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Gender equality is a prerequisite for achieving inclusive and prosperous societies and economies, as well as a goal in its own right. Thailand has given increasing attention to the gender equality agenda in recent years and adopted the country’s first-ever Gender Equality Act in 2015. Of particular note, Thailand enshrined the concept of gender budgeting in the 2017 Constitution. Thailand’s commitment to gender budgeting can help redress gender inequalities through the tax and spend decisions of government. A Constitutional underpinning for gender budgeting can help sustain the implementation of this initiative over time and across political cycles.

Building on this recent commitment to pursue gender budgeting, the Government of Thailand has asked the OECD to assist in developing a Gender Budgeting Action Plan (hereafter referred as the “Action Plan”). The Action Plan is undertaken in parallel with an OECD Review of Budgetary Governance in Thailand. The concurrent approach ensures that the Action Plan builds on a solid understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of the Public Financial Management framework in Thailand. It also helps to identify ongoing reform efforts that could be helpful to implement the Action Plan.

This work is carried out in the context of the OECD’s Thailand Country Programme, signed in 2018. It aims to bring Thailand closer to the OECD by adopting OECD standards while supporting its domestic reform agenda. The contents of the Programme are aligned with Thailand’s 20-Year National Strategy (2018-2037) and the 12th National Economic and Social Development Plan (2017-2021), and support Thailand in its efforts to achieve more inclusive and sustainable development.

This Action Plan is grounded upon the international standards set by the 2015 OECD Recommendation on Gender Equality in Public Life and the subsequent framework for Designing and Implementing Gender Budgeting. Effective implementation of gender budgeting can be a challenge if the initiative is not well designed. Firstly, it requires a whole-of-government strategic framework, and in particular the identification of overarching gender equality goals. Secondly, it benefits from a range of implementation tools that build on existing elements of Thailand’s budgeting model. Thirdly, it takes a supportive enabling environment including broad availability of gender-disaggregated data, training and capacity development, structured engagement with civil society, and effective oversight by independent institutions.

The Action Plan uses this framework to assess the readiness of the Thai Government to implement gender budgeting, setting out the key challenges and opportunities that it faces. It then provides a roadmap for Thailand to roll out an incremental approach to gender budgeting in the short, medium and long term.
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN</td>
<td>Association of Southeast Asian Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEDAW</td>
<td>Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGEO</td>
<td>Chief Gender Equality Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSOs</td>
<td>Civil Society Organisations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DWF</td>
<td>Department for Women’s Affairs and Family Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>Gender and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td>Gender Focal Point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRB</td>
<td>Gender Responsive Budgeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRPB</td>
<td>Gender Responsive Participative Budgeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDGs</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGI</td>
<td>Social Institutions and Gender Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SorTorPor</td>
<td>Committee on Gender Equality Promotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WorLorPor</td>
<td>Committee on Consideration of Unfair Gender Discrimination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gender equality in Thailand has improved over recent decades. There has been notable progress in education enrolment and completion rates among women and a reduction in the proportion of women living in poverty. Compared to other South East Asian countries, Thailand ranks relatively high in terms of gender equality in several domains, particularly education and corporate leadership. However, persistent gender gaps remain in relation to women’s representation in political life, formal participation in the labour force and wages. In addition, gender inequalities tend to be exacerbated for women of rural, indigenous, migrant and/or ethnic, religious, and sexual minority backgrounds as well as women with disabilities. A key challenge to overcoming these inequalities are societal perceptions about the role of men and women in public and family life.

The Thai Government has expressed its commitment to overcoming gender inequalities through legal provisions. In 2007, the government passed the Protection of Victims of Domestic Violence Act and amended its criminal code to criminalise marital rape to combat gender-based violence. The Gender Equality Act, adopted in 2015, was the first legal instrument in Thailand to both define and prohibit gender discrimination. Since then, provisions in the 2017 Constitution also prohibit discrimination on the grounds of sex and affirm the principle of equality between men and women. The 2017 Constitution also set out a commitment to introduce gender budgeting. In response to the Constitutional requirement relating to gender budgeting, the Budget Bureau has asked spending ministries to indicate on their budget request forms whether individual budget requests have a gender impact.

This Action Plan, which was prepared by the OECD at the request of the Thai Government, recommends that Thailand leverage existing foundations across government to develop gender budgeting. One of these is the national gender equality strategy, in the form of the Women’s Development Strategy (2017-2021). This strategy sets out goals, objectives and targets in the area of gender equality. Another is the four gender equality committees, particularly the National Committee on the Policy and Strategy for the Advancement of Women (KorYorSor), chaired by the Prime Minister, and the Committee for Promoting the Improvement of the Status of Women (KorSorSor), which supports KorYorSor in implementation. The Department for Women’s Affairs and Family Development (DWF) will also be a key stakeholder, and can help support implementation with its Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook, which it plans to revise in 2020. Chief Gender Equality Officers (CGEOs) and Gender Focal Points, which exist across ministries to help promote gender equality, should also play an important role.

While these foundations are helpful, the Thai Government also faces a number of hurdles in further implementing gender budgeting. The Women’s Development Strategy has been developed under the framework of the 20-year National Strategy and linked Master Plans, which are further articulated in a five-year National Economic and Social Development Plan and guide Government planning efforts. However, targets in the Women’s Development Strategy and the National Economic and Social Development Plan could be more closely aligned so that the planning system better supports the implementation of the Women’s Development Strategy. The tools of implementation for gender budgeting are little developed, and there is limited understanding across government officials of what gender budgeting is and how it can be implemented. Stakeholder interviews found that most government officials are of the view that gender budgeting means implementing programmes for women. There is a lack of awareness that other government programmes can have a direct or indirect impact on gender equality. In addition, there are key
gaps in the availability of gender-disaggregated data, important for understanding gender needs and informing policy development.

In this context, the OECD proposes the following path forward for Thailand in relation to gender budgeting:

- **Strategic framework:** In the short-term, clear roles and responsibilities should be set out for institutional stakeholders involved in gender budgeting, including the Budget Bureau, DWF and line ministries. In particular the Budget Bureau should have responsibility for developing a clear direction for gender budgeting and setting out instructions for line ministries. The Budget Bureau should also set out the roles for the KorYorSor and KorSorSor Committees and DWF in delivering gender budgeting. In the medium term, gender equality targets and indicators set out in the next iterations of the National Economic and Social Development Plan and the Women’s Development Strategy should align more closely. Finally, in the longer term, the government may wish to codify specifics of gender budgeting in the organic budget law.

- **Tools of implementation:** In the short term, the Budget Bureau should further develop requirements for gender tagging of budget programmes, and use the information collected through this process to table a gender budget statement that accompanies the Budget Bill. In the medium term, ministries and their departments and agencies should integrate a gender dimension to performance setting. Specifically, they should be encouraged to set gender goals for those policies and programmes that have been identified through the aforementioned tagging exercise as having a direct or indirect impact on gender equality. In the longer term, ministries should be required to undertake gender impact assessments for these policies and programmes, and these assessments should accompany budget requests so that they can feed into resource allocation decisions as part of the budget process.

- **Enabling environment:** In the short term, DWF should update its Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook to align with the Budget Bureau’s new direction for gender budgeting. It should also provide training across government to ensure that line ministries have sufficient capacity to implement new gender budgeting requirements. As part of this, CGEOs and Gender Focal Points should be given core responsibilities in relation to the implementation of gender budgeting across their line ministries. The Government should also seek to update its National Gender Data Plan to ensure it is sufficient for the purposes of gender budgeting. The National Statistics Office will play a key role in helping plug existing data gaps.
The status of gender equality has improved in Thailand over the past 20 years. Major achievements have been made to enhance equity legislation and improve the livelihoods of women. Compared to other South East Asian countries, Thailand ranks relatively high in terms of gender equality in several domains, particularly education and corporate leadership. Nevertheless, challenges remain, especially in terms of women’s representation in political and public life, the gender pay gap, and violence against women. Of particular concern, too, are the continued disadvantages faced by women of rural, indigenous, migrant and/or ethnic, religious, and sexual minority backgrounds as well as women with disabilities.

Thailand has made significant progress in enshrining gender equality into its legal framework (see Box Box 1.1). Adopted in 2017, the Constitution prohibits discrimination on the grounds of sex and affirms the principle of equality between men and women (Section 27) (Government of Thailand, 2017[1]). Also significant, was the adoption of the Gender Equality Act in 2015, the first legal instrument in Thailand to both define and prohibit gender discrimination. The Act prohibits “unfair gender discrimination” which is defined as any discrimination, direct or indirect, that results from “the fact that the person is male or female or of a different appearance from his/her own sex by birth” (Section 3). The inclusiveness of this definition is important to note, as it covers discrimination against those whose gender identity is different from their sex assigned at birth.

**Box 1.1. Legal framework for gender equality in Thailand**

According to the Constitution of the Kingdom of Thailand 2017, Section 4 stated that human dignity, rights, liberties and equality of the people shall be protected. The Thai people shall enjoy equal protection under this Constitution.

Section 27 stated that all persons are equal before the law, and shall have rights and liberties and be protected equally under the law. Men and women shall enjoy equal rights. Unjust discrimination against a person on the grounds of differences in origin, race, language, sex, age, disability, physical or health condition, personal status, economic and social standing, religious belief, education, or political view that is not contrary to the provisions of the Constitution, or on any other grounds shall not be permitted.

Section 71, Paragraph 3 stated that the State should provide assistance to children, youth, women, the elderly, persons with disabilities, indigent persons and underprivileged persons to be able to have quality living, and shall protect such persons from violence or unfair treatment, as well as provide treatment, rehabilitation and remedies to such injured.

In the Gender Equality Act, B.E. 2558 (2015), Section 17 Paragraph 1 stated that prescribing policies, ordinances, rules, notifications, measures, projects or procedures for state agencies, private organisations or any person which appear to discriminate unfairly by gender shall be prohibited.

The Cabinet Resolution on 20 March 2555 B.E. (2012) allows male civil servants and government employees to have paternity leave and the right to receive salary during this leave, not exceeding 15 business days.

In the Labour Protection Act, B.E. 2541 (1998), Section 15 stipulates “An employer shall treat male and female employees equally in their employment, except where the employer is prevented by the
Over recent years, Thailand has made improvements to the livelihoods of women in two important ways. First, it has taken steps to increase educational enrolment and completion rates among women. Nowadays, girls enrol and complete primary and secondary education at more or less the same rates as boys. (The World Bank, n.d.[3]) Young women are also more likely to attend and finish university (The World Bank, n.d.[6]). Secondly, Thailand has made notable progress in reducing the number of women living in poverty. In 2015, 7% of all Thai women lived in poverty, down from 16% in 2010 and 41% in 2000 (National Statistics Office of Thailand, n.d.[5]). However, women of rural, indigenous, migrant, and/or ethnic and religious minority backgrounds remain disproportionately disadvantaged in Thai society. Women living in rural areas, for example, are more likely to be impoverished: 9% of them live in poverty compared to 5% of urban women (National Statistics Office of Thailand, n.d.[5]). In addition, women of such backgrounds often have less access to education, health care and justice (UN CEDAW, 2017[6]).

