

Financial Frictions and Swap Market Risk Premiums

Kenneth J. Singleton
Stanford GSB and NBER

Joint Research with Scott Joslin

September 20, 2009

Introduction


- The global impact of the “subprime” crisis provides a challenging reminder that economic theories often omit important financial frictions that contribute to credit-related distress in financial markets.
- While the importance of macro-financial linkages are evident in the current crisis, surely many of the same economic mechanisms are, in less extreme forms, operative throughout the business cycle.

Our Goal: look back over the pre-crisis period and investigate the contributions of macroeconomic activity and financial market conditions to variation in the *levels* of yields swap markets.

- Most of the variation in interest rates and risk premiums is, no doubt, associated with standard real business-cycle developments or concerns about inflation.
- This is consistent with the degree of success of preference-based, equilibrium models in explaining risk premiums in bond markets.
 - **habit formation**: Wachter (2006), Ravenna and Seppala (2007), and Le, Singleton, and Dai (2009),
 - **long-run risks**: Bansal and Shaliastovich (2009).

- Most of the variation in interest rates and risk premiums is, no doubt, associated with standard real business-cycle developments or concerns about inflation.
- This is consistent with the degree of success of preference-based, equilibrium models in explaining risk premiums in bond markets.
 - **habit formation**: Wachter (2006), Ravenna and Seppala (2007), and Le, Singleton, and Dai (2009),
 - **long-run risks**: Bansal and Shaliastovich (2009).
- It is also supported by the substantial contributions of output growth to variation in risk premiums in:
 - U.S. Treasury markets (Cooper and Priestley (2008), Ludvigson and Ng (2009)) and
 - swap markets (Joslin, Priebsch, and Singleton (2009))

Realized Excess Return on a Slope-Tracking Portfolio From Joslin, Priebsch, Singleton (2009)



Why Swap Markets?

- The direct effects of counterparty risks associated with the (roughly) AA-rated LIBOR market are likely to be small, owing to marking to market and collateralization.
- However the changing intensities of use of interest rate swaps over the business cycle are likely to induce a strong link between financial frictions, credit availability, and swap rates.
- Moreover, market participants often express concerns about possible deterioration in the credit quality of bond insurers and financial intermediaries through higher risk premiums and rates in swap markets (e.g., McCormick (2008)).

Thus risk premiums in swap market are likely to be informative about the importance of financial market frictions.

Why Not US Treasury Bonds?

- The U.S. budget balance has a large impact on supply/demand pressures in markets for U.S. Treasury bonds (e.g., Greenwood and Vayanos (2008) and Krishnamurthy and Vissing-Jorgensen (2008)).

Why Not US Treasury Bonds?

- The U.S. budget balance has a large impact on supply/demand pressures in markets for U.S. Treasury bonds (e.g., Greenwood and Vayanos (2008) and Krishnamurthy and Vissing-Jorgensen (2008)).
- Equally importantly, U.S. Treasury yields embody a substantial convenience premium (Feldhutter and Lando (2007), Krishnamurthy and Vissing-Jorgensen (2008)).
- These premiums affects swap *spreads* to Treasuries, but as modeled (both theoretically and econometrically), they do not directly affect the *level* of swap rates.

Financial Market Frictions and Excess Returns

- The “financial accelerator” of Bernanke and Gertler (1989): external finance premium that borrowers face given their financial position (net worth, liquid assets, etc.).
- Channels through which monetary policy affects bank lending: the “bank balance-sheet” (Bernanke and Gertler (1999)), “bank-lending” (Bernanke and Blinder (1988)), and the “bank-capital” (den Heuvel (2005)) channels.

Financial Market Frictions and Excess Returns

- The “financial accelerator” of Bernanke and Gertler (1989): external finance premium that borrowers face given their financial position (net worth, liquid assets, etc.).
- Channels through which monetary policy affects bank lending: the “bank balance-sheet” (Bernanke and Gertler (1999)), “bank-lending” (Bernanke and Blinder (1988)), and the “bank-capital” (den Heuvel (2005)) channels.
- Links between the soundness of intermediaries’ balance sheets and risk premiums in financial markets (Allen and Gale (2005), Vayanos (2004), and He and Krishnamurthy (2008)).
- Deterioration in the capital and collateral positions of financial intermediaries during economic downturns affects their funding (il)liquidity (Brunnermeier and Pedersen (2008)).


