

DONOR QUESTIONNAIRE ON AID FOR TRADE

1 WHAT IS YOUR AID FOR TRADE STRATEGY?

Q1.1 Do you have an operational Aid for Trade strategy? Does it have a “pro-poor” focus? What are its key objectives and delivery/implementation modes? (Please break down by types of aid: "multilateral contributions" / "trust funds" / "budget support" / "other bilateral")

Please describe and exemplify. If applicable, feel free to refer to your 2007 response.

Portugal does not have an operational bilateral Aid for Trade strategy. Portugal’s strategy regarding operational level it has been working with UNCTAD. We continue to support capacity building activities to strengthen human and institutional resources for international trade and investment agreements and also investment for SMEs in Portuguese Speaking African Countries.

Q1.2 If your Aid for Trade Strategy has evolved since 2007, please describe the changes and/or new focuses.

Please describe and exemplify.

Q1.3 Have you articulated a set of best practices in the design and/or delivery of Aid for Trade?

Yes

No

Not sure/Not applicable

If yes, what form does this best practice guidance take?

Please describe and exemplify.

2 HOW MUCH AID FOR TRADE DO YOU PROVIDE?

For CRS Reporting Donors

Q2.1 Does the attached CRS profile accurately reflect the volume of your Aid for Trade?

Yes

No

If no, please provide further details of your Aid for Trade activities for 2006 and 2007.

Please add any data that are missing in their appropriate CRS categories, including those activities that should be considered as Aid for Trade under the category of "Other Trade-related Needs" and describe, if applicable, the method used to identify trade-related activities in the relevant CRS categories. Please also provide any activities that may fall under the new category of "Trade-related Adjustment" for 2006.

According to DAC, monitoring of Aid for Trade is made through a new Policy Marker called "Trade Development" which only identifies trade development within the "building productive capacity" category. Therefore the Trade Development Marker is not defined to cover all aspects of Aid for Trade please see DCD/DAC(2007)39/ADD, pages 2 and 3. As referred to in the document, the marker is restricted only to activities under codes 240xx Banking and Financial Services, 25010 Business support services and institutions, 311x Agriculture, 312xx Forestry, 313xx Fishing, 321xx Industry, 322xx Mineral resources and mining and 33210 Tourism. The document also refers that other components of Aid for Trade are captured separately through a number of sector codes.

Therefore, there are a number of sector codes besides sector 331xx Trade Policy and Regulations and Trade-related Adjustment, that can contain Aid for Trade activities. However, those codes can exceed the ones captured by the Trade Development Marker, plus code 331xx. For example, Portugal has a number of projects classified as Aid for Trade in sector 21010 Aid to NGOs, or that reflect the trade infrastructure component, such as 210xx Transport and Storage (please see data attached). For Portugal it would be important that, in spite of the sector coding, the CRS system reflects the wide-ranging trade related activities.

For non-CRS Reporting Donors

**Q2.1 How much Aid for Trade did you provide in each of 2006 and 2007?
Please also indicate the volume as percentage share of your total ODA.**

Please use the WTO Task Force definition and include estimates of the value of in-kind Aid for Trade such as technical cooperation programmes.

For All Donor Agencies

Q2.2 Do you have indicative forward spending plans that include estimates on Aid for Trade?

Yes No Not sure/Not applicable

If yes, please provide details of your indicative forward Aid for Trade spending plan.

Please delineate the plan per Aid for Trade category.

For Donors who had made Aid for Trade pledges

Q2.3 Please describe how you are meeting your pledges? And how much progress in delivering your final pledges do you expect to have made in 2008 and 2009?

Please provide details and evidence in accordance with your accountability mechanism.

For Multilateral Donors

Q2.4 Please describe how funding for your Aid for Trade activities is evolving
[e.g. share of activities funded from your agency's core (regular budget) vs. non-core (earmarked) resources, including multi-donor funds; likely trends in these categories].

Please describe.

Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to the volume of your Aid for Trade.

3 IMPLEMENTATION: HOW ARE YOU DELIVERING AID FOR TRADE?

Mainstreaming and Ownership

Q3.1 What measures have you undertaken to mainstream Aid for Trade in your overall assistance strategy?

Please describe and exemplify.

As referred to in 1., Aft is mainstreamed in our overall assistance strategy through our multilateral development cooperation.

Q3.2 In how many of the partner countries you support, are Aid for Trade concerns an important part of your policy dialogue with them (based on your best estimate)?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> less than 25%	<input type="checkbox"/> 25% to 50%	<input type="checkbox"/> 50% to 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> above 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable
---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--

Q3.3 How many of your country assistance strategies contain trade or Aid for Trade elements (based on your best estimate)?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> less than 25%	<input type="checkbox"/> 25% to 50%	<input type="checkbox"/> 50% to 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> above 75%	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable
---	-------------------------------------	-------------------------------------	------------------------------------	--

Q3.4 Has demand for Aid for Trade increased from partner countries since 2005?

<input type="checkbox"/> Significantly increased	<input type="checkbox"/> Increased	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Little / no change	<input type="checkbox"/> Declined	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable
--	------------------------------------	--	-----------------------------------	--

If increased, from which countries / regions, and for which Aid for Trade categories / sectors?

Please describe and exemplify

If increased, what steps have you taken to strengthen your capacity to respond to increasing demand for Aid for Trade from partner countries? Tick the box of all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased aid resources
<input type="checkbox"/>	Strengthened in-house trade expertise
<input type="checkbox"/>	Improved training, tool-kits and/or guidelines for Aid for Trade programming
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased awareness among policy-makers and practitioners at the HQ and the field
<input type="checkbox"/>	Strengthened political commitment
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increased coordination among donors (e.g. joint assessment, joint delivery, etc.)
<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Please feel free to add other steps you have taken</i>

Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to mainstreaming and ownership.

