INCOME DISTRIBUTION DATA REVIEW – ITALY

1. Available data sources used for reporting on income inequality and poverty

1.1. OECD reporting:


- From 1984 to 1993, OECD estimates were based on data the micro-simulation model ITAXMOD95, based on the Survey on Household Income and Wealth (SHIW), conducted by the Bank of Italy.

- From 1995 to 2004, OECD estimates were based the micro-simulation model MASTRICT, maintained at ISTAT, and also based on the data from the Survey on Household Income and Wealth (SHIW). Both micro-simulation models integrated the original survey records with imputations on taxes paid by households (information on which was not available in SHIW) but, in the process, also altered some of the values on market income. Data from this model were also provided for the income year 2008.

- From 2006 onwards (survey year: 2007 onwards), OECD estimates have been based on the EU Survey on Income and Living Conditions (EU-SILC).

Therefore, several breaks need to be taken into consideration when reporting OECD time-series data. First, a break in 1995 (due to the change in the micro-simulation model). Second, a break in 2006 (due to the switch to EU-SILC survey). These two breaks are highlighted in the different graphs presented below. The OECD Secretariat has addressed these breaks through multiplicative adjustments in 2006; while no adjustment is made for 1993-1995, on account of the fact that estimates based on the two micro-simulation models for adjacent years are very close to each other.

Please note that in the OECD database, several data may be provided for the same year. The following ‘flags’ are included in the database:

- Data labelled as “old” are those based on the ITAXMOD95 micro-simulation model;
- Data labelled as “previous” are those based on the MASTRICT micro-simulation model;
- Data labelled as “current” refer to EU-SILC data from 2006 onwards, while data for previous years are based on backward interpolation from the current EU-SILC series in order to have a consistent long time-series of data. Backward interpolation was based on the MASTRICT micro-

1 This revised version of this “Income Distribution review – Italy” benefited from valuable comments from Gaetano Proto, ISTAT.
simulation model for the years 2006 to 1995; and on the ITAXMOD95 micro-simulation model for years from 1993 to 1984.

Evidence in this Review is based on the OECD “Current” data for better consistency over time.

1.2. National reporting and reporting in other international agencies:

The following datasets are available for Italy:

- The Bank of Italy’s Survey on Household Income and Wealth provides the longest record of household income data for Italy. Data from the most recent wave (2010) are available at: http://www.bancaditalia.it/statistiche/indcamp/bilfai/boll_stat/en_suppl_06_12n.pdf. The survey has undergone a number of methodological changes, the most significant among them being the integration of income from financial assets and family cash benefits (assegni familiari) since 2005.

- ISTAT started to collect information on the distribution of household income through the European Community Household Panel (ECHP), from 1994 to 2001, and though the EU Survey of Income and Living Conditions since 2006 (survey year: 2007). The information collected through EU-SILC has traditionally referred to net income, i.e. income after taxes. Starting in 2007, ISTAT started releasing information on ‘gross’ income, which takes into account taxes and social security contributions paid by workers. Estimates of taxes paid (and gross income) are based on the microsimulation model SM2 of the University of Siena. A description of the methodological features of the imputation is available at: http://www3.istat.it/dati/catalogo/20110726_00/ Relative to SHIW, EU-SILC has a larger sample size and response rates. Estimates of income inequality based on EU-SILC display greater inequality than those based on SHIW, reflecting a higher income share of richer households and a lower share of poorer ones: the Gini coefficient for equivalised income in EU-SILC is reported by ISTAT studies at 0.396 in 2002, as compared to 37.3 in SHIW.

- National reporting on the distribution of household economic resources in Italy has typically been based on measures of household consumption, rather than income, based on data collected by ISTAT in its household budget survey. Based on this source, ISTAT calculates relative and absolute poverty rates and data have been available on ISTAT statistics database since 1997. The estimation of the relative poverty, is based on a poverty line (International standard of poverty Line-ISPL) defining as poor a household of two components with a consumption expenditure lower or equal to the mean per-capita consumption expenditure; for household of different sizes, an equivalence scale is used to take into account different needs. The figures on relative poverty rates provided by ISTAT are labelled as “household relative poverty incidence in percentage”. This rate was estimated at 11.1% for 2011.


