

**Name of collection: Statistics on ICT Research and Development**

<b>Nature of collection</b>	ICT use collection – Research and Development
<b>Collection agency</b>	<b>INEGI</b> ; <a href="http://www.inegi.gob.mx">www.inegi.gob.mx</a>
<b>General references to collection material</b>	<a href="http://www.inegi.gob.mx/est/default.asp?c=5154">www.inegi.gob.mx/est/default.asp?c=5154</a>
<b>Survey basis or vehicle</b>	Research and Technological Development of the ICT (EIDTIC)
<b>Frequency of collection</b>	Biennial, although the frequency can be changed
<b>Collection history</b>	1996, 1997, 2002
<b>Whether collection is mandatory or voluntary</b>	Mandatory
<b>Scope and coverage of collection</b>	Centers or Research on ICT groups.
<b>Main classifications used</b>	Computing Reviews Classifications System. ACM. Association for Computing Machinery, Inc.
<b>Collection methodology</b>	<p>At the beginning of this survey, the storage of the information was through the questionnaire sent by traditional mail, which was filled by the establishments with ICT's careers and returned by the same way.</p> <p>With the intention to update and to put within reach of the informants a more accessible mechanism for the delivery of this information, during the last two surveys it has been made available on line through a questionnaire that is in INEGI's Internet site. The questionnaire delivery is made at the end of May and its recovery is made after 30 days later.</p>
<b>Reporting and Statistical units</b>	Centers or Research on ICT groups
<b>Sample frame used</b>	
<b>Sampling method</b>	Confidence inclusion of the Centers or Research on ICT groups.
<b>Sample size</b>	36
<b>Response rate</b>	<p>53% (1996)</p> <p>29% (1997)</p> <p>94 % (2002)</p>
<b>Methods for dealing with non-response (item and unit)</b>	Visits to the establishments that do not respond on time.
<b>Weighting of results</b>	
<b>Relative standard errors (or coefficients of variation) on main aggregates</b>	Not available
<b>Known data quality issues with this collection</b>	Its main advantage is that the results are unique in this issue. Nevertheless, there are representation problems due to the answer rate, that shows differences and variations. In 1996 the answer rate was 53%, in 1997 was 29% and in 2002 was 94%, which makes difficult comparisons between exercises.

<b>Output details</b>	<a href="http://www.inegi.gob.mx/est/default.asp?c=3507">www.inegi.gob.mx/est/default.asp?c=3507</a>
<b>Other comments</b>	
<b>Contact/s</b>	Victor Alfredo Bustos y de la Tijera; <a href="mailto:alfredo.bustos@inegi.gob.mx">alfredo.bustos@inegi.gob.mx</a> Gerardo López Sánchez; <a href="mailto:gerardo.lopez@inegi.gob.mx">gerardo.lopez@inegi.gob.mx</a> Héctor Manuel Nambo Caro; <a href="mailto:hector.nambo@inegi.gob.mx">hector.nambo@inegi.gob.mx</a>