Progress has been limited in terms of women's representation in political and public life over the last two decades; it has not achieved 30% of women in leadership positions, the minimum target recommended by international standards.¹ Women’s share of seats in the House of Representatives has doubled since 2001. However, women still hold only 16.2% of seats, which they achieved following the 2019 general election cycle (IPU, 2019[7]). The 2019 outcome represented a very slight increase from the 2011 elections – whose results were the last to be upheld before 2019 – in which women won 15.8% of seats. In comparison, women’s representation, on average, increased by three percentage points across the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) between 2012 and 2019. As of now, Thailand ranks seventh in terms of women’s representation at parliament among the 10 ASEAN member states (see Figure 1.1). The Constitution does permit the use of temporary affirmative action measures to help level the playing electoral field between men and women (Section 27) and, in fact, directs political parties to consider “equality between men and women” in the preparation of candidate lists for legislative elections (Section 90). Nevertheless, the legal framework for elections does not mandate gender parity in candidate lists, nor does it provide any financial incentives to political parties in order to increase gender equality among candidates (International IDEA., 2019[8]).

¹ In 1990, the United Nations Economic and Social Council recommended that states should have a minimum of 30% of women in leadership positions (E/1990/15). The Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action reaffirmed this minimum target in 1995.
Figure 1.1. Percentage of women in parliament (2012 vs 2019)

Lower or single house parliaments

Source: (Inter-Parliamentary Union (IPU), 2019[9])

Note: Brunei Darussalam had no women in parliament in 2012.

The representation of women within the Thai Cabinet is also low. The current Cabinet, formed in July 2017, comprises 36 members and only three (8.3%) are women. Furthermore, women hold no ministerial positions — a setback from 2017 when 11.1% of ministers were women (IPU, 2019[9]). In comparison, the ASEAN average for women ministers is 10% (see Figure 1.2).

An area where gender equality in public life has advanced more is the civil service. As of January 2017, women composed 66% of Thailand’s civil service. The civil service, though, still has work to do before it achieves parity within decision-making positions, as women hold just 32% of such positions (The Women in Public Service Project, n.d.[10]). However, this is on par with OECD countries where, on average, women make up 53% of the civil service but hold only 32% of the senior management positions (OECD, 2017[11]). The over-representation of women in positions that are part-time and/or of lower job categories (e.g. secretarial and administrative) often contributes to this gap.
In the corporate sphere, Thailand has made progress towards achieving gender balance in leadership. For example, in 2016, women were among the principal owners of 64% of Thai firms, which is nearly double the world average (The World Bank, n.d.4). At the same time, women served in top managerial roles in 65% of companies. Despite the high level of female participation in leadership and ownership roles in Thai firms, women hold only 30% of all senior and middle management positions in Thailand (The World Bank, n.d.4).

While Thailand has made progress in women’s leadership in the private sector, women’s overall participation in the formal labour market remains an issue. Women’s labour force participation rates are still much lower than men’s. In fact, the gender participation gap has actually grown slightly in recent years: between 2005 and 2018, the percentage of women active in the formal labour force decreased from 65.7% to 60.3% (United Nations Statistics Division, n.d.12). One major factor underlying Thailand’s persistent gender participation gap is the unequal share of unpaid work. Women conduct 76% of all unpaid work in Thailand, performing an average of three hours of unpaid work per day (United Nations Statistics Division, n.d.13). Another issue of note regarding women’s labour force participation is the high rate of informal employment. In 2018, women in informal employment made up 55% of all working women (National Statistics Office of Thailand, 201814). As highlighted by CEDAW, informal workers are often “excluded from labour and social security protections, such as minimum wage protection, overtime compensation and maternity leave.” (UN CEDAW, 20176)

Despite the adoption of the Gender Equality Act (2015) and the establishment of a committee to monitor gender discrimination in employment (named the “WorLorPor Committee”), gender-based discrimination in the workplace remains prevalent in Thailand, including in recruitment and promotions (UN CEDAW, 20176). There is also a gender wage gap. In 2016, the average hourly earnings of women was 22% less than that of men (ILOSTAT, n.d.19). Access to paid maternity leave, though, does exist in Thailand.

---

2 The statistical information regarding firms comes from the World Bank’s Enterprise Surveys for which it targets formal (registered) companies with five or more employees.
Thailand’s labour law entitles women employees to up to 98 days of maternity leave, 45 of which the employer must pay at a rate equal to their normal daily wages (The Government of Thailand, 2019). The social security systems also covers at least 45 days of maternity leave at a rate of 50% of earnings (Laura Addati, 2014). With respect to paternity leave, the cabinet resolution on 20 March 2555 B.E. (2012) allow male civil servants and government employees to have paternity leave and have the right to receive salary during leave not exceeding 15 business days. However, there are no legal entitlements for paternity leave, paid or unpaid, for men employed in the private sector, which may contribute to discriminatory practices in recruitment and promotions as well as the gender pay gap.

Thailand has made efforts to combat gender-based violence over the past decade. In 2007, the government passed the Domestic Violence Act, Victim Protection Act, B.E. 2550 (2007), and amended its criminal code to criminalise marital rape. Nevertheless, according to (Chuemchit et al., 2018), gender-based violence remains a problem across the country, particularly domestic violence, which many still view as a private or familial issue. Recent studies suggest that around 1 in 6 Thai women who are married or cohabitating have experienced domestic violence in their lifetime, which includes psychological, physical, and/or sexual violence. Furthermore, incidents of domestic violence often go unreported because victims feel embarrassed and ashamed and/or are unaware that sources of support exist (Chuemchit et al., 2018).

Despite the fact that women and men have the same rights to sue and be sued and that their testimonies hold equal evidentiary weight in all courts, access to justice and remedial action, in general, remains a concern for women in Thailand. According CEDAW, a key barrier to equitable access is the “lack of gender sensitivity in the justice system, including negative attitudes of law enforcement officials towards women denouncing violations of their rights” which leads “to frequent failures to register and investigate complaints” (UN CEDAW, 2017). CEDAW also notes that social and cultural stigma play an important role in deterring women from registering complaints. This especially makes women with disabilities, lesbian, bisexual and transgender women, migrant women, rural women, indigenous women, and women from ethnic and religious minority groups more vulnerable to violence and social marginalisation.

A crosscutting obstacle to the achievement of gender equality in Thailand is the persistence of discriminatory laws, social norms and practices (OECD, 2019). According to the Social Institutions and Gender Index (SIGI), Thailand has medium levels of discrimination against women and girls in these areas. The SIGI results highlight that the most acute challenges remain in public life and the family sphere. As regards political and public life, more than half of the population (55%) believes that men make better political leaders than women (World Values Survey, 2014). Such attitudes are also perpetuated by the representation of women in media. A study conducted in 2007, for example, found that most Thai comedy programmes depicted women as inferior to men, especially in terms of decision-making skills (UN CEDAW, 2017).

As Thailand moves forward, there remains much to be optimistic about in terms of gender equality. The provisions on gender equality in the 2017 Constitution and the Gender Equality Act (2015) provide important foundations for Thailand to achieve further progress.

---

3 Adopted in April 2019, the Labour Protection Act (No 7) amended the original labour law, known as the Labour Protection Act B.E. 2541. This included amending Section 41 to increase the maximum amount of maternity leave from 90 to 98 days.
The previous chapter has highlighted that gender inequality is an important and persistent issue for Thailand, and the costs of inequalities are often borne at both social and economic levels. Governments increasingly realise that the budget process is a powerful tool for delivering on national policy goals. Given the “power of the purse”, dealing with horizontal policy objectives such as gender equality as part of the budget process offers considerable opportunity to influence government-wide policy-making and deliver outcomes in a way that might not otherwise be feasible.

In this context, gender budgeting is increasingly introduced as an initiative to ensure that gender equality considerations are taken systematically into account in tax and spending decisions. Gender budgeting is not a separate budget for policies for women. Instead, gender budgeting aims to incorporate gender equality concerns in the decision making process by:

- Raising awareness that many budget proposals have implications for gender equality (whether or not their primary objective is gender-related).
- Encouraging the tabling of gender-sensitive budget proposals.
- Using the budget process to ensure that there is adequate resource allocation for pursuing national gender equality goals.

The OECD publication “Designing and Implementing Gender Budgeting” sets out a framework for an effective and enduring gender budgeting practice. This includes the following elements:

1. **Strategic framework**: the political commitment and governance arrangements for gender budgeting, including an equalsities strategy, the legal framework and institutional roles and responsibilities.

2. **Tools of implementation**: the tools that are used to apply a “gender lens” at different stages of the budget process – ex ante, concurrent and ex post.

3. **Enabling environment**: the supportive elements which help ensure a more effective gender budgeting practice, including gender disaggregated data, capacity building and parliamentary oversight (OECD, 2019[21]).

This chapter presents information on the current state of play concerning gender budgeting in Thailand, considering each of these elements.

### Strategic framework

The strategic framework for gender budgeting determines why it exists, what it aims to achieve and how it is implemented. In assessing the strategic framework for gender budgeting in Thailand, this section looks at political commitments in relation to gender equality, and the extent to which gender budgeting is
underpinned by political commitment and legal foundations, strong institutional arrangements and a clear national strategy.

**Political commitments and legal foundations**

International agreements on gender equality and women’s empowerment have been important drivers of the domestic gender equality policy. Thailand is party to the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), and has integrated the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) into its national women’s development strategies.

A further element of a strong governance framework are legal provisions for gender budgeting. As a response to the recommendations of CEDAW, the Gender Equality Act in 2015 was enacted in Thailand. The implementation of this Act is entrusted with the Ministry of Social Development and Human Security. The objectives of the Act are to prevent and eliminate unfair gender discrimination and provide protection for victims subjected to unfair gender discriminatory practices. It does not provide references to policy implementation tools such as gender budgeting. Instead, gender budgeting is rooted in the 2017 Constitution (see Box 2.1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 2.1. Gender budgeting in Thailand's 2017 Constitution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Constitution of Thailand is the supreme law of the State. The constitution has been amended some 21 times since it was first enacted in 1932. The current Constitution came into force in April 2017 and includes a provision in relation to gender budgeting in Section 71:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Section 71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The State should strengthen the family unit which is an important basic element of society, provide an appropriate accommodation, promote and develop the enhancement of health in order to enable people to have good health and strong mind, as well as promote and develop excellence in sports and to maximise the benefit for the people.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The State should promote and develop human resources to be good citizens with higher quality and abilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The State should provide assistance to children, youth, women, the elderly, persons with disabilities, indigent persons and underprivileged persons to be able to have a quality living, and shall protect such persons from violence or unfair treatment, as well as provide treatment, rehabilitation and remedies to such injured persons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In allocating the budget, the State shall take into account the different necessities and needs with respect to genders, ages and conditions of persons to ensure fairness.&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: (Government of Thailand, 2017)*

**Institutional arrangements**

A strong institutional infrastructure with clear roles and responsibilities for key actors underpins the operationalisation of gender budgeting. At the national level, there are a number of Thai institutions providing a useful foundation for any approach to gender budgeting.

The Budget Bureau will lead on gender budgeting efforts in Thailand. Until recently, it has not played a prominent role in the gender equality agenda. Since the Constitutional requirement on gender budgeting
was introduced, the Budget Bureau is now preparing to take up an increasing role to implement gender budgeting and has started liaising with DWF on the matter.

