



Expert Workshop on Tracking Long-Term Climate Finance from the Private Sector and the Multilateral Development Banks

Organised by the Climate Change Expert Group and the OECD Development Co-operation Directorate

Paris 10th November 2011

Chair's Summary

The aim of the workshop was to i) share experience of existing methodologies to track private sector flows for climate change and to discuss how these methodologies could be reinforced; and ii) raise the level of understanding of the integration of climate change flows from multilateral development banks (MDB) into the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC) Creditor Reporting System (CRS) database.

The workshop was chaired by Hedwig Riegler (Chair of OECD DAC Working Party on Statistics), and had participation from 22 countries, the World Bank, IADB, EIB, UNFCCC and UNEP as well as representatives from research organisations and business.

Introduction and general conclusions

In her introductory remarks the Chair highlighted the need to distinguish between “narrative reporting” and “measurement”. In the context of climate finance, measurement of flows requires as a minimum the definition of: i) overall set of “climate finance flows”, ii) relevant sub-sets (public and private climate finance, flows in support of mitigation and adaptation); iii) quantitative variables (reporting based on commitments and disbursements as opposed to pledges); and iv) point of measurement (timing and location of activities). Ambiguity about these technical aspects could easily result in inconsistent reporting on flows. The CCXG Secretariat briefed participants about the status of international climate negotiations and noted that it is difficult to divorce the technical questions on measurement from the political aspects of climate finance (in particular the financial commitment entered into by developed countries at COP16 to mobilise \$100bn per year by 2020 of climate support for developing countries).

The DAC Secretariat presented the DAC statistical framework – coverage, definitions of official vs. private and bilateral vs. multilateral flows, support provided vs. support received – to set the scene for the discussions on tracking different types of flows. Further clarifications were provided on international vs. domestic finance, concessional vs. non-concessional flows, and the scope for collecting data on mechanisms currently excluded from the system (e.g. risk mitigation instruments for which separate indicators could be developed).

The workshop was organised in four sessions, each focused on tracking a different type of financial flow: carbon markets, public sector interventions to leverage private sector investment, other private sector flows, and flows from multilateral development banks. The key points of discussions in each session are highlighted below. In general, the workshop was successful in sharing information on tracking climate finance flows, and in generating additional questions that would help define future work in this area.

Discussions highlighted that tracking financial flows is not straightforward due to the complexity of the climate finance landscape (multiple types of flows, distributed via multiple channels in a variety of countries, and serving multiple aims) and data gaps which still exist, especially on private sector flows. However there was general agreement that some progress was possible, even in the absence of political decisions on what counts as climate finance, and that the OECD could advance reflection on the definitional and methodological issues given its long experience in collecting statistics on aid and other resource flows to developing countries. Delegates noted that it would be helpful to pin down where better data are needed, e.g. on private flows beyond energy investments. Some technical suggestions might also be possible on measuring private flows catalysed through public funding to investment funds and PPPs, recording guarantees and the flows they catalyse taking into account the fact that guarantees are not flows in themselves, or using proxies to estimate leveraging impact for project categories that might have similar characteristics. Progress could also be made in proposing definitions for what qualifies as a “climate change investment”, although ultimately these decisions might be political in nature. The Secretariat will be preparing a draft paper for the Climate Change Expert Group (CCXG) seminar on 19-20 March 2012 which will propose some ways forward.

The Chair noted in her wrap-up that at least three different types of measurement had been addressed in the presentations and discussions, thus clarification seemed necessary as to what to measure: 1) mobilisation of resources, 2) actual flows 3) effectiveness or impact of investments? While there might be a justification for all three types, each required its own approach and basis of measurement, depending on the purpose of measurement and use of data (e.g. for monitoring progress on commitments for financial flows or assessing effectiveness of investments etc.). If progress towards establishing a firm measurement basis for tracking climate finance was to be made, definitional work was required also in terms of what is meant by “new and additional”, “mobilised”, and which sources to count.

