VAT and GST Refunds
Towards more business-friendly mechanisms?

Some countries cite their form of value added tax as a goods and services tax (GST). For ease of reading we refer in this article to all value added taxes as VAT.

Neutrality of VAT v the real world
Businesses sometimes incur VAT on expenses in a foreign country while having no taxable activity or being established in that country. These expenses may be related to the economic activity of those businesses outside that country and, if so, those businesses should legitimately be entitled to recover the VAT charged to them on those expenses. Because VAT, by its very nature, is supposedly neutral for VAT-payers, the burden of the tax should not fall on taxable businesses. VAT should in principle only tax consumption by final consumers. Businesses should be entitled to deduct the tax on their purchases and offset that tax against the tax they normally collect on their own sales.¹

In the real world things are a bit different. It would seem that not all countries implement refund mechanisms and that some of the countries that do so have such complex or burdensome practices that they are difficult for businesses to apply in practice. In these circumstances VAT might in the end be a cost for businesses. Even when refunded, the amount of time required to obtain the refund creates a cash flow cost for businesses to which should be added the costs of making the refund claims themselves.

In the particular context of the financial crisis, where cash flow is even more significant, this topic is of growing importance.

The European Union
Different practices are implemented around the world.

In the European Union (EU), the now relatively well-integrated VAT system has ensured that refund claim mechanisms have been largely harmonised. When the foreign business incurring VAT is located outside the EU, the refund procedures are governed by the 13th VAT Directive 86/560/EEC of 17 November 1986. When the foreign business is located within the EU, the 8th VAT Directive 79/1072/EEC of 6 December 1979 is applicable. Those procedures are open to all businesses that incur VAT in an EU Member State when they are not established for the purpose of their activity, nor registered in this Member State (that is, when they do not carry out a taxable activity in the EU Member State of the refund).

The EU recently adopted a new Directive 2008/9/EC of 12 February 2008 repealing with effect from 1 January 2010 the previous 8th VAT Directive, and replacing it. This Directive implements a more business-friendly procedure, so as to improve the functioning of the internal market.

Other countries
Other countries have different strategies. Some countries simply do not provide a refund procedure. This means that in some cases VAT becomes a cost for foreign businesses. This might create a disincentive to invest in those countries, as usually a business that decides to set up in a country will incur costs before commencing a taxable activity (although rules exist in many jurisdictions that allow recovery of the VAT incurred for the purpose of setting up a domestic taxable activity).

Some of the countries that do not have a refund procedure do, however, allow recovery of the tax through a registration mechanism. Usually, in the EU, a business can only register for VAT in a country – and has to – when it carries out a taxable activity in that country, which means that it will be considered as a domestic VAT taxpayer.
in that particular country. In some other jurisdictions, such as Canada, it is possible to register in that country while not having a taxable activity in Canada, for the sole purpose of recovering the Canadian VAT incurred.4

Yet other countries, such as New Zealand, have chosen to deal with that problem by implementing a wide series of exemption provisions when supplies are made to non-resident companies. There do remain examples of countries where the VAT will be a cost to foreign businesses because the issue has not been covered by any of these provisions. In such circumstances, those businesses will have to implement strategies of their own in order to avoid bearing that non-recoverable VAT cost. They may, for instance, develop a small taxable activity in that country that allows them to offset their input tax.

The perception of business
The lack of consistency between these approaches suggests that the neutrality of the tax could be threatened and that any irrecoverable tax – and the resulting cascading effect – might distort competition and affect growth.

The need for global co-operation
On the other hand, granting refunds to businesses with no ‘presence’ in a country inevitably brings an element of risk for tax administrations. The absence of ‘jurisdictional power’ over these businesses, or the lack of appropriate exchange of information procedures or assistance in recovery may well leave the tax administration exposed to fraudulent claims, with little hope of recovering any incorrect refund payments.

The result of the preliminary survey made by the OECD in July 2008 suggests that there is a strong need for co-operation on the refund issue between businesses and governments.

Discussions in a global forum such as the OECD’s Working Party on Consumption Taxes, through its regular interaction with business in the TAG, help to achieve a better balance between the interests of all parties. Governments better appreciate the concerns of businesses and some countries that do not have refund mechanisms could well be influenced to provide some form of appropriate mechanisms.

The OECD will be carrying out a new survey in May 2009. This has been developed in collaboration with government and business representatives. It will create a unique opportunity for the broader business community to express its views about the means of ensuring that VAT is not incurred in such a way as to be an economic cost. Depending on the outcome of the survey, it is possible that the OECD may develop some guidelines on best practices, or at least some clearer guidance on how best to ensure fiscal neutrality.

In the context of the current crisis, many countries, such as France,7 have already implemented specific provisions to facilitate the refund of VAT credits to their domestic businesses and it might be consistent to extend these measures to foreign businesses. International co-operation is needed, as neither countries nor businesses can be expected to solve problems in isolation. The OECD work on international VAT issues is well under way and guidance on ensuring neutrality for business may well form an important element. More information on the OECD’s work on consumption taxes is available at www.oecd.org/ctp/ct.

Notes
1 There are some exceptions. Sometimes businesses are not entitled to deduct the VAT on their purchases so that VAT becomes a cost. Many countries, for instance, exempt specific activities
from VAT and do not allow businesses involved in those activities to deduct the VAT on their purchases linked to those exempt activities.

In some countries, those activities are referred to as ‘input taxed’ rather than exempt. Health and financial services are amongst the most current exemptions. It may happen also that some countries have implemented specific input tax ‘blocks’ with respect to certain types of supplies of services or goods. VAT on purchases of vehicle fuel, for instance, is not or only partly recoverable in France depending on the type of fuel that is consumed (article 298 4. 1° and 1° bis of the French tax code). These exceptions affect the neutrality of VAT and may create tax cascading effects.


4 Canadian Excise Tax Act, s 240(3).


6 This is an option given to EU Member States by the 13th VAT Directive, Art 2(2)).

7 See the French ‘Décret’ n° 2009-109 dated 29 January 2009 ‘relatif aux modalités d’option pour un régime d’imposition d’après le chiffre d’affaires réel et de remboursement des crédits de taxe sur la valeur ajoutée’.

Alain Charlet is an attorney-at-law at Landwell & Associés, law firm member of the PricewaterhouseCoopers tax & legal network alain.charlet@fr.landwellglobal.com and a consultant at the OECD in the Tax Administration and Consumption Taxes Division. He can be contacted on alain.charlet@oecd.org.

Stéphane Buydens is an administrator at the OECD in the Tax Administration and Consumption Taxes Division. He can be contacted on stephane.buydens@oecd.org.

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and should not be taken as representing the position of the OECD nor of Landwell & Associés.

With special thanks to David Holmes and Stephen Dale for their help in reviewing this article, their suggestions and their support.

Stéphane Buydens

TOLLEY 2009
EVERYTHING YOU NEED FOR TAX

The UK’s leading tax handbooks since 1916
Tolley’s Tax Annuals, have provided you with the most accurate and concise commentary on tax law and practice since 1916. From guidance and commentary on the legislation and its application and impact to practical expert advice which delivers added value to your clients, you know that with Tolley’s Tax Annuals every tax is covered from every angle. Why not upgrade to Tolley’s Tax Annuals Premium Set, which includes Tolley’s, Capital Allowances and Tax Computations and save up to £124.60.

Visit lexisnexis.co.uk/taxproducts for more information or to purchase your title today!

PAY £637.25 AND SAVE £112.46
OFFER EXPIRES April 2009

© LexisNexis 2009. 0109-003/BE/C/E0109

Monday, 9 March 2009