

**ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT
WORKING PAPERS
NO. 173**

THE NAIRU IN JAPAN : MEASUREMENT AND ITS IMPLICATIONS

**by
Fumihira Nishizaki**

ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT

Paris

48764

Document complet disponible sur OLIS dans son format d'origine

Complete document available on OLIS in its original format

ABSTRACT/RÉSUMÉ

The NAIRU is estimated by using the Japanese data. There are three major findings. The NAIRU has been increasing modestly since 1970. Unlike the standard specification adopted in many OECD countries it can be estimated more precisely when the unemployment rate with a several-quarter lead is used. Hysteresis or “speed limit” effects are also detected. These findings lead to the following implications. Structural reform in the labor market should be pursued. Seeking for alternative labor market indicators which move ahead of inflation will be useful. Macroeconomic policy aimed at rapidly reducing unemployment could be costly in terms of inflation.

Cet article fournit une estimation du NAIRU à partir des données japonaises. Trois conclusions principales peuvent être tirées. Le NAIRU a légèrement augmenté depuis 1970. Contrairement aux spécifications généralement retenues pour de nombreux pays de l'OCDE, la mesure obtenue du NAIRU est plus précise si le taux de chômage pris en compte dans l'estimation est celui observé avec plusieurs trimestres d'avance. Enfin, l'existence d'effets d'hystérèse ou de “speed limit” a aussi été détectée. Ces résultats suggèrent les enseignements suivants. Il semble nécessaire de poursuivre la réforme structurelle du marché du travail. Il serait utile de chercher des indicateurs du marché du travail permettant d'anticiper les variations d'inflation. Utiliser une politique macro-économique pour réduire le chômage rapidement pourrait être coûteux en termes d'inflation.

Copyright: OECD, 1997

Applications for permission to reproduce or translate all, or part of, this material should be made to: Head of Publications Service, OECD, 2 rue André-Pascal, 75775 PARIS CEDEX 16, France

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT/RÉSUMÉ	2
THE NAIRU IN JAPAN: MEASUREMENT AND ITS IMPLICATIONS	5
1. Introduction	5
2. Recent empirical studies.....	6
3. Specification of the models: standard regressions	7
4. The results	8
5. Alternative specifications	9
6. Concluding remarks	10
BIBLIOGRAPHY	15

THE NAIRU IN JAPAN: MEASUREMENT AND ITS IMPLICATIONS¹

Fumihira Nishizaki^{2,3}

1. Introduction

1. In theory, the non-accelerating inflation rate of unemployment (NAIRU) has been considered as one of the most useful indicators for policymakers in a variety of ways. It can be an important tool for structural reform in the labor market because it demonstrates that a major part of actual unemployment stems from institutional rigidities. It can potentially serve as an indicator assessing the cyclical position of the economy in macroeconomic policy formulation. The gap between actual unemployment and the NAIRU indicates slackness in the labor market, and can be used as a leading index of inflation, in conjunction with other relevant indices. Furthermore, it can be used for estimating the output gap, which itself has some predictive power for future inflation and helps separate cyclical portion of public deficit.

2. Among many relevant indicators used in macroeconomic policy formulation, the NAIRU has several strengths. First, it directly focuses on the trade-off between unemployment and inflation, both of which are the ultimate targets of macroeconomic policy. Second, the data on unemployment and inflation are usually available monthly and, therefore, the NAIRU from reduced-form regressions can be quickly updated.

3. However, the usefulness of the NAIRU as a policy guideline has recently come under rigorous challenge. Apart from the theoretical issues surrounding it - including the existence of hysteresis - estimating the NAIRU with precision has proved to be very difficult (see, for example, Staiger, Stock and Watson (1994) for the U.S., and Setterfield, Gordon and Osberg (1992) for Canada). In this paper, we run, as did the above studies, reduced-form regressions using the Japanese data to obtain the NAIRU, and examine its implications on policymaking.

