

Name of collection: *Review on ICT within the Government of Finland*

<p>Nature of collection If possible, use the classification of collection types shown above e.g. <i>ICT use collection – business</i>. For “other” collections, provide details e.g. <i>Other ICT collection – ISPs</i>.</p>	ICT use collection – Government
<p>Collection agency</p>	Ministry of Finance, Public Management Department, www.vm.fi
<p>General references to collection material Metadata, questionnaires etc</p>	The survey consists of statistical information on the total expenditures on information technology, on information technology personnel, on information technology equipment, public services online and on information management in governmental agencies. Results, analysis and the questionnaire (in Finnish) at the address Review on ICT within the Government of Finland 2005, http://www.vm.fi/vm/fi/04_julkaisut_ja_asiakirjat/01_julkaisut/04_tutkimukset_ja_selvitykset/20060825Tietoj/Tietoja_valtion_tietohallinnosta_2005.pdf .
<p>Survey basis or vehicle E.g. Labour Force supplement, standalone survey, administrative byproduct data</p>	Standalone survey
<p>Frequency of collection</p>	Annual
<p>Collection history Reference dates and/or periods from the first to the latest collection</p>	Since 1975, the latest in 2006
<p>Whether collection is mandatory or voluntary</p>	Mandatory
<p>Scope and coverage of collection Target population in terms of size, industry, population groups etc</p>	Government agencies (130 units)
<p>Main classifications used E.g. industry, size, commodity, occupation</p>	Administrative branch
<p>Collection methodology E.g. face-to-face, mail, Web, telephone interview</p>	Web service
<p>Reporting and Statistical units Enterprise, establishment, household, etc</p>	Agencies
<p>Sample frame used</p>	Total survey
<p>Sampling method E.g. stratified random sampling, cluster sampling</p>	
<p>Sample size For the most recent collection</p>	
<p>Response rate The responding proportion of the live in-scope population, most recent collection</p>	99%
<p>Methods for dealing with non-response</p>	Reminder messages, letters, telephone calls

<p>(item and unit) Indicate whether imputations are made for non-response and a short description of methods used.</p>	
<p>Weighting of results Weighting method e.g. by employment, number of enterprises, revenue</p>	
<p>Relative standard errors (or coefficients of variation) on main aggregates For the most recent collection. These can be expressed as a range of values. For a given variable, the RSE or CV is equal to the ratio of the square root of the estimate of the sampling variance to the estimated value. It can be expressed as a fraction or a percentage.</p>	
<p>Known data quality issues with this collection E.g. non-response bias, comparability problems over time, definitional issues, coverage deficiencies, timeliness of frame, high item non-response (identify topics which are particularly problematic).</p>	<p>Definitional issues with technology personnel and expenditures on information technology</p>
<p>Output details Please list (or link to) relevant publications for this collection. You can also email relevant files to the OECD.</p>	<p>Review on ICT within the Government of Finland 2005, http://www.vm.fi/vm/fi/04_julkaisut_ja_asiakirjat/01_julkaisut/04_tutkimukset_ja_selvitykset/20060825Tietoj/Tietoja_valtion_tietohallinnosta_2005.pdf</p>
<p>Other comments</p>	
<p>Contact/s Where available, provide names and email addresses.</p>	<p>Mr Juhani Korhonen, juhani.korhonen@vm.fi</p>