DWF is the main institution responsible for gender equality promotion and the women’s development agenda. In 2002, it moved from the Office of the Prime Minister, and now sits under the Ministry for Social Development and Human Security. Although the move resulted in a less prominence for gender equality in the political agenda, it has brought about an expansion of resources for the Department. The focus has also expanded from women to include other vulnerable groups.

The mandate of DWF is to formulate policies for women’s development, and implement international commitments such as CEDAW, the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action and the SDGs. The Department also promotes gender equality across the government, ensures coordination and supports capacity building. DWF has been active in developing a gender budgeting handbook and has conducted pilot case studies at the local level.

As highlighted in the OECD’s Review of Budgetary Governance in Thailand (2020), a unique feature of Thailand’s governance structure is that high-level decision-making is the responsibility of intergovernmental committees. These committees exist across the government and are entrusted with advancing policies in a variety of sectors. This is also the case for gender equality policy. There are four main committees in charge of the gender equality agenda:

**The National Committee on the Policy and Strategy for the Advancement of Women (KorYorSor):** Established by the 2008 Regulation of the Office of the Prime Minister for the Promotion and Coordination of Women’s Affairs at the National Level, KorYorSor serves as the main national mechanism to advance gender equality policy. The Committee is chaired by the Prime Minister or the designated Deputy Prime Minister. It is made up of representatives of line ministries and civil society organisations, with the Director-General of DWA serving as the secretary of the Committee. Among other functions, its mandate includes: (1) proposing policies, strategies, and a national action plan on the advancement of women to the Cabinet and (2) submitting recommendations on the impact of legislation, policies, national plans and programmes on women’s empowerment and gender equality to the Cabinet or the Prime Minister.

**The Committee for Promoting the Improvement of the Status of Women (KorSorSor):** This is a sub-committee designated to support KorYorSor. Among other functions, its mandate includes: (1) implementing policies, strategies, and national plans assigned by the National Committee, (2) promoting the systematic collection of gender disaggregated statistics, and (3) organising provincial and national women’s assembly meetings.

**The Gender Equality Promotion Committee (SorTorPor):** This is established by the Gender Equality Act and chaired by the Prime Minister. The Committee is made up of representatives of line ministries and civil society organisations. It is mandated, among other things, to establish policies, measures and action plans for the promotion of gender equality in all public and private entities in the central, regional and local areas and to provide the Cabinet with policy recommendations and proposals for the improvement of laws, rules and regulations so as to be in accordance with the objectives of the Gender Equality Act (Government of Thailand, 2015[22]). DWF acts as the Secretariat to this Committee.

**The Committee on Consideration of Unfair Gender Discrimination (WorLorPor):** receives, and decides on what action to take in respect of, the complaints on unfair gender discrimination as prescribed by the law – a function that overlaps with the role of the Ombudsman. It can also submit complaints to the Ombudsman. DWF acts as the Secretariat to this Committee.
**National gender equality strategy**

A gender equality strategy provides an effective governance framework through helping steer gender budgeting towards gender equality objectives. Effective gender budgeting is responsive to the national gender equality objectives set out in a strategy and helps direct tax policy and resources to achieve these objectives (OECD, 2019[23]).

As highlighted in the OECD’s Review of Budgetary Governance in Thailand (2020), Thailand has a well-developed culture of long term strategic planning. The 20-year National Strategy (2018-2037) and the 5-year National Economic and Social Development Plan (2017-2021) are the most important policies to gauge performance and steer budget allocations. In response to the National Strategy, Thailand has developed a set of 20 year Master Plans on a broad range of issues. To support this long and medium term vision, every ministry and agency has developed their own 4 year Plans. Accordingly, DWF has spearheaded the development of the Women’s Development Strategy (2017 – 2021). It is the main planning instrument for Thailand’s gender equality policy. It identifies goals, objectives and targets in the areas of equality, the elimination of discrimination, quality of life, security and safety, and the creation of a modern nation (see Box 2.2). Gender equality issues are also covered in the 20-year Master Plans in relation to social power, and equality and social security. However, targets in the Women’s Development Strategy and the National Economic and Social Development Plan could be more closely aligned so that the planning system better supports the implementation of the Women’s Development Strategy (see Chapter 3).
Box 2.2. Women’s Development Strategy (2017-2021)

Vision:
"To create an equal society without discrimination. Women have a good quality of life, security and safety, and fully participate in creating a modern nation".

Goals
1. Equal society - Thai society is an equal society economic, social, and political terms. Thai people have good attitudes towards gender equality. Women have equal opportunities to men to participate in society to their full potential, and with dignity.
2. No discrimination – Thai people understand and respect human dignity, rights, liberties and equality. Thai people have a fair attitude. Men and Women enjoy equal rights and are treated equally.
3. Good quality of life – Women are physically and mentally healthy and have good well-being in all areas. Women attain sufficient education for secure and stable careers. Women can access basic quality public services.
4. Security and safety - Thai society is a peaceful society. Women live in families, communities and societies that are warm, secure, safe, and free from violence.
5. Participation in creating a modern nation - Women are knowledgeable and able to keep up with the world. Women can use technology to access information. Women play an important role in developing the country.

Source: (Government of Thailand, 2017[24])

Tools of implementation

Gender budgeting tools can be used to systematically embed gender considerations within the overall context of the planning and budget process. This section looks at the gender budgeting tools currently used by the Government of Thailand in order to bring a “gender lens” to the budget process. At present there are two main tools of gender budgeting at the national level; a gender budgeting handbook developed by DWF in 2015 and, more recently, the introduction of gender budget requirements relating to budget requests.

Gender budgeting handbook

DWF developed a “Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook for Government Agencies” in 2015. The Handbook includes four main sections:

1. Basic information about gender-responsive budgeting
2. Steps for implementing gender-responsive budgeting in government agencies
3. Fun facts
4. Notes for implementation of gender-responsive budgeting
The Handbook was developed with a view to pilot the implementation of gender budgeting in two agencies, namely DWF and the Office of the Education Council, and to examine methods and approaches for implementing gender budgeting across the Government. The pilot projects were expected to be undertaken for the fiscal year 2016, and, in addition to dissemination of the handbook, were supported through gender budgeting workshops, consultations, discussions with agency staff (including high-ranking executives) and interviews with departmental heads and managers.

As part of the pilot, DWF implemented two gender budgeting projects (Women’s Participation in the National Development Project and the Women’s and LGBT Rights Protection Project). The Office of Education implemented three gender budgeting projects (the National Education Plan project, the Study of Public-Private Cooperation Models for Reducing Educational Inequality project and the Policy Development for Human Capital in the 21st Century project). In line with the guidelines in the Handbook, these projects (1) incorporated gender-aware budget statements, (2) benefitted from the advice of gender-sensitive experts, and (3) used gender-disaggregated data to assess gender issues.

Despite these pilot initiatives, DWF has faced difficulties in extending the practice across other public agencies. As such, there is little awareness of the Handbook’s existence across government. The Handbook is expected to be updated by DWF in 2020 and this provides an opportunity to revitalise its contents so that it aligns with, and supports, the “next generation” of gender budgeting in Thailand.

**Gender budget requirements relating to budget requests**

In response to the Constitutional requirement in relation to gender budgeting, starting from fiscal year 2020, the Budget Bureau is asking spending ministries to consider and supply information on the gender impact of their budget requests (for both new proposals and revisions to baseline budget items).

Specifically, the budget request instructions require the budget receiving units to take gender equality into account by considering the different needs and benefits of women, men, the elderly and various groups of stakeholders and the distribution of resources to achieve equality in society. Budget receiving units must present project documents containing information such as project rationale, beneficiaries, stakeholders, outputs, outcomes, and impacts (including gender impacts).

However, line ministries do not have a well-established methodology to assess the gender impact of their budget proposals and they tend to adhere to the idea that their requests are generally “gender neutral”.

**Gender budgeting pilots at the local government level in Thailand**

While gender budgeting is still relatively new at the national level, there have been a number of pilot initiatives at the local government level in Thailand. These provide useful foundations and experience for the national level to draw on (see Box 2.3). For example, training modules that have been developed for gender budgeting at the local level may be repurposed for stakeholders at the national level.
Box 2.3. Gender budgeting in Thailand at the local level

The King Prajadhipok’s Institute (KPI), in cooperation with UNDP, has been active in developing training modules for local administrations in Gender Responsive Participatory Budgeting (GRPB). Through workshops and pilot projects in several local governments, this process has allowed stakeholders to understand budgeting, as well as GRPB; to become aware of the benefit of GRPB in developing the community in a more equal way; to participate in the budgeting planning, through the formulation of proposals, and to have local administrations prepared to engage.

After undertaking two pilot projects in the Rim Sub-district Local Administrative Organization (in Nan province) and Krabi Provincial Local Administrative Organisation, a workshop syllabus was produced and the training has since been extended to several others. Overall, about 500 people are trained per year in GRPB.

The training modules are usually two days long and are addressed to about 30-40 participants including executives, council members, local administrative officers, civil society actors and village heads. The format generally consists of:

- a) lectures given to enable trainees to understand more of the topics of local administrative budgeting, participation, gender mainstreaming, as well as gender responsive budgeting; and
- b) hands-on experience in which participants analyse their local government’s budget and prepare project proposals they think the community would be better off with and which could help improve gender equality.

The trainers consult with the local administrations beforehand in order to prepare tailor-made databases and relevant pieces of information for the participants. These initiatives have not been limited to capacity building and developing a knowledge base. There are examples of GRPB influencing local government budget allocations.

*Source: Authors, 2019*

---

**Enabling environment**

Countries implementing gender budgeting can strengthen the practice through ensuring that it has necessary supports. This section looks at the extent to which the Thai Government has put in place support, such as; ensuring the availability of gender disaggregated data, embedding gender champions across government, ensuring there is strong parliamentary oversight and encouraging civil society engagement.

**Availability of gender disaggregated data**

Gender is one of the 21 areas where data is required according to the Statistical Act in Thailand. The Thai Government collects basic gender-disaggregated information using the census (which has a participation rate of around 80%) and supplementary surveys, such as the Labour Force Survey, the Income Expenditure Survey, the Multiple Indicators Cluster Survey and the Elderly Survey.

This data is used to inform key national publications such as Gender Development Similarities and Differences 2008, 2011 Gender Perspectives Trend to Equality Development, and Gender Perspective with Local Area Focus 2014. These publications are updated every three years.

There is also a national database, called “big data”, which has been developed by the Bureau of Registration Administration under the Department of Provincial Administration, and includes gender-
disaggregated data. It allows users to better understand how gender equality intersects with other characteristics, such as disability. The Rights and Liberties Protection Department have also collected statistics on staff by gender at each level of government since the 1960s.

Each sector has a statistical plan, led by an assigned agency, and gender is no different. The Gender Statistics Action Plan sets out the list of statistical requirements relating to gender over a five-year period. The implementation of the Gender Statistics Action Plan is overseen by a committee chaired by the Permanent Secretary of the Ministry of Social Security, and an implementation report sets out annual progress.