4. The NAIRU is defined here by the expectations-augmented Phillips curve.

$$D\ln P = \alpha + \beta U + \gamma Z + \delta D\ln P^e \quad [1]$$

where $D\ln P$ denotes inflation rate, U unemployment rate, Z supply shocks including structural changes in the labor market, and $D\ln P^e$ expected rate of inflation, ignoring lagged effects. Normally, δ must be unity

-
1. This is a revised version of the paper submitted to the meeting of Working Party No.1 of the Economic Policy Committee, OECD, 10-11 October 1996.
 2. Coordination Division, Economic Planning Agency, Tokyo.
 3. With statistical assistance from First Domestic Research Division, Economic Planning Agency, Tokyo.

to ensure the fully compatible behavior of the economic agents with the current inflation rate. Then, the NAIRU can be obtained by assuming $D\ln P = D\ln P^e$.

$$\text{NAIRU} = -(\alpha + \gamma Z) / \beta \quad [2]$$

2. Recent empirical studies

5. In Japan, estimating the NAIRU was relatively fashionable during the 70s and 80s after experiencing stagflation triggered by excessive liquidity and oil price hikes. However, the long-lasting price stability during the bubble economy and the subsequent disinflation period diverted economists' interests from that subject. At this stage, therefore, very few empirical studies on the NAIRU are available. Ironically, the recent surge in unemployment, at a historical high of 3.5% despite reasonably good growth, has ignited discussion on whether the structural portion of unemployment is increasing. In such a debate, however, structural unemployment is usually measured by the Beveridge curve.

6. The Economic Planning Agency (1994) estimated wage and price equations and derived estimates for the NAIRU from the following equations.

$$D\ln W = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 (1/U) + \alpha_2 D\ln P^e + \alpha_3 (L)R \quad [3]$$

$$D\ln P = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (L)D\ln ULC + \beta_2 (L)D\ln P_m + \beta_3 (L)D\ln P_r + \beta_4 (L)CU \quad [4]$$

where $D\ln ULC$ = growth rate of unit labor cost, P_m = import price, P_r = current profit to sales ratio, CU = capacity utilization rate and R = growth rate of labor productivity. The estimation period is from 1972 Q1 to 94 Q2. Note that $D\ln ULC = D\ln W - R$. Assuming $D\ln P^e = D\ln P$ and eliminating $D\ln W$ from both equations, the NAIRU is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NAIRU} \\ = \alpha_1 \beta_1 (1) / ((1 - \alpha_2 \beta_1 (1)) D\ln P - (\beta_1 + \alpha_0 \beta_1 (1)) \\ - (\beta_2 (1) D\ln P_m + \beta_3 (1) D\ln P_r + \beta_4 (1) CU) + (1 - \alpha_3 (1)) \beta_1 (1) R) \end{aligned} \quad [5]$$

In this regression, no restriction is imposed on the coefficient of $D\ln P^e$, implying violation of the axiom of the vertical Phillips curve. As a result, unlike usual analyses, the estimated NAIRU should depend on the level of price inflation. By assigning 'trend' or 'equilibrium' values to $D\ln P$, $D\ln P_m$, $D\ln P_r$, CU and R , the NAIRU is estimated to be somewhere in the range of 2.2 to 2.6%.

7. Nishimura (1994) estimated four equations simultaneously: wage, price, unemployment and production functions.

$$D\ln W = \alpha_1 (U - \text{NAIRU}) + \alpha_2 D\ln P^e + \alpha_3 R^T \quad [6]$$

$$D\ln P = \beta (D\ln W - R^T) \quad [7]$$

$$U = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 (\ln Y - \ln Y^T) + \gamma_2 Z + \gamma_3 U_{-1} \quad [8]$$

$$Y = F(K, H) \quad [9]$$

where Z refers to tertiary industry employment ratio, K capital stock, H labor input, R^T trend productivity growth and Y^T trend output. Using data for 1970 Q1 - 90 Q4, the estimated NAIRU shows an upward trend reflecting the expansion of the service sector. In the late 1980s it increased to around 2%, up from the level slightly above 1% in the early 70s.

