol

##### Three spined stickleback

###### Lab 6





Figure 45: Kidney epithelium height (KEH) in mm after 60 D exposure to 4-tert-octylphenol of female (A) and male (B) stickleback. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05

**Dihydrotestosterone**

*Three spined stickleback*

*Lab 6*




**Figure 46: Kidney epithelium height (KEH) in mm after 60 D exposure to dihydrotestosterone of female (A) and male (B) stickleback. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

**17β-estradiol**

*Three spined stickleback*

*Lab 6*




**Figure 47: Kidney epithelium height (KEH) in mm after 42 D exposure to 17β-estradiol of female (A) and undifferentiated (B) stickleback. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

## Flutamide

### Three spined stickleback

#### Lab 6



**Figure 48: Kidney epithelium height (KEH) in mm after 42 D exposure to flutamide of female (A) and undifferentiated (B) stickleback. Numbers at bottom are N. P=0.05**

## References

1. Andersen, L., H. Holbech, A. Gessbo, L. Norrgren, and G. I. Petersen, 2003. Effects of exposure to 17 alpha-ethinylestradiol during early development on sexual differentiation and induction of vitellogenin in zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology* **134**: 365-374.
2. Andersen, L., K. Kinnberg, H. Holbech, B. Korsgaard, and P. Bjerregaard, 2004. Evaluation of a 40 day assay for testing endocrine disruptors: Effects of an anti-estrogen and an aromatase inhibitor on sex ratio and vitellogenin concentrations in juvenile zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* **30**: 257-266.
3. Bell, A. M., 2001. Effects of an endocrine disrupter on courtship and aggressive behaviour of male three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus*. *Animal Behaviour* **62**: 775-780.
4. Hahlbeck, E., R. Griffiths, and B. E. Bengtsson, 2004a. The juvenile three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) as a model organism for endocrine disruption - I. Sexual differentiation. *Aquatic Toxicology* **70**: 287-310.
5. Hahlbeck, E., I. Katsiadaki, I. Mayer, M. Adolfsson-Erici, J. James, and B. E. Bengtsson, 2004b. The juvenile three-spined stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus* L.) as a model organism for endocrine

