

Corrigenda


Page 62, Figure 1.20 has been revised as follows:

The subtitle should read “Net incomes at different stages of unemployment, with and without a working partner”.

The rate for Ireland for “Long-term unemployment, partner working” has been revised.

Figure 1.20. A working partner makes family incomes more resilient to income losses

Net incomes at different stages of unemployment, with and without a working partner.
Percentage of in-work income, 2011




Note: Incomes are shown for a married couple with one unemployed spouse (previously earning 100% of the country's average wage) and the other spouse either labour-market inactive or working and earning 67% of the average wage. Percentages relate to the family's net income before the primary earner became unemployed. Net incomes include unemployment benefits, as well as any minimum-income or family-related benefits that are available. Results are averages over two family situations: a married couple with and without children.

Source: OECD Tax-Benefit models, www.oecd.org/els/social/workincentives.

Statlink: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932966238>

Page 87, Figure 3.2: figures for Sweden have been revised as follows:

3.2. Poorer households tended to lose more or gain less between 2007 and 2010
Annual percentage changes in disposable income between 2007 and 2010, by income group



Source: OECD Income Distribution Database (www.oecd.org/social/income-distribution-database.htm), accessed on 10th September 2013.


Statlink: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932966276>

Page 103, Figure 4.7, Panel B, the x axis has been extended to 10 instead of 5 to read:

4.7. More young people are unemployed or inactive and not in education nor in training (NEET)

Panel A. NEET rates, 15/16-24 year-olds, Q4 2012 (%)

Panel B. Percentage point change between Q4 2007 and Q4 2012




Statlink: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932966409>

Page 104, Figure note for Figure 4.10 should read as follows:

Figure 4.10: 2011 for Brazil and 2010 for China, 2009 for Chile, and 2008 for women in Turkey instead of 2012.

Page 113: Figure 5.3, Panel B, the symbols for “Poverty threshold anchored in 2005” in the legend has been omitted:



Statlink: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/888932966485>