Isolated Chicken Eye Test Method for Identifying i) Chemicals Inducing Serious Eye Damage and ii) Chemicals Not Requiring Classification for Eye Irritation or Serious Eye Damage

INTRODUCTION

1. The Isolated Chicken Eye (ICE) test method was evaluated by the Interagency Coordinating Committee on the Validation of Alternative Methods (ICCVAM), in conjunction with the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (ECVAM) and the Japanese Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods (JaCVAM), in 2006 and 2010 (1) (2) (3). In the original evaluation, the ICE was endorsed as a scientifically valid test method for use as a screening test to identify chemicals (substances and mixtures) inducing serious eye damage (Category 1) as defined by the United Nations (UN) Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS) (1) (2) (4). A re-evaluation of the in vitro and in vivo dataset used in the validation study concluded that the ICE test method could also be used to identify chemicals not requiring classification for eye irritation and serious eye damage as defined by the UN GHS (4) (5). Since then histopathology has been shown to be an additional useful endpoint to identify UN GHS Cat. 1 surfactants and surfactant-containing mixtures. Furthermore, the Decision Criteria used to identify chemicals not requiring classification according to the UN GHS Classification System, has been revised based on the latest acceptance standards. This Test Guideline (adopted in 2009 and updated in 2013 and in XXX) includes the recommended uses and limitations of the ICE test method based on these evaluations. The main differences between the 2013 version and the present (XXX) version comprise, the use of histopathology as an additional endpoint for identification of UN GHS Cat. 1 surfactants and surfactant-containing mixtures and the revision of the ICE Decision Criteria to identify chemicals not requiring classification according to the UN GHS Classification System.

2. It is currently generally accepted that, in the foreseeable future, no single in vitro eye irritation test will be able to replace the in vivo Draize eye test to predict across the full range of irritation for different chemical classes. However, strategic combinations of several alternative test methods within a (tiered) testing strategy may be able to replace the Draize eye test (6). The Top-Down approach (7) is designed to be used when, based on existing information, a chemical is expected to have high irritancy potential, while the Bottom-Up approach (7) is designed to be used when, based on existing information, a chemical is expected not to cause sufficient eye irritation to require a classification. The ICE test method is an in vitro test method that can be used, under certain circumstances and with specific limitations as described in paragraphs 8 to 10 for eye hazard classification and labelling of chemicals. While it is not considered valid as a stand-alone replacement for the in vivo rabbit eye test, the ICE test method is recommended as an initial step within a testing strategy such as the Top-Down approach suggested by Scott et al. (7) to identify chemicals inducing serious eye damage, i.e., chemicals to be classified as UN GHS Category 1 without further testing (4). The ICE test method is also recommended to identify chemicals that do not require classification for eye irritation or serious eye damage as defined by the UN GHS (No Category, NC) (4), and may therefore be used as an initial step within a Bottom-Up testing strategy approach (7). However, a chemical that is not predicted as causing serious eye
damage or as not classified for eye irritation/serious eye damage with the ICE test method would require additional testing (in vitro and/or in vivo) and/or a weight-of-evidence evaluation of additional existing information to establish a definitive classification. Furthermore, the appropriate regulatory authorities should be consulted before using the ICE in a bottom up approach under other classification schemes than the UN GHS.

3. The purpose of this Test Guideline is to describe the procedures used to evaluate the eye hazard potential of a test chemical as measured by its ability to induce or not toxicity in an enucleated chicken eye. Toxic effects to the cornea are measured by (i) a qualitative assessment of opacity, (ii) a qualitative assessment of damage to epithelium based on application of fluorescein to the eye (fluorescein retention), (iii) a quantitative measurement of increased thickness (swelling), and (iv) a qualitative evaluation of macroscopic morphological damage to the surface. The corneal opacity, swelling, and damage assessments following exposure to a test chemical are assessed individually and then combined to derive an Eye Irritancy Classification. Furthermore, histopathological observations may also be used as an additional endpoint for specific types of chemistries such as surfactants and surfactant-containing mixtures (see paragraph 15).

