# OECD REGULATORY INDICATORS QUESTIONNAIRE 2008

Distributed 27 May 2008

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OECI	D REGULATORY INDICATORS QUESTIONNAIRE	3
SECT	TION 1: CONTENT OF REGULATORY POLICIES	5
1.	Regulatory policies	5
2.	Regulatory management and policy coherence	
3.	Clarity and due process in decision making procedures	
4.	Regulatory processes	
5.	Transparency	
6.	Provision of justification for regulatory actions, consideration of alternatives	
7.	Provision of justification for regulatory actions, continued	9
8.	Compliance and enforcement	10
SECT	TION 2: REGULATORY QUALITY TOOLS	11
9.	Consultation procedures with affected parties	
10.		
11.	Administrative simplification licences and permits.	15
12.		16
13.	Training in regulatory quality skills	18
SECT	TION 3: INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO PROMOTE REGULATORY	QUALITY19
14.	Central regulatory oversight authority (administrative and political)	19
15.		20
16.	Inter-governmental co-ordination on regulatory policy	21
SECT	TION 4: DYNAMIC ASPECTS OF REGULATORY QUALITY	22
17.	Ex post regulatory review and evaluation	22
18.		
19.		
GLOS	SSARY OF TERMS	24

#### OECD REGULATORY INDICATORS QUESTIONNAIRE

This indicators questionnaire revises, updated and expands the Regulatory Indicators Questionnaire on Government Capacity to Produce High Quality Regulation that was circulated in 1998, 2000 and 2005.

This questionnaire focuses on the dimensions of regulatory quality, including regulatory processes and capacities in the public administration. The questionnaire reflects a balance between the need to keep consistency with the previous 1998 and 2005 data, and the need to reflect recent policy advances and better address some policy areas. Some questions have been removed, and additional questions have been added, with a particular focus being to expand the scope of the questionnaire to include some indicators of the resulting regulatory outputs and outcomes.

To facilitate responses, the Secretariat will provide electronic Excel Sheets, which will be pre-filled with the 2005 answers.

The questionnaire includes four main areas:

- 1. Content of Regulatory Policies
- 2. Regulatory quality tools
- 3. Institutional arrangements to promote regulatory quality
- 4. Dynamic aspects of regulatory quality

Respondents may wish to refer to the definitions of terms used in the attached glossary. All terms highlighted in *bold italics* appear in the glossary. Where the term "required" is used, it means required by law or government policy. For each question:

- the term *regulation* covers the diverse set of instruments by which governments impose requirements on enterprises and citizens. Regulations include all primary laws, formal and informal orders, subordinate regulations, administrative formalities and rules issued by nongovernmental or self-regulatory bodies to whom governments have delegated regulatory powers;
- the term *primary law* refers to those regulations adapted by the legislature (Parliament or Congress); and
- the term *subordinate regulations* refers to lower-level regulation issued by the government, by individual ministers or by the Cabinet, *i.e.* by powers delegated by law.

Please see the glossary attached to the questionnaire for other definitions.

#### Contact details of the main respondent for follow up:

Name:	 	
Telephone:		
Email:		
Ministry/National Administration:		
Position:		
Address:		

#### How to answer the questionnaire:

- The Questionnaire should be answered electronically in one of the official languages of the Organisation. Please tick the appropriate boxes or provide the data requested for each question.
- The questionnaire involves both categorical questions (e.g. yes/no) as well as open-ended qualitative questions. The qualitative questions may only need to be answered when significant changes occurred between 2005 and 2008 given specific reference to the answers provided to the 2005 questionnaire. It would be very helpful if you can supplement your answers with more detailed information about specific practices in your country. Supplementary data, studies or articles that are requested or that may be helpful should be provided in attachment and referred to in the text.
- Answers should reflect the regulatory situation as it exists at the time of response (mid 2008), or as recently as possible. Future regulatory or reform actions should be clearly identified as such, noting the current stage of implementation, the body responsible for the reform and the expected date of completion. The data should only reflect the situation as of 2008.
- The questions refer only to regulation or policies issued or accepted by the national level of government. National governments may choose to also supply responses for one or more subnational authorities. If a question concerns an issue that is exclusively or primarily dealt with at the sub-national level, answers should be given for a chosen jurisdiction (with indication of which jurisdiction has been selected).
- We draw your attention to the need to answer the comments and qualitative questions as well.
- A glossary of terms is provided in an annex.
- Please return the questionnaire to gregory.bounds@oecd.org or emmanuel.job@oecd.org, or mail to: Public Governance and Territorial Development Directorate, OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16, France.
- For assistance or questions regarding the questionnaire please contact: gregory.bounds@oecd.org or emmanuel.job@oecd.org