- disruption - II - kidney hypertrophy, vitellogenin and spiggin induction. *Aquatic Toxicology* **70**: 311-326.
6. Hirai,N., A.Nanba, M.Koshio, T.Kondo, M.Morita, and N.Tatarazako, 2006. Feminization of Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) exposed to 17 beta-estradiol: Formation of testis-ova and sex-transformation during early-ontogeny. *Aquatic Toxicology* **77**: 78-86.
  7. Holbech,H., K.Kinnberg, G.I.Petersen, P.Jackson, K.Hylland, L.Norrgrén, and P.Bjerregaard, 2006. Detection of endocrine disruptors: Evaluation of a Fish Sexual Development Test (FSDT). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology* **144**: 57-66.
  8. Jensen,K.M., E.A.Makynen, M.D.Kahl, and G.T.Ankley, 2006. Effects of the feedlot contaminant 17 alpha-trenbolone on reproductive endocrinology of the fathead minnow. *Environmental Science & Technology* **40**: 3112-3117.
  9. Jobling,S., D.Sheahan, J.A.Osborne, P.Matthiessen, and J.P.Sumpter, 1996. Inhibition of testicular growth in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to estrogenic alkylphenolic chemicals. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **15**: 194-202.
  10. Kidd,K.A., P.J.Blanchfield, K.H.Mills, V.P.Palace, R.E.Evans, J.M.Lazorchak, and R.W.Flick, 2007. Collapse of a fish population after exposure to a synthetic estrogen. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **104**: 8897-8901.
  11. Kinnberg,K., H.Holbech, G.I.Petersen, and P.Bjerregaard, 2007. Effects of the fungicide prochloraz on the sexual development of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology C-Toxicology & Pharmacology* **145**: 165-170.
  12. Kiparissis,Y., T.L.Metcalf, G.C.Balch, and C.D.Metcalf, 2003. Effects of the antiandrogens, vinclozolin and cyproterone acetate on gonadal development in the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). *Aquatic Toxicology* **63**: 391-403.
  13. Kunz,P.Y., T.Gries, and K.Fent, 2006. The ultraviolet filter 3-benzylidene camphor adversely affects reproduction in fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Toxicological Sciences* **93**: 311-321.
  14. Lange,R., T.H.Hutchinson, C.P.Croudace, F.Siegmund, H.Schweinfurth, P.Hampe, G.H.Panter, and J.P.Sumpter, 2001. Effects of the synthetic estrogen 17 alpha-ethinylestradiol on the life-cycle of the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **20**: 1216-1227.
  15. Milnes,M.R., D.S.Bermudez, T.A.Bryan, T.M.Edwards, M.P.Gunderson, I.L.V.Larkin, B.C.Moore, and L.J.Guillette, 2006. Contaminant-induced feminization and demasculinization of nonmammalian vertebrate males in aquatic environments. *Environmental Research* **100**: 3-17.
  16. Morthorst,J.E., H.Holbech, and P.Bjerregaard, 2010. Trenbolone causes irreversible masculinization of zebrafish at environmentally relevant concentrations. *Aquatic Toxicology* **98**: 336-343.
  17. OECD. OECD Guideline No. 210. Fish, Early Life Stage Toxicity Test. 1992. OECD Publishing.  
Ref Type: Generic
  18. OECD. Guidance Document on the validation and international acceptance of new or updated test methods for hazard assessment. OECD. 34, 1-96. 2005. OECD Series on Testing and Assessment.  
Ref Type: Serial (Book,Monograph)

19. Orlando, E.F., A.S.Kolok, G.A.Binzcik, J.L.Gates, M.K.Horton, C.S.Lambright, L.E.Gray, A.M.Soto, and L.J.Guillette, 2004. Endocrine-disrupting effects of cattle feedlot effluent on an aquatic sentinel species, the fathead minnow. *Environmental Health Perspectives* **112**: 353-358.
20. Palace, V.P., R.E.Evans, K.G.Wautier, K.H.Mills, P.J.Blanchfield, B.J.Park, C.L.Baron, and K.A.Kidd, 2009. Interspecies differences in biochemical, histopathological, and population responses in four wild fish species exposed to ethynylestradiol added to a whole lake. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **66**: 1920-1935.
21. Panter, G.H., T.H.Hutchinson, K.S.Hurd, J.Bamforth, R.D.Stanley, S.Duffell, A.Hargreaves, S.Gimeno, and C.R.Tyler, 2006. Development of chronic tests for endocrine active chemicals - Part 1. An extended fish early-life stage test for oestrogenic active chemicals in the fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*)  
3. *Aquatic Toxicology* **77**: 279-290.
22. Panter, G.H., T.H.Hutchinson, K.S.Hurd, A.Sherren, R.D.Stanley, and C.R.Tyler, 2004. Successful detection of (anti-) androgenic and aromatase inhibitors in pre-spawning adult fathead minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) using easily measured endpoints of sexual development  
31. *Aquatic Toxicology* **70**: 11-21.
23. Panter, G.H., T.H.Hutchinson, R.Lange, C.M.Lye, J.P.Sumpter, M.Zerulla, and C.R.Tyler, 2002. Utility of a juvenile fathead minnow screening assay for detecting (anti-)estrogenic substances. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* **21**: 319-326.
24. Zerulla, M., R.Lange, T.Steger-Hartmann, G.Panter, T.Hutchinson, and D.R.Dietrich, 2002. Morphological sex reversal upon short-term exposure to endocrine modulators in juvenile fathead minnow (*Pimephales promelas*). *Toxicology Letters* **131**: 51-63.