- The definition of private household used in Italy refers to “Cohabitants related through marriage, kinship, affinity, patronage and affection constitute the private household”.
- Live-in domestic personnel are not included as household members in Italy. Concerning these persons, only some socio-demographic information is collected (date of birth, sex, marital status, and duration of stay in the household).

The below table presents the main characteristics of those datasets:

**Table 1. Characteristics of dataset, Italy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Survey on Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</th>
<th>EU-SILC</th>
<th>Households Budget Survey</th>
<th>Luxembourg Income Study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of the responsible agency</td>
<td>Banca d’Italia</td>
<td>Eurostat</td>
<td>ISTAT</td>
<td>Banca d’Italia (based on the Household Income and Wealth Survey - Indagine sui Bilanci delle Famiglie Italiane)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Every two year. Microdata have been available since 1977 Last wave available: 2010</td>
<td>Annually since 2004 (income year : 2003)</td>
<td>Annually since 1997 (it was existing since 1953 but has been completely renewed in 1997)</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collecting</td>
<td>Between January and September</td>
<td>Between May and December</td>
<td>Household Budget Survey is collecting data quarterly</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covered population</td>
<td>All Italian households excluding people living in barracks, rest homes and hospitals (estimated at 7 per thousand of the total resident population – 2008 wave)</td>
<td>All Italian households registered in the municipalities. NB: Live-in domestic personal (au pairs) are not included as household members in Italy. Concerning these persons, only some socio-demographic information is collected (date of birth, sex, marital status, and duration of stay in the household). The number of these persons included in the sample was 62 (0.13% of interviewed individuals – wave 2010).</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample size</td>
<td>Approx. 8000 households with 24 000 individuals 7,951 households (2010); 7,977 (2008); 7,768 (2006); 8012 (2004); 8011 (2002); 7147 (1998); 8135 (1995); 8089 (1993); 8188 (1991); 8274 (1989); 8027 (1987).</td>
<td>The minimum sample size is 7250 households (15 500 individuals aged 16 or over). Achieved sample size (2010): 19 147</td>
<td>Approx. 24 000 households</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method of data collection</td>
<td>Mainly by Computer-Assisted Personal Interviewing program</td>
<td>From registers and interviews (for interviews, single mode of data)</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling method</td>
<td>Two stage stratified: municipalities and then households</td>
<td>Stratified two-stage clustered sampling design, with systematic sampling of households from municipalities</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling unit</td>
<td>Households and Individuals</td>
<td>Municipalities (primary sample unit) and households (secondary sample unit)</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imputation of data</td>
<td>Yes (less than 4% in 2010)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Same than the Survey Household Income and Wealth (SHIW)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response rates</td>
<td>52.7% (2010 wave)</td>
<td>80.25% (Household response rate – Total sample - 2010 wave)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remark</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Annual income of the year prior to the survey Before 2004, Eurostat was compiling statistics for Italy since 1994.</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Comparison of main results from OECD and alternative sources

#### 2.1 Disposable income

##### 2.1.1 Time series of Gini coefficients and other inequality indicators

Time series on Gini coefficients are estimated by various institutions which enable comparisons over the long time period comprised from 1984 to 2010. The Figure below shows time-series of the Gini coefficients from:

- the OECD database, with breaks shown for 1995 and 2006,
- the Luxembourg Income Survey,
- the Bank of Italy (with the Survey on Households Income and Wealth – SHIW),
- Eurostat,
- The ISTAT Household Budget Survey.
The Bank of Italy computes two types of Gini coefficients: one across households, and the second across individuals, based on a concept of equivalent income. For the purpose of comparisons, this note relies on the SHIW coefficients for equivalent incomes per person (which are lower than the Gini coefficient across households), which are available only since 1995.

