Social issues in the provision and pricing of water services
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Executive Summary

Water pricing policies can contribute to environmental and economic goals, but may face social resistance.

This book examines social issues related to the provision and pricing of household water services. Properly designed water management policies can contribute to both environmental and economic goals, but may face resistance due to the perceived negative social impacts for some stakeholders. Given the importance of household water supply and sanitation services for social welfare, these social dimensions need to be taken into account when key policy decisions are made regarding the provision of water services.

Some basic “social” concepts in water services provision include...

Social issues in the provision of water services can be considered from the perspectives of the impact of policies on different income groups; different consumer types; different regions; or different generations.

...access...

While the social and public health requirements of “access” to public water supply have largely been fulfilled in OECD countries, some still have as many as a quarter of their population without individual household connection to piped supply. As for wastewater collection and treatment, several OECD countries have a backlog of investment requirements, with the result that they still do not meet their own water quality standards.

and affordability.

“Affordability” is the social aspect of water service provision that is most clearly and closely linked to pricing policies. Affordability of water services may not be distributed equally across income groups or neighbourhoods - a lower income household will inevitably pay a higher proportion of their income for water services than a higher income household does.

Many OECD countries have seen water charges increase recently, which will continue to put pressure on the affordability of water services.

Many OECD countries have seen a real increase in household water charges in recent years. The factors behind this trend include continuing pollution of water sources (necessitating more expensive treatment), combined with additional national legislation and EU directives that require higher standards of wastewater treatment. This trend toward higher prices is likely to continue, and will therefore continue to generate pressure on the perceived affordability of water services.

The affordability of water in about half of OECD countries is either an issue now, or will become one in the future.

There are several methods available for measuring the affordability of water charges. "Macro-affordability" indicators are developed by relating national average household water charges to either average household income (disposable or gross) or average household aggregate expenditure. "Micro-affordability" indicators disaggregate the former by income groups, family types or regions. Available evidence of affordability indicators suggests that, in about half the OECD countries (15 out of 30), affordability of water charges for low-income households is either a significant issue now or might become one in the future, if appropriate policy measures are not put in place.

Affordability measures can be broadly classified into income support measures...

The trade-offs between efficiency and equity objectives in the provision of household water services typically occur when moving from an unmeasured to metered charging structure, when rebalancing tariffs away from fixed charges towards volumetric charges, and when increasing fees and tariffs towards full-cost pricing. There is considerable experience in OECD countries with policy measures to address water affordability for vulnerable groups, while attempting to make water pricing reveal the full economic and environmental costs of water services.

Affordability measures can be classified in two main groups: income support measures and tariff-related measures. The income support measures address the individual customer’s ability to pay from the income side (through income assistance, water services vouchers, tariff rebates and discounts, bill re-phasing and easier payment plans, arrears forgiveness).
Tariff-related measures keep the size of water bills low for certain groups (e.g. refinement of increasing-block tariffs, tariff choice, tariff capping). There seems to be clear potential benefits from increasing block tariff structure, which adjusts a free or very low-priced first block by household size, and then reflects the transition form “basic” to “discretionary” water use in subsequent blocks at prices closer to marginal social costs. There is evidence that the use of such tariffs is increasing.

While the provision of urban water supply and sanitation is traditionally considered a public service, there is a trend of increasing commercialisation and private sector participation (PSP), for a number of reasons. Whether water services are provided by the public or private sector (or both), it is important that social and environmental objectives continue to be met.

Different types and degrees of PSP in household water services are found in OECD countries. These can be characterised as Administrative PSP, Corporate PSP, Legal PSP and Financial PSP, according to legal status, asset ownership, operation and management, and capital investment responsibility. Several examples of different forms of PSP are included in this book. These examples illustrate how these water service providers are being regulated in the areas of pricing, service standards, operational efficiency, investment practices, water quality, environmental protection, and consumer protection. Some key criteria for evaluating the effectiveness of water service providers in meeting economic, social, and environmental objectives are also considered.

Access to public water supplies is no longer a serious problem in most OECD countries, (especially in urban areas), with at least 75% of the population (and often as high as 90%) already being served. Thus, the social and public health requirements for universal access have largely been fulfilled. However, in a few OECD countries or regions, the extent of coverage of water services is still suboptimal, due to incomplete infrastructure development and/or uneven availability of the resource.

Mexico provides an example of an OECD country where gaps still exist in the “first-time” provision of water and sanitation services, particularly in: (i) rural areas, where widely dispersed and marginalised communities lacking access basic water services; and (ii) peri-urban areas, where informal settlements surround rapidly growing cities. The existing conditions, and therefore the transitional solutions, will differ between rural and urban settings. An overview of the household water sector in Mexico is provided, followed by a more detailed focus on the three southern States of Chiapas, Guerrero and Oaxaca – among the poorest in the country.