## SECTION 1: CONTENT OF REGULATORY POLICIES

# 1. Regulatory policies

	Yes	No
a) Is there an explicit, published regulatory policy promoting government-wide <i>regulatory reform</i> or regulatory quality improvement?		
reform of regulatory quanty improvement?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
Please attach a copy of the document		
	Yes	No
a(i) Does it establish explicit objectives of reform?		
a(ii) Does it set out explicit principles of good regulation?		
a(iii) Does it establish specific responsibilities for reform at the ministerial level? (i.e. a reform mandate at the ministerial level.)		
a(iv) In what year was the policy introduced or last substantially revised?		
➤ If there is no published regulatory policy, please indicate briefly how the existing elements of your country's strategy for regulatory reform (if one exists) accords with the 2005 OECD Guiding Principles for Regulatory Quality and Performance (www.oecd.org/dataoecd/19/51/37318586.pdf): Please discuss if this policy was significantly amended between 2005 and 2008.		
b) What is identified in your policy as the main motives for regulatory reform?	Yes	
b(i) Need to boost competitiveness and growth		
b(ii) International commitment (e.g. European commitment)		
b(iii) Domestic policy agenda		
b(iv) Improve social welfare		
b(vi) Reduce the burden on business		
b(vii) Other (Specify in your comments)		
b(viii) No main motive is explicitly identified		

c) Which groups are lobbying for, or are strongly in favour of, the regulatory reform agenda?	Yes
c(i) Government itself	
c(ii) Large businesses (or their associations)	
c(iii) Small businesses (or their associations)	
c(iv) Consumer organisations	
c(vi) Citizens, national opinion	
c(vii) International Organisations	
c(viii) Welfare Groups	
c(ix) Environment groups	
c(ix) Think tanks	
c(xi) other (specify in your comments)	
Comments on Question 1 (if necessary):	

# 2. Regulatory management and policy coherence

Consultation within government	Always	In some cases	No
a) Are there formal processes for consultation when preparing new <i>primary laws?</i>			
b) Are there formal processes for consultation when preparing new subordinate <i>regulation?</i>			
c) Is the body responsible for competition policy usually consulted on new regulation?			
➤ If, the answer is yes, is this consultation mandatory?			
d) Is the body responsible for trade policy usually consulted on new <i>regulation?</i>			
➤ If, the answer is yes, is this consultation mandatory?			
e) Is the body responsible for consumer policy usually consulted on new regulation?			
➤ If, the answer is yes, is this consultation mandatory?			
f) Are other policy areas involved (e.g. social or environmental concerns, please specify)			

Provisions to promote the adoption of international standards and rules.		
g) Is there a formal requirement that regulators consider comparable international standards and rules before setting new domestic standards?		]
h) Are regulators required to explain the rationale for diverting from international standards when country specific rules are proposed?		]
Comments on Question 2 (if necessary):		
3. Clarity and due process in decision making procedures		
Forward Planning	Yes	No
	169	110
a) Does the government periodically publish a list of <i>primary laws</i> to be prepared, modified, reformed or repealed in the next six months or more?		Ш
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
a(i) Is it available to the public? (i.e. via the Internet)		
b) Does the government periodically publish a list of <i>subordinate regulations</i> to be prepared, modified, reformed or repealed in the next six months or more?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
b(i) Is it available to the public? (i.e. via the Internet)		
Appeal Processes	Yes	No
c) When appealing against adverse regulatory <u>enforcement</u> decisions in <u>individual</u> cases, which of the following options are typically available to affected parties:		
	_	
c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?		
c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body? c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?		
c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?		
c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body? c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>If the answer is "yes" does it relate to:</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>If the answer is "yes" does it relate to: <ul> <li>e(i) costs to appellants?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>If the answer is "yes" does it relate to: <ul> <li>e(i) costs to appellants?</li> <li>e(ii) length of delays for appeals?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>If the answer is "yes" does it relate to: <ul> <li>e(i) costs to appellants?</li> <li>e(ii) length of delays for appeals?</li> <li>e(iii) number of stages for appeal</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>if the answer is "yes" does it relate to: <ul> <li>e(i) costs to appellants?</li> <li>e(ii) length of delays for appeals?</li> <li>e(iii) number of stages for appeal</li> <li>e(iv) other (specify in your comments)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>c(i) Administrative review by the regulatory enforcement body?</li> <li>c(ii) Administrative review to an independent body?</li> <li>c(iii) Judicial review?</li> <li>d) Has there been an evaluation of the effective functioning of appeals processes in terms of possible costs and delays (in the past five years)?</li> <li>e) Has there been a programme to facilitate appeal processes in the past five years?</li> <li>If the answer is "yes" does it relate to: <ul> <li>e(i) costs to appellants?</li> <li>e(ii) length of delays for appeals?</li> <li>e(iii) number of stages for appeal</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

f) Are elements of the regulatory policy subject to judicial review? (e.g. If RIA or