Working with Others: Harmonisation and Alignment

Q3.5 In how many of the partner countries you support, have you contributed to the following joint donor initiatives?

	< 10%	10-30%	> 30%
Joint needs assessment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joint Aid for Trade strategy formulation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joint Aid for Trade programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Pool funding	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joint monitoring and evaluation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Delegated cooperation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Q3.6 Do you have a specific approach to South-South and/or trilateral cooperation in Aid for Trade?

Yes

No

Not sure/Not applicable

If yes, what are its key elements or particular focuses?

Please describe and exemplify.

Q3.7 How much of your Aid for Trade is aligned with your partners' country systems (based on your best estimate)?

- | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> less than 25% | <input type="checkbox"/> 25% to 50% | <input type="checkbox"/> 50% to 75% | <input type="checkbox"/> above 75% | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|

Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to harmonisation and alignment.

4 MONITORING RESULTS, EVALUATION AND MUTUAL ACCOUNTABILITY

Q4.1 Does your Strategy include specific monitoring and evaluation guidelines for Aid for Trade programmes?

- | | |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Specific to Aid for Trade | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Generic guidelines |
|--|--|

If you do have specific guidelines, please provide the details of your Aid for Trade M&E framework. How often do you review progress towards your strategy objectives? Who do you report to?

Please describe and exemplify.

Q4.2 Do you regularly monitor the potential trade impact of your aid projects / programmes?

- | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/Not applicable |
|------------------------------|--|--|

If yes, please describe how.

Please describe and exemplify.

Q4.3 Do you have plans to improve the evaluation of your Aid for Trade programmes?

Please describe and exemplify.

No.

Q4.4 Have you carried out or do you plan to carry out an impact assessment of your Aid for Trade programmes?

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes: please indicate when: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/Not applicable |
|---|--|--|

Q4.5 Do you involve partner country stakeholders in developing measurable objectives/indicators to assess the quality of your Aid for Trade programmes?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/Not applicable
------------------------------	--	--

If yes, please describe the indicators used.

Please describe and exemplify.

Q4.6 Have you undertaken joint evaluations of your Aid for Trade with your partner country stakeholders?

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure/Not applicable
------------------------------	--	--

If yes, please describe when and the results of the evaluation.

Please describe and exemplify.

Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to monitoring, evaluation and mutual accountability.

5 REGIONAL DIMENSION

Q5.1 How important is the regional dimension in your Aid for Trade strategy?

<input type="checkbox"/> Essential element	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Important element	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor element	<input type="checkbox"/> Not present	<input type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable
--	---	--	--------------------------------------	--

If essential or important, please describe how your Aid for Trade strategy addresses regional challenges.

Please describe and exemplify.

Q5.2 Which of the following factors are important for determining whether or not to support particular regions or regional programmes? Please list in the order of importance.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Relevance to ongoing regional trade agreements / negotiations
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Regional proximity / support to neighbouring regional economic integration processes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Cultural, linguistic or historical ties with the region
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Existence of a viable counterpart at regional level
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Request for assistance from a regional body
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Availability of a clearly defined regional development strategy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Geographical concentration of donor activities
<input type="checkbox"/>	<i>Other, please describe</i> 1. Cultural, linguistic or historical ties with the region

2. Relevance to ongoing regional trade agreements/negotiations
3. Existence of a viable counterpart at regional level
4. Availability of a clearly defined regional development strategy
5. Request for assistance from a regional body
6. Regional proximity/support to neighbouring regional economic integration processes
7. Geographical concentration of donor activities

Q5.3 By how much has the volume of your regional Aid for Trade increased since 2005?

- | | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Declined | <input type="checkbox"/> By less than 5% | <input type="checkbox"/> By 5 to 15% | <input type="checkbox"/> By 15 to 30% | <input type="checkbox"/> More than 30% |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|

Q5.4 In which assistance categories are you particularly active at regional level?

	Frequently	Occasionally	Rarely	Not sure / Not applicable
Training (trade negotiations/WTO rules)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Trade facilitation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development of cross-border infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Capacity building of regional organisations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other, please describe and exemplify

Improvement of infrastructures and communications and Aid to NGOs.

Q5.5 What are the most important challenges in implementing regional Aid for Trade?

Please list in the order of importance.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lack of (or weak) articulated demands for regional Aid for Trade
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lack of coherence between national and regional priorities
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lack of credible lending authorities at regional level
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lack of effective coordination at regional level
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Difficulties of monitoring and evaluating results at regional level
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Lack of credible mutual accountability mechanisms at regional level

Other, please describe and exemplify

- | | |
|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lack of coherence between national and regional priorities. 2. Lack of effective coordination at regional level 3. Lack of (or weak) articulated demands for regional Aid for Trade 4. Lack of credible mutual accountability mechanisms at regional level 5. Difficulties of monitoring and evaluating results at regional level 6. Lack of credible lending authorities at regional level |
|--------------------------|---|

Q5.6 Has the demand for regional Aid for Trade increased since 2005?

- | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significantly increased | <input type="checkbox"/> Increased | <input type="checkbox"/> Little / no change | <input type="checkbox"/> Declined | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not sure / Not applicable |
|--|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|---|

If yes, in which regions and for which activities has it increased the most?

Please describe and exemplify.

Please feel free to provide any other relevant information in relation to regional Aid for Trade.