Key themes from the individual sessions of the workshop

Carbon markets:

This session focused on methodologies for identifying and aggregating carbon market financial flows and underlying investments. The presentations and discussions highlighted several themes:

- There is a large difference in volume between carbon market revenues from credits, and investment in underlying projects. While the data on both are patchy and subject to lags, there are more unknowns about sources of project-level investment (e.g. domestic vs. international).
- There is a need to distinguish financial flows of credit trading (secondary market) from primary transactions that involve real projects, where data are less available. Up to 65% of financial flows related to CDM are secondary market, though total estimates will differ depending on whether you look at CDM projects that have received credits, those that are registered or in the wider pipeline, or also other markets (voluntary, new mechanisms etc.). Credit prices are often confidential and data have to be gathered by surveys and extrapolated through models.
- Many CDM projects are unilateral in terms of underlying investment being sourced domestically in the host country at the time of registration (although this can change over time as international investors are attracted). This implies that the international financial flows associated with such projects could be less significant than initially thought, but better data on underlying investments are needed to qualify this point. (Domestic funding would not be captured in the DAC database).
- Identifying the level of international carbon finance flowing via the carbon market has a high “political” component, as decisions will be needed e.g. on if/which flows in this area could count towards the \$100bn commitment, given that most credits are finally used as a contribution towards meeting mitigation targets. Similarly, demand for offsets will also be affected by political issues, including the future of Kyoto Protocol.

- Biennial reports to be submitted by countries to the UNFCCC might be a good starting point to track carbon market flows.

Public sector interventions to leverage private sector investment:

In this session, the DAC statistical framework (e.g. definitions, coverage) was presented in detail and used to illustrate the complexity of tracking private finance leveraged by public interventions. Through three concrete examples (GEEREF, CP3, ITF)¹, the DAC Secretariat explained the extent to which a statistical system such as the DAC CRS could capture the total volume of public and private financing catalysed by these mechanisms. Possible limitations and challenges were also highlighted (i.e. double counting of financial flows). Participants were invited to give their views on how the concept of leveraging should be defined (broadly or narrowly), and share their experience with regard to the existing methodologies for tracking private climate finance leveraged by these types of interventions.

More specifically, discussions on definition of the term “leveraging” included the following issues:

- Public sector flows are important in the context of overall climate finance flows, and also have an important role to play in leveraging private finance, directly or indirectly.
- There are several different possible definitions of leveraging, varying from the usual meaning of the term in the financial world (amount of debt that could be raised for given equity) to co-financing for projects. Delegates did not agree whether or not further work is needed on defining leveraging, or whether efforts should focus on defining mobilised (as per the Cancun Agreement texts). However, any proposals to expand DAC statistical collections in this area would require definitions and would need to address the specific issue of double counts.
- Being clear about the counter-factual is important and not easy (i.e. what investment would have happened in the absence of climate finance?).
- The extent of leveraging is not a good reflection of the ‘quality’ of a project or programme. Leveraging in the sense of public disbursement increasing private sector investment is highly related to investment risk and associated returns, and this varies by project type and sector. For example, adaptation-relevant projects may have lower leveraging ratios as they offer lower risk but lower returns.
- Experience with public-private-partnerships (PPP) outside of the climate finance sphere has highlighted the complexity of tracking private to public ratios in large investment programmes.

Other private sector flows:

This session focused on how to track private sector flows outside of the carbon market (e.g. Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)). Key points of discussion included:

- There is no clear definition of what constitutes a private investment relevant to climate change. The level of private sector flows is highly uncertain (partly because it is not clear what to count, e.g. in terms domestic vs. international investment, ‘green FDI’, clean energy, additional flows, loan guarantees, etc.).
- Private sector investment flows are complex, making it difficult to track the original ‘domicile’ of the finance, and whether it was mobilised for climate finance purposes.
- Tracking private sector climate finance flows is difficult also as there is currently a lack of comprehensive and sufficiently detailed data on private flows in general. For example, the OECD

¹ GEEREF: Global Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy fund. CP3: Climate Public Private Partnership (CP3) for Asia. ITF: EU-Africa infrastructure Trust Fund.

data on FDI flows are disaggregated by recipient and by industry but relate only to FDI from OECD member countries; the Bloomberg New Energy Finance (BNEF) database tracks clean energy finance flows; other sources have only patchy data.

