


International Partners Assessment

NACA / NSF	M & E	FINANCES	ADMIN, SUPPORT, COORDINATION
<p>B1 Extent of alignment between the HIV strategies of international partners and national and/or sub-national HIV strategic frameworks</p>	<p>B3 Extent to which international partners are aligned with the national HIV M&E framework and/or system</p>	<p>B5 Extent to which international partners have indicative multi-year commitments (i.e. more than three years) for the national HIV response</p>	<p>B7 Extent to which international partners are harmonizing their HIV procurement mechanisms</p> <p>B9 Extent to which international partners are harmonizing administrative, technical/financial reports and human resource approaches with each other and in relation to the national HIV response</p>
<p>B2 Extent to which international partners are supporting and cooperating with the national AIDS coordinating authority</p>	<p>B4 Extent of participation by international partners in the national joint annual programme review or equivalent process</p>	<p>B6 Extent to which international partners support pooled funding arrangements for the national HIV response</p>	<p>B8 Extent to which international partners are building technical capacity and harmonizing their HIV technical assistance strategies</p> <p>B10 Extent of transparent, timely and accurate communications among international agencies and with all members of the national AIDS coordinating authority</p>

Making the Money Work: The Three Ones, The Global Task Team and the road to Universal Access



Results

- Found common results for both national and international components.
- Strong leadership at national AIDS Commission crucial for harmonization, alignment and coordination. International partners must support capacity of NAC to lead.
- Without strong NAC, is little coordination among bilateral partners; must depend on individual NGOs coordinating with themselves. Could result in double coverage.
- International partners must support NAC to work towards common national monitoring and evaluation framework instead of using individual targets. Must work towards national targets.
- CHAT involved many stakeholders in discussions that they had not been involved in before, so it both assesses harmonization and alignment and acts as tool for increased participation.

Next Steps

- CHAT now part of NAC work plan and will be implemented at provincial and district levels using provincial NAC staff to build capacity to continue CHAT.
- AusAID requests harmonization assessments included in NAC work plan, other international partners support alignment with Three Ones, CHAT meets part of that need
- Continue to adapt CHAT tool to meet needs at sub-national level.
- Epidemic trends indicate alarming rates in prisons/Papua, more partners get involved, need tool in place to measure harmonization, alignment and coordination.