ANNUAL REPORT ON COMPETITION POLICY DEVELOPMENTS IN THE NETHERLANDS

-- 2009 --

This report is submitted by the Netherlands to the Competition Committee FOR INFORMATION at its forthcoming meeting to be held on 27-28 October 2010.
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Executive summary: The Board looks back on 2009

1. Last year, in the 2008 Annual Report, the Board of the NMa said it feared that 2009 would be a difficult year for the Dutch economy. Indeed, it turned out to be the first full year of regulation in a time of economic crisis. Much has already been said about this issue, both on a national level as well as on an international level. Competition authorities across Europe have come to the conclusion that there is no reason to relax competition oversight, a point that was re-emphasized by incoming European commissioner for competition Joaquín Almunia, successor to Neelie Kroes, at his installation in February 2010. Moreover, the crisis has neither led to a change in the regulatory frameworks for the energy and transport industries.

‘Making markets work’

2. The crisis cannot be used as an excuse for creating a situation that, in the long run, may have adverse effects on the Dutch economy. If history has taught us anything, it is that anti-competitive measures may actually slow down economic recovery, and lead to irreversible and permanent market distortions. The NMa’s mission therefore remains the same: ‘making markets work.’ However, this does not take away the fact the NMa does indeed take into account the specific problems that the crisis is creating. Competition authorities not only have the instruments that help achieve the objectives of competition policy, but they also have the capabilities to advise governments and undertakings on how to deal with the effects of the economic crisis.

Accounting for our actions

3. In 2009, the NMa imposed fines totaling €5.3 million for violations of the Dutch Competition Act and of energy and transport regulations. The number of fines has increased, but the total amount is lower than that of 2008 (which was €12 million). This discrepancy is explained by the different natures of the cases the NMa handled. Cases vary each year, and so do the turnover totals of the undertakings involved, which, to a large degree, determine the level of the fines. The number of reports establishing a suspicion of an antitrust violation increased in 2009 to 15. However, sanctions are not always imposed in the same year. In addition, the NMa has also assessed 84 concentration cases.

4. The NMa has issued a total of 80 decisions in energy cases, has resolved 30 disputes, and has given advice to the Dutch Minister of Economic Affairs in energy cases 21 times. The NMa launched 4 investigations in the transport industry, of which 3 were completed by a different instrument than a report. The Dutch Minister of Transport, Public Works and Water Management received advice from the NMa in 6 cases.

5. Furthermore, the NMa has also completed a total of 60 appeals in all areas of regulation. More key figures of the NMa can be found on page 2.

Outcome

6. The outcome of the NMa’s actions to Dutch society is estimated at €328 million, which is a 3-year rolling average. This outcome is almost seven times the NMa’s annual budget. The NMa’s outcome is determined using a method that was revised in 2008, and was explained in the 2008 Annual Report. This method consistently determines the direct effects of the NMa’s actions in connection with its tasks of competition oversight and regulation of the energy and transport markets. The calculation method can also take into account future effects that NMa decisions have, insofar these effects can be quantified.
**The NMa in a state of flux**

7. The NMa saw a number of changes in 2009. René Jansen and Gert Zijl resigned as members of the Board. The NMa owes them a large debt of gratitude. On October 1, Henk Don and Jaap de Keijzer were installed as new members of the Board.

8. Also on October 1, the Office of Energy Regulation and the Office of Transport Regulation merged and became the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation. Furthermore, in late 2009, the Board gave the order to explore possibilities of creating a single operational management department. See page 75 as well for the organization chart.

**Policy rules on fines and leniency**

9. The relationship with the Minister of Economic Affairs has also changed, without compromising the NMa's independence. Since October 1, 2009, the NMa’s implementation rules on fines and leniency have been replaced with the Minister’s policy rules. The NMa continues to be the body that sets the fines, as well as the one that determines whether or not an undertaking qualifies for leniency. Leniency is internationally recognized as an important instrument for enhancing the effectiveness of compliance. Between 2005 and 2009, leniency played a role in approximately 40 per cent of the investigated cartel cases, and the NMa received more than 50 leniency applications in that same period (excluding the fast-lane procedures related to the construction-industry cases). It is thus a constant source of potential cartel cases. Not all applications contain enough information to launch an investigation, but the quality of information in recent applications has risen. In addition, it is expected that, in time, the abovementioned rate of 40 per cent will only increase, as this has been the experience of the European Commission, which has had a longer history of working with this instrument.

**The 2009 Annual Report**

10. In this Annual Report, the NMa is accounting for its oversight actions in 2009. Just as in previous years, most of the attention is devoted to the focus industries, which have been mentioned in the NMa Agenda: financial services, food- and agro industry, health care, energy and transport.

11. This year’s Annual Report will have a first: a separate section that is entirely devoted to a single subject, which is ‘public interests.’ It was once again evident last year that the way the NMa takes public interests (e.g. health care quality or environmental concerns) into account in its decisions greatly affects its authority as regulator. This special section offers the opportunity to explore this issue in more detail and to describe the dilemmas the NMa is faced with. The NMa looks at these from every angle, an approach that is cleverly reflected in the annual report’s design and photography.

**Our hope**

12. In times of crisis, competition helps economic recovery. Effective oversight leads to dynamic markets, positively affecting economic growth as well as consumer welfare. The Board hopes that, by enforcing sound oversight, it contributes to a stronger Dutch economy, today and tomorrow.

The Hague, the Netherlands, April 22, 2010

Pieter Kalbfleisch
Chairman of the Board of the NMa
1. Performance of the NMa

13. This section below gives a summary of several important aspects of the NMa’s performance, which are unrelated to its actions in the focus industries. By listing these aspects, the NMa is meeting some of the Dutch Minister of Economic Affairs information requests.

1.1 Lead times

14. The NMa pays close attention to the lead times of its core processes, which is reflected, for example, by the fact that they are part of the controlling information of the directors and the Board. In order to increase transparency, the NMa has included in this annual report information on its internal targets and to what extent these have been achieved. More detailed information and explanations can be found in the annex on page 74. The explanation below only applies to competition cases, since this is what the NMa receives the most questions about.

15. With regard to the lead times of cartel and abuse cases, the NMa targets to have 90 per cent of these cases completed within 20 months, counting from the official launch of the investigation by the Competition Department until the (penalty) decision has been drawn up by the Board. This is an internal target figure only, and, as such, is not considered an official maximum. However, under Section 6, ECHR, the NMa is required to decide within ‘a reasonable amount of time.’ The NMa aims to act properly, which is reflected by (a) the high standards that the NMa’s argumentation must meet and (b) by the NMa fully respecting the rights of the accused party and of possible other interested parties. The NMa has nevertheless succeeded in completing a substantial share of the penalty and objection procedures within the target period. The abovementioned target figure for completing cartel and abuse cases is trending upwards (see annex). In 2009, the NMa completed 86 per cent of the cartel and abuse cases, in which a penalty was imposed, within the internal target of 20 months. The average lead time was more than 14 months.

1.1.1 International comparison

16. In 2009, international antitrust and competition law journal the Global Competition Review once again compiled a ranking of all competition authorities around the world. The NMa was awarded 3.5 stars (category ‘good’), just like in 2008, thereby securing a joint ninth place on the GCR’s world ranking. Average lead times for comparable procedures in countries that had been awarded a similar or better rating by the GCR varied between 18 and 36 months – lead times in Spain and France are 18 months, in Belgium 20 months, in Germany 24 months, in Switzerland 30 months, and in the UK 36 months.

1.2 Anticipation by undertakings

17. Each year, the NMa conducts a telephone survey among small and medium-sized businesses on the competitive pressure they perceive. Its findings reveal how these undertakings reckon with the NMa’s activities, which is the so-called ‘anticipatory effect’. This effect is significant because increased compliance with the law means the NMa does not need to step in as much. Almost 28 per cent of those surveyed in 2009 indicate that rulings by competition authorities have an effect on their way of doing business in the market, which is a slight increase over 2008 (25 per cent).