- Defining and measuring green FDI from existing statistics is the challenge while most governments are generally unwilling to launch new data collection exercises. OECD (DAF) is working on meaningful indicators of green FDI by record linkage of FDI and environmental accounts.
- Data quality also varies. The main issue for FDI is however that the data do not necessarily reflect the ultimate recipient countries of the investments as finance can flow through intermediaries.
- ‘Clean’ energy data (not including large hydro, natural gas or other fossil fuel plants) are available in detail from BNEF, including some information on financial sources, but ‘buffers’ are used to be sure of capturing all investments. The data do not always distinguish between national and international flows, however there may be a possibility to improve this.
- Participants did not agree on how to define “additional” finance (or even if it was necessary at this point to do so), but consensus emerged that understanding the full landscape of finance – i.e. investments in low-carbon activities and infrastructure – is important to be able to subsequently define what is additional and what is counted towards international climate finance commitments.

Flows from multilateral development banks:

The session focused on climate finance flows of multilateral development banks (MDBs), and on their possible inclusion in the OECD DAC CRS database. It included the following discussion points:

- The DAC approximates countries’ multilateral support to climate change through “imputed amounts”, i.e. climate-related shares of their core contributions to MDBs. Shares are calculated as the percentage of MDBs’ outflows targeted at climate change.
- A shift towards low-carbon and climate-resilient development can be observed in MDBs, several of which are now bound to lending targets in this field. However, their projects remain first and foremost developmental, and climate objectives are generally only secondary considerations.
- MDBs are working together on harmonising their methodologies for tracking climate flows. Joint tracking criteria are being established in order to create a common basis for accounting, both for mitigation (workstream led by IADB, close to agreement) and adaptation (led by AfDB, early stage of discussion).
- MDBs will report on Rio markers to the OECD DAC CRS using these common criteria in future, but they may need to maintain their own specific criteria as well, for the purpose of their internal reporting.
- Rio marker data in the DAC CRS database distinguish between funding that is either “significantly” or “principally” targeting objectives as laid out in the Rio Conventions. The possibility to better quantify these data was discussed. Some governments apply a fixed percentage to funding amounts scored as significant, and others (e.g. the World Bank) break down project costs to identify climate-related components. While the OECD is open to future work on quantification of markers, not all participants agreed that this would be feasible or advisable: markers were created as a qualitative measure; also, the non-climate-related portion of projects could be considered as having mobilised the climate-related portion, and thus should not be dissociated.

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Chair: Ms. Hedwig RIEGLER (Austria)

- Australia
- Ms. Joanne FREDERIKSEN
Deputy Permanent Representative
Permanent Delegation
4, rue Jean Rey
75015 Paris,
France
- Ms. Deborah FULTON
Counsellor (Development Cooperation)
Permanent Delegation
4, rue Jean Rey
75724 Cedex 15 Paris
France
- Ms. Lyndal MANSON
Advisor (Development Cooperation)
Permanent Delegation
4, rue Jean Rey
75724 Cedex 15 Paris
France
- Austria
- Ms. Hedwig RIEGLER (**Chair**)
Head of Statistics, Chair of OECD DAC Working Party on Statistics
Statistics
TBK Technical Consultancy
Franz-Hochedlinger-Gasse 9/2
A-1020 Vienna
Austria
- Belgium
- Mr. Patrick HOLLEBOSCH
In charge of Program
D4.3 International Environment and Agricultural Research
FPS Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation
Egmont I - Room H306
Karmelietenstraat 15 --
B-1000 Brussels
Belgium
- Ms. Sarah KUEN
Attachée
Changement climatique
Federal Public Service, Public Health, Food Chain Safety, Environment
SPF Santé publique, Sécurité de la chaîne alimentaire
Place Victor Horta, 40bte 10
1060 Brussels, Belgium

Belgium

Mrs. Vicky NOENS
Policy Advisor,
Dept. of Environment, Nature and Energy
Flemish Government
Koning Albert II-laan, 20
1000 Brussels,
Belgium

Mr. Antoon VAN BROECKHOVEN
FPS Foreign Affairs, Foreign Trade and Development Cooperation
D0.2 ODA Data Management
rue des Petits Carmes 15
1000 Brussels
Belgium