Conditions in Bank Lending Markets

- FRB's Senior Loan Officer Survey (*SLOS*): Tighten lending standards for *C&I* loans to large companies (*C&ILT*)?
- Do you perceive an increase in the demand for *C&I* loans (*C&ILD*)? $\text{Corr}(C\&ILT, C\&ILD) = -0.77$

Conditions in Bank Lending Markets

- FRB's Senior Loan Officer Survey (*SLOS*): Tighten lending standards for *C&I* loans to large companies (*C&ILT*)?
- Do you perceive an increase in the demand for *C&I* loans (*C&ILD*)? $\text{Corr}(C\&ILT, C\&ILD) = -0.77$
- Ivashina and Scharfstein (2008): weakness in banks' capacity to lend and large firms' access to syndicated loans.
- Jimenez, Ongena, Peydro, and Saurina (2009): falling GDP growth impacts the lending decisions of banks with relatively weak capital and liquidity positions.
- Lown and Morgan (2006) and Bayoumi and Melander (2008) document strong relationships between changes in bank lending conditions and future growth in aggregate real output.

Perceived Market Demand Versus Actual Loan Growth




Corporate Bond Spreads Predict Future Output Growth

- The strong predictive content of credit spreads for future output (Gilchrist, Yankov, and Zakrajsek (2009) and Mueller (2009)) has been attributed to:
 - links to expected corporate cash flows (Philippon (2008));
 - cyclical variation in consumers' default risk premiums (Gomes and Schmid (2009));

Corporate Bond Spreads Predict Future Output Growth

- The strong predictive content of credit spreads for future output (Gilchrist, Yankov, and Zakrajsek (2009) and Mueller (2009)) has been attributed to:
 - links to expected corporate cash flows (Philippon (2008));
 - cyclical variation in consumers' default risk premiums (Gomes and Schmid (2009));
- But these explanations largely abstract from the financial accelerator (Bernanke, Gertler, and Gilchrist (1999)) or other mechanisms/frictions that disrupt funding in financial markets.

Bank Lending Conditions: Senior Loan Officer Survey




Funding Liquidity: Growth in Net Repo

- A measure of funding liquidity proposed by Adrian and Shin (2009) is the annualized growth rate of the net repo positions of primary dealers in the U.S.
- Repo financing allows dealers to expand their balance sheets.

However we found that *NetRepo* had insignificant effects on risk premiums in swap markets.

Mean Leverage of US Primary Dealers



Funding Costs of GSEs


- We anticipate that the funding costs of GSEs will affect risk premiums in swap markets, because they affect the activities of GSEs in mortgage markets.
- GSE spreads also reflect the relative liquidity of agency debt versus Treasuries.

Funding Costs of GSEs

- We anticipate that the funding costs of GSEs will affect risk premiums in swap markets, because they affect the activities of GSEs in mortgage markets.
- GSE spreads also reflect the relative liquidity of agency debt versus Treasuries.
- We computed the first two PC s of GSE spreads:
 - $GSE1$: highly correlated with the IMF's Financial Stress Index for the US.
 - $GSE2$: \approx minus the slope of the GSE spread curve.

GSE1 was generally insignificant as a predictor of risk premiums in swap markets, after conditioning on macroeconomic information.


Slope of the GSE Spread Curve



Issuance in the MBS Market

- There was significant growth in issuance of MBS during our sample period.
- One measure of the effects of issuance on the composition of MBS is the effective duration of the Lehman Brothers MBS index, as maintained by Barclays Capital ([MbsED](#))
- Issuance reflects refinancing activities and it affects hedging. For example, the Fannie-Mae 2008 10-Q showed \$444B in payer swaps and \$409B in receiver swaps.

MBS Effective Duration



Do Indicators of Financial Market Conditions Forecast Excess Returns?