1.3 Complaints about the NMa

18. In 2009, the NMa received a complaint about the Office of Energy Regulation’s methods and provision of information. The Board rejected the complaint, because it did not concern a concrete action of the NMa vis-à-vis the complainants. In another instance, a complaint about the processing speed of a specific competition case was withdrawn by the complainant.
1.4 Cooperation

1.4.1 National

19. Since March 2009, six regulators in the Netherlands have started working together in the Consultation Forum of Regulatory Bodies (MTB), which are the Netherlands Competition Authority (NMa), the Independent Regulator of Post and Electronic Communication in the Netherlands (OPTA), the Dutch Healthcare Authority (NZA), the Consumer Authority, the Netherlands Authority for the Financial Markets (AFM), and the Dutch central bank DNB. The Forum’s aim is to gain new insights, and to adopt a joint approach wherever possible. At the MTB’s first meeting, participants were divided into several expert groups and discussed various topics, including civil liability of regulators, and enforcement communication strategy. The latter was the main topic in a follow-up meeting held in the fall of 2009, organized by the AFM and the Dutch Tax and Customs Administration. The NMa also enjoys good relations with other non-MTB bodies for the exchange of information, including the Dutch Public Prosecution Service, the Dutch Fiscal Information and Investigation Service/Economic Investigation Service (FIOD-ECD), the Dutch Tax and Customs Administration, and Statistics Netherlands (CBS).

1.4.2 International

20. On an international level, the NMa contributes to European developments related to competition law and market integration, such as freight transport or the creation of a northwestern European energy market. More information on international collaboration with regard to sector-specific oversight can be found in the relevant chapters.

21. On a European level, the NMa, together with the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, is part of the European Competition Network (ECN). The NMa is regularly represented in advisory committees, where decisions are taken on the European Commission’s cases related to cartels, concentrations and abuses of dominant positions. In order to harmonize the uniform interpretation of European competition law, the NMa in 2009 took part in discussions on the revised European block exemption, the guidelines on vertical restraints, and on the new guidelines on horizontal restraints.

22. On a global level, the NMa is active in the International Competition Network (ICN) and, together with the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, in the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Sharing each other’s knowledge among fellow competition authorities is the primary objective of ICN, where less experienced authorities can learn from the more experienced ones. In June 2009, chairman of the Board of the NMa Pieter Kalbfleisch became a member of the ICN Steering Group for three years. In addition, the NMa in 2009 was actively involved in, among other things, the working groups of Unilateral Conduct and Agency Effectiveness. Competition authorities work together in these working groups in order to develop a common approach when implementing competition policy and enforcing competition oversight. At the 2009 ICN Annual Conference in Zürich, Switzerland, the NMa was officially selected as the organizing authority of the 2011 ICN Annual Conference, which will be held in May 2011 in The Hague.

2. Enforcement of competition laws and policies

2.1 General

23. As in previous years, the NMa in 2009 focused on a wide range of markets. The NMa’s most important actions in its so-called focus industries, as designated in the 2008-2009 NMa Agenda, are listed in separate sections in this Annual Bulletin. The element of surprise is a vital part of any enforcement strategy. This means that the NMa also takes actions in industries other than those that have been designated as focus industries. Below is an overview of some notable activities in non-focus industries in 2009.
2.1.1 Enforcement

- **Dutch swimming pool chemicals distributors fined**
  
  Five Dutch swimming pool chemicals distributors in November were imposed fines of more than €2 million. One undertaking, although having taken part in the cartel, was not imposed a fine because it had confessed the cartel to the NMa, having filed a leniency application. One undertaking’s fine has been reduced after an objection was filed. The NMa considered it proven that the cartel engaged in illegal cartel activities for more than 7 years in connection with the sale of swimming pool chlorine to swimming pools, which use this chlorine to disinfect pool water. The cartel had a market share of 90 percent and had existed long before 1998, when the Dutch Competition Act came into effect. Its objective was to ‘keep the market calm’ by sharing customers (swimming pools). The cartel participants used a so-called swimming pool list. Moreover, they convened twice a year and also contacted each other outside these ‘formal’ meetings in order to maintain the customer sharing system.

- **Painting cartels fined**
  
  Since June 2009, the NMa has imposed fines on 16 different painting companies. Between 2004 and 2006, these companies were involved in six cartels (in various compositions) participating in various public tenders for painting contracts in the Eindhoven metro area, a city in the south of the Netherlands. Prior to submitting their own bids for these tenders, the painting companies in question divided the work, harmonized their bids, and in some cases even agreed on mutual compensations. The facilitator to these cartels, a cost engineering firm, has been fined – a first for the NMa. The fines in all of these cases total €434,000.

- **Landscaping firm fined**
  
  The NMa imposed early December a fine of €138,000 on a landscaping firm based in the southern Dutch province of Limburg. The District Court of Rotterdam considered it proven that, in 2004, this undertaking and eight other undertakings met each other and harmonized their bids prior to five public tenders put out by the municipality of Maastricht. The tenders involved the maintenance of the municipal landscape. In December 2005, the NMa imposed a fine of more than €1 million on these eight undertakings. A number of these undertakings raised objections against the NMa’s previous conclusion that the evidence for the involvement of the landscaping firm in question in the cartel had been insufficient. The judge weighed the evidence differently than the NMa did, and ruled that the landscaping firm had indeed violated the Competition Act, just like the other eight undertakings had that had already been fined. The NMa has therefore decided to impose a fine on the landscaping firm after all.

- **Fines for not cooperating in an investigation**
  
  A former employee of an undertaking has been imposed a fine of €100,000. In addition, two former CEOs of another undertaking were each fined €150,000. The NMa had demanded information from all of these individuals in connection with official NMa investigations. Under the Dutch General Administrative Law Act, everyone is required to cooperate in such investigations. By repeatedly refusing to answer questions posed by the NMa, they failed to provide information, which constitutes a violation of their legal obligation to cooperate, on the basis of which the NMa has imposed said fines. If it was not for the obligation to cooperate, the NMa would have a hard time doing its job properly. When setting fines, the NMa takes into account individuals’ personal financial situations. However, both CEOs refused to disclose their financial situations, and the NMa was therefore forced to use an estimate, taking into account their former positions. The maximum fine the NMa can impose for non-cooperation in an investigation is €450,000.
• **Investigations in the construction industry**

  In January, the NMa carried out dawn raids at various construction companies in the southern Dutch province of Limburg. Concurrently with the NMa’s investigation, the Dutch Public Prosecution Service (OM) also conducted an investigation. The NMa’s investigation was prompted by wiretap transcripts that the OM had provided the NMa with. The wiretaps were done in connection with an investigation into bribery of government officials by a construction company. The NMa will draw up reports in 2010 against several of the undertakings that were dawnraided.

• **No antitrust investigation into online retailing**

  The NMa does not see any reason to launch an investigation into manufacturers and suppliers that supply products to web retailers. After various media reports about suppliers allegedly treating web retailers differently than regular retailers, the NMa in 2008 publicly called for retailers to report any problems they have encountered with regard to web retailing. In addition, the NMa sent out two extensive questionnaires in the white goods industry and in the watch industry. Most of the reactions to the questionnaires, as well as most of the indications the NMa had received, lacked documents that could be used as evidence by the NMa, such as contracts, letters, and emails. In the instances that such documents indeed had been included, these documents did not generate enough leads to launch an investigation.

• **No violations on auto repair market**

  No violations of the Competition Act have been established on the auto repair market, the NMa concluded after having carrying out an extensive market analysis, launched in part because it had received a substantial number of reports on the anti-competitive role that insurance companies allegedly played on the auto repair market. Insurance companies steer auto repairs when they influence their customers’ choices for an auto repair shop by, for example, paying the repair costs directly to the auto repair shop, by offering a discount on their customers’ deductibles, or by offering replacement transportation. A survey commissioned by the NMa reveals that insurance companies steer 40 percent of car repair cases and 16 percent of windshield damage cases. The survey’s results have led the NMa to conclude that individual Dutch insurance companies presently do not have a dominant position on the auto repair market. The current collaborations of several Dutch insurance companies have no buyer power either. Each of the alliances’ market shares does not exceed 15 percent.

• **Complaints about abuse of GasTerra’s abuse of its dominant position rejected**

  The Dutch Commodity Board for Horticulture (PT) and the Dutch Association of Fertilizer Producers (VKP) have filed complaints against GasTerra for natural-gas tariffs being too high, possible price discrimination, and unreasonable contractual conditions. After an extensive investigation, the NMa came to the conclusion that GasTerra did not have excessive tariffs on a structural basis between 2001 and 2007. Furthermore, the NMa believes that the complaints do not give enough reason to suspect that there is price discrimination that can be considered abusive. The contested contractual conditions do not violate the Dutch Competition Act, according to the NMa
2.1.2 Merges and acquisitions

- **Media company De Persgroep acquires majority interest in publishing company PCM**

  The NMa ruled in early July that Flemish media company De Persgroep was allowed to acquire a majority interest in Dutch publishing company PCM Holding, under the condition that NRC Handelsblad and nrc.next, two of PCM’s national newspapers, are sold. De Persgroep has a majority interest in Amsterdam-based newspaper Het Parool. PCM Holding publishes Dutch national newspapers NRC Handelsblad, nrc.next, de Volkskrant, Trouw, and also newspaper AD, in cooperation with Dutch media company Wegener. In attaching the abovementioned condition, the NMa expects that competition on the market for local and regional papers in the Amsterdam region is safeguarded. In addition, local, regional and national competition between NRC Handelsblad and nrc.next on the one hand, and De Volkskrant, Trouw and AD on the other hand, will increase.