4. Definitions are provided in Annex 1.

INITIAL CONSIDERATIONS AND LIMITATIONS

5. This Test Guideline is based on the protocol suggested in the OECD Guidance Document 160 (8), which was developed following the ICCVAM international validation study (1) (3) (9), with contributions from the European Centre for the Validation of Alternative Methods, the Japanese Center for the Validation of Alternative Methods, and TNO Quality of Life Department of Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology (Netherlands). The protocol is based on information obtained from published protocols, as well as the current protocol used by TNO (10) (11) (12) (13) (14).

6. A wide range of chemicals has been tested in the evaluation underlying this Test Guideline and the overall database currently amounts to 170 chemicals including 79 substances and 91 mixtures (5). The Test Guideline is applicable to solids, liquids, emulsions and gels. The liquids may be aqueous or non-aqueous; solids may be soluble or insoluble in water. Gases and aerosols have not been assessed yet in a validation study. [C2]

7. The ICE test method can be used to identify chemicals inducing serious eye damage, i.e., chemicals to be classified as UN GHS Category 1 (4). When used for this purpose, the identified limitations for the ICE test method are based on the high false positive rates for alcohols and the high false negative rates for solids and surfactants (1) (3) (9). However, false negative rates in this context (UN GHS Category 1 identified as not being UN GHS Category 1) are not critical since all test chemicals that come out negative would be subsequently tested with other adequately validated in vitro test(s), or as a last option in rabbits, depending on regulatory requirements, using a sequential testing strategy in a weight-of-evidence approach. Furthermore, histopathology was found to be a useful additional endpoint to decrease the rate of false negatives for surfactants and surfactant-containing mixtures (15). Regarding solids, it should be noted that these may lead to variable and extreme exposure conditions in the in vivo Draize eye irritation test, which may result in
irrelevant predictions of their true irritation potential (16). Investigators could consider using this test method for all types of chemicals, whereby a positive result should be accepted as indicative of serious eye damage, i.e., UN GHS Category 1 classification without further testing. However, positive results obtained with alcohols should be interpreted cautiously due to risk of over-prediction.

8. When used to identify chemicals inducing serious eye damage (UN GHS Category 1), the ICE test method has an overall accuracy of 84% (132/158), a false positive rate of 7% (9/121) and a false negative rate of 46% (17/37) when compared to in vivo rabbit eye test method data classified according to the UN GHS classification system (4) (5).

9. The ICE test method can also be used to identify chemicals that do not require classification for eye irritation or serious eye damage under the UN GHS classification system (4). The appropriate regulatory authorities should be consulted before using the ICE in a bottom up approach under other classification schemes. This test method can be used for all types of chemicals, whereby a negative result could be accepted for not classifying a chemical for eye irritation and serious eye damage. However, on the basis of one result from the validation database, anti-fouling organic solvent-containing paints may be under-predicted (5).

10. When used to identify chemicals that do not require classification for eye irritation and serious eye damage, the ICE test method has an overall accuracy of 87% (148/170), a false positive rate of 22% (18/81), and a false negative rate of 4% (4/89), when compared to in vivo rabbit eye test method data classified according to the UN GHS (4) (5). When test chemicals within certain classes (i.e., anti-fouling organic solvent containing paints) are excluded from the database, the accuracy of the ICE test method is 89% (148/167), the false positive rate 23% (18/80), and the false negative rate of 3% (3/87) for the UN GHS classification system (4) (5).

11. The ICE test method is not recommended for the identification of test chemicals that should be classified as irritating to eyes (i.e., UN GHS Category 2 or Category 2A) or test chemicals that should be classified as mildly irritating to eyes (UN GHS Category 2B) due to the considerable number of UN GHS Category 1 chemicals underclassified as UN GHS Category 2, 2A or 2B and UN GHS No Category chemicals overclassified as UN GHS Category 2, 2A or 2B. For this purpose, further testing with another suitable method and/or a weight-of-evidence evaluation of additional existing information may be required.

12. All procedures with chicken eyes should follow the test facility’s applicable regulations and procedures for handling of human or animal-derived materials, which include, but are not limited to, tissues and tissue fluids. Universal laboratory precautions are recommended (17).