**Gender champions across government**

Gender focal points across the government can help champion gender mainstreaming in planning and budgets, and can be a useful source of advice for colleagues. A strong aspect of the institutional infrastructure in Thailand are Chief Gender Equality Officers (CGEOs). Since 2001, the Thai Government put in place a requirement for all ministries to appoint a CGEO to act as a gender focal point and help promote gender equality within their respective departments and agencies. These Officers look at gender issues in their ministry, track measures such as the proportion of male/female employees, and ensure services provided to the public take into account gender equality. Since the adoption of the Gender Equality Act (2015), all permanent secretaries serve as CGEOs.

Gender Focal Points are also in place. This role is usually given to staff located under the human resources department. Given the location of these posts, until now the focus of efforts has largely focussed on analysis of the staff split by gender. However, the Gender Focal Points also technically have responsibility for implementing the Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook in each ministry. They are also entrusted to formulate the department/agency Five Year Master Plan on Gender Equality Promotion as part of the Women’s Development Plan.

**Training and capacity development**

Training and capacity development can be crucial factors in order to increase openness towards gender-responsive policies throughout the public sector. In Thailand, DWF train line ministries on how to integrate a gender dimension to project design. As part of this, the Department encourage agencies to specify who each policy is being targeted at. DWF also train line ministries in how to set gender objectives for their policies. So far, training has been focussed towards the Gender Focal Points. Recent training has also been given on strategies for women’s development, gender budgeting, domestic violence, and sexual harassment. This has aimed to drive gender-sensitive policy development at the agency level.

During the past ten years, as mentioned in Box 2.3, KPI has also invested in developing a gender budgeting training program for local governments, aiming to create greater awareness on both gender issues and participatory democracy mechanisms. Local governments volunteer to join the program and use gender budgeting.

**Engagement with civil society**

Citizens and civil society organisations involved in gender advocacy often have the most direct experience and insights into the potential impacts of budget decisions on individuals and vulnerable groups. There is potential for the quality of policy-making to be improved by including these voices within the policy-development and budget cycles.

There are a number of civil society organisations (CSOs) in Thailand which campaign on issues of gender equality. For example, the Women and Constitution Network is a league of 35 women’s organisations, advocating for legal reforms to address legal inequities in the treatment of women. There are some
examples of representatives from CSOs, and other stakeholders external to government, serving on
government committees relating to gender equality. At the national level, a number of gender equality
experts sit on national committees such as KorYorSor and SorTorPor. There are also times when ministries
and departments liaise with CSOs. For example, the Ministry of Labour works in close collaboration with
labour unions women’s representatives. There is also engagement between government and CSOs at the
subnational level through Women’s Development Committees.

Thailand also has a National Women’s Assembly that includes representatives from government agencies
and civil society. Stakeholders meet annually to discuss key issues relevant to gender equality. Provincial
offices for social development and women’s security select representatives to participate in the Assembly,
and seek to ensure a balance of representation from across different sectors. In addition, there is a National
Human Rights Commission, an independent body that proposes policies and recommendations to the
government for the purpose of promoting and protecting human rights, and investigates complaints on
such issues. The Constitution states that women must account for one third of the Commission’s members.
The Commission has a CSO network from which it can receive complaints. It collaborates with this network
to exchange information, and communicates with the public. The Commission also appointed a Sub-
Committee on the Promotion of Opportunity and Gender Equality.

Another key institution that the government engages with in the area of gender equality is the KPI. Alongside the participatory budgeting aspect, the KPI’s focus is to make local and national budgets more transparent and to be able to quantify the amount of expenditure dedicated to gender equality policies. In addition to the training at the local government level mentioned in Box 2.3, the KPI sometimes assists with training across the public sector, including parliament.

**Independent oversight**

Accountability institutions, such as the parliament and the supreme audit institution, are responsible for
holding the government to account publicly for its policies and their implementation. Ideally, these
institutions would seek to hold the government to account for their actions on gender budgeting too.

The Parliament’s interest in gender budgeting was one of the things that led to the Thai Government
introducing the gender budgeting provision in the 2017 Constitution. The Senate asked for KPI to undertake
research into women in politics and gender budgeting. This research then fed into the process of drafting
gender budgeting into the Constitution.
This chapter presents information on key challenges and opportunities for gender budgeting in Thailand. It considers the challenges and opportunities across each of the three key dimensions to an effective approach to gender budgeting; the strategic framework, tools of implementation and an enabling environment.

**Strategic framework**

Thailand’s strategic framework provides a solid infrastructure to roll out gender budgeting. The recent Constitutional commitment for gender budgeting, coupled with Thailand’s adherence to international conventions (e.g., CEDAW) have been, and will continue to be, important drivers of domestic reforms. The role of DWF and the establishment of co-ordination committees for the gender policies marked important steps to strengthen the governance of Thailand’s gender equality agenda. This section looks at the challenges relating to the existing strategic framework, as well as opportunities to strengthen it in order to mobilise gender budgeting.

**National gender equality strategy**

The Women’s Development Strategy (2017-2021) provides an important framework for strategic planning in relation to national gender equality policy. It identifies a set of outcome-oriented goals, objectives and targets and indicators. Examples of targets include:

> "Thai people have a good attitude and respect equality between women and men. Positive attitudes and behaviours towards gender equality are established within families, organisations, community, and society. Thai people understand and respect human dignity, rights, liberties and equality. Men and Women enjoy equal rights and are treated equally." (Government of Thailand, 2017[24])

However, a key challenge in relation to the targets set out in the Women’s Development Strategy is that they are not supported by indicator baselines to gauge progress over time. The absence of such baselines means that it is difficult to track the successful implementation of the Women’s Development Strategy.

In addition, there is scope for greater alignment between the targets set out in the Women’s Development Strategy and those articulated in the five-year National Economic and Social Development Plan. The National Economic and Social Development Plan guides Government planning and budgeting efforts and closer alignment would allow the planning and budgeting system to better support the implementation of the Women’s Development Strategy.
Furthermore, references to gender budgeting in the Women’s Development Strategy at the moment refer to the initiative as an indicator. This marginalises gender budgeting and its potential to act as a central tool to help deliver the Strategy.

During the stakeholder meetings with the OECD, DWF noted its intention to revise the Women’s Development Strategy. The five-year National Economic and Social Development Plan will also be revised in coming years. This provides an opportunity to strengthen alignment between the targets in these plans and also to outline the vision for gender budgeting as a tool to help deliver on these targets.

**Institutional arrangements**

Experience from OECD countries shows that close co-ordination between gender equality experts (in Thailand’s context, DWF) and budget officials (the Thai Budget Bureau) underpins an effective approach to gender budgeting. This collaboration was not observed in relation to implementation of DWF’s Gender Budgeting Handbook from 2015. However, the Budget Bureau has a commitment to taking forward gender budgeting since it has been included in the 2017 Constitution and this should underpin a more collaborative working relationship with DWF going forward.

Inter-governmental committees can provide a useful platform to coordinate the implementation of initiatives such as gender budgeting. As noted in Chapter 2, there are four committees in charge of coordinating and steering the Thai Government’s gender equality agenda (i.e., KorYorSor, KorSorSor, SorTorPor, and WorLorPor). It would be useful to look at how the committee structures or mandates could evolve so that there is clearer separation of their roles. In addition, committee structures should facilitate coordination on the introduction of gender budgeting in Thailand.

**Tools of implementation**

Although some gender budgeting requirements were introduced for the 2020 Budget, these are still in the early phases of implementation and are limited in their nature. More advanced approaches to gender budgeting benefit from the incorporation of a gender perspective at all of the different stages of the budget cycle (see Figure 3.1 for examples of tools that can be applied at different stages of the budget cycle). In considering what additional tools Thailand could use to implement gender budgeting, it is worth noting that gender budgeting initiatives are being pursued in various forms across OECD countries and there is no “standard approach”. Countries should select an approach that builds on existing elements of their budgeting model and helps ensure application of gender budgeting across the different stages of the budget cycle.
This section identifies additional tools of gender budgeting particularly relevant for Thailand given the nature of the Thai budget process, and ongoing reforms.

**Gender perspective in performance setting**

One of the key areas where there are ongoing reforms in Thailand is performance budgeting. At present, there is scarce adoption of gender performance indicators in the performance framework, and most goals and indicators are focused on direct outputs of the budget programs. In this type of framework, it is rare for programme officers to consider the overall impacts that their policies might have on the economy and society. However, as noted in the OECD Review of Budgetary Governance in Thailand (2020), there are ongoing reforms towards a more outcome-oriented performance measurement culture in Thailand. This will help create a cultural shift to support the identification of gender objectives for different budget programmes and provides a useful entry point for a fresh approach to gender budgeting.

The application of a gender lens to performance budgeting is one of the key tools of gender budgeting implemented across OECD countries (see Box 3.2).
Box 3.1. Implementation of a gender perspective in performance setting across the OECD

Integrating a gender perspective in performance setting can be a useful tool for gender responsive policymaking. On the one hand, it encourages policy makers to think about what they are trying to achieve in relation to gender and what programmes and funding they need in order to achieve it. On the other hand, it ensures that information is collected on the extent to which policies and programmes are achieving outcomes in relation to gender equality. More than half of OECD countries that engage in gender budgeting apply a gender perspective in performance setting.

An example is provided by Austria. Austria’s distinctive system of gender budgeting is well integrated within the performance budgeting framework. According to the Federal Budget Law 2013, the outcome objectives specified for each Budget Chapter must include at least one objective related to gender equality; and in turn, each of the “global budgets” and “detailed budgets” must include at least one gender-related output target. In this way, each line ministry is obliged to consider how its activities relate to gender equality, and to design objectives and indicators to promote gender equality in the context of the budget.

Source: (OECD, 2019[21])

Gender impact assessment of policies

Impact assessment is institutionalised for infrastructure projects in the Thai decision making process. This provides a good starting point for impact assessment of policies to be rolled out more systematically, which is required for all primary laws in accordance with the 2017 Constitution of Thailand and the implementing Act on the Rules for the Drafting of Legislation and the Evaluation of the Law (2019). However, as noted in the OECD Regulatory Reform Review of Thailand (2020), impact assessments should be extended to subordinate regulations and include a greater focus on distributional impacts. Ideally, these distributional impacts should consider – among other factors – the gender impact of new policy proposals. This will allow gender considerations to be “mainstreamed” in the policy development process.

The lack of a gender mainstreaming culture throughout ministerial departments at present means that it is often difficult for policy managers to identify how their policies might impact men and women differently, especially in fields which are perceived far from the social sphere, such as energy, infrastructure, and the environment.

Gender impact assessments are also one of the key tools of gender budgeting implemented across OECD countries (see Box 3.2).
Box 3.2. Implementation of gender impact assessments across the OECD

Ex ante gender impact assessments are a key tool of gender budgeting, and can be undertaken for new tax and spend proposals included in the budget. Two thirds of OECD countries that implement gender budgeting conduct assessments on all major and selected policies.

 Undertaking this type of assessment during the policy-making phase can help inform policy design and changes can be made to ensure the final proposal is more gender-equal. At times, assessments are at risk of being part of the bureaucratic process - becoming a ‘tick box’ exercise. To address this, some governments have worked to make sure the correct incentives are in place ensuring assessments are well thought-through and of high-quality.