  In addition, the NMa in mid July allowed PCM Holding to buy out the stake of Wegener in AD Nieuwsmedia (ADN), which was created in 2005 by PCM and Wegener for publishing AD. The buy-out will result in PCM having full control over AD. The acquisition is not expected to lead to an impediment to competition, despite the strong combined position of ADN and PCM in a number of areas in the Randstad, the densely populated, urbanized area in the western part of the Netherlands. Competitive pressure that the PCM newspapers exert on AD – and vice versa – is limited. It is therefore unlikely that, with the acquisition, PCM will be able to profitably push through a price increase or a reduction of the quality of AD.

- **Joint venture of municipality of Amsterdam and Reggefiber in optic-fiber company GNA**

  The NMa has conditionally approved that Dutch optic-fiber company Reggefiber Group and the Amsterdam Development Corporation (OGA), a municipal organization, take joint control over optic-fiber company Glasvezelnet Amsterdam (GNA). Reggefiber Group is a joint venture of Dutch telecom company KPN and Reggefiber. GNA currently has a long-term contract with telecom company BBned. Ensuring that BBned would not be disadvantaged by the planned joint venture, the NMa laid down the condition that BBned would have the opportunity to switch to the regulated network of Reggefiber Group at any point in the future.

  The approval is in line with earlier decisions that the NMa issued on December 19, 2008 and on July 28, 2009, in which specific conditions were imposed on Reggefiber Group. The conditions guarantee, among other things, that telecom companies have access to the joint venture’s optic-fiber network in a non-discriminatory way and against a tariff that has been capped. Furthermore, these decisions also guarantee that all undertakings Reggefiber Group has control over must offer access (to their networks) under the same conditions. The NMa therefore did not have any additional antitrust objections against the joint venture.

- **Joint venture in industrial-water industry**

  The NMa approved in June the creation of the joint venture Evilim Industriewater by Dutch water companies Evides Industriewater and Waterleiding Maatschappij Limburg (WML). An overwhelming majority of industrial-water buyers, a study revealed, collect and supply industrial water themselves, meaning they are not dependent on industrial-water suppliers. Industrial water is water that is used as production resource or as raw material in production processes. Moreover, only after the creation of the joint venture will Evides Industriewater become active in the southern Dutch province of Limburg. The concentration will therefore not have any anti-competitive consequences on this market. On the market for water treatment, buyers will have plenty of alternatives, in part because of the presence of several large international players.
2.1.3 Amicus Curiae

24. On December 3, 2009, the judge in interlocutory proceedings of the District Court of Amsterdam ruled in a case, brought by former officially Kia-recognized car repairers. The ruling was based on the first ever involvement by the NMa as so-called Amicus Curiae. In 2004, national competition authorities were granted the power to act as a party in civil proceedings to ensure that European competition law is interpreted and applied uniformly. Despite repeated calls from scholars and lawyers over the years, it took five years before the first request was made by a judge.

2.2 Financial services

25. The financial services industry deserves the NMa’s attention because of its wider significance to the economy and its market structure – relatively few players that have substantial market shares. The complex nature of many a financial product makes the industry relatively non-transparent. Several market studies have therefore been carried out, next to several antitrust investigations. In addition, the NMa has given governments and companies advice (advocacy and guidance).

2.2.1 Enforcement

- **NMa involved in managing the financial crisis in the Netherlands**

  Over the last year, the NMa has had regular contact with the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs, the Dutch central bank, the European Commission, and the Dutch Ministry of Finance to discuss options aimed at mitigating risks of anti-competitive effects caused by the financial crisis and other developments in the financial industry. Emphasis in advocacy was laid on guaranteeing there would be sufficient opportunities to enter the market and on preventing market disruptions due to the support the Dutch government provided to various financial organizations, and to the government now owning the major banks ABN Amro and Fortis.

- **More competition possible for SMB business loans among banks**

  The NMa completed in March an antitrust investigation into interest rates that Dutch banks charge small and medium-sized businesses (SMBs) when giving them business loans. SMBs are usually charged a base interest rate, which includes a fixed interest-rate surcharge. This surcharge was the same at all banks for all SMB customers between 1990 and mid 2007. Larger businesses were not charged this surcharge. The NMa believes that this observed ‘parallel’ behavior among banks might be explained by the individual market power of banks vis-à-vis SMBs, or by banks implicitly mirroring each other’s behavior because of the limited number of providers. Three major banks have a combined market share of 85 percent.

  No violation of the Competition Act was established, but the NMa did make some recommendations in order to improve competition, which should make it easier for SMBs to switch banks. Banks could provide more information on the various aspects of a loan application, enabling SMBs to better compare banks. Banks could make it easier for their customers to switch banks. Furthermore, SMBs should consider getting their products and services from different banks or they could ask their banks for credit options without a base interest rate that includes a fixed interest rate.
• **Study into tying practices of banks**

In October, the NMa commissioned Dutch market research agency TNS NIPO to find out to what extent banks were forcing small and medium-sized businesses (SMBs) to purchase additional products, such as checking accounts and insurances, when taking out a loan. SMBs had submitted tip-offs and indications about tying practices, which prompted the study. In addition, businesses were being asked about their relationships with their banks and what obstacles they encountered when switching banks.

• **NMa involved in updated payment services covenant**

The NMa was involved in the revision of the payment services covenant, which had been drawn up in light of the harmonization of European payment services, a process that aims at increased competition among different payment service providers. The most crucial element of the covenant is the fact that payment cards similar to regular debit cards in terms of functionality should not become more expensive in the next five years, and that parties take steps to stimulate efficient payments without impeding competition. The NMa stressed innovation. Providers offering new products with significant improvements should be able to introduce these products in the market and should not be restricted by the tariff guarantees.

• **NMa is keeping an eye on costs for payment methods**

In February and March, the NMa carried out a so-called baseline measurement among Dutch banks, Visa, Mastercard, and organizations that sign contracts with retailers about the tariffs for the use of their payment methods such as debit cards and online banking services. Information was requested from these companies. The results revealed that it is worthwhile for consumers if they first made a comparison of the various payment methods’ tariffs and then made a choice. Tariffs that business customers pay per transaction do not vary that much, yet even these business customers would benefit from switching. The trend of these tariffs can be analyzed once a second measurement has been carried out sometime in 2010. The study should be able to explain what the effects of the creation of the joint European payment market in 2008 are on these tariffs.

• **Applicability of Dutch Competition Act clarified for pension funds**

The NMa in May published the ‘Implementation regulation on pension funds’, stating that all pension funds are considered undertakings within the meaning of the Dutch Competition Act, because they carry out economic activities. The implementation regulation gives guidance by removing the uncertainty about the Competition Act’s applicability for Dutch pension funds.

• **Accountants adjust their codes of conduct**

The Royal Netherlands Institute of Chartered Accountants (NIVRA) and the Netherlands Order of Accountants and Administration Consultants (NOvAA) have adjusted their codes of conduct when the NMa was still in the process of assessing their codes. More specifically, they have made adjustments to provisions that could have impeded competition between accountants, such as provisions relating to comparative advertising, to directly approaching potential clients, and to a provision that forced accountants to fix their fees in proportion to the type and amount of the services provided. Also, more leeway is given to give ‘second opinions’, to take over an assignment, and to cooperate with other professionals. Other free professionals, such as lawyers and architects, have already adjusted their codes of conduct after the NMa had urged them to do so.
Investigation into real-estate agents on foreclosure auctions

The NMa in October carried out unannounced searches at the homes of ten Dutch real-estate agents, which doubled as their offices. These agents trade in properties, including homes and office space, through foreclosure auctions. The investigation was triggered by information the NMa had collected, which led to the suspicion that these real-estate agents had entered into cartel agreements. Such cartels could lead to lower prices for the homes that are put up for auction, potentially leaving individuals that were forced to sell their homes worse off, because a lower than anticipated selling price would leave them with an unnecessarily high outstanding mortgage debt.