For the income year 2010, the Bank of Italy has estimated the Gini coefficient at 0.33, unchanged since the 2008 survey. The Bank of Italy also reports that the “average annual household income, net of tax and social security contributions, was €32,714 in 2010”, i.e. an average monthly income of €2,726. The Bank of Italy defines equivalent income as “a measure that takes the size and composition of households into account”. The equivalent income was estimated at “€18,914 per individual in 2010, which was 0.6 per cent lower than in 2008 in real terms.


It should be noted that inequality indicators may be affected not only by the reference to consumption rather than income and to equivalent rather than unequivalised values, but also by the comprehensiveness of the income (or consumption) concept adopted. In particular, this may include or not imputed rents of owner-occupied dwellings, a non-monetary item usually resulting in lower inequality estimates in Italy (e.g, the Gini concentration index for 2009 estimated with EU-SILC data amounts to 0.290 and to 0.312 respectively -- see http://dati.istat.it/?lang=en --> Households Economic Conditions and Disparities --> Income --> Net family income distribution indicators).

---

2 http://www.bancaditalia.it/statistiche/indcamp/bilfait/boll_stat/en_suppl_06_12n.pdf
Over the period 1984 – 2010, income inequalities remained pretty stable in Italy, with the Gini coefficients ranging between 0.30 and 0.35, with only exception of the period 1991-1993 where inequalities recorded a significant increase. Gini coefficients from the different databases available are all included within this corridor. More detailed patterns in different sub-periods are provided below:

- From 1984 to 1993, OECD data can be compared with the Luxembourg Income Survey. Both series follow the same trend, which is not surprising as both time-series are based on the Survey on Household Income and Wealth (SHIW) conducted by the Bank of Italy. Over this decade, inequalities remained stable except between 1991 and 1993 where Gini coefficients rose up to 0.345 according to the OECD (0.339 according to the LIS) over these two years.

- From 1995 to 2004, OECD data points can be compared with those from the Bank of Italy (Survey on Household Income and Wealth), Eurostat and the Luxembourg Income Survey. Over this period of time, inequalities remained pretty stable around 0.32. The Bank of Italy recorded a Gini coefficient of 0.362 for household income and 0.329 for equivalent income in 1995.

- From 1995 to 2000, the spread between the OECD estimates and the Eurostat’s ones widened. In 2000, the spread (0.44) was the largest between the Gini coefficient computed by Eurostat (0.290) and the one calculated by the Luxembourg Income Survey (0.334). The estimates by the OECD and the Bank on Italy were between these two values.

- From 2006 onwards, OECD data can be compared with Bank of Italy, EU-SILC and ISTAT data. OECD estimates for this sub-period coincide with the EU-SILC ones. The difference between the various series narrowed over this period, which can partly be explained by the increased use of the same source of inputs.

Overall, Figure 1. shows a growing convergence of data over time between the different datasets

The relative steadiness of Gini coefficients over time is corroborated also by the income quintile share ratio (S80/S20), see Figure 2. The OECD income quintile share ratio increased from 4.5 in 1984 to 5.22 in 2009, but most of this increase occurred over the years 1993-1995. For 2007 to 2009, the OECD figures are perfectly matching the EU-SILC estimates. Over this long period of time, OECD time-series can be compared with the following datasets regarding the quintile share ratio:

- Eurostat (before and after the EU-SILC),

- ISTAT.
Between 1995 and 2000, the OECD estimates of the S80/S20 ratio slightly declines to 5.5 in 2004. In 2000, this ratio as calculated by the OECD was higher than the one recorded by Eurostat (5.5% for the OECD vs. 4.8% for Eurostat).

Since 2003, the OECD levels of this ratio match those computed by Eurostat based on EU-SILC. Over this period, income distribution inequality appears to have been slightly declining to 5.2% (both for the OECD and for EU-SILC) in 2009, the latest available data.

The convergence between OECD and EU-SILC time-series regarding the income quintile share ratio is also confirmed by ISTAT figures.