Yes

*No* 

consultation requirements are legislatively or constitutionaly based, can the validity of laws or subordinate legislation be challenged if these requirements are not met?)		
Please provide details.		
Comments on Question 3 (if necessary):		
4. Regulatory processes		
	Yes	No
a) Are there standard procedures by which the administration develops draft <i>primary laws?</i>		
➤ If there are standard procedures by which draft laws are developed:		
a(i) Are these established in a formal normative document such as a law (e.g. an Administrative Procedures Act?) or a formal policy document (e.g. Cabinet Handbook), with a binding impact		
a(ii) Are draft laws to be scrutinised by a specific body within Government other than the department which is responsible for the regulation?		
<ul> <li>b) Are there standard procedures by which the administration develops draft subordinate regulations?</li> <li>If there are standard procedures by which draft subordinate regulations are developed:</li> </ul>		
b(i) Are these established in a formal normative document such as a law (e.g. an Administrative Procedures Act?) or a formal policy document (e.g. Cabinet Handbook), with a binding impact		
b(ii) Please provide a list of the main formal normative documents in force in 2008 including: the title; whether it is a legislative requirement or an administrative procedure and; the date that the instrument was last substantially reviewed.		
Comments on Question 4 (if necessary):		
5. Transparency		
	Yes	No
➤ Which of the following systematic procedures for making regulation known and accessible to affected parties are employed:		
a(i) Codification of primary laws?		
a(i-1) If "yes": Is there a mechanism for regular updating of the codes or codified laws? (at least yearly basis)		
a(ii) Publication of a consolidated register of all <i>subordinate regulations</i> currently in force?		

a(ii-a) If " <b>yes</b> ": Is there a provision that only <b>subordinate reg</b> the registry are enforceable?	<i>ulations</i> in		
a(iii) Public access via the Internet to the text of all or most <i>primary law</i>	ws?		
a(iv) Public access via the Internet to the text of all or most so regulation?	ubordinate		
a(v) A general policy requiring <i>plain language</i> drafting of <i>regulation?</i>			
a(vi) Is guidance on plain language drafting issued?			
Comments on Question 5 (if necessary):			
6. Provision of justification for regulatory actions, consideration of al	ternatives. Always	In some cases	No
a(i) Are <i>regulators</i> required to provide a <u>written justification</u> of the need for new <i>regulation?</i>			
➤ If the answer is "always" or "in some cases":			
a(ii) Are explicit decision criteria to be used when justifying a new regulation?			
a(iii) Is a risk assessment required to be included as part of the <u>written</u> <u>justification</u> for the regulation?			
b) Has guidance been issued on the main rationales for the use of regulation?			
➤ If the answer is "yes" does it address the following topics:			
b(i) Economic tests (examples of market failures)?			
b(ii) Acceptable risk thresholds?			
b(iii) Improving regulation, repairing regulatory failure?			
b(iv) Social goals, equity issues?			
b(v) Other rationales? (please provide details)			
Comments on Question 6 (if necessary):			
7. Provision of justification for regulatory actions, continued			
	Always	In some cases	No
a) Are <i>regulators</i> required to identify and assess potentially feasible <i>alternative policy instruments</i> (regulatory and non-regulatory) before adopting new <i>regulation</i> ?			
	Yes		No

N 10.1		
➤ If the answer is "yes" does it address the following topics:		
b(i) Performance based regulation?		
b(ii) Process (or management) based regulation?		
b(iii) Co-regulation?		
b(iv) Economic instruments?		
b(vi) the use of Quasi regulatory guidelines as an alternative to regulation?		
b(vii) Voluntary approaches?		
Comments on Question 7 (if necessary):		
8. Compliance and enforcement		
	Voc	No
<ul> <li>a) Do regulatory policies explicitly require that the issue of securing compliance and enforcement be anticipated when developing new regulation?</li> <li>If the answer is "ves":</li> </ul>	Yes	No
enforcement be anticipated when developing new regulation?  If the answer is "yes":	Yes	No
enforcement be anticipated when developing new regulation?	Yes	No
enforcement be anticipated when developing new regulation?  > If the answer is "yes":  a(i) Are there specific policies on developing compliance-friendly regulation?  a(ii) Is written guidance on compliance and/or enforcement issues made available to	Yes	No