13. Whilst the ICE test method does not consider conjunctival and iridal injuries as evaluated in the rabbit ocular irritancy test method, it addresses corneal effects which are the major driver of classification in vivo when considering the UN GHS Classification. Also, although the reversibility of corneal lesions cannot be evaluated per se in the ICE test method, it has been shown that histopathological observations can help in identifying irreversible effects not linked with initial high level injury such as those derived from surfactants and surfactant-
containing mixtures (15). However, the ICE test method does not allow for an assessment of the potential for systemic toxicity associated with ocular exposure.

14. This Test Guideline will be updated periodically as new information and data are considered.

15. For any laboratory initially establishing this assay, the proficiency chemicals provided in Annex 2 should be used. A laboratory can use these chemicals to demonstrate their technical competence in performing the ICE test method prior to submitting ICE data for regulatory hazard classification purposes. For the use of histopathology, the OECD has developed a Guidance Document to be considered when using the BCOP and ICE \textit{in vitro} ocular toxicity test methods, which includes detailed procedures on the collection and processing of histopathology specimens for evaluation (8).

\textbf{PRINCIPLE OF THE TEST}

16. The ICE test method is an organotypic model that provides short-term maintenance of the chicken eye \textit{in vitro}. In this test method, damage by the test chemical is assessed by determination of corneal swelling, opacity, and fluorescein retention. Furthermore, the use of histopathology may also be useful in specific cases such as for surfactants and surfactant-containing mixtures. While corneal opacity, fluorescein retention and histopathology involve a qualitative assessment, analysis of corneal swelling provides for a quantitative assessment. Each measurement is either converted into a quantitative score used to calculate an overall Irritation Index, or assigned a qualitative categorization that is used to assign an \textit{in vitro} ocular hazard classification, either as UN GHS Category 1 or as UN GHS non-classified. Either of these outcomes can then be used to predict the potential \textit{in vivo} serious eye damage or no requirement for eye hazard classification of a test chemical (see Decision Criteria). However, no classification can be given for chemicals not predicted as causing serious eye damage or as not classified with the ICE test method (see paragraph 11).

\textbf{Source and Age of Chicken Eyes}

17. Historically, eyes collected from chickens obtained from a slaughterhouse where they are killed for human consumption have been used for this assay, eliminating the need for laboratory animals. Only the eyes of healthy animals considered suitable for entry into the human food chain are used.

18. Although a controlled study to evaluate the optimum chicken age has not been conducted, the age and weight of the chickens used historically in this test method are that of spring chickens traditionally processed by a poultry slaughterhouse (i.e., approximately 7 weeks old, 1.5 - 2.5 kg).

\textbf{Collection and Transport of Eyes to the Laboratory}

19. Heads should be removed immediately after sedation of the chickens, usually by electric shock, and incision of the neck for bleeding. A local source of chickens close to the laboratory should be located so that their heads can be transferred from the slaughterhouse to the laboratory quickly enough to minimize deterioration and/or bacterial contamination. The time interval between collection of the chicken heads and placing the eyes in the
superfusion chamber following enucleation should be minimized (typically within two hours) to assure meeting assay acceptance criteria. All eyes used in the assay should be from the same group of eyes collected on a specific day.

20. Since eyes are dissected in the laboratory, the intact heads are transported from the slaughterhouse at ambient temperature (typically between 18°C and 25°C) in plastic boxes humidified with tissues moistened with isotonic saline.

Selection Criteria and Number of Eyes Used in the ICE

21. Eyes that have high baseline fluorescein staining (i.e., > 0.5) or corneal opacity score (i.e., > 0.5) after they are enucleated are rejected.

22. Each treatment group and concurrent positive control consists of at least three eyes. The negative control group or the solvent control (if using a solvent other than saline) consists of at least one eye.

23. In the case of solid materials leading to a GHS NC outcome, a second run of three eyes is recommended to confirm or discard the negative outcome.