2.2.2 Mergers and acquisitions

New trading platform for stocks and derivatives

The NMa has greenlit the planned joint venture of retail broker BinckBank and derivatives trading company Optiver. The joint venture is a new trading platform for stocks and derivatives that would compete with the trading platforms of NYSE Euronext Amsterdam. BinckBank and Optiver have indicated that other banks (so-called brokers, competitors of BinckBank) will be admitted in the foreseeable future, as well as competitors of Optiver (so-called marketmakers) in the longer run.

2.3 Food and Agriculture

Global liberalization of agricultural markets combined with the profound changes in the Common Agricultural Policy of the EU have increasingly made competition in the food and agricultural industry a given. Its market structure, characterized by high levels of concentration in various segments and by homogenous products, poses a higher risk of competitive restrictions. In the last two years, the food and agricultural industry has seen several cartel investigations. Furthermore, the NMa has increased transparency in the price-forming mechanism of the food production chain. Finally, the second half of 2009 also witnessed a rise in the number of merger notifications.

2.3.1 Enforcement

Cartel investigation in flour industry

In late 2009, the NMa drew up reports against a number of companies in the flour industry, an industry that has caught the attention of competition authorities abroad as well. The companies in question have the opportunity to defend themselves at a hearing, both in writing or orally, after which the NMa will decide whether or not the violation can be established, and if so, what penalty is imposed. Penalties in these cases can be as high as 10 percent of the undertakings’ global turnover.

Investigation into undertakings in fruit and vegetable industry

The NMa has carried out dawn raids at eight undertakings specialized in selling fruit and vegetables. In addition, various individuals have been heard by the NMa. The investigation was launched because of information the NMa had received which could indicate that growers and undertakings specialized in selling fruit and vegetables may have been engaged in cartel activities. The Belgian competition authority has also carried out dawn raids in the same industry in Belgium.
More transparency of price-formation mechanism in food production chain

The NMa has studied the price trends of potatoes, onions, cucumbers, bell peppers, apples, bread and eggs. It launched the study because of the rise of food prices in recent years and because of the indications it has been receiving from, among others, the Dutch Consumer Association (Consumentenbond) and the Dutch Federation of Agriculture and Horticulture (LTO). The NMa looked into the levels of retail prices, costs, and margins in various links of the production chain. It then analyzed the development of the retail prices and margins for the period of 2005-2008. It also assessed whether any of the links in the production chain had such a market position that it was able to improve its margins by unilaterally raising its prices.

Prices in the industry, in all links in the chain, from producer to supermarket, have substantially increased in the period investigated by the NMa. However, these increases in the Netherlands have been less steep in comparison with the European average. Despite the trend of Dutch price levels, the price increases have not always led to an improvement of the margins in various links. Supermarkets have apparently been unable to unilaterally raise these products’ prices profitably at the expense of producers and consumers in the period of 2005-2008. Consumers prices of these products are largely composed of wholesale and supermarket costs.

In roundtable discussions, the study’s findings were discussed with senior industry representatives, setting off a debate on the functioning of the chains in order to make the agro-food industry as a whole more transparent. The positive reactions to this approach have bolstered confidence.

2.3.2 Mergers and acquisition

Fine for providing incorrect information in notification of an acquisition

The NMa has imposed a fine of €468,000 on soft drink producer Refresco Holding (Refresco) for having provided incorrect and incomplete production data when it notified the NMa in September 2008 of its acquisition of Schiffers Food. On the third try, Refresco finally provided the NMa with the right data, which revealed that, among other things, Refresco’s production capacity was actually substantially larger than earlier assumed on the basis of earlier data. The fine marked the first time the NMa imposed a fine under its expanded fining powers when the amendments to the Dutch Competition Act of October 1st, 2007 came into effect. The maximum fine for providing incorrect or incomplete data has been raised from €22,500 to €450,000 or, should that be higher, at least 1 percent of the undertaking’s turnover.

Merger of four co-operative grower associations approved

The NMa ruled that the merger between four co-operative grower associations (Coöperatieve Telersvereniging Tradition, Coöperatie WestVeg, Unistar Coöperatie, and Coöperatieve Telersvereniging Brassica-Group) did not require any license. These associations produce, among other things, eggplants, cucumbers, bell peppers, and tomatoes. The NMa saw no reason to assume that this merger would significantly impede competition on the Dutch market or on a part thereof.

NMa approves acquisition of dairy activities

Danish-based dairy products producer Arla Foods was allowed to acquire virtually all of the dairy activities of its Dutch rival Koninklijke FrieslandCampina. This has been part of the agreement
that Friesland Foods and Campina had reached with the European Commission in order to get approval for their merger.

- **Supermarket chain Jumbo is allowed to conditionally acquire rival supermarket chain Super de Boer**

The NMa has approved the acquisition of 300 stores of supermarket chain Super de Boer by rival chain Jumbo. The acquisition was approved under the condition that the Super de Boer store in the city of Bunde is sold to a third party because it would otherwise create a local antitrust problem in the area of Meerssen.

- **FloraHolland and Landgard are allowed to create a joint venture**

Co-operative flower auction FloraHolland and Landgard Blumen & Pflanzen were allowed to create a joint venture. FloraHolland and Landgard will consolidate their activities in connection with flower and plant sales on the auctions in Venlo, Lüllingen (Germany) and Herongen (Germany) to a single location.

### 2.4 Health Care

27. More and more health care sectors are becoming regulated markets. The NMa has therefore once more focused much of its attention on advocacy and guidance. After all, competition in the health care industry is still a relatively new concept. Changing market structures have led to expansion and rising numbers of collaborations in the industry. The NMa in 2009 received 14 health-care-related merger notifications.

#### 2.4.1 Enforcement

- **Consultations on Health Care Guidelines**

In September, the NMa held a public consultation with market parties, such as health care providers and health insurers. The consultation resulted in suggestions for new topics and examples in a revised edition of the Health Care Guidelines. The main reason for revising them was because the health care industry often claims that it is not always clear what is allowed under competition law. For example, the industry indicates that it sometimes senses a certain tension between the Competition Act on the one hand, and the desire to cooperate and chain care on the other hand. It is essential that the NMa offers guidance and that it relates to current topics in health care, thereby taking away uncertainty.

- **More clarity given regarding cooperation within health care groups**

To take away existing uncertainty, the NMa and the Dutch Healthcare Authority (NZa) have jointly drawn up a consultation document on cooperation in health care groups. Health care groups are organizations composed of primary-care providers with whom health insurers sign contracts in order to coordinate and provide chronic-illness care (for illnesses such as diabetes, COPD and heart failure) in a certain region to improve health care quality. Both the NMa and the NZa regularly receive indications that market parties often have a hard time understanding what is legally allowed regarding cooperation.

- **NMa carried out a market scan into day care**
In late 2008, former Dutch State Secretary of Education, Culture and Science (OCW) Sharon Dijksma asked the NMa to find potential antitrust bottlenecks in the day care market by carrying out a market scan. The market scan’s results have been incorporated in the report ‘Functioning of the day care market’, which was sent to OCW in early November 2009. Some aspects appear to hinder market entry, such as finding the right location, the long time it takes to recoup investments, and the uncertainty over future government policy.

2.4.2 Mergers and acquisitions

- **Quality of care was the decisive factor in approval of hospital merger**

Having conducted an extensive investigation, the NMa gave its approval for the merger of Walcheren Hospital (located in the southwestern Dutch city of Flushing) and the Oosterschelde Hospitals (located in the southwestern cities of Goes and Zierikzee). The merger safeguards the quality of essential basic hospital care in the central region of the province of Zeeland. The NMa attached strict conditions to the merger, ensuring that the claimed improvements in quality are guaranteed and that prices will not much deviate from the national average. In its decision, the NMa came to the conclusion that, after the merger, patients in central Zeeland will be left with very few options, and that the merger hospital will virtually have a monopoly position. Other small Dutch hospitals have the option of turning to nearby, larger (academic or top clinical) hospitals compensating for possible shortcomings – an option the hospitals in central Zeeland, a peninsula in the sparsely populated southwestern corner of the Netherlands, do not have, due to its isolated geographical position. Because a merger was necessary to guarantee the continuity of hospital care in this region, the NMa approved this merger, albeit under the strictest of conditions. The NMa also looked into the opinions of the Dutch Healthcare Authority (NZa) and of the Netherlands Health Care Inspectorate (IGZ) before it formulated its decision. More information on this merger can be found in the special section on page 48.

