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DEMAND FOR CAPITAL WILL CONTINUE TO BE SIGNIFICANT

Estimated maturity profile of European leveraged loans

Pro Forma Debt/EBITDA Ratios

Deal Purpose Diversification (based on volume)

High Yield Issuance Q1-Q3 2011 by use of proceeds

Source: S&P
AND SUPPLY IS CHANGING; BANK LENDING

**Senior lending:**
- Deleveraging reduces access to funding
- New regulation; Basel III reduces access to funding and increases cost of capital
- Crowding-out from sovereign funding needs as well as bank funding needs

---

**European bank assets to GDP (%):**

- **1990:** 100
- **1992:** 150
- **1994:** 200
- **1996:** 250
- **1998:** 300
- **2000:** 350
- **2002:** 400
- **2004:** 450
- **2006:** 500
- **2008:** 550
- **2010:** 600

- **365%**

**Basel III impact (estimated shortfall in 2019):**

- **EUR bn**
  - US: 100
  - EUR: 300
  - Tier 1: 500
  - Core Tier 1: 750

---

*Source: SEB*
**SUPPLY IS CHANGING; EQUITIES**

**Equity markets:**
- Demography will decrease demand for equity
- New regulation; Solvency II will decrease allocations to equity
- Equity markets are primarily secondary markets – SSE; new issues equal approximately 1% of trading volume: financial market vs market for funding/financing
- Equity gap for Europe (2010-2020) projected to be 3 trillion USD (needs 6 trillion and demand 3 trillion)

---

Insurers reducing equity allocations ahead of Solvency II (and majority of current holdings in unit-link accounts)

McKinsey estimates equity allocation to decrease from 28% to 22% globally over the next ten years

---

Source: SEB
CONCLUSION REGARDING FUNDING MARKETS

- Banks are likely to take a decreasing share of corporate funding due to deleveraging, regulation etc.
- Equities are unlikely to be able to “fill the gap” due to demographics, regulation etc.
- We need a much more active, liquid and transparent market for funding from other sources such as bonds and private loans.
- Bonds may also be a more efficient form of financing at this time – both from a capital allocation and a governance perspective.
- A developed market for financing is a prerequisite for efficient allocation of capital and supply for midsized European companies and thereby for growth and employment rates in Europe.

Source: S&P LCD
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EQUITY VS DEBT INSTRUMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Equity</th>
<th>Bonds</th>
<th>Loans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exit</td>
<td>In public markets relatively easy thanks to good liquidity</td>
<td>As with equities although liquidity more limited</td>
<td>Usually not</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voice</td>
<td>At AGM, board appointment etc and increasingly through informal channels such as the press etc</td>
<td>1) At establishment of the contract, 2) In case of default or sometimes through bondholder committees etc.</td>
<td>1) At establishment of contract, 2) In case of default, rarely through informal channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual</td>
<td>Limitations on the management of a company</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equity is a standardised contract and a perpetual instrument and regulated by law, while bonds and loans are limited in time and regulated mainly by contract.
THE LOAN CONTRACT AS A GOVERNANCE TOOL

Lenders and bondholders have significant influence via the contract. Most of this influence is in the negotiation of terms when the contract is put in place, such as:

- Information requirements, dialogue
- Observer seat on board
- Financial covenants (maintenance)
- Financial covenants (incurrence)
  - Change of control
  - Limitations on acquisitions
  - Limitations on investment
  - Limitations on additional indebtedness
  - Restrictions on transfer of assets
  - Restrictions on dividends and group contributions
  - Restrictions on change of business
  - Restrictions on group/internal dealings, arms-length etc

- Debt holder’s main impact from a governance perspective is at the establishment of the contract, somewhat more limited during monitoring and redemption.

- The loan contract means that debt holders (somewhat counter intuitively) may have a much more direct influence on the company than shareholders.

- Shareholders govern for maximizing potential given a certain risk level, whereas bondholders/lenders govern to minimize risk.

- Terms in the contract are there to prevent the risk from increasing without the bondholder/lender having a say.

- The relationship between managers and bondholders/lenders centers around the terms of the contract.
IMPACT OF THE CHANGES IN THE FUNDING MARKET

- The bond holders and lenders will over time constitute a new and more active player on stage in the corporate governance system.

- There is (and will be) the same range of players as in equity markets, everything from passive long only actors to “activists”.

- In a widely held company, the management and board may be (or at least should be) closer to the debt holders than to the shareholders.

- Valuation of bonds and loans also has a much greater impact on the company than the valuation of shares.

- Bondholders and lenders have a lower risk tolerance than shareholders.

- But, constructive lenders and bond holders will guide the focus to value creation and also drive discipline in strategy and financial decisions such as investments.
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CONCLUSIONS

- Non-bank debt funding will be crucial to address the financing of mid-sized companies going forward, not least in Europe.
- Debt instruments have interesting potential as tools of corporate governance – not least in the creation of an informed and motivated community of financiers.
- The debt contract has the potential – if rightly applied – of being a positive influence on the governance and management of the company; for value creation rather than rent seeking.

- In all – new debt investors will be crucial for funding of midsized companies in Europe over the next decade at least and they will also change the dynamics of corporate governance.

- Paradoxically, some of the efforts discussed to incentivize equity investments – notably tax neutrality – risk stimulating the secondary equity market and at the same time dis-incentivizing the market for corporate funding.
- Regulators, politicians and market actors in Europe should put a greater emphasis on stimulating the development of a well-functioning bond market, such as:
  - Improved transparency and liquidity
  - Improved legal environment – trustee functions, standardization of terms, disclosure requirements
  - Broadened investor base – retail as well as institutional investment policies