Canada’s ex ante gender impact assessment tool, GBA+, serves as one example which illustrates this. The Government of Canada has been analysing the gender-specific policy impacts on women and men before making decisions on policies, legislation, and programmes since 1995. Gender-based analysis plus (GBA+) is the analytical tool that is used by departments and agencies for assessing the gender-specific impacts of policies, legislation, and programs on women and men. When integrated into the policy development process, gender-based analysis allows decision makers to consider gender differences. The “plus” in GBA+ acknowledges that GBA goes beyond biological (sex) and sociocultural (gender) differences. Implementing gender-based analysis can help integrate social, life, economic, and gender differences into policy development and help the federal government achieve its obligations and commitments to gender equality. Since 2017, the Minister of Finance has set out in the annual budget circular that GBA+ assessments must be presented alongside new budget proposals. This has helped ensure that assessments are carefully reviewed and of sufficient quality – disincentivising public managers to treat this as a bureaucratic ‘box ticking’ exercise. In addition, the Department of Finance has taken further measures in recent years to drive improvement in the quality of GBA+ assessments being put forward with budget proposals. This includes highlighting examples of GBA+ undertaken for Budget 2018 where there is “room for improvement” and publically committing to publishing GBA+ of all budget items starting from Budget 2019.

Source: (OECD, 2019[21])

While these tools are the most obvious for Thailand to progress, based on their existing budgeting system, they will likely need to be complemented with others to ensure a more holistic approach across the different phases of the budget cycle.

Enabling environment

Availability of gender-disaggregated data

Thailand has a well-established National Statistical Program and strategy. In addition, there is sufficient data available to assess typical gender gaps across different sectors, and broken down by province. There is also a National Gender Data Plan.

However, some gender-relevant statistics are outdated, are kept in government offices for internal use only or are not collected. For example, there is a lack of information on important metrics such as pay equality. These data gaps impede wider public interest and awareness of gender inequality issues. In addition, stakeholders raised some concerns that there are sometimes data quality issues, with different sources of information providing different statistics and limited analysis of the statistics available. A further challenge stakeholders mentioned is the limited data on how gender intersects with other equality characteristics, for
example, female sex workers. These women can suffer from particularly acute inequalities, and a greater understanding of these can inform policy development that can help address these.

Training and capacity development

A key challenge in implementing gender budgeting is providing departments with relevant guidelines, training and support so that executives and officers become aware of the gender dimension of their programs, even when these programs are not targeted towards women.

At present, most government officers are of the view that gender budgeting means implementing programmes for women and that the budget allocations that should be tagged for gender are limited to DWF programmes. There is a lack of awareness that other government programmes can have a direct or indirect effect on gender equality and little notice of gender mainstreaming practices within the central administration. For example, there is a lack of awareness that other government programmes, such as skills development, can have a direct or indirect effect on gender equality. There will need to be significant capacity development across the Thai administration in this regard.

In implementing gender budgeting, the Budget Bureau and ministerial departments will need clear guidance on how to assess the impact of government programmes on gender equality as part of the budget process. Already, several department officers indicated that they would like to see guidelines for completing the gender-relevant sections of the budget preparation forms more fully explained in the next update of the GRB Handbook being produced by DWF.

Gender champions across government

In practice, CGEOs within line agencies have mostly concentrated their efforts on overseeing human resources (HR) issues and gender equality questions within the workplace. Although this work is important, most government staff and agencies still have limited understanding about gender disparities in the economy and society and the potential impacts of policies on different groups of women and men. Given the senior management roles held by the CGEOs, they can provide a valuable source of advocacy and accountability to champion gender-sensitive policy decisions within their sphere of influence going forward. They can also play an important role in ensuring the implementation of gender budgeting requirements across their ministries.

Civil society engagement

Although there is a strong body of gender equality CSO’s in Thailand, civil society engagement at national level is sporadic. There is also concern among CSOs that interacting with government is a fruitless task.

There are lessons to be learnt from civil society engagement, where there are mechanisms for strengthening public participation being implemented at the local level. For example, as highlighted earlier in Box 2.3, some villagers in Thailand are helping formulate policy at community and town hall meetings, as well as at deliberative forums.

Independent oversight

There is not yet formal engagement by the parliamentarians on gender budgeting. However, given parliament’s strong interest in gender budgeting, this will hopefully change going forward as the Thai Government publishes information that will enable the parliament to hold it account for its actions in this area.
4 Moving forward: a Gender Budgeting Action Plan for Thailand

The previous section has highlighted where the strengths and challenges lie in terms of building an approach to gender budgeting that is effective and sustainable. Given these insights, this section presents a path forward for gender budgeting in Thailand in the form of a Gender Budgeting Action Plan. This Action Plan will help inform a more comprehensive approach to gender budgeting being implemented by the Thai Government. The Plan aims to provide a vision so that the budget process can be used as a lever to help achieve progress towards greater gender equality. Specifically, it sets out to identify:

- **Strategic framework**: How to create strong governance arrangements for gender budgeting in Thailand.
- **Tools of implementation**: The tools of gender budgeting that are suitable in the context of the existing Thai budget process.
- **Enabling environment**: What supports are needed in order to ensure that gender budgeting functions in an effective and impactful way in Thailand.

**Strategic framework**

The Constitutional commitment to gender budgeting provides a solid foundation from which Thailand can build strong governance arrangements for gender budgeting. This section sets out next steps in terms of; institutional arrangements, development of the national gender equality strategy, and political commitments and legal foundations.

It is suggested that Thailand adopts a staged approach to gender budgeting that has the following three layers:

- **Short term**: Set up strong institutional arrangements for gender budgeting.
- **Medium term**: Align gender equality targets and indicators across national strategies and plans.
- **Long term**: Codify specifics of gender budgeting in the organic budget law.

More detail on each of these is provided in the following paragraphs.

**Short term: set up strong institutional arrangements**

In order to support Thailand’s Constitutional commitment to gender budgeting, another important step is to set up strong institutional arrangements that are capable of implementation. The Budget Bureau, DWF and line ministries should each have clear roles and responsibilities, and a strong working relationship. Specifically:

- **The Budget Bureau** should lead gender budgeting efforts in the Thai Government. As part of this, it should communicate a vision, and provide instructions and templates for line ministries and agencies in order to implement gender budgeting. These should be provided in the annual budget.
circular, which instructs departments on how to complete their budget submissions for the forthcoming fiscal year. The Budget Bureau should work in close liaison with DWF in producing guidance for line ministries and agencies.

- **DWF** should support the roll out of gender mainstreaming, including gender budgeting, across the government by providing tools, expertise and capacity building. DWF will work closely with the Budget Bureau to understand what tools, expertise and capacity building are needed to support the implementation of gender budgeting.

- **Line ministries and agencies** should implement requirements for gender budgeting in line with guidelines from the Budget Bureau and DWF. For example, line ministries should supply information about the gender impacts of budget proposals for the fiscal year 2021.

- **The National Statistics Office** also has an important role supporting gender mainstreaming, and gender budgeting, through improving the provision of gender-disaggregated data, as discussed later in the “Enabling Environment” section.

Canada offers an example of a well-established institutional infrastructure for gender mainstreaming and gender budgeting. For example, the Department of Finance has lead responsibility for gender budgeting, but the gender equality experts (the Department for Women and Gender Equality) provide advice on gender needs as well as training across departments on how to undertake gender impact assessments of policy. These Departments are supported in the implementation of gender budgeting by a range of other stakeholders across government (see Box 4.1).

---

**Box 4.1. Canada’s institutional arrangements for gender budgeting**

**Institutional Machinery**

The Department of Finance (FIN) has led on the development and implementation of gender budgeting since it was introduced in 2016. As a central plank to Canada’s approach to gender budgeting, FIN sets out in the annual budget circular that all new budget proposals must be accompanied by a gender impact assessment (GBA+).

The Department for Women and Gender Equality (WAGE) is the institution responsible for leading key policy initiatives on issues affecting women and girls. It supports the implementation of gender budgeting through providing expert advice across the federal government on gender equality issues and plays a leadership role in the government-wide implementation of GBA+, a central tool in Canada’s approach to gender budgeting.

Federal departments and agencies develop and implement government policy. In line with the request from FIN, departments now provide GBA+ alongside all new budget proposals.

The Privy Council Office (PCO) supports the implementation of GBA+ throughout the federal government. The PCO challenges departments on the use of GBA+ through the normal policy development process.

The Treasury Board Secretariat (TBS) ensures GBA+ is incorporated into the Treasury Board Submissions, and the government’s performance framework, including Departmental Results Frameworks, Departmental Plans and performance reporting.

Statistics Canada provides gender-disaggregated data through its surveys; and facilitates instituting a GBA+ research framework. It also publishes numerous analytical reports using gender-disaggregated data and intersecting identities, including the “Women in Canada” series.
Gender inequality is a hard to address public policy which requires inter-agency coordination and monitoring. As noted in Chapter 3, Thailand would benefit from looking at its committee structures and mandates to facilitate coordination on the introduction of gender budgeting in Thailand. The existing Gender Equality committees (KorYorSor and KorSorSor) could provide a useful platform to start coordinating and facilitating the implementation of the gender budgeting agenda. A number of OECD countries have developed similar structures, such as the Inter-ministerial Working Group on Gender Mainstreaming and Gender Budgeting in Austria.

**Medium term: align gender equality targets and indicators in the national gender equality strategy and the National Economic and Social Development Plan**

Gender budgeting facilitates a gender perspective in the formulation of government budgets and the allocation of resources. In the medium term, Thailand’s approach to gender budgeting should be linked to the national vision and goals for gender equality and women’s development. The next iteration of the Women’s Development Strategy (2017-2021) should aim to have measurable targets and indicator baselines to support its goals and tracking progress. In order to ensure appropriate allocation of resources, it would also be helpful if the gender equality indicators and targets set out in this Strategy were more closely aligned with the indicators and targets in the National Economic and Social Development Plan that is currently used as a basis for performance budgeting in the Thai Government. In addition, the 4-year strategies developed by line ministries and agencies should also ideally reflect on how they propose to help meet the national gender equality goals set out in the Women’s Development Strategy.

Linking gender goals to the national planning framework used as a basis for performance budgeting in Thailand can help ensure that budget requests show how they will help meet overarching gender goals. For example, in the case of Canada, the Department of Finance has identified six priority areas for gender equality and uses this as a basis for directing its resources during budget decision-making (see Box 4.2).
Box 4.2. Gender goals to focus gender budgeting efforts: Canada

In 2018, Canada introduced a government-wide Gender Results Framework. This provides a whole-of-government tool to measure progress in Canada in relation to gender equality, and to help identify where the greatest gaps remain. Under this framework, the federal government has identified six key areas where change is required to advance gender equality:

- Education and skills development
- Economic participation and prosperity
- Leadership and democratic participation
- Gender-based violence and access to justice
- Poverty reduction, health and well-being
- Gender equality around the world

Each goal has a number of objectives and indicators that sit under it. During the preparation of the budget, the Gender Results Framework provides a useful framework for policy discussion and helps guide Ministerial decision making in relation to resource allocation.