- **Emergency decision on acquisition of Meavita Hulp The Hague by Asito**

In February 2009, it became clear that care provider Meavita was in financial trouble. Domestic-care provider Thuiszorgservice Nederland, part of facility-service provider Asito, wanted to acquire the domestic-care activities of Meavita Hulp The Hague in that municipality. It had therefore sought approval for the acquisition even before the NMa had finished the substantive review. Given the immediate threat of Meavita Hulp The Hague’s bankruptcy, the NMa issued an emergency decision approving the acquisition, and thereby ensuring the continuity of Meavita’s care services and work. Once the substantive review was finished several weeks later, the acquisition was formally approved.

- **NMa approves acquisition of VZA by AMC**

The Amsterdam-based Academic Medical Center (AMC) was given the green light to acquire Dutch ambulance service provider VZA Groep (VZA), whose services include the provision of emergency and patient transport services, and which operates mostly in the Amsterdam metropolitan area. It is unlikely that this acquisition of an ambulance service provider by a hospital will result in a significant impediment to competition. The NMa first examined what options the new organization would have in influencing the ambulances’ destinations, which, in practice, is very limited. Ambulance service providers are legally required to provide reliable health care. This means they have to transport a patient to the nearest hospital that is able to provide the appropriate treatment or care. Amsterdam has eight hospitals, spread out across the city, which means the odds that all of the ambulances would go to AMC are quite slim. It is
expected that the (few) additional revenues would not weigh up against the costs. In addition, a study looking into the potential effects on the competitive positions of other hospitals revealed that only a small portion of all patients that are treated by a hospital is transported thereto by ambulance. It is therefore unlikely that, as a result of the acquisition, the competitive positions of the other hospitals would be significantly harmed. The Dutch Healthcare Authority (NZa) was consulted in this case.

- **Mergers in the second phase**

Most health care mergers were able to go through smoothly. Only in some cases did the NMa find it necessary that a license would be required. The outcome of these license applications was still unknown at the time of writing.

According to the NMa, the planned concentration of Pleyade Foundation and Catharina Foundation might impede competition on the markets of nursing home care, psychogeriatric and somatic home care in the municipality of Arnhem and/or in the greater Arnhem area, a city in the eastern part of the Netherlands.

In June, the NMa concluded that a merger between two mental health care institutions in the southern Dutch province of Noord-Brabant, Arkel and GGZ Oost Brabant, might impede competition on the markets of clinical and non-clinical mental health care for adults and seniors, and on the market of non-clinical mental health care for children and young people.

Finally, health care providers Carinova Leiboom Groep, Vérian and Sutfene withdrew their merger license application, when the NMa stated its provisional objections. The planned merger may have led to the providers no longer being stimulated to provide good care at a good price.

### 2.5 Transport

#### 28. **A department of the NMa, the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation is charged with oversight on railway infrastructure managers ProRail and Keyrail, Amsterdam airport Schiphol, the Dutch pilotage industry, and the municipal public transport companies of Amsterdam, The Hague and Rotterdam. Its mission is to make transport markets work by monitoring markets and bringing about permanent behavioral changes. In 2009, the then Office of Transport Regulation celebrated its fifth anniversary, holding a convention themed ‘Customized oversight’.**

##### 2.5.1 **Railway**

#### **Dispute settlement between ProRail and transport companies**

Under the Dutch Railway Act, transport companies and other stakeholders have the opportunity to submit a dispute to the NMa. Dutch railway undertaking NS complained about ProRail’s interpretation of the 2008 access agreement and of an NMa decision of June 3, 2008, in which a specific increase of the infrastructure charge (the tariff for using the infrastructure) was rejected. The NMa partially ruled in NS’ favor, but it rejected the request of imposing an order subject to periodic penalty payments, because ProRail had already stated it had come to an agreement with NS.

A second dispute involved railway undertaking DB Schenker and the so-called performance scheme ‘quiet wagon kilometers’, which financially rewards undertakings whose noise emissions are reduced when utilizing low-noise rolling stock. The performance scheme discriminates
between freight rolling stock and passenger rolling stock. ProRail was unable to explain why it discriminated between the two types of rolling stock. The NMa thus ruled that the discrimination was arbitrary. ProRail was ordered to adjust the performance scheme within a reasonable period of time.

- **Monitoring the rail market**

  The NMa in 2009 published the fourth Rail Monitor, a current overview of the Dutch rail industry. With the input of railway undertakings, the Office of Transport Regulation was able to adjust its plans so that its activities would better address the problems identified by the industry.

- **Policy advice in connection with Dutch Railway Act and Europe**

  The NMa in 2009 gave advice in a number of issues by carrying out four feasibility and enforceability analyses. This concerned the modification of the Dutch Railway Act to Regulation 852/2008 on freight corridors, amending the Decision designation of main railways, the modification of the Dutch Railway Act and the 2000 Passenger Transport Act to Directive 2007/58 and the Dutch Government’s position in connection with the evaluation of the Dutch Railway Act.

  The NMa is also active on an international level. In close cooperation with other European railway regulators, the NMa safeguards non-discriminatory access to railway markets at an international level. In 2009, one of the results of this international cooperation is that the European railway infrastructure managers agreed to share information with railway regulators on rejected capacity requests.

2.5.2 *Amsterdam airport Schiphol*

- **Dispute settlement between Schiphol and airlines**

  In 2009, the NMa handled two disputes concerning the tariffs and conditions of Amsterdam Airport Schiphol – one was filed by KLM/Barin (the trade organization for airlines active in the Netherlands), while the other was filed by British airline easyJet. In the former case, the NMa partially ruled in KLM/Barin’s favor, and Schiphol had to lower its airport tariffs by €3.5 million. Schiphol appealed against this ruling. In the latter case, easyJet claimed that the tariffs Schiphol uses discriminates between passengers that start their journey at Schiphol and passengers that transfer at the airport. In addition, the tariffs were allegedly neither cost-oriented nor fair. The NMa found no evidence for these claims and therefore rejected the complaint. EasyJet appealed against this ruling.

- **Policy advice in connection with Dutch Aviation Act and European directives**

  In connection with the evaluation of the Dutch Aviation Act, the Minister asked the NMa to investigate Schiphol’s dominant position. This investigation will be completed in 2010, and will be taken into account when evaluating the Act. In the evaluation, the NMa will put forward its view on the regulation of Schiphol. The NMa’s starting point is that, from an economic point of view, regulation should be effective and that legislation should be enforceable.

  Furthermore, Directive 2009/12/EC on charging airport fees came into effect in 2009. This Directive needs to be implemented in the Dutch Aviation Act in 2011. The NMa is helping the Dutch Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management with these adjustments. In
addition, the NMa has advised the ministry regarding a directive on security tariffs, which the European Commission is currently preparing.

- **Financial account of Schiphol**

  Last year, Amsterdam airport Schiphol provided its second ever financial account of its revenues and expenses. The NMa reviewed Schiphol’s account and ruled that it had to leave out the depreciation costs of the land on which the Polderbaan runway was constructed.

2.5.3 **Pilotage**

29. Before it assessed the annual tariff proposal of the Dutch registered pilots, the NMa had carried out a study into the efficiency levels of the pilots. The NMa consulted extensively with the pilots and with regional and national customers groups. In July 2009, the NMa was faced with the proposal to increase the tariffs for 2010 with 19.27 percent compared with 2009. The NMa urged the pilots to lower the proposed increase to 1.4 percent. Based on the findings of the efficiency study, the NMa came to the conclusion that the pilots’ proposal would insufficiently help achieve the most efficient method in connection with the planned quality level. The NMa has therefore set the new 2010 pilotage tariffs 2.8 percent lower than the 2009 tariffs.

2.5.4 **Public transport companies**

- **Preliminary investigation into the regional public transport market and Qbuzz**

  As a result of the motion introduced by Dutch MPs Aptroot and Van Mastwijk, the NMa carried out a preliminary investigation into the functioning of the regional public transport market and into the current competitive situation therein, focusing on the new bus company Qbuzz and its shareholder NS Dutch Railways. Based on the preliminary investigation, there are no reasons to give priority to a further investigation.

