

# **Facets of Innovation and Stages of Development**

**Thijs ten Raa**

**Tilburg University, the Netherlands**

**[tenRaa@UvT.nl](mailto:tenRaa@UvT.nl)**

# Innovation, broadly speaking

- “The *implementation* of a new or significantly improved product (good or service), or process, a new marketing method, or a new organizational method in business practices, workplace organization or external relations.”
- May be *adopted*, e.g. from a developed economy

# Productivity growth: Solow residual

- Consists of two components, namely **technical change** plus **efficiency change**
- An economy may grow by shifting the production possibility frontier or by *catching up* with the frontier

# Role of competition in the two components of productivity growth

- Neoclassical economists:  
Competition is good
- Schumpeter:  
Monopoly power is the source of profit,  
the main funding for R&D
- ten Raa and Mohnen (JEBO 2008):  
It depends who gets the rent

# Another separation: Distance to the frontier

- Schumpeterian argument pertains more to technical change component of productivity growth and the neoclassical argument to the efficiency change component
- Acemoglu, Aghion and Zilibotti (JEEA 2006) document that R&D is more important in industries or countries closer to the world technology frontier

# The role of free trade

The efficiency change component of productivity growth can be decomposed:

- the elimination of waste (X-inefficiency)
- reallocations of resources to better companies
- comparative advantage gains.

Free trade puts *competitive pressure* on local producers. It forces weak domestic companies out of business and frees resources to more efficient ones. This competitive role is particularly important to inefficient economies.

# UK evidence

## Rizov and Walsh (2007)

- 80% correlation between export intensity and productivity
- between 2000-01 non-exporters show 1% productivity change and exporters 4.5%
- For non-exporters it is **company change**, while for exporters the bulk (some 2/3rds) is the **market share** effect
- **Both** are efficiency effects!

# Implications for developing economies

- At least in principle, non-competitive conditions may foster technical change
- The *other* components of productivity growth are important to developing countries
- Impediments to free trade not only harm consumers—who buy unnecessarily expensive home produce—but also reduce the pressure on developing countries companies to compete and thus to reduce inefficiency

# Schneider: Trade and growth

- Domestic innovation is a significant source of growth *for developed countries only*
- Main sources: **physical capital** accumulation and **high-technology imports** (both per capita)
- Intellectual property rights have *distinct* effects on developed versus developing countries
- Even human capital and R&D are less pronounced in the developing world, especially when infrastructure enters the regression equation.

# Conclusion

- Market failures plague the eye catching facet of innovation—the pushing out of the technological frontier—but not the other facets—corporate catch-up and the market share effect
- Developing countries innovate more through the latter channels and stand to gain from free trade
- My policy recommendation would be to link the protection of intellectual property rights to free access to the developed markets

# Note

- Traditional sources of innovation seem less potent in developing countries
- Infrastructure is a key sector and its development deserves full attention (spillovers)
- One of the impediments has been the lack of public funding. Luckily this problem is overcome by computer based technologies, which facilitate direct payments by users of the infrastructure