Source: (Government of Canada, 2019[c])

Long term: codify gender budgeting in the organic budget law

While the Constitutional commitment to gender budgeting is impressive, in the long term the Budget Bureau may wish to consider also incorporating the specifics of its approach to gender budgeting within Thailand’s organic budget law. This legal instrument could be amended to incorporate the objectives of gender budgeting, the parties involved in the process, their responsibilities and various implementation tools across the budget cycle. To be effective, this could be supported by an active communication strategy to explain to stakeholders key gender gaps in Thai society and which priorities should be addressed. Austria provides a useful example in this area. In Austria, gender budgeting is a Constitutional requirement for the federal public administration. The Federal Budget Law 2013 also comprises detailed regulations on the implementation of gender budgeting throughout the management cycle of administrative action (see Box 4.3). Having the gender budgeting requirements in both of these instruments has been a strong feature of Austria’s approach to gender budgeting. While the Constitutional reference elevates the importance of gender budgeting as a means to advance gender equality, the Federal Budget Law provides the much-needed directives to implement this tool in an effective manner across the federal administration.
The key foundation for gender-responsive budget management in all public authorities lies in the Austrian Federal Constitution which states that the “Federation, Länder and municipalities have to aim for the equal status of women and men in budget management” (Art. 13, para. 3.). Since 2013 the federal ministries are obliged to consider gender equality as one of the principles of outcome orientation in managing the budget of the federal public administration.

The Federal Budget Law 2013 comprises detailed regulations on outcome oriented administration including the consideration of gender equality. Equality aspects are explicitly a factor throughout the management cycle of administrative action, from planning to implementation to evaluation.

Furthermore, the Regulation on the presentation of information on outcome orientation in the Annual Federal Budget Statement and the Explanatory Budget Documents provide details of how gender budgeting is to be implemented regarding outcomes, outputs and indicators.

Source: (Government of Austria, 2014[25])

Tools of implementation

Gender budgeting aims to bring a gender lens to different phases of the budget cycle (including the process of conceiving, planning, approving, executing, monitoring, evaluating and auditing budgets) to address relevant disparities between women and men. It attempts to provide a systematic assessment on the impact of the policies and programmes funded by the government, on women and men, girls and boys. As mentioned in Chapter 3, gender budgeting can take different forms, and the tools of implementation vary widely. This section sets out suggested tools of implementation to fit with the Thai budget system and policy development process.

It is suggested that Thailand adopts a staged approach to gender budgeting that has the following three layers:

- **Short term**: gender tagging of budget programmes and a gender budget statement.
- **Medium term**: application of a gender perspective in performance setting.
- **Long term**: mainstream gender impact assessment in policy development.

More detail on each of these is provided in the following paragraphs.

**Short term: gender tagging of budget programmes and a gender budget statement**

**Gender tagging of budget programmes**

Gender tagging of budget programmes could be developed so that line ministries report both those programmes aimed at promoting gender equality as well as those for which the gender dimension has been considered in policy design (gender mainstreaming).

Building on this, the Budget Bureau could use information from this exercise to identify and report on the amount of money that is being allocated towards programmes with a gender impact as well as programmes which have undergone a gender mainstreaming process. Belgium provides an example of a country that undertakes a similar exercise (see Box 4.4). Following international practice, the Thai budget proposal submission forms could allow three gender-related options to be selected, such as:
a) **Budget proposal with gender equality as primary objective**: when gender equality is one of the main goals of the budget proposal. For example, a programme focussed on reducing domestic violence or a women’s leadership development programme.

b) **Budget proposal which indirectly impacts gender equality**: when the budget proposal could indirectly have an impact on gender equality. For example, a programme to build a new bridge or a programme to train youth in digital skills.

c) **Gender neutral budget proposal**: when the budget proposal has a neutral impact on gender equality. For example, a programme to support entrepreneurs which includes a target for 50% of businesses supported to be owned by women.

This approach allows calculation of the percentage of expenditures that fall into each category of budget proposal across different government departments, sometimes referred to as gender-disaggregated public expenditure incidence analysis. “Hard to reach” ministries that do not perceive themselves as having a role in relation to gender equality, such as environment or infrastructure, will need particular support in undertaking this exercise. While the share of public expenditure dedicated to direct gender equality budget proposals may be limited, the share of expenditures that relate to indirect gender equality budget proposals is likely to be much larger. Where there is broad understanding of the gender impact of programmes across different sectors, most budget proposals are likely to fall into this category. Over time, as adjustments are made to budget proposals to take gender equality considerations into account, more proposals will become “gender-neutral”.
Box 4.4. Gender tagging of the budget in Belgium

Belgium adopted gender budgeting in 2001, and it applies to all levels of government. The Law on gender mainstreaming has legally required the integration of a gender perspective into the budget process since 2007.

At the federal level, the annual budget circular contains a section on gender issues. The guidelines encourage budget managers to systematically consider the potential gender impacts of their activities and expenditures. To this end they are required to classify all budget proposals according to one of the three following categories:

- Category 1: budget proposals relating to actions that concern internal functioning or which cannot have a different impact on the respective situation of men and women.
- Category 2: budget proposals relating to actions aimed at achieving equality between men and women.
- Category 3: budget proposals relating to actions that may have an impact on the respective situation of women and men in society (also when this impact is potential and not proved).

Budget proposals in category 1 are not subject to a gender analysis. For budget proposals in category 2, a gender note must be provided, where the purpose and impact of the proposal is explained. In some cases these budget proposals are entirely dedicated to improving gender equality and in others they may be broader, with only one part aiming specifically at achieving equal opportunities for women and men. For budget proposals in category 3, a gender comment should explain the way that the proposal will take into account the gender dimension. Budget managers should perform an ex ante gender impact assessment for budget proposals in categories 2 and 3. In addition, the government’s draft expenditure budget contains a table where the gender-category must be highlighted for each budget proposal.

Source: (Belgian Institute for the Equality of Women and Men, 2019[29])

To keep track of the gender-tagged expenditure during budget execution it may be necessary to modify the budget classification (or chart of accounts) and ensure that relevant reports can be automatically produced through the country’s financial management information system. In tracking gender budget expenditure, some countries also set goals for the level of the budget that should be dedicated to programmes that benefit women (see Box 4.5 on the Philippines).
The Philippines Constitution (1987) recognises the fundamental equality of women and men and women's role in nation-building. Further to recognition that implementation of substantial progress in this field was held back by lack of resources, the 1992 Women in Development and Nation Building Act mandated that a substantial portion of funds received through official development assistance packages be set aside by government agencies for gender and development purposes.

Later on in 1995, the Gender and Development (GAD) budget policy was introduced, whereby the General Appropriations Acts required government agencies to dedicate a minimum of 5% of the budget to gender and development initiatives to ensure that goals and objectives of the Philippines Plan for Gender-Responsive Development were translated into agency plans and targets. This requirement was gradually extended to decentralised levels, such as local government units, state colleges and universities, government-owned and-controlled corporations. Since then the Philippines has established a significant gender planning and budgeting framework where all programs, activities and projects included in the agency budget proposal must consider the gender and development dimension. Each agency uses at least 5% of its approved budget for GAD mainstreaming.

A specific online platform was developed to allow progress to be monitored. The Gender Mainstreaming Monitoring System automates both the GAD budget planning phase and submissions of government agencies, generating related reports. In addition, monitoring and evaluation are carried out through annual audit by the Commission on Audit, which has helped drive improvement in tracking progress related to GAD programs and projects.

Source: (Philippine Commission on Women, 2004[30]) and (Philippine Government, 2019[31])

Although gender tagging of the budget can be appealing as it allows the government to present information to the public on expenditures going to projects benefitting women, there are also reasons to exert caution in interpreting information provided by gender tagging exercises. For example, gender tagging of the budget may forget that achieving a better gender balance does not necessarily mean spending for women. Some policies targeting men, such as compulsory paternity leave, can positively impact on changing cultural norms that hold back female employment and career prospects.

Another challenge is that policies that are non-fiscal in nature and have no budgetary impact are not captured but can have important impacts in meeting gender-related objectives. Typical examples include equal opportunities legislation, legal arrangements on violence against women and LGBT, and gender-quotas. Moreover, there are policies which are neither fiscal nor specifically focused on gender-related objectives, but which may have an indirect – and mostly unintended – impact on gender disparities. For example, legislation on access and remuneration in labour markets. Social security programs, although containing no discrimination among individuals according to their sex, may create incentives that favour social norms and behaviours that are largely gender-biased.

Furthermore, tax policies often have an unintended impact on gender. An extensive literature examines the implicit bias that occurs when tax and benefit systems interact with gender. One typical impact is the incentive or disincentive that the income tax system creates for the second earner to participate in the workforce, as compared to primary earners or single individuals. This is relevant as second earners in a couple are typically women. Tax exemptions, deductions or credits can be introduced to actively promote equal sharing of paid and unpaid work in the family and ensure income and pension rights between women and men, but are not necessarily captured in gender tagging of the budget.
Gender budget statement

The information gathered through gender tagging of the budget can be used to improve the information presented alongside the budget proposal that shows how the proposal is contributing to reducing gender inequalities. This is most often presented through a gender budget statement. The Thai Budget Bureau should publish a gender budget statement that reports on the amount of money that is being allocated towards programmes in each category of the tagging exercise.

Gender budget statements make gender budgeting visible to the Parliament and other stakeholders and are important accountability tools. Stakeholders can assess this information to ensure there are sufficient allocations for women’s development and the promotion of gender equality in the budget proposal. Typically, a gender budget statement is tabled as part of the annual budget documents, showing what programs and resources are directed to gender equality. Several countries use this approach including India (see Box 4.6). Thailand may wish to present its statement as a dedicated annex to the Budget Bill.

Box 4.6. India’s Gender Budget Statement

Among Asian countries, India has long-standing experience in formulating gender budget statements. Introduced in 2005-2006, the Indian government’s gender budget statement provides an aggregate and detailed account of budgetary allocations for promoting gender equality and women’s empowerment, in two parts:

- Part A presents information on Women Specific Schemes, i.e. those budget allocations that have 100% allocation for women.
- Part B presents information on Pro Women Schemes, i.e. those budget allocations where at least 30% of the allocation is for women.

India’s gender budgeting efforts stand out because they have not only influenced expenditure but also revenue policies (like differential property tax rates for men and women and reconsideration of income tax structure) and have extended to territorial government levels.

In order to institutionalise the process, Gender Budgeting Cells (GBC) have been set up in all Ministries/Departments with a mandate to conduct gender based impact analysis, beneficiary needs assessment and beneficiary incidence analysis to identify scope for re-prioritisation of public expenditure and improve implementation etc. Thanks to the GBCs, gender budgeting is not confined to an accounting exercise but it has actually helped the Ministries to design new programs to promote gender equality, even in Ministries where programmes are traditionally seen as “gender-neutral”.

Source: (Chakraborty, 2013[32]) and (Government of India, 2016[33])

Medium term: application of a gender perspective in performance setting

While budget tagging focuses on tracking expenditure, in the medium term it can be useful to shift the focus to tracking outcomes. As highlighted in Chapter 3, the application of a gender perspective in performance setting can be an important tool for encouraging departments to think about how their policies and programmes contribute to overall gender equality goals. Performance frameworks are often associated with programme-based budgeting (and appropriations), as in Thailand’s case. Rather than just focusing on the cost of inputs such as salaries and purchases of goods and services, performance-based budgeting frameworks focus on the objectives, outputs and outcomes achieved in the delivery of the public services financed through the budget. These approaches lend themselves better than traditional input-based budgeting to incorporating policy-related objectives (including on those on gender) into the budget process.
The application of a gender perspective in performance setting is most effective and meaningful when it is linked to clearly articulated national gender equality goals. These goals can be endorsed in different ways. In some cases, the government will approve a multi-year gender equality plan, in others it will include a gender breakdown for some or all sector development goals, or still in other cases, it might rely on targets already adopted by adhering to international conventions (including, for example, the Sustainable Development Goals). In Thailand’s case, it has already been identified that national gender equality goals are set out in the Women’s Development Strategy (2017-2021). Ideally, the 4-year strategies developed by line ministries and agencies should also ideally reflect on how they propose to help meet the national gender equality goals set out in the Women’s Development Strategy.