Canada

Ms. Jessica STRAUSS
Senior Policy Advisor, Policy and Negotiations, Environment Canada
200, SACRE COEUR BLVD
K1A 0H3 Gatineau
Canada

Ms. Stéphanie LETOURNEAU
Policy Officer, Climate Change and Energy, Foreign Affairs and International
Trade Canada
111 Sussex Drive
K1N 1J1 Ottawa
Canada

Czech Republic

Ms. Helena CIZKOVA
First Secretary, Permanent Delegation
40, rue Boulainvilliers
75016 Paris
France

Miss Andrea MATEJKOVA
Trainee

Miss Marie STRATILOVA
Trainee

EU

Ms. Simona CONSTANTIN
CLIMA
European Union
rue de la Loi
1049 Bruxelles
Belgium

France
Mme Delphine EYRAUD
Chargée des finances et du marché carbone, Ministère de l'Ecologie, du
Développement Durable, des transports et du logement
Tour Pascal A
92055 La Défense Cedex
France

France
M. Rémy LAURANSON
Adjoint au Chef du bureau changement climatique et maîtrise de l'énergie
Secrétariat Général Direction des Affaires européennes et internationales
Ministère de l'Ecologie, du Développement durable, des Transports et du
Logement
Sous-direction du Changement climatique et du Déve
Tour Pascal A
92055 La Défense cedex
France

Germany
Miss Katrin ENTING
Junior Analyst
Competence Centre for Environment and Climate, KfW Development Bank,
KfW Bankengruppe
PALMENGARTENSTRASSE 5 9
60325 Frankfurt
Germany

Greece
Ms. Vasiliki MANAVI
First Secretary, Permanent Delegation
15, Villa Saïd
75116 Paris,
France

Italy
Mr. Andrea CONGREGALLI
Trainee
Permanent Delegation of Italy to the O.E.C.D.
73, rue de Grenelle
75007 Paris
France

Ms. Giulia DRAMIS
Advisor , Department for Sustainable Development, Climate Change and
Energy, Ministry for the Environment
Via Cristoforo Colombo, 44
00147 Rome, Italy

Italy
Miss Martina GIORGIUTTI
Trainee, Permanent Delegation of Italy to the O.E.C.D.
73, rue de Grenelle
75007 Paris
France

Ms. Estella PANCALDI
Advisor Climate Change and Carbon Markets

Japan
Mr. Fumio ITO
First Secretary
Environment
Permanent Delegation
11, avenue Hoche
75008 Paris
France

Japan
Professor Norichika KANIE
Associate Professor, Graduate School of Decision Science and Technology
Department of Value and Decision Science
Tokyo Institute of Technology
2-12-1-W9-43 Ookayama
Meguro-ku
152-8552 Tokyo
Japan

Mr. Hidemasa NAKAMURA
Counsellor
Permanent Delegation
11, avenue Hoche
75008 Paris
France

Mr. Tomonori SUDO
Expert, Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA)
5-25 Nibancho, Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo,
Japan

Korea
Dr. Jeongwon PARK
Senior Researcher
Permanent Delegation of Korea to the OECD
4 Place de la Porte de Passy
75016 Paris,
France

Luxembourg	<p>Mme Aurélie KLEIN Attachée, Coopération au développement Délégation Permanente 33, avenue Rapp 75007 Paris France</p>
Mali	<p>Mr. Hussen Alfa NAFO Chef de la Section Partenariat et Mobilisation des ressources financières Agence de l'Environnement et du Développement Durable Bamako, Mali</p>
Netherlands	<p>Mr. Peter SCHUURMAN Counsellor , Permanent Delegation 12-14 rue Octave-Feuillet 75116 Paris France</p>
Netherlands	<p>Mr. Tim DOUMA International Directorate - Climate Ministry of Infrastructure and Environment P.O. Box 20952 2500 EZ The Hague Netherlands</p> <p>Mr. Andre LOOZEKOOT Senior Official PFM Financial Management Advice Division Ministry of Foreign Affairs PO Box 20061 The Hague, Netherlands</p>
Norway	<p>Mr. Sveinung André KVALØ Senior Adviser Department for Climate Change and Pollution Control Ministry of Environment Postboks 8013 DEP 0030 Oslo Norway</p> <p>Ms. Kari Hauge RIISØEN Counsellor Permanent Delegation 33, rue de Franqueville 75116 Paris, France</p>