- **Policy advice in connection with the Dutch Passenger Transport Act 2000**

  The NMa has established that the municipal public transport companies in 2009 met the legal requirements for accounting and financial intra-holding relationships. In addition, the NMa explored the implications of a motion introduced by Dutch MP Roefs, which proposed to let the so-called City Regions (local semi-governmental bodies) decide for themselves whether to put out to tender the public transport concession in their region (which would mean transport companies would compete for the concession), or to award the concession to a municipal public transport company without a tender. The NMa is of the opinion that a different incentive needs to be incorporated if the incentive of tender procedures disappeared, which would guarantee that municipal public transport companies will continue to aim for efficiency and quality for consumers.

2.6 **Energy**

30. One of the NMa’s departments, the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation is charged with oversight on the energy markets. Its mission is to make energy markets work, safeguard safety and protect consumers. The NMa is working towards a situation where consumers on an integrated European market are always assured of affordable, reliable and sustainable energy.
2.6.1 Consumer market

31. More and more consumers are switching: The number of consumers that switch has increased yet another year: in 2009, 12 percent of consumers switched, compared to 9 percent in 2008. Furthermore, 29 percent indicate that they are not averse to switching, compared to 23 percent in 2008. Switching can result in big savings for consumers – depending on the type of contract, savings can be as high as €180 for natural gas and €140 for electricity, according to the Monitor Energy Markets.

32. The NMa has taken various steps to increase consumer confidence in the energy market, including setting requirements the network operators’ administrations need to meet, and ensuring that switching goes smoothly. As a result of these steps, the NMa and the energy companies have achieved that consumers have become increasingly satisfied with their suppliers, as noted in the Monitor Energy Markets 2009. In addition, the NMa drew up in 2009 the Guidelines on Information Provision, which should result in consumers being provided with clear and comprehensible information. The NMa also offers information to compare energy providers with, such as on Dutch consumer information portal Consuwijzer. The NMa monitors the quality of the price comparison websites in order to increase consumer confidence in being able to find good comparative information on prices. Finally, the NMa keeps an eye on customer-recruitment activities of energy providers. The NMa has stepped up its cooperation efforts with the Dutch Consumer Authority and with the Dutch telecommunications regulator OPTA, for example, with regard to the so-called Do Not Call register against unwanted direct marketing phonecalls.

2.6.2 Wholesale market

33. A well-functioning wholesale market is critical in having a secure and affordable energy supply to consumers. The market should be characterized by well-functioning trading platforms on which numerous traders are active, supported by operators that accommodate the market and by efficient networks with sufficient cross-border capacity and national transmission capacity.

34. However, the Netherlands and the rest of Europe are not there yet. The natural-gas market is hindered by limited availability of cross-border capacity and by limited access to flexibility. Flexibility enables new entrants on the natural-gas market to match their supply to peak and non-peak demand. Utilization of cross-border connections on the electricity market continues to rise, but there is still room for improvement.

- Improving the West-European energy market

An important step has been taken on a European level towards completing the internal European energy market with the adoption of the so-called Third Package, which includes legal measures for liberalizing the energy markets, the most important of which concern stringent unbundling requirements, additional measures with regard to consumer protection, the creation of a European Agency for regulators, an increased role for the national regulators for improving the cooperation between the various national network operators.

Until the pan-European internal market has been fully realized, the NMa is active on a more regional level to integrate the national markets for natural gas and electricity of the Netherlands with those of its neighbors by intensifying cooperation. The Gas Regional Initiative North West (GRI NW), chaired by the NMa, aims to tackle concrete cross-border issues with regard to transparency, investments and capacity with help and direct involvement of regulators, ministries, network operators and network users. For example, in 2009, much insight has been gained in the Northwest European investment climate for cross-border infrastructure. The three most important areas for improvement are the compensation method, transparency in connection with investment processes, and coordination.
With regard to electricity, results have also been achieved on a European level – regulators and the national grid administrators have reached agreement on harmonized auction rules for trading cross-border transmission capacity between the Netherlands, Belgium, Luxembourg, Germany and France.

- **Domestic market**

Another important topic in 2009 was dealing with scarcity of transmission capacity on the domestic electricity network. Network operators are legally required to have enough transport capacity available and to grant access to the grid, which are vital elements of a well functioning electricity market. With the publication of the Vision Document on Transmission Scarcity, the NMa contributed to working towards a solution which would enable more (sustainable) production capacity to become connected, and to increase utilization of the existing network at current safety levels. This vision document has in part been the result of a dispute filed with the NMa. The NMa often settles disputes, for example, between a network operator and a user who is faced with regional scarcity, a network operator’s discontinuation policy, or the explanation of the tariff code.

The NMa has taken away the contractual shortage of quality conversion, which converts high-calorific gas into low-calorific gas. A contractual shortage means that, technically speaking, there is enough capacity, but that all of the capacity has already been fully booked in contracts. This contractual shortage has been a significant obstacle to gaining access to the Dutch natural gas market. An NMa decision has abolished advance conversion booking, and it has changed the procedure of high-calorific gas conversion, thereby improving the functioning of the market.

- **Innovation**

The NMa has released a consultation document on innovation. Based on the consultation’s findings, the NMa will critically review its regulation methods whether they sufficiently simulate competition, thereby stimulating the network operators’ innovative strength, making them better prepared for the future.

- **Regulating the network operators’ tariffs**

As in previous years, the NMa in 2009 spent time on regulating the tariffs of operators of electricity and natural-gas networks. Traders, suppliers and consumers of energy are forced to use these networks, because their operators are natural monopolists. That is why both costs and revenues are regulated by the NMa.

- **The 2010 tariffs**

Each year, the NMa sets the tariffs for the operator of the national network for natural gas, Gas Transport Services (GTS), for the operator of the national grid, TenneT TSO, and for the regional electricity and natural gas network operators.

- **Netherlands Court of Audit and Dutch Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal endorse method of regulation**

In 2009, the Netherlands Court of Audit (AR) launched an investigation into the way the NMa enforced its regulation over the last few years. The AR acknowledged that the NMa made the right choices in its enforcement. In order to carry out its regulation tasks in the future even better, the NMa has released a position paper in which ideas about the future of network management regulation are discussed in a critical and scientific way.
The method that the NMa uses to regulate the tariffs is laid down in a so-called method decision, forming a critical element of the regulatory framework. The natural-gas network operators have filed an appeal against this method decision with the Dutch Trade and Industry Appeals Tribunal (CBb). The CBb dismissed all substantive grounds of appeal. This means that the regulatory system has been set, and that other consumers continue to benefit from lower tariffs.

Also in 2009, so-called efficiency-stimulating tariffs cuts have been set for GTS, based on the method decision. Using these tariffs cuts, the tariffs for network users are determined, while stimulating GTS to work more efficient and to reduce its costs. The overall effect of these cuts is an annual real reduction of the tariffs of 5.4 percent.

- **NMa focuses on network reliability**

The Netherlands has one of the best networks in Europe at the moment. In order for this network to remain one of the best calls for excellent management and maintenance of these networks on a permanent basis. In 2008/2009, the NMa reviewed the so-called ‘Quality and Capacity Documents’ (KCDs) of the network operators for the second time. Using these KCDs, network operators need to demonstrate that they have sufficient capacity to transport electricity and natural gas from their sources (the supplier) to businesses or households. In addition, all of their procedures need to be up to standard, and network operators need to have the right network information in order to safeguard the network’s quality. The review revealed that not all of the network operators’ procedures were up to standard, nor did they contain the correct information regarding the networks. Fines have therefore been imposed on five network operators: NRE Netwerk, Obragas Net, Netbeheer Haarlemmermeer, Westland Infra Netbeheer and Delta Netwerkbedrijf. The NMa imposed a binding instruction on Stedin.

