

SF2.1. Fertility rates

Definitions and methodology

This indicator presents information on levels and trends in fertility rates and the distribution of births by birth order. Fertility rates are captured through two measures:

- **The Total Fertility Rate (TFR)**, or the average number of children born per woman over a lifetime given current age-specific fertility rates and assuming no female mortality during reproductive years. TFRs are computed as the sum of age-specific fertility rates defined over five-year intervals. Data on the TFR come mostly from national statistical offices and other international organisations (e.g. Eurostat and the World Bank). Assuming no migration and that mortality rates remain unchanged, a TFR of 2.1 children per woman is generally sufficient to generate a stable population within a given country. A TFR above or below this ‘population replacement rate’ is likely to produce population growth and population decline, respectively.
- **Completed Cohort Fertility (CCF)**, or the average number of children born to women belonging to certain cohort over the whole of their reproductive lives. Data on completed cohort fertility come from the Human Fertility Database (HFD), which calculates completed cohort fertility for a given cohort if data are available for that cohort at age 44 or above and by using data for the highest available age up to age 50.

The distribution of births by birth order is measured through the distribution of births by the rank of the birth from the perspective of the biological mother. Three rank groups are used here – first births, second births, and third or higher births.

Key findings

Across almost all of the OECD, current fertility rates are well below those needed for population replacement (Chart SF2.1.A). In most OECD countries, the total fertility rate sits at somewhere between 1.4 and 1.9 children per woman, with rates falling as low as 1.3 in Italy and Spain, and less than 1.0 in Korea. Only two OECD countries (Israel and Mexico) have a current TFR at or above the 2.1 children per woman needed for population replacement. At 3.1, Israel has the highest TFR in the OECD.


Below-replacement-rate levels of fertility are not new. Chart SF2.1.A shows that while in 1970 many OECD countries had TFRs around or above 2.1, by 1995, most had rates well below replacement level. Indeed, in many OECD countries TFRs have actually increased slightly since the mid-1990s. In Germany, for example, the current total fertility rate is about 0.3 points higher than in 1995; in the Czech Republic, it is over 0.4 points higher than the 1995 rate. Nonetheless, in most cases, any increases are relatively small and are far from what is required in order to raise fertility to the 2.1 children per woman needed for a stable population.

Data on *completed* fertility paint a largely similar picture. Chart SF2.1.B shows CCF for women born in 1950, in 1960, and in 1970. For all three birth cohorts, completed fertility is in most countries well below the 2.1 children per woman needed for population replacement. For the 1950 cohort, only the Czech Republic, France, Iceland, the Slovak Republic and Spain have CCF levels above 2.1. For the 1970 cohort, this group falls to just Iceland and the Slovak Republic. CCF also appears to be falling over time – only Denmark, Finland and the United States see CCF increase between the 1950 cohort and the 1970 cohort, with all other countries seeing completed fertility fall between women born in 1950 and those born in 1970. The decreases in Japan (0.5 children per woman) and Spain (0.7 children per woman) are particularly large.

Other relevant indicators: Family size and composition (SF1.1); Age of mothers at childbirth (SF2.3); Share of births outside marriage (SF2.4); Childlessness (SF2.5); and, Marriage and divorce rates (SF3.1).

Chart SF2.1.A. Total fertility rate, 1970, 1995 and 2018

Average number of children born per woman over a lifetime given current age-specific fertility rates and assuming no female mortality during reproductive years




a. The statistical data for Israel are supplied by and under the responsibility of the relevant Israeli authorities. The use of such data by the OECD is without prejudice to the status of the Golan Heights, East Jerusalem and Israeli settlements in the West Bank under the terms of international law.

b. Footnote by Turkey: The information in this document with reference to « Cyprus » relates to the southern part of the Island. There is no single authority representing both Turkish and Greek Cypriot people on the Island. Turkey recognizes the Turkish Republic of Northern Cyprus (TRNC). Until a lasting and equitable solution is found within the context of United Nations, Turkey shall preserve its position concerning the "Cyprus issue";

c. Footnote by all the European Union Member States of the OECD and the European Commission: The Republic of Cyprus is recognized by all members of the United Nations with the exception of Turkey. The information in this document relates to the area under the effective control of the Government of the Republic of Cyprus.