# SECTION 2: REGULATORY QUALITY TOOLS

# 9. Consultation procedures with affected parties

	Always	In so		No		
a) Is public consultation with parties affected by <i>regulations</i> a part of developing new draft <i>primary laws?</i>			]			
When is it conducted?	Yes		N	No		
a(i) at the inception of the legal proposal?						
a(ii) during the drafting of the law?						
a(iii) on an established law?						
	Always	In so	me	No		
		cas	es			
b) Is public consultation with parties affected by <i>regulations</i> a part of developing new draft <i>subordinate regulations?</i>			]			
When is it conducted?	Yes		Yes		N	Vo
b(i) at the inception of the legal proposal?						
b(ii) during the drafting of a regulatory impact statement (RIS)?						
b(iii) after a regulatory impact statement (RIS) is finalised?						
➤ If the answer is "always" or "in some cases" to a) or b):	Primary laws		•			dinate lation
	Yes	No	Yes	No		
b(iv) Is consultation mandatory?						
b(v) Are there consultation guidelines?						
b(vi) If so, are they mandatory?						
b(vi) What forms of public consultation are routinely used (tick all that apply):	Primary laws			dinate lation		
- Informal consultation with selected groups? (eg Tripartite discussions)						
- Broad circulation of proposals for comment?						
- Public notice and calling for comment?						
- Public meeting?						

- Simply posting proposals on the internet?		]		
- Advisory group?				
- Preparatory public commission/committee?		]		
- Other		]		
	Yes	No	Yes	No
b(vii) Can any member of the public choose to participate in the consultation?				
c) Where there is a formal requirement for public consultation with parties affected by <i>regulations</i> what is the minimum period for consultation that is specified? ➤ In number of weeks from 1 to 25 weeks	Primary laws			dinate lation
c(i) What is the minimum period for allowing consultation comments inside government?				
c(ii) What is the minimum period for allowing consultation comments by the public, including citizens, business and civil society organisations?				
	Prim lav	•		dinate lation
	Yes	No	Yes	No
d(i) Are the views of participants in the consultation process made public?				
d(ii) Are regulators required to respond in writing to the authors of consultation comments?				
d(iii) Are the views expressed in the consultation process included in the regulatory impact analysis?				
d(iv) Is there a process to monitor the quality of the consultation process? (e.g. surveys or other methods, please specify in comments)				
d(v) Is guidance available on how to conduct effective consultation?				
Comments on Question 9 (if necessary):				
10. Use of regulatory impact analysis (RIA)				
	Always		some ases	No
a) Is <i>regulatory impact analysis</i> (RIA) carried out before new <i>regulation</i> is adopted?				
IF THE ANSWER TO a) IS "ALWAYS" OR "IN SOME CASES":				
<b>b)</b> At what stage is the RIA prepared?		3	Yes	No
b(i) Early in the development of a regulatory proposal?		[		
b(ii) Following the finalisation of the regulatory proposal?		ا		

c) Is a government body outside the ministry sponsoring for reviewing the quality of the RIA?	the regulat	ion responsible		
➤ If the answer is "yes": Name the body and its location in the administration:				
➤ Please indicate how many full time equivalent staff are responsible for reviewing Regulatory Impact Assessments:				
<b>d)</b> As part of this review is there scope for:?			Yes	No
d(i) Revising the regulatory proposal?				
d(ii) Blocking the regulatory proposal?				
e) Is there a clear "threshold" for applying RIA to new re	gulatory pi	oposals?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		•		
e(i) Is the threshold defined as a single objecti combine criteria (No)?	ve criterio	n (Yes), or doe	s it	
e(ii) Please provide details of the threshold test	-			
c(n) Trease provide details of the alleshold test	•			
<b>f</b> ) As a result of the threshold test is there a requirement f alternative to a full RIA?	or a <u>simpli</u>	fied RIA as an		
f(i) If yes please provide details of the requiren	nent for a s	implified RIA.		
<b>g</b> ) Is guidance on the preparation of RIA provided?				
g(i) If yes please when was it last updated?				
	Always	Only for major regulation <sup>1</sup>	In other selected cases	No
<b>h(i)</b> Is <b>RIA</b> required by law or by a similarly strictly binding administrative instrument?				
h(ii) Is <i>RIA</i> required for draft <i>primary laws?</i>	Ш		Ш	
h(iii) Is <i>RIA</i> required for draft <i>subordinate</i> regulations?				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Major regulation is regulation with a significant economic impact.