PROCEDURE

Preparation of the Eyes

24. The eye lids are carefully excised, taking care not to damage the cornea. Corneal integrity is quickly assessed with a drop of 2% (w/v) sodium fluorescein applied to the corneal surface for a few seconds, and then rinsed with isotonic saline. Fluorescein-treated eyes are then examined with a slit-lamp microscope to ensure that the cornea is undamaged (i.e., fluorescein retention and corneal opacity scores ≤ 0.5).

25. If undamaged, the eye is further dissected from the skull, taking care not to damage the cornea. The eyeball is pulled from the orbit by holding the nictitating membrane firmly with surgical forceps, and the eye muscles are cut with a bent, blunt-tipped scissor. It is important to avoid causing corneal damage due to excessive pressure (i.e., compression artifacts).

26. When the eye is removed from the orbit, a visible portion of the optic nerve should be left attached. Once removed from the orbit, the eye is placed on an absorbent pad and the nictitating membrane and other connective tissue are cut away.

27. The enucleated eye is mounted in a stainless steel clamp with the cornea positioned vertically. The clamp is then transferred to a chamber of the superfusion apparatus (18). The clamps should be positioned in the superfusion apparatus such that the entire cornea is supplied with the isotonic saline drip (3-4 drops per minute or 0.1 to 0.15 mL/min). The chambers of the superfusion apparatus should be temperature controlled at 32 ± 1.5°C. Annex 3 provides a diagram of a typical superfusion apparatus and the eye clamps, which can be obtained commercially or constructed. The apparatus can be modified to meet the needs of an individual laboratory (e.g., to accommodate a different number of eyes).
After being placed in the superfusion apparatus, the eyes are again examined with a slit-lamp microscope to ensure that they have not been damaged during the dissection procedure. Corneal thickness should also be measured at this time at the corneal apex using the depth measuring device on the slit-lamp microscope. Eyes with; (i) a fluorescein retention score of > 0.5; (ii) corneal opacity > 0.5; or, (iii), any additional signs of damage should be replaced. For eyes that are not rejected based on any of these criteria, individual eyes with a corneal thickness deviating more than 10% from the mean value for all eyes are to be rejected. Users should be aware that slit-lamp microscopes could yield different corneal thickness measurements if the slit-width setting is different. The slit-width should be set at 0.095 mm.

Once all eyes have been examined and approved, the eyes are incubated for approximately 45 to 60 minutes to equilibrate them to the test system prior to dosing. Following the equilibration period, a zero reference measurement is recorded for corneal thickness and opacity to serve as a baseline (i.e., time = 0). The fluorescein score determined at dissection is used as the baseline measurement for that endpoint.

**Application of the Test Chemical**

Immediately following the zero reference measurements, the eye (in its holder) is removed from the superfusion apparatus, placed in a horizontal position, and the test chemical is applied to the cornea.

Liquid test chemicals are typically tested undiluted, but may be diluted if deemed necessary (e.g., as part of the study design). The preferred solvent for diluted test chemicals is physiological saline. However, alternative solvents may also be used under controlled conditions, but the appropriateness of solvents other than physiological saline should be demonstrated.

Liquid test chemicals are applied to the cornea such that the entire surface of the cornea is evenly covered with the test chemical; the standard volume is 0.03 mL.

If possible, solid test chemicals should be ground as finely as possible in a mortar and pestle, or comparable grinding tool. The powder is applied to the cornea such that the surface is uniformly covered with the test chemical; the standard amount is 0.03 g.

The test chemical (liquid or solid) is applied for 10 seconds and then rinsed from the eye with isotonic saline (approximately 20 mL) at ambient temperature. The eye (in its holder) is subsequently returned to the superfusion apparatus in the original upright position. In case of need, additional rinsing may be used after the 10-sec application and at subsequent time points (e.g., upon discovery of residues of test chemical on the cornea). In general the amount of saline additionally used for rinsing is not critical, but the observation of adherence of chemical to the cornea is important.

**Control Substances**

Concurrent negative or solvent/vehicle controls and positive controls should be included in each experiment.
36. When testing liquids at 100% or solids, physiological saline is used as the concurrent negative control in the ICE test method to detect non-specific changes in the test system, and to ensure that the assay conditions do not inappropriately result in an irritant response.