3. Specification of the models: standard regressions

8. Our basic formulation is the generalized expectations-augmented Phillips curve.

$$D\ln P - D\ln P^e = \alpha + \beta(L)f(U_{-1}) + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta(L)(D\ln P_{-1} - D\ln P^e_{-1}) \quad [10]$$

where $D\ln P$ and $D\ln P^e$ are the actual and expected rate of inflation, respectively, U is the unemployment rate and Z represents other pertinent variables. As shown in Staiger, Stock and Watson (1996), the NAIRU can be obtained by setting $D\ln P = D\ln P^e$ and solving for U .

$$\text{NAIRU} = f^{-1}((-1/\beta(L))(\alpha + \gamma Z)) \quad [11]$$

The function $f(\cdot)$ usually takes the simplest form $f(U) = U$ in the U.S. studies, but it has proved to work poorly in estimating the Japanese Phillips curve. We thus also tried another function, $f(U) = 1/U$, which would yield better estimates for $\beta(L)^4$.

9. We use seasonally adjusted quarterly data for 1970 Q1 to 1996 Q1, although in certain cases some data for the first several years are missing. Therefore, the polynomials $\beta(L)$ and $\delta(L)$ should have at least four-period lags. Nevertheless, the high serial correlation of U suggested the reduction of the length of the lags of $\beta(L)$ to one or two periods. The data are consumer price index for P and total unemployment rate or that of the prime-aged males. The latter is in principle free from demographic factors.

10. As for the formation of inflationary expectations, we considered two possibilities. The first is the random walk hypothesis in which $D\ln P^e$ is set to $D\ln P_{-1}$. This assumption is widely used in the existing NAIRU literature. In this case, the estimated equation takes the form:

$$D^2\ln P = \alpha + \beta(L)f(U_{-1}) + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta(L)D^2\ln P_{-1} \quad [12]$$

where $D^2\ln P$ denotes the increase in inflation. However, the Dickey-Fuller test rejects a unit root in the CPI inflation rate for Japan. Furthermore, Baba (1995) conducted the Phillips-Perron test and the augmented Dickey-Fuller test on the rate of inflation and rejected a unit root hypothesis at the 5% level. We therefore explore another formulation by estimating an AR(1) process for inflation.

4. This can have an important policy implication. If inflation responds more to excess demand than to excess supply as this nonlinear function shows, governments should lean toward disinflation since inflation is more costly (see, for example, Turner (1995)). However, the evidence in this paper is inconclusive in this regard because linear specifications can also work if the lag of unemployment rate is replaced by leads as shown later.

11. For structural variables Z , we use the share of female labor force, the share of employment in the non-manufacturing sector, the share of elderly labor force, the search effectiveness, and the dummy variables for changes in unemployment benefits.

- *Share of female labor force* Female workers often quit in the early stage of their careers and seek reemployment after a certain period of childraising, thus the high turnover in the labor market. This index started to increase in the late 1970s but has been shown a declining trend since 1991.
- *Share of employment in the non-manufacturing sector* This index also represents an increase in employees with relatively high turnover. It has been showing a secular upward trend and accelerated the increase since 1992, where the construction, service and wholesale, retail and restaurant sectors registering strong increases in their share.
- *Share of elderly male labor force* In the large Japanese companies, the retirement age is usually set at 55 to 60, although it has been gradually extended in accordance with the intention of the government. Therefore, elderly workers should expose themselves to the external labor market, resulting in contributing to the frictional unemployment.
- *Search effectiveness* This concept includes a variety of factors affecting the speed with which the unemployed find jobs. Here we assumed that those factors can be represented by the time-varying intercept of the Beveridge curve, which is fitted to a cubic function.
- *Unemployment benefits* In Japan, the “gross” replacement ratio has been quite stable (60-80% depending on the qualifications of the insured) since the foundation of the program while the benefit-duration schedule has been subject to occasional revision. After a slight improvement in the benefits for workers with longer job tenure in 1970, important changes have been observed twice. The first change, aimed at extending benefit duration for those aged 45 or more, was enacted in 1975. The second change, implemented in 1984, however, cut the benefit duration for those aged 45 or more with a contribution period of less than ten years. We assign dummy variables to these changes.