<b>h(iv)</b> Are <i>regulators</i> required to identify the costs of new <i>regulation</i>			
➤ If yes: Is the impact analysis required to include the quantification of the costs?			
<b>h(v)</b> Are <i>regulators</i> required to identify the benefits of new <i>regulation</i> ?			
➤ If yes: Is the impact analysis required to include quantification of the benefits?			
<b>h(vi)</b> Does the <i>RIA</i> require <i>regulators</i> to demonstrate that the benefits of new <i>regulation</i> justify the costs?			
<b>h(viii)</b> Are RIA documents required to be released for consultation with the general public?			
<b>h(ix)</b> Is the RIA required to include assessments of other specific impacts:			
Impacts on the budget			
Impacts on competition			
Impacts on market openness			
Impacts on small businesses			
Impact on specific regional areas			
Impact on specific social groups (distributional effects across society)			
Impact on other groups (not for profit sector including charities)			
Impact on the public sector			
Impact on gender equality			
Impact on poverty			
i) Is <i>risk assessment</i> required when preparing a RIA?			
i(i) For all regulation			
i(ii) For Health and safety <i>regulation</i>			
i(iii) For Environmental <i>regulation</i>			
➤ If "yes": Does the risk assessment require quantitative modelling?			
	Yes	No	
<b>j(i)</b> Does the <b>RIA</b> require <b>regulators</b> to explicitly consider compliance and enforcement issues when preparing new <b>regulation</b> ?			

	Regularly	Ad hoc basis	No
<b>j(ii)</b> Are reports prepared on the level of compliance by government departments with the above requirements of RIA?			
	Yes		No
<b>j</b> (iii) Are these reports published?			
<b>k</b> ) Are ex post comparisons of the actual vs predicted impacts of regulations made?			
l) Is there an assessment of the effectiveness of RIA in leading to modifications of initial regulatory proposals undertaken?			
$\triangleright$ If "Yes": What is the proportion of initial regulatory p	roposals that w	ere modified?	
Comments on Question 10 (if necessary):			

## 11. Administrative simplification licences and permits.

**Note:** This question is consistent with previously collected responses. It is generally concerned with the licences and permits for which are necessary to conduct commercial or industrial operations for which a business may need to apply. The purpose of this question is to identify if certain elements apply (such as *one stop shops* and *silence is consent* rules) as part of the systems of regulatory governance to reduce the regulatory burden on business. As such the responses may refer to licences at a State or Federal level. Respondents may wish to provide clarification of their responses in their comments.

	Yes	No
a) Is a "silence is consent" rule used <u>at all</u> (i.e. that <i>licences</i> are issued automatically if the competent licensing office has not reacted by the end of the statutory response period)?		
a(i) if <i>Yes</i> , please specify the statutory response period, or the corresponding time limit for a reply, in number of weeks		
a(ii) Are administrations obliged to provide the name of the person responsible for handling the application in any formal correspondence?		
b) Are there single contact points ("one-stop shops") for getting information on <i>licences</i> and <i>notifications?</i>		
b(i) To what proportion of license applications do they apply? (e.g. if implemented at the local level, but only in certain areas)	In	%
c) Are there single contact points for accepting <i>notifications</i> and issuing <i>licences</i> (one-stop shops)?		
<ul><li>c(i) To what proportion of license applications does it apply?</li><li>(e.g. if it is implemented at the local level, but only in certain areas)</li></ul>	In	<b>%</b>
d) Is there a programme underway to review and reduce the number of <i>licenses</i> and		

permits required by the national government?		
d(i) Is there a complete count of the number of permits and licenses required by the national government (all ministries and agencies)?		
d(ii) Has there been a decline in the aggregate number of licences and permits?		
e) Is there a programme underway to co-ordinate the review and reform of permits and <i>licences</i> at sub-national levels of government?		
Comments on Question 11 (if necessary):		
12. Measurement and reduction of administrative burdens		
Burden Measurement	Yes	No
a) Has your country completed a measurement of <i>administrative burdens</i> imposed by government on enterprises and/or citizens?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
a(i) In what year was the last measurement undertaken?		
a(ii) Is there an embedded program to update and repeat burden measurement?		
<ul><li>(b) Which groups are targeted in your measurement of administrative burdens?</li><li>(i) impacts on citizens</li></ul>		
(ii) impacts on businesses		
(iii) impacts on the public sector		
(iv) other impacts (e.g. on the not-for-profit sector.) Please specify		
(c) What policy areas and/or sectors are included in your measurements (e.g. all regulation the service sector, VAT-regulation)?	s, regula	tions in
(d) If only some sectors are targeted, please explain how these sectors were chosen.		
(e) Please indicate progress in terms of <i>actual burden reductions</i> since measure (If possible, progress should be reported on the policy targets specified in section 1a. Please provide ref documents.)		
(f) If administrative burdens are measured by your government, what is the methodology	Yes	No

used.