- Regress realized excess returns on macro factors,
 - *GIP*: growth rate of industrial production.
 - *INF*: smoothed CPI inflation rate.
 - *GPay*: growth rate of non-farm payrolls.
- and our indicators of financial market conditions, *MbsED*, *GSE2*, *C&ILT*.
- Sample period: 1992:1 – 2007:4.

Excess Returns on *PC*-Mimicking Portfolios

- Nearly all variation in swap rates can be explained by the level, slope, and curvature of the the swap curve.
- Each individual bond is primarily exposed to level risk.
- We construct portfolios of bonds with *payoffs* that are (locally) perfectly correlated with changes in the level or slope of the swap curve, the primary sources of variation in yields.
 - Cochrane and Piazzesi (2008): only “level” risk is priced;
 - Joslin, Priebsch, and Singleton (2009) find substantial variation in excess returns on exposure to “slope” risk when they condition on macro information.

Projections of $xrPC1_{t+1yr}$ and $xrPC2_{t+1yr}$

LHS \ RHS	$xrPC1_{t+1yr}$			$xrPC2_{t+1yr}$		
<i>PC1</i>	-.352 [†]			.300 [◇]		
<i>PC2</i>	.413			-.262 [*]		
<i>PC3</i>	-.953			1.17 [◇]		
<i>INF</i>	-2.92	2.22	.579	1.97 [◇]	-2.13	-.416
<i>GIP</i>	1.70 [*]	1.77 [*]	2.61 [◇]	-.868 [◇]	-1.06	-1.59 [◇]
<i>GPay</i>	-10.5 [◇]	-9.58 [◇]	-9.96 [◇]	1.35 [*]	-2.04	.780
<i>MbsED</i>	.006			.015 [◇]		
<i>GSE2</i>	-.0004 [◇]			-.0003 [◇]		
<i>C&ILT</i>	-.002			.030 [*]		
<i>R²</i>	0.67	0.45	0.65	0.91	0.51	0.85

Significance: ◇ 1%; * 5%; † 10%

Dynamic Term Structure Models

Following Joslin, Priebsch, and Singleton (2009) and Joslin, Singleton, and Zhu (2009), we consider a Gaussian dynamic term structure model (GDTSM) in which


- the risk factors are observable portfolios of yields;
- macro and financial variables have predictive content for excess returns, over and above the information in bond prices;
- the macro and financial variables **are not spanned** by the information in swap yields.

Dynamic Term Structure Models


Following Joslin, Priebsch, and Singleton (2009) and Joslin, Singleton, and Zhu (2009), we consider a Gaussian dynamic term structure model (GDTSM) in which

- the risk factors are observable portfolios of yields;
- macro and financial variables have predictive content for excess returns, over and above the information in bond prices;
- the macro and financial variables **are not spanned** by the information in swap yields.
- “Reduced rank risk premia”
 - Example: agents do not demand compensation for bearing curvature risk
 - Example: compensation for level and slope risk move together


Excess Return on Level-Mimicking Portfolio [JPS(2009)]



Excess Return on Slope-Mimicking Portfolio [JPS(2009)]



Term Premiums and Macro Variables [JPS(2009)]



Adrian, T., E. Etula, and H. Shin (2009).
Global Liquidity and Exchange Rates.
Working Paper, Princeton University.

Adrian, T. and H. Shin (2009).
Liquidity and Leverage.
Journal of Financial Intermediation.

Allen, F. and D. Gale (2005).
From Cash-in-the-Market Pricing to Financial Fragility.
Journal of the European Economic Association 3, 535–546.

Bansal, R. and I. Shaliastovich (2009).
Risk and Return in Bond, Currency and Equity Markets.
Working Paper, Duke University.

Bayoumi, T. and O. Melander (2008).
Credit Matters: Empirical Evidence on U.S. Macro-Financial
Linkages.

Working Paper, IMF Working Paper 08/169.

Bernanke, B. and A. Blinder (1988).

Credit, Money, and Aggregate Demand.

American Economic Review 78, 435–439.