4. The results

12. The results are listed in Table 1. The results of the following types of regressions are not listed because of their wrong signs: the regressions with an AR(1) inflation expectation; with the dummy variables for unemployment benefit; and those with two or more structural variables.

13. Reviewing the table, we first notice that the NAIRU has increased modestly in the last decade, except for the result with the term $1/U$ and the non-manufacturing sector ratio. It also confirms that the recent historically high unemployment rate is partly a cyclical phenomenon. Incidentally, the latter result

emerged because the non-manufacturing sector ratio has surged in the past several years to which the trend of the NAIRU was fitted in the Procrustean manner, and therefore should not be taken seriously. The increasing trend, albeit modest, suggests a need for structural reform in the labor market.⁵

14. Secondly, the estimated sacrifice ratio is higher than that perceived in the past. Conventional wisdom says that the Japanese labor market is so flexible that the unemployment cost in reducing inflation is low. The sacrifice ratio, or the nominal wage rigidity, is calculated by $(1 + \delta(1))/\beta(1)$ when $f(U) = U$, and $-U^2(1 + \delta(1))/\beta(1)$ when $f(U) = 1/U$. From Table 1, our estimate for the sacrifice ratio is at least around 0.5 (calculated by $-0.25U^2(1 + \delta(1))/\beta(1)$ in order to evaluate inflation at annual rates), while Layard, Nickell and Jackman (1991), for example, reported 0.05. This difference probably stems from the fact that our estimation period spans mostly low inflation years except for the early 1970s.

15. Thirdly, the statistical significance of $\beta(L)$ is very poor for the case of $f(U) = U$ and barely acceptable for the case of $f(U) = 1/U$. And even for the central projections for the NAIRU, we have a broad range of values. The instability of the estimated NAIRU can also be confirmed by subsample regressions. We run the regressions with $f(U) = 1/U$ for the four overlapping decades. The only subsample that yields reasonable values of the NAIRU was the first decade (1970 Q2 - 1980 Q1). Furthermore, the estimated sacrifice ratio is negative or infinite for the other decades.

16. The second and third findings naturally lead us to the inference that the long-lasting, low-inflation period has made the inflation-unemployment trade-off invisible and that meaningful estimates for the NAIRU can only be obtained with relatively long time series data that includes high inflation periods.

5. Alternative specifications

17. The characteristics of the Japanese labor market could have affected the poor statistical results in the standard regressions. In the Japanese labor market, employment adjustment has been initially carried out through a reduction of working hours, and subsequently through changes of job positions within a firm or a transfer to other related firms. This labor-hoarding practice makes the rise in the unemployment rate lag considerably behind the coincidental cyclical indicators.

18. In fact, we can obtain higher statistical significance in the expectations-augmented Phillips curve when the unemployment rate with a several-quarter lead is used than when the lagged unemployment rate is used (Table 2).

$$D^2 \ln P = \alpha + \beta U_{+k} + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta(L) D^2 \ln P_{-1} \quad [13]$$

The t -value is maximized when the unemployment rate is two-quarter ahead of the increase in inflation. The estimated NAIRU, however, is almost invariant to the length of lead, probably due to the strong persistence of the unemployment rate.

5. The demographic factors such as the increasing share of female and elderly workers or the changing industrial structures measured by the share of the non-manufacturing sector are eventually associated with lower search effectiveness. Therefore, one possible policy direction is to increase search effectiveness by helping the market cope with the rising rate of job turnover and by encouraging information flow. More specifically, for example, the restrictions on temporary employment agencies and private job placement firms - which limit their activities to certain job categories - should be relaxed.