i(i) Standard Cost Model (SCM)

i(ii) Adapted or modified version from the Standard Cost Model		
i(iii) Other Please describe:		
Flease describe:		
(g) How are administrative burdens defined in the methodology?		
j(i) Substantive compliance costs		
j(ii) Time and paperwork costs		
(h) What is the main mechanism used for data collection:	Yes	No
h(i) Business surveys collected by third parties or statistical offices?		
h(ii) Focus groups comprised of companies?		
If so please indicate the number of businesses represented in the focus group.		
h(iii) Self perceved information reported by businesses or their associations?		
h(iii) Other.		П
Please explain.	_	
(i) Is the methodology applied		
i(i) ex-ante (prior to the introduction of the regulation)?		
i(ii) ex-post (after the regulation has been implemented)?		
(j) Does your methodology allow you to differentiate between various ministries or policy areas?		
(k) Does your methodology allow you to differentiate between administrative burdens imposed by different levels of government, i.e. supra, central and local government levels?		
(l) If yes, can it differentiate between supra national and central government's regulations? (e.g. EU vs domestic in Europe)		
(m) What is the estimated percentage of the total administrative burden accounted for by international regulatory requirements?		
(n) Are the measured burdens expressed in:		
n(i) time (i.e. time spent complying with administrative obligations)?		
n(ii) financial terms (i.e. the monetised value of time spent on compliance with administrative obligations)?		
(o) Is the body responsible for co-ordinating your country's administrative simplification policy also in charge of regulatory oversight / promotion of other regulatory quality issues, such as RIA and consultation procedures?		
(p) What were the actual costs of implementing the program for the measurement of administrative burdens?		

Burden Reduction	Yes	No
q) Is there an explicit government programme to reduce the <i>administrative burdens</i> imposed by government on enterprises and/or citizens?		
➤ If the answer is " <b>yes</b> ":		
q(i) Does this programme include quantitative targets?		
q(ii) Does this programme include qualitative targets?		
If "yes": Please specify which targets, when they were established and when they are supposed to be met.		
q(iii) Which of the following strategies are used (tick as many as necessary)?		
- Removal of obligations		
- Modification and streamlining of existing laws and regulations		
<ul> <li>Information and communication technologies for regulatory administration (e.g. electronic databases, online formats)</li> </ul>		
- Other streamlining of government administrative procedures		
<ul> <li>Reallocating powers and responsibilities between government departments and/or between levels of government</li> </ul>		
- Other (please specify)		
13. Training in regulatory quality skills		
a) Do formal training programmes exist to better equip civil servants with the skills to	Yes	No
develop high quality <i>regulation</i> ?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
a(i) Does this include training in how to conduct <i>regulatory impact analysis</i> ?		
a(ii) Does this training include use of <i>alternative policy instruments</i> ?		
a(iii) Does this include training on how to inform and communicate with the public?		
b(i) Is general guidance on the regulatory policy and its underlying objectives published and distributed to regulatory officials?		
b(ii) Is general guidance on compliance and enforcement published and distributed to regulatory officials?		
c) Are other strategies in place to promote changes in the regulatory culture consistent with		

the objectives of the regulatory policy? (e.g. mobility of officials across areas, exchanges with the private sector, others) If so please describe.	
Comments: if available, please provide details on any strategies used to promote changes.	

# SECTION 3: INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS TO PROMOTE REGULATORY QUALITY

# 14. Central regulatory oversight authority (administrative and political)

	Yes	No
a) Is there a dedicated body (or bodies) responsible for promoting the regulatory policy as well as monitoring and reporting on regulatory reform and regulatory quality in the national administration from a whole of government perspective?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
What is the name and administrative situation of the main central body (specify legovernment, e.g. in the Ministry of Finance, Prime Minister's Office, or Ministry crerated as an independent body?) (Please discuss only if significant changes since 2000)	of justice	
Please specify in general terms the mission of this body, and its main tasks and powers		
Please specify staffing levels of this body, and annual resources if available		
a(i) Is this body consulted as part of the process of developing new regulation?		
a(ii) Does this body report on progress made on reform by individual ministries?		
a(iii) Is this body entrusted with the authority of reviewing and monitoring regulatory impacts conducted in individual ministries?		
	Yes	No
a(iv) Can this body conduct its own analysis of regulatory impacts?		
a(v) Is this body entrusted with an advocacy function to promote regulatory quality and reform?		
b) Is there an advisory body that receives references from Government to review broad areas of regulation, collecting the views of private stakeholders? (e.g. Past bodies have included; the Better Regulation Task Force in the UK, the External Advisory Council on Smart Regulation in Canada and the Regulatory Reform Council in Korea)		

