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Outline of presentation

- COP 16 outcomes
- Purpose and scope
- Outcomes of ICA
- Process and sequence
- Frequency and timing
- Key questions for discussion
Purpose and scope

Decision text on ICA

“aim to increase transparency of mitigation actions and their effects”

“facilitative sharing of views”

Examples from other review processes

- Enhance dialogue
- Improve reporting over time
- Comparable and consistent data
Outcomes of ICA

**Decision text**

“non-intrusive, non-punitive and respectful of national sovereignty”

“facilitative”

“will result in a summary report”

**Examples from other review processes**

- Intensify consultations
- Provide recommendations
- Provide additional support
Process and sequence

Technical experts

Analysis

Party concerned

Need to decide
- Sequence
- Documents
- In-country visit

Consultations

Other Parties (SBI)

Consultations

Other stakeholders
Frequency and timing

NC = National communication
BR = Biennial update report
ICA = International consultations and analysis

Year | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
NC | ? | **ICA** | ? | ? | **ICA** | ? | ? |
Key questions

1. What should be the **purpose and scope** of ICA?
2. How could facilitative **outcomes** be ensured?
3. What should be the **sequence** of the steps in ICA?
4. What form should the **consultations** take, and which **stakeholders** should be involved?
5. Could any aspects of ICA be **tiered** to reflect the widely varying national circumstances of developing countries?
6. Would ICA be conducted in years when a **national communication** is published, and if so, how?