19. Given the unsatisfactory statistical results for the NAIRU, the existence of hysteresis or “speed limit”⁶ arises as another possibility for explaining unemployment. To examine such a possibility, we add a term DU_{-1} to the generalized expectations-augmented Phillips curve.

$$D^2\ln P = \alpha + \beta /U_{-1} + \theta DU_{-1} + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta (L)D^2\ln P_{-1} \quad [14]$$

In this case, we restrict ourselves to the simplest form for the term $1/U_{-1}$. If hysteresis exists, the coefficient θ should be negative.

20. The results are listed in Table 3. The coefficient θ is reasonably estimated. Hence, hysteresis exists and the NAIRU is affected by the past record of unemployment. Again, this does not conform to the conventional wisdom that says the Japanese unemployment is free from hysteresis. The cause of hysteresis/speed limit effects, if they exist, is at this stage not well understood. One possible explanation is that Japanese firms are slowly and cautiously beginning to hire new workers, who implicitly will have long-term job tenure, after the conjunctural situation improves and price inflation emerges.⁷

21. When there is hysteresis or “speed limit,” the short-run NAIRU deviates from the long-run NAIRU, or the structural rate of unemployment. As Table 3 shows, the short-run NAIRU is quite different from the long-run NAIRU, but is close to the actual rate of unemployment. This implies hysteresis/speed limit effects are relatively strong in Japan.

6. Concluding remarks

22. As mentioned at the outset, the NAIRU is rarely estimated or referred to in policy debates in Japan despite policymakers' interests on the structural portions of unemployment. Our empirical results show that the NAIRU seems to be increasing modestly but that their statistical precision and stability are unsatisfactory, explaining why the NAIRU is not the focus of the policy debates. Furthermore, the lagged property of the unemployment rate in Japan significantly limits its usefulness as a leading indicator of inflation.

23. It is obvious that the poorly estimated target is not very useful for policy guidelines, but many of the similar concepts like output gap or capital utilization rate also suffer from such a deficiency. Therefore, looking at these various indicators - including the NAIRU or its improved alternatives if they exist - would be the second-best solution. In so doing, the distinction between the short- and long-run NAIRU should be noted, where the former is more relevant for macroeconomic policy formulation, given

6. When hysteresis or “speed limit” exists, the short-run NAIRU returns only slowly to the long-run NAIRU after labor markets are hit by shocks. The short-run NAIRU can be expressed as a weighted average of the long-run NAIRU and the actual rate of unemployment in the previous period (see, for example, Elmeskov and Macfarlan (1993)).

7. Hysteresis or “speed limit” is often attributed to “insider-outsider” mechanisms or the deterioration of human capital. However, these explanations are difficult to apply to the case of Japan, since union militancy is weak and the incidence of long-term unemployment is relatively low.

the existence of hysteresis or “speed limit.” Macroeconomic policy aimed at rapidly reducing unemployment could be costly in terms of inflation. In addition, from the lagged property of the unemployment rate, one possible direction for future work is to find appropriate labor market indicators which move ahead of inflation and can substitute for the unemployment rate in the expectations-augmented Phillips curve.

Table 1. **The standard regressions**

Equation: $D^2 \ln P = \alpha + \beta (L)f(U_{.1}) + \gamma Z_{.1} + \delta (L)D^2 \ln P_{.1}$, $P = \text{CPI}$
 period: 1970 Q1 -96 Q1