➤ If the answer is "yes":		
b(i) Does this body have a degree of independence from government (e.g. through a board or commission structure)?		
b(ii) Does this body report its findings publicly?		
b(iii) Is the body a permanent administrative entity, or convened for a fixed duration?	Permanent	Fixed
Name and administrative situation of the advisory body (please specify relationship to serviced by departmental staff)	the government	nent, eg
Please specify in general terms the mission of this body, and its main tasks and powers	S.	
Please specify staffing levels of this body, and annual resources if available. Include the members of the body are renumerated or voluntary.	e details of	whether
c) Is a specific minister accountable for promoting government-wide progress on <i>regulatory reform?</i>		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
c(i) Which minister? (list)		
c(ii) Is the Minister required to report to Parliament on progress?		
Comments on Question 14 (if necessary):		
15. The role of Parliament in regulatory quality		
	Yes	No
a) Is there a specific parliamentary committee or other parliamentary body with responsibilities that relate specifically to the regulatory policy/regulatory reform policy?		
➤ If the answer is "yes":		
a(i) Does this body periodically review the quality of proposed primary legislation?		
<ul><li>a(ii) Is this body also entrusted to review the quality of subordinate regulation?</li><li>(i.e. lower level rules)?</li></ul>		
a(iii) Is the review process, if it exists, explicitly guided by regulatory quality criteria?		
a(iv) Does this body review and report on progress on regulatory policy/regulatory reform across the administration?		
Comments on Question 15 (if necessary). Please add any relevant additional inform parliamentary scrutiny conducted as part of the regulatory policy.	ation in rela	ation to

# 16. Inter-governmental co-ordination on regulatory policy

		Yes	No
a) Are there formal co-ordination mechanisms between National/Fede State/regional governments? (in Federal or quasi-federal countries, national and regional/local governments in unitary countries)			
b) Are there formal co-ordination mechanisms at the supra-national level (consequence of membership of international bodies, such as the E Union). (WTO and other broadly constituted bodies should not be i here).	uropean		
c) Do any of these mechanisms impose specific obligations in related regulatory practice?	ation to		
	Yes	Sometimes	Not at all
d) Are any of the following regulatory harmonisation mechanisms used?	Yes	Sometimes	
d) Are any of the following regulatory harmonisation mechanisms used? c(i) <i>Mutual recognition</i> ?	Yes	Sometimes	
	Yes	Sometimes	
c(i) Mutual recognition?	Yes	Sometimes	

# SECTION 4: DYNAMIC ASPECTS OF REGULATORY QUALITY

# 17. Ex post regulatory review and evaluation

	For all policy areas?	For specific areas	Not required
a) Is periodic ex post evaluation of existing <i>regulation</i> mandatory?			
		Yes	No
b) Are there standardised evaluation techniques or criteria <i>regulation</i> is reviewed?	to be used when		
c) Are reviews required to consider explicitly the consister in different areas and take steps to add overlap/duplication/inconsistency?	ncy of regulations ress areas of		
d) Are there mechanisms by which the public can make to modify specific <i>regulations</i> ?	recommendations		
➤ If the answer is "yes", please specify (tick as many	as necessary):		
d(i) Electronic mailboxes			
d(ii) Ombudsman			
d(iii) Other (please specify)			
		Yes	No
e) Is sunsetting used for primary laws?			
e(i) If yes, what is the standard period within which a psunset?	orimary law must		
f) Is sunsetting used for subordinate regulations?			
f(i) If yes, what is the standard period within which a subo must sunset?	rdinate regulation		
g) Do specific <i>primary laws</i> include automatic review requ	nirements?		
h) Does <i>subordinate regulation</i> include automatic review	requirements?		
Comments on Question 17 (if necessary):			

## 18. Controlling aggregate regulatory burdens

 ${f Note}$  – This question has been retained for compatibility and to continue the time series with previous questionnaires.

	Yes	No
a) Is there a yearly calculation of <b>regulatory inflation</b> ? (Laws, ordinances and other official regulations)		
b) Have attempts been made to measure trends in the <b>aggregate burden of regulation</b> over time?		
c) Is there an explicit policy in relation to the control of the <i>aggregate</i> burden of regulation?		
➤ If yes:		
c(i) Are there explicit targets?		
c(ii) Are specific strategies or rules used to affect aggregate burdens?		
Comments on Question 18 (if necessary):		

## 19. Indicators of performance, Quantitative questions, outputs

Number of new laws	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
at the national/federal								
level								
Number of new	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
subordinate regulations (decrees, others)								

Comments on Question 19 (if necessary):please discuss any methodological issues or definitions related to the data that are available in your country:

#### **GLOSSARY OF TERMS**

#### Administrative burdens of regulation

The costs involved in obtaining, reading and understanding regulations, developing compliance strategies and meeting mandated reporting requirements, including data collection, processing, reporting and storage, but **NOT** including the capital costs of measures taken to comply with the regulations, nor the costs to the public sector of administering the regulations.

#### Administrative compliance costs

See administrative burdens of regulation.

#### Administrators responsible for the regulatory reform programme

Policy officials in bodies specifically tasked to manage or monitor the regulatory reform programme within the administration.

#### **Advisory Groups**

Selected experts and/or interested parties (*e.g.* social partners, environmental groups) are brought together to form a consultative body, either on an *ad hoc* or a standing basis.