- **Independent Grid Administration Act (Won)**

The Independent Grid Administration Act (Won) states, among other things, that energy companies need to split off their trading and production units from their network unit. Plans on how they planned to comply with this requirement had to be sent to the NMa before July 1st, 2009. These plans should include, among other things, the legal structure of the organization before and after the split, including a time schedule of the steps needed to be taken. They also need to indicate how the integrated company’s assets are distributed among the network operator and the split-off units. In addition, the network operator needs to prove in his plan that he has economic control of the network, at least from July 1st, 2008, and that he is able to independently carry out the statutory operating tasks. Finally, these plans need to explain how it is guaranteed that the splitting costs are not somehow incorporated into the tariffs. In 2009, these plans were reviewed by the NMa, some of them negatively, and were then forwarded to the Minister of Economic Affairs.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core process</th>
<th>Norm (days)</th>
<th>Norm (%)</th>
<th>Realization 2006</th>
<th>Realization 2007</th>
<th>Realization 2008</th>
<th>Realization 2009</th>
<th>Trend</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cartel and abuse cases (from investigation till sanction)</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antitrust investigation with enforcement product*</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>38%†</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>(--)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation with enforcement product‡</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notification of concentration</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request for exemption of waiting period (Section 40)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration License</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complaint under Section 71 Railway Act</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispute settlement (Electricity Act, Gas Act)</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>(90%)</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>63%†</td>
<td>↓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanction under Competition Act (Section 6 and 24)*</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanction under Electricity Act or Gas Act</td>
<td>91 (84)</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanction for non-cooperation/non-notification</td>
<td>91 (84)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>(75%)</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection Competition (without objection advisory committee)</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection Competition (with objection advisory committee)</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>↑</td>
<td>(+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection in connection with Electricity Act or Gas Act</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>43%†</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>(-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanction under any of the transport acts</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objection under any of the transport acts</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>↔</td>
<td>(++)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Request under Public Access to Government Information Act</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Empty cells mean that this type of product has not been completed (yet) or that the instrument has not been deployed.

1. The norms in brackets are old, internally used norms, which have been changed as of 2008. The number of days is in calendar days. Realization is calculated by dividing the number of cases that were completed within the time limit by the number of cases in a given category.

2. The category ‘cartel and abuse cases’ has been added for reasons of international comparison, but does not represent an actual, separate core process of the NMa. Lead time is comprised of lead time of some of the investigations with enforcement products (those with a fine) and the category ‘Sanction under Competition Act’ (see #).

3. The 2006 and 2007 realization figures include relatively complex, mutually related (and thus lengthy) investigations in the construction industry.

4. This percentage is influenced by a single long-term investigation in the energy industry that was completed in 2009. This category also includes several lengthy reports that are currently in the sanction phase. Alternative enforcement, which is also included in this category, is an often a relatively lengthy process.

5. These are investigations that are suspended. This also includes complaints that have been withdrawn or rejected (the latter usually happening within 56 days).

6. It turned out in 2009 that many disputes, despite them being individual disputes, can be traced back to underlying, more complex problems. Solving these problems is therefore preferable to settling the disputes, which will affect lead times.

7. Based on its Method decision (valid for three years), and for each network operator, the NMAs issue a so-called x-factor decision, as well as three annual tariff decisions. Any objections can in effect only be addressed once the Method decision’s validity has been established. Lead times are thus extended, but shortening the objection procedures is not efficient in most cases.

8. As already mentioned earlier in this annual report, no sanction has been imposed under any of the transport acts, though alternative instruments have been deployed.
3. **Finance**

35. This section contains the shortened version of the 2009 financial report of the NMa’s civil service organization, including the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation, and of the autonomous administrative authority NMa.

### 3.1 NMa (civil service organization)

36. The Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs (EZ) directs funds for staff and resources to the NMa, which are allocated by means of a budget letter (see table 1). The Office of Transport Regulation (VK, part of the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation) is financed by the Ministry of Transport, Public Works and Water Management (V&W) through the budget of EZ. Fines imposed by the VK are transferred to V&W. The NMa and EZ use cash-based accounting. Cash expenditure is a natural consequence of this. Personnel and material budgets of the expenditures are therefore not further specified, but those of the liabilities are.

37. The NMa did not exceed its budget in terms of liabilities or expenditure. On the liability level, the NMa underspent by €3.1 million. With respect to cash expenditure, the NMa underspent by €2.7 million. Part of the underspending is expected to be realized in early 2010.

38. In 2009, the level of reported income was €13.1 million. The majority of this income comes from fines imposed in 2009 and earlier. In 2009, income from fines and interest totaled €9.8 million.

#### 3.1.1 Overview 2009

**Table 1 Realization with respect to the budget letter (in thousands of euros).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
<th>Receipts*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget letter</td>
<td>50,068</td>
<td>49,908</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realization</td>
<td>46,899</td>
<td>47,199</td>
<td>13,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underspending</td>
<td>3,168</td>
<td>2,708</td>
<td>13,098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As percentage</td>
<td>6.33%</td>
<td>5.43%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Also see the explanation of receipts of table 5.

#### 3.1.2 Pricing principles

39. All amounts are included against their nominal value. The full amount of the receivables continues to be included until they are declared uncollectable after being considered irrecoverable.

#### 3.1.3 Liabilities

**Table 2 Liabilities (amounts in euros)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Allocated budget 2009</th>
<th>Realization 2009</th>
<th>Realization 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total personnel</td>
<td>34,096,000</td>
<td>30,956,470</td>
<td>28,340,732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total materials</td>
<td>15,971,000</td>
<td>15,942,681</td>
<td>15,281,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td><strong>50,068,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>46,899,151</strong></td>
<td><strong>43,622,574</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

40. In 2009, the NMa’s personnel-related and materials-related liabilities (table 2) have increased compared to 2008. This increase has a number of reasons: an expansion of the tasks of the NMa with the adoption of the Dutch Heat Act, the oversight on network operators’ financial management, the decision on energy bills, the Electricity and Natural Gas Market Act, and the policy rule on private grids. Another reason for the increase is the salary adjustment. Meanwhile, the Dutch government’s program towards a
leaner and more effective government has had a mitigating effect on expenditures on outsourcing and services between government agencies.

41. With regard to liabilities, the NMa underspent €3.1 million. The NMa already informed EZ in August 2009 that it would not spend €0.6 million. The NMa budget subsequently included an expected realization of €49.4 million. The main reasons for the underspending are:

- Not all tenders for the 2011 ICN Convention were completed before December 31, 2009, meaning that approximately €500,000 less in liabilities has been realized;
- in 2009, the Board froze the outsourcing budget, which has resulted in approximately €500,000 being less realized;
- The lease contracts for the 15th and 16th floor of the Zurich Tower were finalized later than anticipated. Because of this delay, the NMa did not start paying rent for these floors until December instead of May. Furthermore, the related termination and remodeling costs were lower than anticipated. In 2009, the NMa realized a net reduction of €500,000 on its rental expenses;
- Failure to fill open positions in 2009 resulted in an underspending; the number of open positions in 2009 was about 22.

3.1.4 Expenditure

Table 3: expenditure (amounts in euros)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Allocated budget 2009</th>
<th>Realization 2009</th>
<th>Realization 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>34,096,250</td>
<td>31,666,420</td>
<td>29,051,712</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>15,811,250</td>
<td>15,532,830</td>
<td>15,461,402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49,907,500</td>
<td>47,199,250</td>
<td>44,513,114</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

42. Looking at expenditure, the NMa in 2009 underspent €2.7 million compared to the allocated budget (table 3). Part of this underspending is expected to be realized in early 2010. The picture here is similar to that of liabilities. The discrepancy between realization and allocation is primarily explained by the open positions, and the postponed starting date of rental payments for the additional floors. The difference with liabilities in table 2 is that liabilities from previous years have also been paid in cash. Table 3 shows that realized expenditure in 2009 was approximately 95 per cent of the allocated budget.

Table 4: expenditures (amounts in euros)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PERSONNEL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400000 Salary costs</td>
<td>24,726,710</td>
<td>22,105,557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400001 Overtime</td>
<td>25,323</td>
<td>23,106</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400002 One-off extras / Small gifts personnel</td>
<td>658,407</td>
<td>516,629</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400019 Welfare</td>
<td>1,935</td>
<td>12,223</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410000 Internship and committee payments</td>
<td>40,912</td>
<td>21,257</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410020 Interim management</td>
<td>528,122</td>
<td>353,561</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410021 Advice on organization and staffing</td>
<td>108,522</td>
<td>82,973</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410033 Temporary employees</td>
<td>3,804,533</td>
<td>4,087,136</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>410039 Services between government agencies</td>
<td>65,060</td>
<td>205,277</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415002 Training</td>
<td>1,125,812</td>
<td>902,938</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415003 Recruitment</td>
<td>393,411</td>
<td>462,134</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415004 Other personnel costs</td>
<td>69,986</td>
<td>42,460</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416012 Redundancy schemes</td>
<td>90,912</td>
<td>236,461</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416013 Redundancy costs</td>
<td>26,775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
43. As a result of the additional duties the NMa was charged with, and because of the salary increases as already agreed upon in the collective labor agreements for government employees, total personnel expenditures have increased 9 per cent compared with 2008. Outsourcing expenditures have decreased 2 per cent, and temporary hiring expenditures have decreased 7 per cent. Total material expenditures in 2009 have increased 0.5 per cent compared with 2008.