Source: Eurostat Database, <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>; World Bank World Development Indicators, <https://databank.worldbank.org/>; and national statistical offices. See the accompanying data file ([here](#)) for detailed sources.

Chart SF2.1.B. Completed cohort fertility for women born in 1950, 1960 and 1970 or latest available




Note: Completed cohort fertility is defined as the average number of children born to women belonging to certain cohort over the whole of their reproductive lives. The Human Fertility Database calculates completed cohort fertility for a given cohort if data are available for that cohort at age 44 or above, and by using data for the highest available age up to age 50. See the Human Fertility Database webpage (www.humanfertility.org) for more detail. Data for Bulgaria and the Slovak Republic refer to 1965, for Canada to 1967, for Iceland and Italy to 1968, and for France, Germany, and the United Kingdom (England and Wales, Northern Ireland and Scotland) to 1969.

Source: The Human Fertility Database, <http://www.humanfertility.org>

Fertility declines are reflected in a fall in the proportion of births that are third or higher births and an increase in the share of births that are first births. Chart CF2.1.C shows the share of births that are the mother’s first birth (panel A) and third or higher birth (panel B) in 1980 and 2018. In most of the covered countries, the proportion of births that are the mother’s first birth has increased since 1980, while the share of births that are a third or higher birth has fallen. There are some exceptions – in both Estonia and Latvia, for example, the proportion of births that are first births has fallen by over ten percentage points since 1980, while the third or higher share has increased by more than eleven and nine percentage points, respectively. For most countries though, third or higher order births are relatively less common today than in 1980, pointing towards a decrease in the frequency of large families.

Chart SF2.1.C. Distribution of births by birth order, 1980 and 2018

Proportion (%) of births that are first and third or higher births



a. See note b. in chart SF2.1.A


b. See note c. in chart SF2.1.A

Source: Eurostat Database, <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>

Still, in most countries, first births continue to represent a minority of births (Chart CF2.1.D). First births account for half or more of all of births in only two OECD countries (Luxembourg and Portugal). In all others, the proportion of births that are first births is less than 50%, with the share falling to as low as 37% in Estonia and 36% in Turkey. Most remaining births are second births, with third or higher births in most countries making up less than one-in-five births.

Chart SF2.1.D. Distribution of births by birth order, 2018

Proportion (%) of births by the rank of the birth



a. See note b. in chart SF2.1.A

b. See note c. in chart SF2.1.A

Source: Eurostat Database, <https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/data/database>

Comparability and data issues

There are drawbacks to using the TFR to compare trends in fertility as changes in the aggregate can relate to either a change in family size and/or a change in the timing of births. Completed fertility rates can be used to measure the final number of children per women but only when women have reached the end their reproductive life. Changes in the distribution of births by rank of children also illustrate the changes in fertility patterns, since a reduction of family size is associated with a decrease in the share of higher order births. The distribution of births is, however, also sensitive to timing effects. A closer look at the timing of births is needed to obtain a more comprehensive view of fertility behaviour and changes over time (SF2.3).

Sources and further reading:

Eurostat Demographic Statistics, <http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/population-demography-migration-projections/births-fertility-data>;

The Human Fertility Database: <http://www.humanfertility.org/cgi-bin/main.php>;

D'Addio, A.C and Mira d'Ercole, M. (2005), "Trends and Determinants of Fertility Rates in OECD Countries: the Role of Policies", OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Paper, No. 27, Paris;

Jasilioniene, A. et al. (2015), Methods Protocol for the Human Fertility Database, <http://www.humanfertility.org/Docs/methods.pdf>

Thévenon, O. (2015), "Decreasing Fertility in Europe: Is it a Policy Issue?" in Koenraad et al. (eds) Population Change in Europe, the Middle-East and North Africa: Beyond the Demographic Divide, Publisher: Ashgate;

Sobotka, T. (2017) "Childlessness in Europe: Reconstructing Long-Term Trends Among Women Born in 1900–1972", in Kreyenfeld, M. and D. Konietzka (eds.), Childlessness in Europe: Contexts, Causes, and Consequences, Springer Demographic Research Monographs, pp. 17-50;

Jasilioniene, A. et al. (2016), "Data Resource Profile: The Human Fertility Database", International Journal of Epidemiology, Online first 23 August 2016;