2.1.2 Time series of poverty rates

Time series on relative poverty rates based on a 50% threshold are estimated by a large number of institutions which enable comparisons over a long period of time (1984 - 2011). The below chart is showing the point-lines on poverty rates as per estimated by the OECD, the Luxembourg Income Study, the Bank of Italy, ISTAT and Eurostat (before and after the launch of EU-SILC survey).
The latest available relative poverty rate was estimated by ISTAT at 11.1% in 2011 (absolute poverty is 5.2%)\(^3\), broadly unchanged from the previous year (11.0%). According to the national statistical office, the relative poverty threshold for a two-member household was equal to 1.011 euros per month, up from 0.992 euros in 2010 (without taking into consideration the variations both of consumer prices and of the mean of consumption expenditure)\(^4\).

Since 1984, relative poverty rates have been quite stable with a few movements up to now. OECD time-series can be compared over different sub-periods:

- From 1984 to 1995, relative poverty rates remained stable up to 1991 before increasing significantly between 1991 and 1994, from 11.1% to 14.2%. OECD time-series and the Luxembourg Income Survey ones perfectly match, as both time-series are based on data from SHIW. Bank of Italy’s poverty estimates are based on the concept of equivalent income.

- From 1995 to 2006, the OECD time-series shows a decrease of poverty rates from 14.7% in 1995 to 11.8% in 2004. This decrease is also confirmed by Eurostat, whose estimate decline from 14% in 1994 to 12.4% in 2006. Over the same period, data from Bank of Italy, ISTAT and LIS also recorded a decline, but smaller than the OECD one.

- From 2006 onwards, the OECD time-series is pretty unchanged, from 12.5% in 2006 to 12.1% in 2009, as are the Eurostat and ISTAT time-series. In contrast, the data from the Bank of Italy show a slight increase over the period (to a level of 14.4% in 2010).

Estimates of relative poverty rates based on a 60% threshold follow the same trend than those based on a 50% threshold. The Figure below compare the OECD time-series to those available from the Luxembourg Income Survey and the EU-SILC data over the period 1984-2009. The 2008 value of the OECD series based on the micro-simulation model MASTRICT is shown for information.

---

\(^3\) http://www.istat.it/en/archive/67035

Over the period 1984 - 2009, poverty rates based on a 60% threshold slightly increased, moving in a range between 16 and 22%. In 2009, the latest available data, the OECD estimated that 19% of the Italian population was living with less than 60% of the equivalised median income, a value which is fairly close than the one from Eurostat (18.2%) for the same year.

From 1984 to 1993, relative poverty rates based on this threshold increased from 16.1% to 21.9% according to the OECD. This increase is also confirmed by the Luxembourg Income Study.

Between 1995 and 2004, these poverty rates slightly decreased. This decrease is more significant for the OECD data which moved down to 18.6% in 2004. The Luxembourg Income Study shows a similar decline but with a smaller variation: according to LIS, 20.3% of the Italian population was living with less than 60% of the median income in 2004, well above the OECD estimate. The OECD values based on ITAXMOD95 are higher than the ones based on MASTRICT (19.7%). In 2004, Eurostat started publishing data for Italy, showing values very close to the OECD ones (18.9% for Eurostat, compared to 18.6% for the OECD).

From 2006 onwards, the OECD dataset is based on the EU-SILC and this time-series can be compared with Eurostat estimates. The two lines are perfectly matching and the different between the two lines is always below 0.5 percentage points.

3. Consistency of income components shares with alternative data sources

3.1. Comparison of main aggregates: earnings, self-employment income, capital income, transfers and direct taxes

Table 2 shows shares of income components for the latest available year, according to the OECD benchmark series. Unfortunately, such information is not available for the other data sources described in Table 1.
Please, note the following definitions:
- **EH** refers to the wage and salary income of the household head, excluding employers’ contributions to social security, but including sick pay paid by governments.
- **ES** refers to the wage and salary income of the household spouse, excluding employers’ contributions to social security, but including sick pay paid by governments.
- **EO** refers to the wage and salary income from other household members, excluding employers’ contributions to social security, but including sick pay paid by governments.