37. When testing diluted liquids, a concurrent solvent/vehicle control group is included in the test method to detect non-specific changes in the test system, and to ensure that the assay conditions do not inappropriately result in an irritant response. As stated in paragraph 31, only a solvent/vehicle that has been demonstrated to have no adverse effects on the test system can be used.

38. A known ocular irritant is included as a concurrent positive control in each experiment to verify that an appropriate response is induced. As the ICE assay is being used in this Test Guideline to identify corrosive or severe irritants, the positive control should be a reference substance that induces a severe response in this test method. However, to ensure that variability in the positive control response across time can be assessed, the magnitude of the severe response should not be excessive. Sufficient in vitro data for the positive control should be generated such that a statistically defined acceptable range for the positive control can be calculated. If adequate historical ICE test method data are not available for a particular positive control, studies may need to be conducted to provide this information.

39. Examples of positive controls for liquid test chemicals are 10% acetic acid or 5% benzalkonium chloride, while examples of positive controls for solid test chemicals are sodium hydroxide or imidazole.

40. Benchmark substances are useful for evaluating the ocular irritancy potential of unknown chemicals of a specific chemical or product class, or for evaluating the relative irritancy potential of an ocular irritant within a specific range of irritant responses.

**Endpoints Measured**

41. Treated corneas are evaluated prior to treatment and at 30, 75, 120, 180, and 240 minutes (±5 minutes) after the post-treatment rinse. These time points provide an adequate number of measurements over the four-hour treatment period, while leaving sufficient time between measurements for the requisite observations to be made for all eyes.

42. The endpoints evaluated are corneal opacity, swelling, fluorescein retention, and morphological effects (e.g., pitting or loosening of the epithelium). All of the endpoints, with the exception of fluorescein retention (which is determined only prior to treatment and 30 minutes after test chemical exposure) are determined at each of the above time points.

43. Photographs are advisable to document corneal opacity, fluorescein retention, morphological effects and, if conducted, histopathology.

44. After the final examination at four hours, users are encouraged to preserve eyes in an appropriate fixative (e.g., neutral buffered formalin) for possible histopathological examination (see paragraph 15 and reference (8) for details).
45. Corneal swelling is determined from corneal thickness measurements made with an optical pachymeter on a slit-lamp microscope. It is expressed as a percentage and is calculated from corneal thickness measurements according to the following formula:

\[
\left( \frac{\text{corneal thickness at time } t - \text{corneal thickness at time } = 0}{\text{corneal thickness at time } = 0} \right) \times 100
\]

46. The mean percentage of corneal swelling for all test eyes is calculated for all observation time points. Based on the highest mean score for corneal swelling, as observed at any time point, an overall category score is then given for each test chemical (see paragraph 51).

47. Corneal opacity is evaluated by using the area of the cornea that is most densely opacified for scoring as shown in Table 1. The mean corneal opacity value for all test eyes is calculated for all observation time points. Based on the highest mean score for corneal opacity, as observed at any time point, an overall category score is then given for each test chemical (see paragraph 51).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No opacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very faint opacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Scattered or diffuse areas; details of the iris are clearly visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Easily discernible translucent area; details of the iris are slightly obscured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Severe corneal opacity; no specific details of the iris are visible; size of the pupil is barely discernible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Complete corneal opacity; iris invisible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

48. Fluorescein retention is evaluated at the 30 minute observation time point only as shown in Table 2. The mean fluorescein retention value of all test eyes is then calculated for the 30-minute observation time point, and used for the overall category score given for each test chemical (see paragraph 51).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Observation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>No fluorescein retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Very minor single cell staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Single cell staining scattered throughout the treated area of the cornea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Focal or confluent dense single cell staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Confluent large areas of the cornea retaining fluorescein</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
49. Morphological effects include “pitting” of corneal epithelial cells, “loosening” of epithelium, “roughening” of the corneal surface and “sticking” of the test chemical to the cornea. These findings can vary in severity and may occur simultaneously. The classification of these findings is subjective according to the interpretation of the investigator.