Eq. #	Type of Def. ¹	$\beta (L)f(U_{.1})$ f(.)	lags	Type	$\beta (1)$ of Z^2	(t-value)	Estimated NAIRU	
							76Q1	86Q1
1	T	U	2	-	-0.11	2.4	2.4	2.4
					(-0.55)			
2	T	U	2	F	-0.22	1.6	2.5	2.8
					(-0.90)			
3	T	U	2	N	-0.16	2.2	2.4	2.7
					(-0.41)			
4	T	U	2	E	-0.18	2.0	2.3	2.8
					(-0.69)			
5	T	U	2	S	-0.27	2.1	2.4	2.8
					(-0.90)			
6	T	$1/U$	2	-	1.06	2.2	2.2	2.2
					(1.61)			
7	T	$1/U$	2	F	1.47	1.8	2.3	2.6
					(1.78)			
8	T	$1/U$	2	N	2.33	1.8	2.2	3.1
					(1.60)			
9	T	$1/U$	2	E	1.37	1.9	2.2	2.6
					(1.67)			
10	T	$1/U$	2	S	2.25	2.0	2.3	2.7
					(2.18)			
11	T	$1/U$	1	-	1.09	1.9	1.9	1.9
					(1.45)			
12	T	$1/U$	1	F	1.94	1.5	2.2	2.7
					(2.09)			
13	T	$1/U$	1	N	3.96	1.7	2.1	3.4
					(2.46)			
14	T	$1/U$	1	E	1.59	1.7	2.0	2.6
					(1.71)			
15	T	$1/U$	1	S	2.92	1.8	2.2	2.7
					(2.44)			
cf. Actual unemployment rate (Total)						2.0	2.7	3.3

1. T = Total, P = Prime-aged male.

2. F = Female labor force ratio, N = Non-manufacturing sector ratio, E = Elderly male labor force ratio, S = Search effectiveness (an intercept of the Beveridge curve fitted to a cubic function).

Table 1. (continued)

$$\text{Equation: } D^2 \ln P = \alpha + \beta (L)f(U_{-1}) + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta (L)D^2 \ln P_{-1}, \quad P = \text{CPI}$$

period: 1970 Q1 -96 Q1

Eq. #	Type of Def. ¹	$\beta (L)f(U_{-1})$		Type of Z^2	$\beta (1)$ (<i>t</i> -value)	Estimated NAIRU		
		<i>f</i> (.)	lags			76Q1	86Q1	96Q1
16	P	<i>U</i>	2	-	-0.21 (-0.71)	1.5	1.5	1.5
17	P	<i>U</i>	2	N	-0.28 (-0.65)	1.4	1.6	1.6
18	P	<i>U</i>	2	S	-0.38 (-1.01)	1.5	1.6	1.6
19	P	1/ <i>U</i>	2	-	0.70 (1.17)	1.5	1.5	1.5
20	P	1/ <i>U</i>	2	N	1.09 (1.16)	1.3	1.5	1.9
21	P	1/ <i>U</i>	2	S	1.40 (1.71)	1.5	1.6	1.7
22	P	1/ <i>U</i>	1	-	0.76 (1.29)	1.4	1.4	1.4
23	P	1/ <i>U</i>	1	N	1.44 (1.56)	1.3	1.5	2.0
24	P	1/ <i>U</i>	1	S	1.66 (2.01)	1.4	1.6	1.7
cf. actual unemployment rate (prime-aged male)						1.7	1.9	2.3

1. T = Total, P = Prime-aged male.

2. F = Female labor force ratio, N = Non-manufacturing sector ratio, E = Elderly male labor force ratio, S = Search effectiveness (an intercept of the Beveridge curve fitted to a cubic function).

Table 2. **Alternative leads/lags in unemployment**

Equation: $D^2 \ln P = \alpha + \beta U_{+k} + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta(L) D^2 \ln P_{-1}$

$P = \text{CPI}$, $U = \text{Total unemployment rate}$, $Z = \text{Non-manufacturing sector ratio}$, $\delta(L)$: 3 lags, period: 1970Q1 - 96Q1

Eq. #	k	β (t -value)	γ (t -value)	Estimated NAIRU		
				76Q1	86Q1	96Q1
1	-1	-0.36 (-0.93)	0.05 (0.60)	1.8	2.2	2.7
2	0	-0.52 (-1.33)	0.07 (0.92)	1.8	2.2	2.7
3	+1	-0.82 (-2.10)	0.12 (1.56)	1.9	2.3	2.8
4	+2	-0.94 (-2.50)	0.14 (1.84)	1.9	2.2	2.8
5	+3	-0.88 (-2.46)	0.12 (1.70)	1.8	2.2	2.8
6	+4	-0.61 (-1.70)	0.08 (1.12)	1.7	2.2	2.7
7	+5	-0.57 (-1.60)	0.07 (0.96)	1.7	2.3	2.6
8	+6	-0.43 (-1.19)	0.05 (0.68)	1.8	2.3	2.6