Figure 5 compares trends in shares of public cash transfers in equivalised disposable income from the OECD reference series with the share of total cash social spending in net national income, reported from the OECD Social Expenditure database (OECD SOCX). OECD SOCX series include pensions, incapacity, family, unemployment, social assistance. Both series show similar trends from 1999 onwards with the exception of the slight decrease from 2005 to 2005 which is not observable in the OECD SOCX series.
Even if the figures are matching pretty well, the definition of relative poverty rates are different between the OECD and ISTAT. Indeed, poverty rates are based on median disposal incomes for the OECD whereas these rates are based on average consumption expenditures for ISTAT. This term is defined as the following by the two institutions:

- The ISTAT estimate “is based on a poverty line (International standard of poverty Line-ISPL) defining as poor a household of two components with a consumption expenditure level lower or equal to the mean per-capita consumption expenditure (for different size households an equivalence scale is used to take into account different needs and the economies/diseconomies of scale that can be achieved in bigger/smaller households). Therefore, the poverty line set the consumption expenditure level which represents the threshold discriminating between poor and non poor households” (ISTAT Statistics Website). The figures on relative poverty rates provided by ISTAT are labelled as “household relative poverty incidence in percentage”.

- For the OECD, “the relative poverty threshold is expressed as a given percentage of the median disposable income, expressed in nominal terms (current prices). Therefore, this threshold changes over time, as the median income changes over time. Two relative poverty thresholds are used: the first one is set at 50% of the median equivalised disposable income of the entire population, the second one is set at 60% of that income”.

*Differences between the OECD reference series and Eurostat*

- The OECD time-series has been based on EU-SILC since its implementation in Italy. Therefore, Gini coefficients and S80/S20 ratios as published by Eurostat are very close to the ones based on the OECD database since 2003 (income year);

- OECD and EU-SILC data present minor differences in relative poverty rates, which are always lower for EU-SILC than for the OECD. These differences might be explained by the use of different equivalence scales (the OECD reference series uses the square root of household size, whereas Eurostat uses the OECD modified equivalence scale).

- Over 1994 to 2003, Eurostat computed income inequality and poverty indicators based on ECHP. Despite the different sources, levels and trends were pretty similar with OECD ones.

*Differences between the OECD reference series and the Luxembourg Income Survey*

- For the indicators mentioned in this Quality Review, the OECD time-series and the Luxembourg Income Survey match pretty well. This is explained by the fact that both institutions largely rely on the Bank of Italy’s SHIW. When there are discrepancies between the OECD and the LIS before 2004, they can be explained by the interpolation of data that the OECD undertook after 2006 to have a consistent time-series over time. For example, the Gini coefficient in 1991 as calculated by the OECD was estimated at 0.29 before interpolation (labeled as “previous” in the OECD database) and at 0.27 after the interpolation. The Gini coefficient recorded in the LIS for 1991 was also 0.29.

*Differences between the OECD and the SHIW series from the Bank of Italy*

- The OECD time-series on income inequalities and poverty rates were based on the Survey of Household Income and Wealth from 1984 to 2009 when EU-SILC was adopted.

---

5 OECD Terms of References – Wave 6
- Gini coefficients have been always slightly higher for the bank of Italy than for the OECD. The gap between the two time-series widened over time, to 0.012 in 2008.

- Relative poverty rates have always been slightly higher for the Bank of Italy than for the OECD (between 1 and .2 percentage points). However, trends in the two series are pretty similar, with both series showing broad stability.

5. Summary evaluation

Compared to some other OECD countries, long OECD time-series are available for Italy on income inequality distribution and poverty. In addition, several national statistics exist in Italy on these indicators, which enable a broad range of comparisons.

Over time and taking into account the different available databases, the OECD time-series match pretty well those used in national and European reporting. Despite slight differences regarding the levels of the different indicators, general patterns and trends are quite similar. Differences in methodology and definitions among the sources may arise and explain the observed differences in levels for all the indicators which are studied in this Review.

\[1\] http://www.bancaditalia.it/statistiche/indcAMP/bilfauT/boll_stat/shiw98.pdf