DATA AND REPORTING

Data Evaluation

50. Results from corneal opacity, swelling, and fluorescein retention should be evaluated separately to generate an ICE class for each endpoint. The ICE classes for each endpoint are then combined to generate an Irritancy Classification for each test chemical.

Decision Criteria

51. Once each endpoint has been evaluated, ICE classes can be assigned based on a predetermined range. Interpretation of corneal swelling (Table 3), opacity (Table 4), and fluorescein retention (Table 5) using four ICE classes is done according to the scales shown below. It is important to note that the corneal swelling scores shown in Table 3 are only applicable if thickness is measured with a Haag-Streit BP900 slit-lamp microscope with depth-measuring device no. 1 and slit-width setting at 9½, equaling 0.095 mm. Users should be aware that slit-lamp microscopes could yield different corneal thickness measurements if the slit-width setting is different.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Corneal Swelling (%)</th>
<th>ICE Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 to 5</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;5 to 12</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 to 18 (&gt;75 min after treatment)</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;12 to 18 (≤75 min after treatment)</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;18 to 26</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;26 to 32 (&gt;75 min after treatment)</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;26 to 32 (≤75 min after treatment)</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Highest mean score observed at any time point

Table 3. ICE classification criteria for corneal swelling.

Table 4. ICE classification criteria for opacity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Mean Opacity Score</th>
<th>ICE Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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Table 5. ICE classification criteria for mean fluorescein retention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Fluorescein Retention Score at 30 minutes post-treatment*</th>
<th>ICE Class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0-0.5</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6-1.5</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6-2.5</td>
<td>III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6-4.0</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Maximum mean score observed at any time point (based on opacity scores as defined in Table 1).

Based on scores as defined in Table 2.

52. The *in vitro* classification for a test chemical is assessed by reading the GHS classification that corresponds to the combination of categories obtained for corneal swelling, corneal opacity, and fluorescein retention as described in Table 6.

**Study Acceptance Criteria**

53. A test is considered acceptable if the concurrent negative or vehicle/solvent controls and the concurrent positive controls are identified as GHS Non-Classified and GHS Category 1, respectively.

Table 6. Overall *in vitro* classifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UN GHS Classification</th>
<th>Combinations of the 3 Endpoints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No Category</td>
<td>3 x I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x I, 1 x II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x II, 1 x II*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*52*
No prediction can be made

Other combinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category 1</th>
<th>3 x IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x IV, 1 x III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x IV, 1 x II*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 x IV, 1 x I*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Corneal opacity ≥ 3 at 30 min (in at least 2 eyes)
Corneal opacity = 4 at any time point (in at least 2 eyes)
Severe loosening of the epithelium (in at least 1 eye)

*Combinations less likely to occur.

**Test Report**

54. The test report should include the following information, if relevant to the conduct of the study:

**Test Chemical and Control Substances**
- Chemical name(s) such as the structural name used by the Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS), followed by other names, if known;
- The CAS Registry Number (RN), if known;
- Purity and composition of the test chemical/control substance or preparation (in percentage(s) by weight), to the extent this information is available;
- Physicochemical properties such as physical state, volatility, pH, stability, chemical class water solubility relevant to the conduct of the study;
- Treatment of the test chemical/control substances prior to testing, if applicable (e.g., warming, grinding);
- Stability, if known;

**Information Concerning the Sponsor and the Test Facility**
- Name and address of the sponsor, test facility and study director;
- Identification on the source of the eyes (e.g., the facility from which they were collected);

**Test Method Conditions**
- Description of test system used;
- Slit-lamp microscope used (e.g., model) and instrument settings for the slit-lamp microscope used;
- Reference to historical negative and positive control results and, if applicable, historical data demonstrating acceptable concurrent benchmark control ranges;
- The procedure used to ensure the integrity (i.e., accuracy and reliability) of the test method over time (e.g., periodic testing of proficiency chemicals)).

**Eyes Collection and Preparation**
- Age and weight of the donor animal and if available, other specific characteristics of the animals from which the eyes were collected (e.g., sex, strain);
- Storage and transport conditions of eyes (e.g., date and time of eye collection, time interval between collection of chicken heads and placing the enucleated eyes in superfusion chamber);
- Preparation & mounting of the eyes including statements regarding their quality, temperature of eye chambers, and criteria for selection of eyes used for testing.