Gender objectives should be set with regard to budget proposals that are tagged as being in category a) (budget proposal with gender equality as primary objective) or b) (budget proposal which indirectly impacts gender equality) in the gender tagging exercise. Departments and line ministries should be encouraged to identify at least one gender impact outcome and/or output for these proposals as part of the performance budgeting exercise. Ideally, these would link to gender equality goals set out in 4-year strategies developed by line ministries and agencies, which in turn link to gender equality goals in Thailand’s Women’s Development Strategy.

To ensure that departments and agencies are clear on requirements, the Budget Bureau should set out instructions for departments in the annual budget circular, which guide departments on how to complete their budget submissions for the forthcoming fiscal year. Guidelines may also be issued separately and disseminated through informal circulars, in parallel to the annual budget process. Strong coordination between the Budget Bureau, DWF and departments will be key to success. An example of how a gender perspective is applied to performance setting in Austria is provided in Box 4.7.

Gender objectives are often easier to define for programs and policies that have a significant impact on gender equality, for example in areas such as education, health and social welfare programmes. Specific efforts should be devoted to helping departments and agencies identify gender goals and measurable indicators in the other sectors. Good availability of data disaggregated by gender is also important to allow the monitoring of progress towards these goals.
Box 4.7. The application of a gender perspective in performance setting in Austria

In Austria, the Federal Budget Act implements gender budgeting by adopting a gender perspective in the Austrian performance budgeting framework. The Central Budget Authority developed guidelines for applying gender budgeting, complemented by an annual budget circular with details and instructions.

The Federal Ministries show how they will target gender equality in their budget documents by stating gender objectives, measures and indicators in their strategy reports, in the federal budget and in supplementary documents. In practice, every line ministry must set at least one gender-related objective out of a maximum of five performance objectives.

The indicators adopted may be oriented towards external outcomes (i.e. objectives and activities in the Ministry’s portfolio contributing to gender equality in the economy and society) or to internal outcomes (objectives and activities regarding Ministries’ human resource policy contributing to gender equality among employees). These objectives should be derived from analysis to identify relevant gender challenges. Each Line Ministry has to define concrete measures and outputs to support the gender outcome. Specifically, Line Ministries must explain: Why this objective? What is done to achieve this objective? What would success look like? What are the measurable milestones to track progress on this objective?

The Federal Performance Management Office (FPMO) in the Federal Chancellery was established in 2010 to coordinate the implementation and operation of performance and gender-oriented budgeting. The FPMO reviews the drafts indicators of Ministries and provides comments as part of its quality assurance mandate. Parliament approves appropriations as well as performance and gender-related objectives, outputs, and indicators.

An Annual Federal Gender Performance Report, as part of the performance report of the government, presents progress on the implementation of gender objectives and outputs. In addition, the Court of Audit assesses the final accounts (end-of-year budget) including assessment of whether outcomes and outputs are met.

The estimated budget for 2018 and 2019 took account of 36 gender equality objectives, whereby 28 (78%) have an external outcome. They mainly concern work-life balance, the gender pay gap, raising awareness for gender equality and improving the re-integration of women into the labour market, as well as their share in research, innovation and technology-related areas of work. Eight objectives are limited to internal HR policies, such as teleworking, women in leading functions, and men in parental leave.

A dedicated website provides information on outcomes and results with a subsection on gender equality; a map of gender-related budget information; a gender and diversity atlas that identifies regional distribution of gender related indicators; and a gender budgeting blog providing information about recent developments and national and international best practices.

Source: (OECD, 2016[34]) and (Austrian Parliamentary Budget Office, 2018[35])

Long term: mainstream gender impact assessment in policy development

As highlighted in Chapter 3, gender impact assessment is a relatively common tool of gender budgeting. The central question in an ex ante gender impact assessment (undertaken before the policy is introduced) is to try to understand whether the new proposal will reduce, maintain or increase the gender inequalities between women and men. This information is used to allow corrective or accompanying measures to be
adopted to mitigate any negative impacts identified in the design of the policy or programme or in its implementation. It can also be used to identify how new policies or programmes contribute towards the government’s gender-related targets. In this sense, it can help focus gender policies and avoid the adoption of new programmes that might be contrary to those targets. In a number of OECD countries, the Ministry of Finance requires departments to submit the results of ex ante gender impact assessment alongside new budget proposals. Box 4.8 provides the example of Sweden.

**Box 4.8. Ex ante gender impact assessments in Sweden**

In Sweden, well known for its progressive gender equality policy and practice, gender budgeting is underpinned by high-level political commitment. Since 2016, the annual budget circular has included instructions on the application of gender budgeting throughout the budget process. One of the requirements set out is that ex ante gender impact analysis be carried out at the early stages of developing a new budget proposal. This means that the gender equality effects of budget policy are evaluated and highlighted in the background material on which budget decisions are based so that the Government can present a budget that promotes gender equality.

Source: (OECD, 2016[34]) and (Government Offices of Sweden, 2016[36])

After policies and programmes have been executed, ex post gender impact assessments, or a gender perspective to evaluations or audits can help identify whether or not they have achieved their intended outcomes relating to gender equality. Lessons from these assessments feed into future budget decisions to improve the way the budget is used to achieve gender equality outcomes or to modify the design of ongoing policies and make them more effective.

In the longer term, ex ante and ex post gender impact assessment of policies should be incorporated into gender budgeting efforts. This would sit well alongside current efforts to improve monitoring and evaluation within the Thai administrations. A staged rollout could take place across government departments in order to build the necessary capacity over time.

The Budget Bureau should ask for ex ante gender impact assessments to accompany new budget proposals that have a direct or indirect gender impact (categories a and b identified in the tagging exercise). However, there is a risk that these impact assessments become tick box exercises unless the Budget Bureau use the information to help inform budget decisions. The Department of Finance in Canada provides a good example of how the central budget authority can exercise considerable influence in ensuring that these impact assessments are undertaken thoroughly, and used to inform budget decisions (see Box 4.9).

Gender impact assessments should not be limited to policies and programmes with financial allocations in the budget. OECD countries have also found it useful to undertake gender impact assessments of draft legislation, as well as tax policy. This ensures that gender-aware policy development across government. In Thailand, key challenges in conducting gender impact assessments are likely to include the availability of gender-disaggregated data and qualified staff. Collaboration with external institutions, such academia and KPI, could prove to be useful, at least in the initial phase.
Canada introduced gender budgeting in 2016. A central tool of Canada’s approach to gender budgeting are *ex ante* gender impact assessments of policies (GBA+). The Minister of Finance stipulates in the budget circular that all new budget proposals must be accompanied by a GBA+.

Significantly, this has changed the culture around what information is used in the policy development process, and how it is presented alongside new budget proposals. The Department of Finance has incentivised departments to provide better analyses over time. For example, in Budget 2018, the Department of Finance highlighted that there was inconsistency in the quality and application of GBA+ analysis. Furthermore, the Department highlighted examples of GBA+ analysis where there was room for improvement and made a commitment to publish GBA+ of all budget items starting in Budget 2019. This has helped evolve GBA+ from being considered a ‘tick box’ exercise to one that brings more meaningful considerations to the policy-making process.

Source: (OECD, 2018[28])

This Action Plan focuses on a limited number of tools in the short, medium and long term, recognising that their implementation is already ambitious in the Thai context. However, once these tools are institutionalised, further refinements will help ensure that there is a range of tools implemented across the budget cycle.

**Enabling environment**

Gender budgeting will be most effective where there is a supportive enabling environment in place. This includes; training and capacity development for government staff, systematic collection of gender-disaggregated data, structured engagement with civil society and oversight by accountability institutions such as parliament and the Supreme Audit Institute. This section sets out how Thailand can create an enabling environment that is sufficient to support the gender budgeting practices set out in this Plan.

It is suggested that Thailand adopts a staged approach to gender budgeting that has the following three layers:

- **Short term**: Set out guidance and develop a training plan for gender budgeting and update the national gender data plan.
- **Medium and long term**: Deliver training and capacity development across government and improve availability of gender disaggregated data in line with plans. Engage wider stakeholders in gender budgeting, including parliament, the State Audit Office and civil society.

More detail on each of these is provided in the following paragraphs.

**Short term: Set out guidance and develop a training plan for gender budgeting and update the national gender data plan**

The success of gender budgeting efforts will depend on knowledge building and capacity development across government in order to be able to institutionalise the initiative. Currently, there is limited understanding and capacity across government to pursue gender mainstreaming as a strategy, and gender budgeting as a tool more specifically. A focussed training plan should be developed for all key institutions, including the Budget Bureau, DWF as well as departments and agencies across ministries.
Given DWF plans to update its Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook in 2020, this provides a timely opportunity to revitalise its contents so that it aligns with, and supports, the “next generation” of gender budgeting in Thailand. The example of the Guidelines published by the Philippine Commission on Women in relation to the Gender and Development Code show the important role that guidelines can play in developing capacity for the implementation of new initiatives (see Box 4.10).

**Box 4.10. Guidelines on the Gender and Development Code in the Philippines**

In August 2009, the Philippines passed RA 9710 or the Magna Carta of Women (MCW), a comprehensive women’s human rights law. It called on various stakeholders, particularly Local Government Units (LGUs) to develop a Gender and Development (GAD) Code. A GAD Code is local legislation that consolidates local ordinances related to women and gender equality and which guides LGUs in identifying local policies, plans and programs to address gender issues. The GAD Code integrates or is aligned to gender-related national laws and international conventions.

To assist with this, the Philippine Commission on Women compiled “Guidelines on the Formulation, Implementation, Monitoring and Evaluation of a Gender and Development (GAD) Code”. It consists of 6 parts:

1) Essential Elements of a GAD Code;
2) Recommended Process of GAD Code Formulation;
3) Organising the GAD Code;
4) GAD-related Mandates and Frameworks;
5) Use of Gender-fair Language; and

In addition, it includes other references useful in the formulation of the Code. To facilitate understanding and application of the Guidelines, sample formulations for each part of the Code are included in the boxed items.

These guidelines have helped in the development of GAD Codes and, more importantly, in their implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

Source: (Philippine Commission on Women, 2013[36])

DWF also has an important role in ensuring that departments and agencies have the capacity to analyse their policies and programmes with a gender lens. This will require DWF to develop both guidelines and a training plan. As identified in Chapter 3, most government officers are of the view that gender budgeting means implementing programmes for women. Training and capacity development should focus on showing how broader government programmes can have a direct or indirect effect on gender equality. The longer term goal should be for gender considerations to be mainstreamed throughout the policy development process.

