

**Asia and Pacific Regional Workshop - Paris Declaration Phase 2 Evaluation**  
**Cambodia: 27th-29th October 2009**  
**Workshop Evaluation**

Country (Optional):	Name (optional)				
	Achievement of workshop objectives (Please Tick "\/"/)				
Objectives	Very Good	Good	Poor	Very Poor	Total
<b>1. Launch the evaluations: how to get started, manage the evaluation, and select and contract teams</b>	16	9			25
%	64	36	0	0	100
Any further comments:	1. The stage/ rationale was presented well 2. Very clear and achieved the objectives 3. Should lead the participants to reference documents 4. Well structured, clear 5. Objectives quite clear from the outset 6. Good for setting framework and expected outcomes/results 7. A good working practice by the Core Evaluation Team 8. That's my final assessment, Thanks again				
<b>2. Agree and/ or propose refinements to the Generic Terms of Reference for Country Evaluations and the common Evaluation Matrix</b>	16	9			25
%	64	36	0	0	100
Any further comments:	1. Very well managed. Team was very flexible with emerging issues 2. Appreciated the time allocated for the detailed discussion 3. Table group discussions on core questions one by one was useful 4. Need to be more inclusive from the discussion results 5. Very interactive, good listening and open attitude by Core team on suggestions 6. Very good summary of discussion 7. Systematic manner in which this was addressed. Managed very well 8. Matrix form can be simplified more for better understanding 9. The in-depth discussion on the 4 evaluation questions was good and provided broader understanding 10. Appreciated the opportunity to share views and experience with other countries 11. Need some refinement after this regional workshop combined with comments from other regional works				
<b>3. Launch the Systems to support individual evaluations and to share progress and lessons between evaluation teams</b>	10	15			25
%	40	60	0	0	100

Any further comments:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Progressed well. Presence more national coordinator would have been preferred</li> <li>2. Please email: Sample ToRs for Country Evaluation Team to be contracted</li> <li>3. Appreciate time allocate for detail discussions</li> <li>4. The Core Evaluation Team should make sure the individual country ToRs are inclusive</li> <li>5. The assistance provided here, post workshop in the lead up to December will be very critical, particular in the Core Teams.</li> <li>6. If it can be done via internet it would be much time wise efficient</li> <li>7. Good to be aware of support systems.</li> <li>8. Good that the team was flexible on agenda focused on process and content</li> <li>9. Very useful timely</li> </ol>					
<b>4. Be ready to participate in the international Reference Group by 1 December to finalize Generic ToRs</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>1</b>		<b>25</b>	
%	<b>32</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>100</b>	
Any further comments:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Feedback outputs well outlined</li> <li>2. Please emailed PowerPoint on clarification, questions and comment</li> <li>3. Will participate along with Honorable secretary to fulfill our highest commitments</li> <li>4. Should make available if up to date feedback from other coordinators so that the participants can continuously share comments if possible</li> <li>5. Dates should be clear. Should be Nov - Dec 1</li> <li>6. Probably very good although if will not be part of it</li> <li>7. This deadline can be extended</li> <li>8. To focus on the relevant issues, number of participants has to be relevant</li> <li>9. Appreciate getting country teams together</li> <li>10. I like to applause the core evaluation team for working very hard to prepare for the workshop, facilitated the discussion and captured views and ideas of participants. This is excellent. Thank you so much. This outreach model should be continued and replicated</li> </ol>					