Table 3. **Hysteresis/“Speed Limit” Effects**

Equation: $D^2 \ln P = \alpha + \beta/U_{-1} + \theta DU_{-1} + \gamma Z_{-1} + \delta(L) D^2 \ln P_{-1}$

$P = \text{CPI}$, $U = \text{Total unemployment rate}$, $\delta(L)$: 3 lags, period: 1970Q1 - 96Q1

Eq.#	Type of Z^1	β	θ	γ	Long-/Short-run NAIRU		
					76Q1	86Q1	96Q1
1	-	0.96 (1.32)	-2.99 (-2.75)	-	2.2/2.1	2.2/2.8	2.2/3.3
2	F	1.63 (1.79)	-2.81 (-2.57)	0.13 (1.23)	1.6/2.0	2.3/2.7	2.8/3.3
3	N	3.37 (2.13)	-2.75 (-2.53)	0.15 (1.71)	1.7/2.0	2.2/2.7	3.5/3.3

1. F = Female labor force ratio, N = Non-manufacturing sector ratio.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BABA, Y.(1995), "Error Correction Model niyuru Kahei Juyou Kansuu no Suitei (An Estimation of Money Demand Function by Error Correction Models)" in Y. Honda ed., *Nihon no Keiki*, Yuuhikaku Press (in Japanese).
- ECONOMIC PLANNING AGENCY (1994), "The Economy in Retrospect and Its Issues for 1994" (in Japanese).
- ELMESKOV, J. and M. MACFARLAN (1993), "Unemployment Persistence" *OECD Economic Studies* 21
- LAYARD, R., S. NICKELL and R. JACKMAN (1991), *Unemployment - Macroeconomic performance and the labor market*, Oxford University Press.
- NISHIMURA, Y. (1994), "Nihon no Shizenshitugyoritsu to Senzaiseichouryoku no System Suitei (A Systematic Estimation of the Natural Rate and Potential Output for Japan)" *Waseda Keizaigaku Kenkyuu* 38 (in Japanese).
- SETTERFIELD, M. A., D.V. GORDON and L. OSBERG (1992), "Searching for a Will o' the Wisp - An empirical study of the NAIRU in Canada" *European Economic Review* 36.
- STAIGER, D., H.J. STOCK and M.W. WATSON (1996), "How Precise Are Estimates of the Natural Rate of Unemployment?" *NBER Working Paper* 5477.
- TURNER, D.(1995), "Speed Limit and Asymmetric Inflation Effects from the Output Gap in the Major Seven Economics" *OECD Economic Studies* 24.

ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT

WORKING PAPERS

A complete list of Working Papers is available on request.

172. *The Unemployment Problem - A Norwegian Perspective*
(February, 1997) Steinar Holden
171. *The Reliability of Quarterly National Accounts in Seven Major Countries : A User's Perspective*
(February, 1997) Robert York and Paul Atkinson
170. *Confidence Indicators and their relationship to changes in Economic Activity*
(October, 1996) Teresa Santero and Niels Westerlund
169. *Labour Productivity Levels in OECD Countries : Estimates for Manufacturing and Selected Service Sectors* (September, 1996) Dirk Pilat
168. *Ageing Populations, Pension Systems and Government Budgets : Simulations for 20 OECD Countries*
(September, 1996) Deborah Roseveare, Willi Leibfritz, Douglas Fore and Eckhard Wurzel
167. *Modelling the Supply Side of the Seven Major OECD Economies*
(August, 1996) Dave Turner, Pete Richardson and Sylvie Rauffet
166. *Size Distribution of Output and Employment: a Data Set for Manufacturing Industries in Five OECD Countries, 1960s-1990*
(August, 1996) Bart van Ark and Erik Monnikhof
165. *Trade and Competition: Frictions after the Uruguay Round*
(July 1996) International Trade and Investment Division
164. *Corporate Governance, Competition and Performance*
(June 1996) Colin Mayer
163. *Fiscal Relations within the European Union*
(April 1996) Peter Hoeller, Marie-Odile Louppe and Patrice Vergriete
162. *Mark-up Ratios in Manufacturing Industries*
(April 1996) Joaquim Oliveira Martins, Stefano Scarpetta and Dirk Pilat
161. *Innovation, Firm Size and Market Structure: Schumpeterian Hypotheses and Some New Themes*
(April 1996) George Symeoindis
160. *Valuing the Right to Tax Incomes: An Options Pricing Approach*
(April 1996) Teun Draaisma and Kathryn Gordon
159. *Innovation and Competitive Advantage*
(October 1995) P. A. Geroski

