

# Sessions 2 and 3

# Rapporteur's Report

**Sustainable Water Management for Food Security:**

**An international policy dialogue on progressing water policy reform in agriculture, with focus on Indonesia**

# Challenges

- \* Broad consensus on challenges:
  - \* Economic growth, population growth, changing food demand, competition for water and land, degrading resource base (watersheds, supply, quality), increased climate variability, floods and droughts, a future of high food prices
  - \* Java and the other islands: high productivity but threatened vs low productivity and problematic
  - \* Greying of farming, small farms, labor
  - \* Dynamic agricultural sector has responded by and larger but concern over long-term future and present trends
- \* Broad consensus that pace of change is accelerating, that business as usual is not an option, that change is needed now, that improving productivity

# Policy issues

- \* Higher level policies dominate or constrain agricultural water management policies;
  - \* National food security strategy and rice production plans
  - \* Consumers vs producers
  - \* Water resources management setup (and its dysfunctions)
  - \* Decentralization
  - \* Many efforts went into a major legal and reform agenda
- \* Irrigation needs to respond to changes in the environment and changes from below (no longer the driver or the boss):
  - \* But investment and management strategies still classical
  - \* Focus on problems from the past: degradation of infrastructure
  - \* Erosion of capacity
- \* Dissonance between national goals and;
  - \* local goals
  - \* farmers have few incentives to invest in higher productivity and tighten water management, for rice
- \* Difficult to understand what action contributes what result to what outcome  
(output-oriented planning)

# Shift in strategies

- \* From adrenaline shots and crash programs and focus on past problems ....
  - ... to longer-range strategies with step-wise approaches to address current problems and facilitate transitions:
    - remain compatible with a range of future policy options:
    - no evolutionary dead-ends
- \* Diversify evolution scenarios, investments and institutional options based on good typology and understanding of local dynamics (environment, farming systems, demography, etc.)
- \* How to unleash investment from farmers and farmer organizations and local governments
- \* More coherent sectoral approaches

# Some key points

- \* Don't necessarily expect water policy to solve all problems including variability: production risks, storage, markets, ASEAN and regional approaches
- \* Towards clear water allocation and a system of rights or licenses (as opposed to allocation by planning and projects)
- \* Water quality: farm-level, system-level, basin-level
- \* Resource degradation: beyond reforestation: address real sources of sediment, be careful of impact on basin yields
- \* A clear agenda over complex concepts
- \* The role of MoA becomes more decisive at lower levels of institutions and shaping new customer base to set irrigation service objectives

# Some key points

- \* Land conversion:
  - \* Regulation or Piggy-back or Strategic pullback
  - \* Tenure and property rights
  - \* Seize opportunities:
    - \* Supply water to high price high value customers
    - \* Provide ecosystem services and other public goods
    - \* Provide services to dynamic peri-urban agriculture
    - \* Multiple use systems and multi-functionality
- \* Sustainable financing of service
  - \* Charging for service assumes improving service, control and measurement
  - \* Asset management
  - \* Realistic assumptions on who will pay in the short-medium term
  - \* Continue exploring investment mobilization

# Some key points

- \* Irrigation modernization:
  - \* Service orientation: all users
  - \* Clear management levels and transaction interfaces
  - \* Allows experimenting with new types of operators
  - \* Allow freer evolutions at lower level
  - \* Stepwise approach
  - \* Asset management
  - \* Basin targets, service targets
  - \* Design
  - \* Be compatible with and anticipate future options including water pricing: e.g. no proportional flow division
- \* Institutions;
  - \* Where present models are relevant, strengthen
  - \* Where they become less relevant, experiment
- \* New irrigation
  - \* Focus on improving productivity and environmental impact of existing
  - \* Wait for government regulations on sustainable management of swamps
  - \* If go ahead, consider commercial plantations
- \* Water conservation:
  - \* Revision of handbook based on water balances

# Way forward

- \* Continue a structured policy dialogue facilitated by visioning exercises:
  - \* Long-term water security and
  - \* Long-term food security
- \* Supported by forward-looking piloting in representative areas:
  - \* Emergence of new farming models
  - \* Water productivity
  - \* Irrigation modernization
  - \* Institutions and operators
  - \* Productivity and sustainability of swamp systems
  - \* Policy experiments
- \* Feeding into the preparation of the next 5-year plan (2015-2019?) based on more coherent policies

# Way forward

- \* Some supporting (critical) priorities:
  - \* Water accounting
  - \* Monitoring of investments and results based on M&E and benchmarking
  - \* Assessments and scenarios
  - \* Irrigation investment frameworks
- \* Keep on the good work
- \* Participation and dialogue
- \* Needs strong leadership