**Test Procedure**
- Number of replicates used;
- Identity of the negative and positive controls used (if applicable, also the solvent and benchmark controls);
- Test chemical dose, application and exposure time used;
- Observation time points (pre- and post- treatment);
- Description of evaluation and decision criteria used;
- Description of study acceptance criteria used;
- Description of any modifications of the test procedure.

**Results**
- Tabulation of corneal swelling, opacity and fluorescein retention scores obtained for each individual eye and at each observation time point, including the mean scores at each observation time of all tested eyes;
- The highest mean corneal swelling, opacity and fluorescein retention scores observed (from any time point), and its relating ICE class.
- Description of any other effects observed;
- The derived in vitro GHS classification;
- If appropriate, photographs of the eye;

**Discussion of the Results**

**Conclusion**
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ANNEX 1

DEFINITIONS

**Accuracy**: The closeness of agreement between test method results and accepted reference values. It is a measure of test method performance and one aspect of “relevance.” The term is often used interchangeably with “concordance”, to mean the proportion of correct outcomes of a test method.

**Benchmark substance**: A substance used as a standard for comparison to a test chemical. A benchmark substance should have the following properties; (i), a consistent and reliable source(s); (ii), structural and functional similarity to the class of substances being tested; (iii), known physical/chemical characteristics; (iv) supporting data on known effects; and (v), known potency in the range of the desired response.

**Bottom-Up Approach**: step-wise approach used for a chemical suspected of not requiring classification for eye irritation or serious eye damage, which starts with the determination of chemicals not requiring classification (negative outcome) from other chemicals (positive outcome).

**Cornea**: The transparent part of the front of the eyeball that covers the iris and pupil and admits light to the interior.

**Corneal opacity**: Measurement of the extent of opaqueness of the cornea following exposure to a test chemical. Increased corneal opacity is indicative of damage to the cornea.

**Corneal swelling**: An objective measurement in the ICE test of the extent of distension of the cornea following exposure to a test chemical. It is expressed as a percentage and is calculated from baseline (pre-dose) corneal thickness measurements and the thickness recorded at regular intervals after exposure to the test material in the ICE test. The degree of corneal swelling is indicative of damage to the cornea.

**Detergents**: any substance or mixture containing soaps and/or other surfactants intended for washing and cleaning processes. Detergents may be in any form (liquid, powder, paste, bar, cake, moulded piece, shape, etc.) and marketed for or used in household, or institutional or industrial purposes.

**Detergent and Cleaning Products**: includes, but is not limited to, hand dish wash liquids, laundry liquid detergents, laundry powder detergents, all purpose cleaners as well as alkaline and acidic extreme pH detergent and cleaning products.

**Eye Irritation**: Production of changes in the eye following the application of test chemical to the anterior surface of the eye, which are fully reversible within 21 days of application. Interchangeable with "Reversible effects on the Eye" and with "UN GHS Category 2" (4).

**False negative rate**: The proportion of all positive substances falsely identified by a test method as negative. It is one indicator of test method performance.
**False positive rate:** The proportion of all negative substances that are falsely identified by a test method as positive. It is one indicator of test method performance.

**Fluorescein retention:** A subjective measurement in the ICE test of the extent of fluorescein sodium that is retained by epithelial cells in the cornea following exposure to a test substance. The degree of fluorescein retention is indicative of damage to the corneal epithelium.

**Hazard:** Inherent property of an agent or situation having the potential to cause adverse effects when an organism, system or (sub) population is exposed to that agent.

**Irreversible effects on the eye:** see “Serious eye damage” and “UN GHS Category 1”.

**Mixture:** A mixture or a solution composed of two or more substances in which they do not react (4).

**Negative control:** An untreated replicate containing all components of a test system. This sample is processed with test chemical-treated samples and other control samples to determine whether the solvent interacts with the test system.

**Not Classified:** Substances that are not classified for eye irritation (UN GHS Category 2) or serious damage to eye (UN GHS Category 1). Interchangeable with “UN GHS No Category”.