158. *Monetary Policy at Price Stability: A Review of Some Issues*
(September 1995) Malcolm Edey, Norbert Funke, Mike Kennedy and Angel Palerm
157. *Technical Progress, Factor Productivity and Macroeconomic Performance in the Medium Term*
(September 1995) Claude Giorno, Pete Richardson and Wim Suyker
156. *Ageing Populations, Pension Systems and Government Budgets: How do they Affect Savings*
(August 1995) Willi Leibfritz, Deborah Roseveare, Douglas Fore and Eckhard Wurzel
155. *The Determinants of Real Long-Term Interest Rates: 17 Country Pooled Time-Series Evidence*
(June 1995) Adrian Orr, Malcolm Edey and Michael Kennedy
154. *An Assessment of Financial Reform in OECD Countries*
(May 1995) Malcolm Edey and Ketil Hvinging
153. *Markets for Tradeable CO₂ Emission Quotas, Principles and Practice*
(February 1995) Graciela Chichilnisky and Geoffrey Heal
152. *Estimating Potential Output, Output Gaps and Structural Budget Balances*
(January 1995) Claude Giorno, Pete Richardson, Deborah Roseveare and Paul van den Noord
151. *NO_x/SO_x Emissions and Carbon Abatement*
(December 1994) Christophe Complainville and Joaquim O. Martins
150. *The Determinants and Properties of Monetary Conditions: Direct Survey Evidence from New Zealand*
(December 1994) Andreas Fischer and Adrian Orr
149. *Health Care Reform: Controlling Spending and Increasing Efficiency*
(December 1994) Howard Oxley and Maitland Macfarlan
148. *Macroeconomic Performance and Fiscal Policy Adjustments in the Medium Term: Alternative Medium-Term Scenarios*
(September 1994) Pete Richardson, Claude Giorno and Stephan Thurman
147. *The EC's Internal Market: Implementation, Economic Consequences, Unfinished Business*
(August 1994) Peter Hoeller and Marie-Odile Louppe
146. *Comparison of Model Structure and Policy Scenarios: GREEN and 12RT*
(August 1994) Alan Manne and Joaquim O. Martins
145. *An International Sectoral Data Base for Fourteen OECD Countries (Second Edition)*
(June 1994) F.J.M. Meyer zu Schlochtern and J.L. Meyer zu Schlochtern
144. *Fiscal Policy, Government Debt and Economic Performance*
(June 1994) Willi Leibfritz, Deborah Roseveare and Paul van den Noord
143. *GREEN: The Reference Manual*
(May 1994) Dominique van der Mensbrugge

GENERAL DISTRIBUTION

ECONOMICS DEPARTMENT

WORKING PAPERS

This series of Working Papers is designed to make available, to a wider readership, selected studies which the Department has prepared for use within OECD. Authorship is generally collective, but main individual authors are named. The Papers are generally available in their original language, English or French, with a summary in the other.

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect those of the OECD or of the governments of its Member countries.

Comment on the Papers is invited, and may be sent to OECD, Economics Department, 2 rue André Pascal, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France. Additional copies of the Papers, on a limited basis, can be forwarded on request.

ORGANISATION FOR ECONOMIC CO-OPERATION AND DEVELOPMENT