Pakistan	<p>Mr. Nauman Bashir BHATTI Second Secretary/HOC Embassy of Pakistan Schaper Str. 29 10719 Berlin, Germany</p>
Portugal	<p>Ms. Ana Paula FERNANDES Counsellor Permanent Delegation 10, bis rue Edouard Fournier 75016 Paris, France</p>
Switzerland	<p>Mrs. Marjorie PERROUD Département fédéral de l'environnement, des transports, de l'énergie et de la communication - DETEC Office fédéral de l'environnement – OFEV, Section Climat Papiermühlestrasse 172 3063 Ittigen</p> <p>Mr. Philippe BESSON Conseiller d'Ambassade Permanent Delegation 28, rue de Martignac 75007 Paris France</p>
Switzerland	<p>Mr. Daniel CURNIER Federal Department of Foreign Affairs (FDFA) Corporate Domain Global Cooperation, Global Programme Climate Change Freiburgstrasse 130 3003 Berne, Switzerland</p>
Turkey	<p>Ms. Sezi ANAC ERSOY Second Secretary Permanent Delegation 9, rue Alfred-Dehodencq 75116 Paris France</p>
United Kingdom	<p>Miss Marney CRAINEY Prosperity fund Programme Manager ECE team Economics Climate and Energy Team UK Delegation to the OECD British Embassy Paris 35 rue du Faubourg St Honore 75008 Paris France</p>

Miss Stephanie OCKENDEN
Department of Energy and Climate Change
3 Whitehall Place, London SW1 P 2AW
United Kingdom

Ms. Gail WARRANDER
UK DFID

United States

Ms. Jessica BROWN
Foreign Affairs Officer
State Department
United States

Mr. Robert NEWSOME
Energy and Environment Advisor
Permanent Delegation
12 avenue Raphael
Paris,
France

INVITED ORGANISATIONS

Bloomberg New Energy Finance

Mr. Abraham LOUW
Data quality manager
Bloomberg New Energy Finance
Bloomberg LP, City Gate House
39-45 Finsbury Square
EC2A 1PQ London
United Kingdom

CDC Climate Asset Management

M. Francois BEAURAIN
Investment Manager
CDC Climate Asset Management
47, rue de la Victoire
75009 Paris
France

Ms. Smaila CAMARA
Gérant d'Investissements
Investment Manager

Chalmers/University of Gothenburg	Ms. Gunilla OLUND WINGQVIST Sida's Helpdesk for Environment and Climate Change Center for Environment and Sustainability (GMV), Environmental Economics and Policy Chalmers/University of Gothenburg Aschebergsgatan 44 405 30 Göteborg Sweden
Climate Policy Initiative (CPI)	Dr. Barbara BUCHNER Director, Climate Policy Initiative Isola di San Giorgio Maggiore 8 30124 Venice Italy
European Climate Foundation (ECF)	Delia VILAGRASA Senior Advisor Climate Diplomacy European Climate Foundation (ECF) Rue de Stassart 48 B-1050 Brussels Belgium
European Investment Bank (EIB)/Banque européenne d'investissement (BEI)	Mr. Cyrille ARNOULD Head European Investment Bank Mr. Louis HAYNES PJ/ECSO – Climate Change Adaptation Projects Directorate - ECSO - Adaptation to Climate Change Programme European Investment Bank (EIB) 100, BD KONRAD ADENAUER 2950 Luxembourg Luxembourg Mr. Matthias ZOELLNER Managerial Adviser Environment, Climate and Social Office European Investment Bank (EIB) 100, BOULEVARD KONRAD ADENAUER L-2950 Luxembourg Luxembourg
Global Canopy Programme	Mr. Charlie PARKER Policy Analyst Global Canopy Programme John Krebs Field Station OX2 8QJ Oxford United Kingdom