3.1.5 Income

Table 5 Income (amounts in euros)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Allocated budget</th>
<th>Realization 2009</th>
<th>Realization 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NMa general (012)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>229,574</td>
<td>28,548,885**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Energy Regulation general</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMa fines – non-construction industry (011)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7,178,771</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMa fines construction industry (010)</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
<td>1,637,838</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMa fees (050)</td>
<td>1,085,000</td>
<td>1,197,000</td>
<td>1,932,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Energy Regulation contribution scheme</td>
<td>2,869,000</td>
<td>2,853,749</td>
<td>2,726,949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,354,000</td>
<td>13,097,933</td>
<td>33,208,611</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* budget up until the fall report.
** the 2008 figure is referring to fees and general income.

44. In 2009, fines and interest received totaled €9.8 million. Reimbursed fees and interest (€1 million) have been deducted. The income from fees are based on the Decision regarding NMa fees [see Dutch Government Gazette 2006 717], while the income of the Office of Energy Regulation is based on the regulation Energy Fees 2009 [see Dutch Government Gazette 2009 78].

3.1.6 Outstanding debts and receivables

Table 6 Outstanding debts and receivables reported as memorandum items as of 31 December 2009 (amounts in euros)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>19,934,944</td>
<td>23,551,829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycles</td>
<td>16,517,508</td>
<td>15,735,522</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile telecommunications</td>
<td>31,830,480</td>
<td>30,319,583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH8</td>
<td>19,450,160</td>
<td>18,525,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public green</td>
<td>911,340</td>
<td>1,137,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home care</td>
<td>7,616,526</td>
<td>7,866,445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrimps</td>
<td>4,571,198</td>
<td>4,354,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6,024,333</td>
<td>8,381,201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107,656,4889</td>
<td>109,871,9745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office of Energy Regulation</td>
<td>1,861</td>
<td>1,861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total general</td>
<td>107,658,349</td>
<td>109,873,835</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

45. The NMa and Office of Energy Regulation’s receivables of 2009 (€5.3 million) and previous years are composed of fines imposed on undertakings, plus the legal interest accrued and minus the income. Objection and appeal procedures in several major cases have not yet been concluded, thereby postponing the obligation to pay. Reductions of fines and interest payments as a result of court rulings have already been included (for 2009 €1.8 million). Fines plus the legal interest accrued in 2009 was €9.8
million. In 2009, an amount of €1.0 million has been paid back after objections and appeals. Claims worth of €1.0 million have been filed with receivers in relation to bankruptcies. The NMa held bank guarantees worth of €8.4 million on December 31, 2009. This includes an escrow account of €1 million.

3.2 ZBO Board of the Dutch Competition Authority

46. This section contains the shortened version of the 2009 financial report of the NMa Autonomous Administrative Agency (ZBO). The Ministry of Economic Affairs allocates resources to the ZBO for personnel costs by means of a budget letter.

3.2.1 Pricing principles

47. All amounts are included at their nominal value.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th>Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget letter</td>
<td>703,000</td>
<td>703,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Realization</td>
<td>703,000</td>
<td>703,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underexpenditure</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage</td>
<td>0,00%</td>
<td>0,00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2.2 Act on Disclosure of Top Income Earners in Publicly Funded Sectors (WoPT)

48. The WoPT Act, which came into effect on March 1st, 2006, stipulates the disclosure of incomes in government and other sectors that are financed from public funds and that are higher than the salary of Ministers. For the ZBO NMa, this relates to the incomes of the chairman of the Board, and of a member of the Board.

49. In 2009, Mr. Kalbfleisch was remunerated on the basis of a non-civil service appointment as chairman of the Board. In total, this amounted to €239,658. Mr. Zijl was remunerated on the basis of a non-civil service appointment as member of the Board. His appointment was discontinued in 2009. Mr. Zijl’s total remuneration over 2009 was €257,035. This exceeding of the WoPT limit is caused by agreements made several years ago at the time of his appointment by the Minister.

4. Human Resources

4.1 Organization

50. The NMa has been a so-called Autonomous Administrative Authority (ZBO) since July 2005, headed by a Board. On October 1, 2009, the Office of Energy Regulation and the Office of Transport Regulation merged into the Office of Energy and Transport Regulation. On July 1, 2009, the NMa started a project called ‘Study into Reorganization of Operational Management’, followed by the Board’s decision on September 29, 2009 to launch the project ‘Exploring the Creation of Operations Department’.

4.2 Employees

51. The NMa’s HR policy has the following objectives:

- Attracting new employees;
- Offering individual, customized support to NMa staff to help them in their work and in their careers;
• Offering support to NMa managers in the execution of their tasks;
• Offering excellent working conditions and realizing acceptable levels of absenteeism.

4.2.1 Influx

52. The HR Department’s first objective is attracting enough high-quality employees. The economic crisis also affected the job market in 2009: outflow was halved compared to 2008, while the number of open applications saw an increase in late 2009. It should come as no surprise that the NMa in 2009 easily managed to counterbalance the outflow with a high influx. However, in 2009, it took more time to fill positions - longer than the three months the NMa aims for. It continues to be crucial for organizations as the NMa to attract high-quality staff and to keep them. That is why recruitment communication, and employee development and education are top priorities. The NMa is committed to building a reputation as an employer par excellence, catering to different target groups on the job market. The NMa wants to be permanently visible, and will carefully select from its range of recruitment instruments, such as the internet, in-house company days, its staff’s personal networks, and recruitment agencies. The first ever NMasterclass held in 2008 for senior students was a huge success, and two more were held in 2009, with more to come in 2010. On December 31, 2009, the NMa had 437 employees (417.298 FTEs). The NMa was awarded an additional 23 FTE in 2009 as a result of the adoption of the Dutch Heat Act. However, these additional FTEs administratively came into effect on January 1, 2010, which is why the employee utilization rate on December 31, 2009, exceeded 100 percent.

4.2.2 Employee and manager development

53. When selecting a new employer, an important criterion for current and future employees, both the young and the more experienced, is the existence of a comprehensive development and education program. The NMa has the ambition of being an employer par excellence, as reflected by its commitment to employee education and development. On July 9, 2009, the first brochure of the newly created NMa Academy was presented to the Board. The NMa Academy helps with and advises the departments, managers and staff on their questions about education and development. The first training program of the NMa Academy, ‘managing NMa professionals’, started in mid September. Other training programs on offer drew considerable interest as well.

4.2.3 Working conditions and absenteeism

54. The absentee rate in 2009 at the NMa was 4.7 per cent. Absence of less than six weeks was 2.09 per cent, while absence exceeding six weeks was 2.66 per cent. These figures have slightly gone up compared to 2008 (4.5 per cent). The NMa remains completely determined to bring these rates down.

4.3 Employee participation

55. In 2009, six meetings between the Board and the NMa’s works council (OR) were held. Two OR members had to be temporarily replaced in 2009 due to pregnancy leave. A third member was replaced permanently. These new members have taken up their new tasks with much enthusiasm. The OR in 2009 was involved in, among other projects, the selection process for two new Board members, the merger of the Office of Energy Regulation and the Office of Transport Regulation, exploring the creation of an Operations Department, and the introduction of a new document management system. Other projects included the so-called ‘One EZ’ project, as well as the Dutch government’s program towards a leaner and more effective government. One of the OR members sits on the departmental works council of the Ministry of Economic Affairs.
# Table 8: The NMa as employer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical success factor</th>
<th>Monitoring instrument</th>
<th>NMa’s desired level</th>
<th>Realized in 2009 (FTEs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilization-related figures</td>
<td>Influx</td>
<td>10% minimum - 20% maximum</td>
<td>17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Outflow</td>
<td>10% minimum - 20% maximum</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Employee utilization rate</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Percentage of women in pay scale 14 or higher</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>33.3% (42.4% managerial positions)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male/female ratio</td>
<td>50% - 50%</td>
<td>52.2/ 47.8% (50.8/ 49.2% in # of people)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment-communication results</td>
<td>Duration of vacancies</td>
<td>85% within three months</td>
<td>70.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participation in job fairs</td>
<td>12 times per year</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-house company days at the NMa</td>
<td>2 per year</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of applicants</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of positions filled</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training and development</td>
<td>Percentage of earnings spent on training courses</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exit interviews</td>
<td>Percentage of exit interviews held</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absentecism</td>
<td>Absentee rate</td>
<td>3.8% maximum</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>