Bernanke, B. and M. Gertler (1989).

Agency Costs, Net Worth, and Business Fluctuations.

American Economic Review 79, 14–31.

Bernanke, B. and M. Gertler (1999).

Inside the Black Box: The Credit Channel of Monetary Policy.

Journal of Economic Perspectives 9, 27–48.

Bernanke, B., M. Gertler, and S. Gilchrist (1999).

The Financial Accelerator in a Quantitative Business Cycle Framework.

In J. Taylor and M. Woodford (Eds.), *Handbook of*

Macroeconomics, Volume 1, Chapter 21, pp. 1341 – 1393.

Amsterdam: Elsevier.

Brunnermeier, M. and L. Pedersen (2008).

Market Liquidity and Funding Liquidity.

Review of Financial Studies.

Cochrane, J. and M. Piazzesi (2008).

Decomposing the Yield Curve.

Working Paper, Stanford University.

Cooper, I. and R. Priestley (2008).

Time-Varying Risk Premiums and the Output Gap.

forthcoming, Review of Financial Studies.

den Heuvel, S. V. (2005).

The Bank Capital Channel of Monetary Policy.

Working Paper.

Feldhutter, P. and D. Lando (2007).

Decomposing Swap Spreads.

Working Paper, Copenhagen Business School.

Gilchrist, S., V. Yankov, and E. Zakrajsek (2009).

Credit Market Shocks and Economic Fluctuations: Evidence from Corporate Bond and Stock Markets.

Working Paper, Boston University.

Gomes, J. and L. Schmid (2009).

Equilibrium Credit Spreads and the Macroeconomy.

Working Paper, Wharton School.

Greenwood, R. and D. Vayanos (2008).

Bond Supply and Excess Bond Returns.

Working Paper, LSE.

He, Z. and A. Krishnamurthy (2008).

Intermediary Asset Pricing.

Working Paper, Northwestern University.

Ivashina, V. and D. Scharfstein (2008).

Bank Lending During the Financial Crisis of 2008.

Working Paper, Harvard University.

Jimenez, G., S. Ongena, J. Peydro, and J. Saurina (2009).

The Impact of Economic and Monetary Conditions on Loan

Supply: Identifying Firm and Bank Balance-Sheet Channels.

Working Paper, Banco De Espana.

Joslin, S., M. Priebsch, and K. Singleton (2009).

Risk Premium Accounting in Macro-Dynamic Term Structure
Models.

Working Paper, Stanford University.

Joslin, S., K. Singleton, and H. Zhu (2009).

A New Perspective on Gaussian DTSMs.

Working Paper, Stanford University.

Krishnamurthy, A. and A. Vissing-Jorgensen (2008).

The Aggregate Demand for Treasury Debt.

Working Paper, Kellogg School, Northwestern University.

Le, A., K. Singleton, and Q. Dai (2009).

Discrete-time Affine^Q Term Structure Models with Generalized Market Prices of Risk.

Working Paper, Stanford University.

Lown, C. and D. Morgan (2006).

The Credit Cycle and the Business Cycle: New Findings Using the Loan Officer Opinion Survey.

Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking 38, 1575–1597.

Ludvigson, S. and S. Ng (2009).

Macro Factors in Bond Risk Premia.

Review of Financial Studies.

McCormick, L. (2008).

U.S. Swaption Volatility Soars on Mortgage-Debt Related

Hedging.

Working Paper, Bloomberg.

Mueller, P. (2009).

Credit Spreads and Real Activity.

Working Paper, London School of Economics.

Philippon, T. (2008).

The Bond Market's Q.

Working Paper, forthcoming, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*.

Ravenna, F. and J. Seppala (2007).

Monetary Policy, Expected Inflation, and Inflation Risk Premia.

Working Paper, Bank of Finland Research Paper 18-2007.

Vayanos, D. (2004).

Flight to Quality, Flight to Liquidity, and the Pricing of Risk.

Working Paper, London School of Economics.

Wachter, J. A. (2006).

A Consumption-based Model of the Term Structure of Interest Rates.

Journal of Financial Economics 79(2), 365–399.