#### Aggregate burden of regulation

Total costs of all requirements imposed by the primary and secondary regulations in force on all citizens and businesses, stemming from all levels of government.

#### Alternative policy instruments

See regulatory alternatives.

#### Circulation of proposals for comment

Information on regulatory proposals is circulated to a selected group of experts and/or interested parties for comment.

#### Codification

Systematic arrangement of laws in force.

#### "Command and Control" regulation

Regulations which specify, usually in detail, the regulatory requirements and a set of penalties for non-compliance. It is generally oriented toward input and behavioural requirements rather than toward outcome.

#### Co-regulation

A system of shared regulatory responsibilities in which an industry association or professional group will assume some regulatory functions, such as surveillance and enforcement or setting of regulatory standards.

#### Informal Consultation

Ad hoc meetings with selected interested parties, held at the discretion of regulators.

#### License(s)

A license (or permit) is defined as a notification\* which further to the requirements of a notification also requires prior approval or response by the government authority as a condition for conducting activities.

#### Mutual recognition

The recognition by different jurisdictions of equivalent licensing standards in order to facilitate the movement of goods and services between complying jurisdictions. It presupposes a close degree of equivalence and reciprocal confidence between the responsible institutions.

#### *Notification(s)*

In this questionnaire, a notification is defined as a requirement for setting up a business calling for (i) providing information to a specific government authority and (ii) complying with minimum standards as conditions for conducting activities. A license or permit is defined as a notification which (iii) also requires prior approval or response by the government authority as a condition for conducting activities.

#### Performance based regulation

Regulations that specifies objectives or "output standards" and that leaves the means of compliance to be determined by the regulated entity.

#### Permit(s)

See licence.

#### Primary law(s)

See primary legislation.

#### Primary legislation

Regulations which must be approved by the parliament or congress. Also referred to as principal legislation or primary law.

#### Process regulation

Regulations that require that individual enterprises set up and document systemic processes to identify and control certain risks or hazards within their own activities. Such processes are based on Quality Assurance Principles.

#### Plain language drafting

In the legislative context, the principles of Plain Language aim for clarity in the language of legislation, in the structure of the legal ideas contained in legislation, and in the physical layout and presentation of legislation. The use of Plain Language in legislation is intended to remove barriers to communication and so make the law more accessible without any loss of precision or the introduction of any uncertainties.

#### **Public Notice and Comment**

Notice of the intention to regulate is published and comments are sought from all interested parties before the law or regulation is approved.

#### Regulation

The diverse set of instruments by which governments set requirements on enterprises and citizens. Regulation include all laws, formal and informal orders, subordinate rules, administrative formalities and rules issued by non-governmental or self-regulatory bodies to whom governments have delegated regulatory powers.

#### Regulators

Administrators in government departments and other agencies responsible for making regulation.

#### Regulatory alternatives

Alternative policy instruments other than command and control regulation used with the purpose to obtain policy goals. Alternative policy instruments include instruments such as performance based regulation, process regulation, waiver or variance provisions, co-regulation, self-regulation, contractual arrangements, voluntary commitments, tradable permits, taxes and subsidies, insurance schemes, information campaigns.

#### Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA)

Systematic process of identification and quantification of important benefits and costs likely to flow from adoption of a proposed regulation or a non-regulatory policy option under consideration. May be based on benefit/cost analysis, cost effectiveness analysis, business impact analysis etc.

#### Regulatory Inflation

A concept representing the increase in the number and complexity of laws, ordinances, decrees and other official regulations. The concept can be approximated through a number of indicators (e.g. number of laws, or subordinate regulations, number of pages or signs in the legal text book). It may differ from country to country. However, it is important for it to be calculated in a consistent way from year to year for the same country, to reflect a notion of the domestic trends over time.

### Regulatory reform

Changes that improve regulatory quality, that is, enhance the performance, cost-effectiveness, or legal quality of *regulation* and formalities. "Deregulation" is a subset of regulatory reform.

#### Risk assessment

The task of identifying and exploring, preferably in quantified terms, the types, intensities and likelihood of the (normally undesired) consequences related to a risk. Risk assessment comprises hazard identification and estimation, exposure and vulnerability assessment and risk estimation.

#### Subordinate regulations

Subordinate regulations are regulations that can be approved by the head of government, by an individual Minister or by the Cabinet - that is, by an authority other than the parliament/congress. Note that many subordinate regulations are susceptible to disallowance by the parliament/congress. Subordinate regulations are also referred to as "secondary legislation" or "subordinate legislation".

#### Sunsetting

The automatic repeal of regulations a certain number of years after they have come into force.

#### Voluntary commitments

Commitments by firms to reach certain targets or behave in certain ways not mandated by legislation. May be agreed to in exchange for certain other government benefits (*e.g.* reduced frequency of regulatory inspections).