**Positive control:** A replicate containing all components of a test system and treated with a chemical known to induce a positive response. To ensure that variability in the positive control response across time can be assessed, the magnitude of the severe response should not be excessive.

**Reliability:** Measures of the extent that a test method can be performed reproducibly within and between laboratories over time, when performed using the same protocol. It is assessed by calculating intra- and inter-laboratory reproducibility and intra-laboratory repeatability.

**Reversible effects on the Eye:** see "Eye Irritation" and "UN GHS Category 2".

**Serious eye damage:** Production of tissue damage in the eye, or serious physical decay of vision, following application of a test chemical to the anterior surface of the eye, which is not fully reversible within 21 days of application. Interchangeable with "Irreversible effects on the eye" and with "UN GHS Category 1" (4).

**Slit-lamp microscope:** An instrument used to directly examine the eye under the magnification of a binocular microscope by creating a stereoscopic, erect image. In the ICE test method, this instrument is used to view the anterior structures of the chicken eye as well as to objectively measure corneal thickness with a depth-measuring device attachment.

**Solvent/vehicle control:** An untreated sample containing all components of a test system, including the solvent or vehicle that is processed with the test chemical-treated and other control samples to establish the baseline response for the samples treated with the test
chemical dissolved in the same solvent or vehicle. When tested with a concurrent negative control, this sample also demonstrates whether the solvent or vehicle interacts with the test system.

**Substance:** Chemical elements and their compounds in the natural state or obtained by any production process, including any additive necessary to preserve the stability of the product and any impurities deriving from the process used, but excluding any solvent which may be separated without affecting the stability of the substance or changing its composition (4).

**Surfactant:** any organic substance and/or mixture which has surface-active properties and which consists of one or more hydrophilic and one or more hydrophobic groups of such a nature and size that it is capable of reducing the surface tension of water (i.e., below the international trade tariff value for surfactants of 45 mN/m), and of forming spreading or adsorption monolayers at the water-air interface, and of forming emulsions and/or microemulsions and/or micelles, and of adsorption at water-solid interfaces.[C9]

**Surfactant-containing mixture:** In the context of this Test Guideline, it is a mixture containing one or more surfactants at a final concentration of > 3%.[C10]

**Top-Down Approach:** step-wise approach used for a chemical suspected of causing serious eye damage, which starts with the determination of chemicals inducing serious eye damage (positive outcome) from other chemicals (negative outcome).

**Test chemical:** Chemical (substance or mixture) assessed in the test method.

**Tiered testing strategy:** A stepwise testing strategy where all existing information on a test chemical is reviewed, in a specified order, using a weight-of-evidence process at each tier to determine if sufficient information is available for a hazard classification decision, prior to progression to the next tier. If the irritancy potential of a test chemical can be assigned based on the existing information, no additional testing is required. If the irritancy potential of a test chemical cannot be assigned based on the existing information, a step-wise sequential animal testing procedure is performed until an unequivocal classification can be made.

**United Nations Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (UN GHS):** A system proposing the classification of chemicals (substances and mixtures) according to standardized types and levels of physical, health and environmental hazards, and addressing corresponding communication elements, such as pictograms, signal words, hazard statements, precautionary statements and safety data sheets, so that to convey information on their adverse effects with a view to protect people (including employers, workers, transporters, consumers and emergency responders) and the environment (4).

**UN GHS Category 1:** see “Serious damage to eyes” and/or “Irreversible effects on the eye”.

**UN GHS Category 2:** see "Eye Irritation" and/or "Reversible effects to the eye".

**UN No Category:** Substances that do not meet the requirements for classification as UN GHS Category 1 or 2 (2A or 2B). Interchangeable with “Not classified”.


Validated test method: A test method for which validation studies have been completed to determine the relevance (including accuracy) and reliability for a specific purpose. It is important to note that a validated test method may not have sufficient performance in terms of accuracy and reliability to be found acceptable for the proposed purpose.

Weight-of-evidence: The process of considering the strengths and weaknesses of various pieces of information in reaching and supporting a conclusion concerning the hazard potential of a chemical.
(Annexes 2 & 3: no changes)