**Update the National Gender Data Plan to ensure it is sufficient for gender budgeting**

The Government of Thailand will need to update its National Gender Data Plan in the short term to ensure it is sufficient for the purposes of gender budgeting. The National Statistics Office should take the lead in understanding what information gaps exist across different sectors, and setting out steps to address this. Government departments will also have to potentially increase or change the way that they collect administrative data in order to be able to measure the gender impact of policies and programmes, and progress towards gender objectives. Canada provides a good practice example of how a government can improve the availability of gender-disaggregated data to help support gender budgeting efforts (see Box 4.11).
Box 4.11. Improving the availability of gender-disaggregated data in Canada

In parallel with the introduction of gender budgeting in Canada, the Government recognised the need to take steps to improve the collection, use and tracking of gender and diversity data in order to enrich understanding of social, economic, political, financial and environmental issues. As part of this, the government introduced a new Centre for Gender, Diversity and Inclusion Statistics, and an Indigenous Statistical Capacity Development Initiative, and to develop a broader set of tracking indicators and statistics. These actions were taken with a view to them addressing gaps in the availability of data on gender, race and other intersecting identities to:

- Support evidence-based decision-making.
- Create more accessible and inclusive information for use by the public.
- Advance the level of statistical skills and engagement among Indigenous peoples.
- Collect, analyse and disseminate data on members of visible minorities to understand the barriers different groups face and how best to support them with evidence-based policy.
- Use the data to measure and track Canada’s progress on achieving shared growth and gender equality objectives.

Source: (OECD, 2018[28])

Medium and long term: Deliver training and capacity development

Moving to the medium term, DWF should focus on rolling out its training plan across government. It could be useful to begin with pilot exercises with a few departments to implement gender mainstreaming. Ideally, the selected pilot departments would be very different and include at least one department involved in social policies and one in a “harder to reach” area such as energy, environment or transport policies. The exercise would consist in reviewing the whole of their budget proposals and understanding how they may contribute directly or indirectly to gender equality priorities set out in the Women’s Development Plan. Partnerships with other relevant stakeholders, such as academia, UN women and the KPI, could be developed in order to ensure full understanding of potential gender impacts of policies and programmes and deliver training.

Lessons from training and capacity development with pilot departments can help inform future training and capacity development rolled out more broadly across government in the long term.

Ensure that there are gender champions across government

In parallel, it is important to leverage the role of Chief Gender Equality Officers (CGEOs) and Gender Focal Points beyond human resources aspects of gender equality, to champion gender-responsive and inclusive policy decision-making within their respective ministries. A good practice example is provided by the CGEO at the Department of Corrections in Thailand (see Box 4.12).
At the Department of Corrections in Thailand, the CGEO has an Action Plan to improve how officials within the Department understand gender equality needs, use gender data, and take action to prevent gender harassment. As part of this Action Plan, the Department has to report to DWF on progress at the end of each year.

The Action Plan consists of different strategies; activities, target groups, indicators, monitoring mechanisms, and key performance indicators. For example, there is the target that 70% of personnel working with female inmates have skills and knowledge to operate in the prison based on Bangkok rules (which set out prison standards for women), and 70% should have positive attitudes and accept gender equality. Because of the high priority of this work, at present, the Secretary General spends 50% of his time on the CGEO role.

Source: Authors, 2019

CGEOs and Gender Focal Points across ministries and agencies should also have a role in ensuring the implementation of gender budgeting. For example, after adequate training, these gender champions could be assigned a role in supporting the preparation of relevant material accompanying budget proposals, and reviewing it before submission to the Budget Bureau. The establishment of inter-departmental working groups for CGEOs and Gender Focal Points would provide a forum that could be used for sharing understanding of practices.

In the longer term, there is the potential for the Civil Service Commission to support this development through integrating the CGEO roles in the performance appraisals of senior managers who are entrusted with this role.

Ensure independent oversight

When the Budget Bureau starts to publish the Gender Budget Statement alongside the Budget Bill, relevant parliamentary committees should scrutinise the information therein. The PBO could also have a role in assessing the information, drawing ideas from how the Austrian PBO undertakes this role (see Box 4.13).

In the longer term, a systematic approach to gender budgeting will also ensure that a gender lens is applied during the ex post phase of the budget cycle. As the State Audit Office increases its capacity in relation to performance audits, it would be helpful if it were to integrate assessments of whether programmes meet their gender goals. Once gender budgeting has been implemented for a number of years, the National Audit Office may also wish to undertake a systems audit of gender mainstreaming and/or gender budgeting to assess how effectively it is functioning and whether it is delivering the intended results. For example, this may include as assessment of the Gender Budget Statements and whether or not the information set out by the government in these Statements as part of the budget process was fair and true.
Box 4.13. Scrutiny of gender budgeting by the Austrian Parliamentary Budget Office

The Austrian Parliamentary Budget Office was established in 2012 during a period of substantial reform to the budget law. Its main tasks include:

- Supporting the Budget Committee by providing analysis of the budget
- Responding to information requests from Members of the Budget Committee
- Supporting other parliamentary committees in relation to the impact assessment of new legislation
- Supporting parliament in its analysis of performance and gender budgeting

Specifically, the PBO provides analyses to parliament on government documents related to gender budgeting and gender equality. This helps stimulate the parliamentary debate on gender issues.

In undertaking this role, the PBO provides clear guidance for Members of Parliament on all the gender-related performance objectives, measures and indicators shown in the different budget chapters. Its budget analyses always include a comprehensive section on gender budgeting. These analyses discuss key issues such as, the quality of the information provided, the level of ambition of the objectives, the suitability and the development of relevant indicators (also international comparison), the availability of gender-disaggregated data, and levels of coordination between ministries. The PBO also submits proposals for how reports presented by the government might be improved through greater presentation of sex-disaggregated data (e.g. the grant report).

This legal budget framework and the PBO’s support to parliament has resulted in higher awareness of gender budgeting and gender equality, and has brought about intensive discussions of gender objectives in the budget committee and in the plenary sessions. Gender issues are thus becoming an essential part of the budget debate, which is at the heart of parliament’s work.

Source: Austrian Parliamentary Budget Office, 2018

Encourage civil society engagement

Structured engagement with civil society at national level will be useful in ensuring that gender budgeting develops in a way that is responsive to citizen’s needs. One way in which the Thai Government can build stronger connections with civil society is through initiating participative mechanisms, such as consultations in relation to policy development.

Civil society groups can also provide important inputs through examining if the government lives up to its commitments on gender equality. For example, in the United Kingdom, each year the Women’s Budget Group analyses the budget for its gender impact. The Swedish Women’s Lobby annually analyse the state budget bill from a gender equality perspective. The aim is to see how resources are divided between women and men, and to examine if the government lives up to its commitments on gender equality. In their audits, they monitor statistical indicators and do in-depth studies, focusing on different spending areas from year to year.
The series of actions set out in this Gender Budgeting Action Plan are intended to be mutually supporting. Implementation will undoubtedly need to take place over a number of years (see Box 5.1 on the staged development of gender budgeting in India).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000 to 2003</td>
<td>Knowledge building and networking</td>
<td>Mapping of government programmes and schemes that existed for women.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 to 2005</td>
<td>Institutionalisation within government</td>
<td>Analytical matrices to do gender budgeting were designed by the Ministry of Finance and the National Institute of Public Finance and Policy. Gender Budget Statements were included in Expenditure Budgets. Gender Budgeting Cells (GBC) were instituted in ministries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005 to present</td>
<td>Capacity building</td>
<td>GBC officials, Ministries’ and state officers’ training; Charter on gender budgeting specifying the responsibilities of GRB cells.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012 to present</td>
<td>Enhancing accountability</td>
<td>Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG) has been publishing a Report on Gender Budgeting in the State Finance Accounts, since 2010.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Despite gender budgeting having now been implemented over two decades in India, there is still a desire to make more progress in several areas including data integrity, better fiscal marksmanship, reporting on a consistent basis, emphasis on outcomes rather than expenditure, and more analysis of gender budgeting both *ex ante* and *ex post*.

Source: (Quis, 2019[38])

The suggested timetables for implementation of the Gender Budgeting Action Plan are set out in Annex 1. Putting such a package of measures into effect will require clear leadership, and the Budget Bureau and DWF will be important actors in this regard. However, it can be seen that line ministries, the National Statistics Office, the parliament and civil society are also crucial implementation partners. Over time, from a position of shared commitment to action, Thailand has the potential to implement a gender budgeting practice that responds to the needs of society, helping support the identification and implementation of policy measures aimed at closing equality gaps.
For the purposes of this Action Plan, it is envisaged that the short term refers to one fiscal year, medium two-three fiscal years and long term up to five fiscal years.
# Annex 1: Action Plan timeline

## 1. Actions relating to strategic framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>- Develop and communicate a clear vision for gender budgeting</td>
<td>- Budget Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Establish clear roles and responsibilities across government for gender budgeting</td>
<td>- Budget Bureau, DWF, Line Ministries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Activate KorYorSor and KorSorSor as cross-government committees to coordinate the implementation of gender budgeting</td>
<td>- KorYorSor, KorSorSor, Budget Bureau, DWF, Line Ministries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium term</td>
<td>- Strengthen links between the objectives and targets set out in the next iterations of the Women's Development Strategy and the National Economic and Social Development Plan</td>
<td>- DWF, NESCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Include measurable targets, indicators and indicator baselines in the revised Women's Development Strategy</td>
<td>- DWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>- Codify gender budgeting requirements in Thailand's organic budget law</td>
<td>- Budget Bureau, Parliament</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2. Actions relating to tools of implementation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>- Further develop gender tagging of budget programmes to three categories&lt;br&gt;- Introduce gender budget statement</td>
<td>- Budget Bureau, DWF, Line Ministries&lt;br&gt;- Budget Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium term</td>
<td>- Apply a gender perspective in performance setting</td>
<td>- DWF, Line Ministries, Budget Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>- Mainstream gender impact assessment in policy development</td>
<td>- DWF, Line Ministries, Budget Bureau</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Actions relating to enabling environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short term</td>
<td>- Update Gender Responsive Budgeting Handbook&lt;br&gt;- Develop focussed gender budgeting training plan for all key institutions&lt;br&gt;- Update National Gender Data Plan</td>
<td>- DWF, Budget Bureau&lt;br&gt;- DWF, Budget Bureau, Line Ministries&lt;br&gt;- National Statistics Office, DWF, Line Ministries, Budget Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium term</td>
<td>- Assign CGEOs &amp; Gender Focal Points a role in implementing gender budgeting&lt;br&gt;- Deliver training and capacity development across all key institutions&lt;br&gt;- Parliamentary committees scrutinise gender budget statement&lt;br&gt;- PBO develops a role in helping parliament scrutinise gender budgeting&lt;br&gt;- State Audit Office integrates gender perspective into performance audits&lt;br&gt;- Civil society consulted more regularly on policy development</td>
<td>- Line Ministries, DWF&lt;br&gt;- DWF, Budget Bureau, Line Ministries&lt;br&gt;- Parliamentary committees&lt;br&gt;- PBO&lt;br&gt;- State Audit Office&lt;br&gt;- Line Ministries, civil society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long term</td>
<td>- CGEO roles integrated in the performance appraisals of senior managers with this role</td>
<td>- Civil Service Commission, Line Ministries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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