**Unclassified** **DSTI/IND(2012)15**

Organisation de Coopération et de Développement Économiques
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development **03-Apr-2012**

**English - Or. English**

**DIRECTORATE FOR SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND INDUSTRY**
**COMMITTEE ON INDUSTRY, INNOVATION AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP**

DSTI/IND(2012)15
**Unclassified**

# CIIE EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

**Paris, 17-18 April 2012**

*Delegates will find attached a short self-evaluation questionnaire on the CIIE meeting of 17-18 April. The purpose of this questionnaire is to assist the Secretariat in improving the quality of the CIIE's work, including the CIIE meeting itself. We would ask Delegates to fill out the questionnaire from the viewpoint of the fundamental objective of the CIIE as indicated in the CIIE's mandate: assisting governments in designing and implementing industry, innovation and entrepreneurship policies that promote growth in output, incomes and welfare through sustainable improvements in productivity and competitiveness.*

*The Secretariat will collect the completed questionnaires (one per country) at the end of the Plenary session on Wednesday 18 April 2012 (i.e. at 1 pm). A summary of the replies, indicating the "score" each question received, will be circulated shortly after the meeting. Detailed comments will be considered by the Secretariat in preparing for future CIIE meetings.*

Contact: Dirk PILAT, Structural Policy Division; Tel: 33-(0)1-45 24 93 80; Fax: + 33 1 44 30 62 57; e-mail: dirk.pilat@oecd.org and Linda Haie-Fayle; Tel: 33-(0)1-45 24 91 33; Fax: + 33 1 44 30 62 57; e-mail: linda.Haie-fayle@oecd.org

**JT03319129**

**Complete document available on OLIS in its original format**
***This document and any map included herein are without prejudice to the status of or sovereignty over any territory, to the delimitation of international frontiers and boundaries and to the name of any territory, city or area.***

**English - Or. English**

## EVALUATION OF THE MEETING

1. The purpose of this short self-evaluation questionnaire is to assist the Secretariat in improving the quality of the CIIE's work, including the CIIE meeting itself. We would ask Delegates to fill out the questionnaire from the viewpoint of the fundamental objective of the CIIE as indicated in the CIIE's mandate: assisting governments in designing and implementing industry, innovation and entrepreneurship policies that promote growth in output, incomes and welfare through sustainable improvements in productivity and competitiveness.

2. Delegates may wish to reflect on whether a more elaborate questionnaire, or one of a different form, might be useful and, if so, the directions this elaboration might take.

3. The Secretariat will collect the completed questionnaires (one per country) at the end of the Plenary session on Wednesday 18 April 2012 (*i.e.* at 1 pm). Please note that, in Section A, countries are invited to comment separately on the main substantive agenda items. In Section B, countries are invited to comment more broadly on the CIIE meeting.

4. A summary of the replies, indicating the "score" each question received, will be circulated shortly after the meeting. Detailed comments will be considered by the Secretariat in preparing for future CIIE meetings.

**CIIE: Evaluation Questionnaire**

**(Meeting of 17/18 April 2012)**

Country:_____

| **A. Individual Topics** | **Very Good** | **Satisfactory** | **Unsatisfactory** |
|---|---|---|---|
| ***A.1. New Sources of Growth: Seizing the Benefits (Item 2)*** | | | |
| a) Documentation | | | |
| 1. Quality of background analysis | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Policy-relevant information content | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Elaboration of policy issues | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b) Discussion at meeting | | | |
| 1. Quality of discussion | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Degree of interaction | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Relevance to domestic policy concerns (as regards this topic) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| c) Other comments | | | |
| ***A.2. Fostering Green Growth: New Business Models and Policy Implications for the Demand Side (Item 6)*** | | | |
| a) Documentation | | | |
| 1. Quality of background analysis | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Policy-relevant information content | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Elaboration of policy issues | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b) Discussion at meeting | | | |
| 1. Quality of discussion | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Degree of interaction | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Relevance to domestic policy concerns (as regards this topic) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| c) Other comments | | | |
| ***A.3. Strengthening Business Dynamics and Entrepreneurship (Item 7)*** | | | |
| a) Documentation | | | |
| 1. Quality of background analysis | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Policy-relevant information content | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Elaboration of policy issues | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| b) Discussion at meeting | | | |
| 1. Quality of discussion | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Degree of interaction | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Relevance to domestic policy concerns (as regards this topic) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| c) Other comments | | | |

***A.4. Global Value Chains (Item 8)***

a) Documentation

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Quality of background analysis | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Policy-relevant information content | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Elaboration of policy issues | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

b) Discussion at meeting

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Quality of discussion | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Degree of interaction | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Relevance to domestic policy concerns (as regards this topic) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

c) Other comments

***A.5. Evaluation of Industrial Policy (Item 10)***

a) Documentation

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Quality of background analysis | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Policy-relevant information content | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Elaboration of policy issues | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

b) Discussion at meeting

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Quality of discussion | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 2. Degree of interaction | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |
| 3. Relevance to domestic policy concerns (as regards this topic) | ☐ | ☐ | ☐ |

c) Other comments

**B. Overall issues**

***B.1. Agenda***

☐ Too heavy/crowded
☐ Correct balance of items
☐ Too light

***B.2. Participation of Delegations in meeting***

| **Duration of interventions** | **Quality of interventions** |
|---|---|
| ☐ Too long | ☐ High |
| ☐ About right | ☐ Average |
| ☐ Too short | ☐ Low |

***B.3. Participation of Secretariat in meeting***

| | |
|---|---|
| ☐ Too long | ☐ High |
| ☐ About right | ☐ Average |
| ☐ Too short | ☐ Low |

***B.4. Overall judgement on issues identified by the Secretariat in the substantive agenda***

☐ Too broad
☐ Appropriate focus
☐ Too narrow

**C. Other comments**