Inter-American Development Bank (IDB)/Banque interaméricaine de développement (BID)	Dr. Claudio ALATORRE FRENK Sustainable Energy and Climate Change Unit Inter-American Development Bank 1300 New York Ave NW Washington United States
Margaree Consultants Inc.	Mr. Erik HAITES Margaree Consultants Inc. 120 Adelaide Street West, Suite 2500 Toronto Canada
Sindicatum Sustainable Resources	Mr. Assaad RAZZOUK Group Chief Executive Officer Sindicatum Sustainable Resources 391B Orchard Road, #15-02 Ngee Ann City Tower B 238874 Singapore
Thomson Reuters	Mr. Andreas ARVANITAKIS Associate Director, Point Carbon Thomson Reuters 102-108 Clerkenwell Road EC1M 5SA London United Kingdom
UN Environment Programme (UNEP)	Mr. Dean COOPER Head, Energy Finance Unit Energy Branch, Division of Technology, Industry and Economics UN Environment Programme (UNEP) 15 rue de Milan 75009 Paris France
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)	Mr. Jagjeet SAREEN Programme Officer UNFCCC Secretariat Martin-Luther-King-Strasse 8 53175 Bonn Germany
World Bank	M. Philippe AMBROSI Environmental Economist, Climate Change, Environment Department, The World Bank 1818 H Street DC 20433 Washington , United States

World Resources Institute

Ms Shally (Visalakshi) VENUGOPAL
Markets and Enterprise Program
10 G Street NE, Suite 800
Washington DC 20001
United States

OECD/IEA Secretariat

**International Energy
Agency (IEA)**

M. Richard BARON
Deputy Head of Division and Principal Administrator,
9, rue de la Fédération
75015 Paris, France

Mr. Philippe BENOIT
Head of Division, IEA/SPT/EED

Mr. Kevin BREEN
Consultant, IEA/SPT/ETP

**Organisation for Economic
Co-Operation and
Development (OECD)**

Environment Directorate

Miss Geraldine ANG
Consultant, ENV/CBD
2, rue André-Pascal
75775 Paris cedex 16, France

Ms. Christa CLAPP
Economist/Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Mrs. Jan CORFEE-MORLOT
Principal Administrator, ENV/CBD

Mr. Lukas DIBLASIO BROCHARD
Junior Economist/Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Ms. Jane ELLIS
Principal Administrator, ENV/CBD

Miss Nicolina LAMHAUGE
Junior Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Mr. Michael MULLAN
Economist/Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Environment Directorate Ms. Nelly PETKOVA
Economist/Policy Analyst, ENV/EPI

Mr. Andrew PRAG
Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Environment Directorate Ms. Nelly PETKOVA
Economist/Policy Analyst, ENV/EPI

Mr. Andrew PRAG
Policy Analyst, ENV/CBD

Ms. Pascale ROSSIGNOL
Assistant, ENV/CBD

Ms. Lisette VAN MARREWIJK
Consultant
ENV/CBD

**Development Co-operation
Directorate (DCD)** M. Remy PARIS
Principal Administrator, Policy Division, DCD/POL

Mrs. Julia BENN
Senior Policy Analyst, Statistics and Monitoring Division DCD/STAT

Ms. Maria CONSOLATI
Assistant to Head of Division, Policy Division, DCD/POL

Mme Valérie GAVEAU
Statistical Analyst, DCD/STAT

Mr. William HYNES
Policy Analyst (Aid for Trade & Green Growth), DCD/POL

Ms. Melissa SANDER
Secretary/Assistant, Policy Division, DCD/POL

Mme Cécile SANGARE
Statistical Analyst, DCD/STAT

Mr. Giovanni Maria SEMERARO
Statistician, DCD/STAT

Mrs. Angela STUART
Assistant, DCD/REED

Miss Shannon WANG
Policy Analyst, DCD/POL

Mme Cécilia PIEMONTE
Statistician, DCD/STAT

Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs (DAF) Mme Ayse BERTRAND
Lead Statistician, WG on International Investment Statistics, DAF/INV

Trade and Agriculture Directorate (TAD) Mr. Michael GONTER
Senior Policy Analyst, TAD/XCR

Mr. Julian PAISEY
Policy Analyst, TAD/XCR
France