Corrigenda

Page 25, Figure 1.1. The youth population in Latvia is shrinking rapidly
The source to the figure should read “Source: OECD calculations based on CSB data” and not “Source: OECD calculations based on the Latvian Labour Force Survey and CSB data.”

Page 28, Figure 1.3. Unemployment rates can be a misleading measure of labour market performance for youth

Note 2 should read “Latvia is represented by a black mark”.

Pages 28, 31, 32, 33, 39, 41, 42, 44, 46, 48, 51, 52, 57, 63, 69, 71, 76, 77, 79, 78, 86, 88 and 104:
For figures 1.3, 1.5, 1.6, 1.7, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, 2.5, 2.7, 2.8, 2.9, 2.A1.1, 3.3, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8, 3.9, 3.10, 3.11, 3.A2.1, 3.A2.2, 3.A2.3 and 4.5: “in percent” should replace “in percentage”.

Page 29:
Last paragraph
The sentence “The remainder is due to the effect of migration on the size of the youth population (blue-shaded area).” should replace “The remainder is due to the effect of migration on the size of the youth population (red-shaded area).”

Page 30, Figure 1.4. The rise in the employment rate after 2010 was primarily due to demographic effects
In this figure, the panel titles were inadvertently reversed. “For the left panel, the title should be “Change in the number of employed youth by sex and level of education”. For the right panel, the title should be “Youth employment rate as observed and anchored at the population size of 2007

Page 67, 69, 71, 76 and 78:
For Figures 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, 3.8 and 3.10, the source line should read “OECD calculations based on EU-SILC, HILDA (Australia), SLID (Canada), CASEN (Chile), ENIGH (Mexico) and CPS (United States).”
Page 71, Figure 3.7. Benefit coverage is better for inactive than for unemployed NEETs, Benefit receipt rates in Latvia by subgroup in percentage.
This figure should only include the first four panels.

**Figure 3.7. Benefit coverage is better for inactive than for unemployed NEETs**

Benefit receipt rates in Latvia by subgroup in percent.

![Graph showing benefit coverage for inactive and unemployed NEETs in Latvia](image)

---

Page 79, last paragraph:
The sentence “While both groups had nearly identical poverty rates of 14.2 and 14.7 percent in 2007” should replace “While both groups had nearly identical poverty rates of 14.2 and 14.7 percentage points in 2007”.

Page 97, Figure 4.4 The decline in enrolment has been more modest for vocational education
The correct link is: [http://data.csb.gov.lv/pxweb/lv/Sociala/Sociala__ikgd__izgl/IZ0220.px/?rxid=cdcb978c-22b0-416a-aacc-aa650d3e2ce0](http://data.csb.gov.lv/pxweb/lv/Sociala/Sociala__ikgd__izgl/IZ0220.px/?rxid=cdcb978c-22b0-416a-aacc-aa650d3e2ce0)

Page 99, Table 4.1. A growing share of special-needs students attends mainstream schools
Page 116, Box 4.3. Providing employers with incentives for offering apprenticeships

Page 117, Box 4.4. Group Training Organisations in Australia
The correct links are:
http://www.australianapprenticeships.gov.au/group-training

Page 134, Figure 5.1:
The title of the figure should read: Change in registered youth unemployment, Jobseekers aged less than 25 years, scaled to 100 in 2007. The scale should be at 100 in 2007.

Figure 5.1. Change in registered youth unemployment
Jobseekers aged less than 25 years, scaled to 100 in 2007

Page 154, Point 5:
The second sentence should read: “The measure, which is welcome to promote work-based learning in a context where VET and apprenticeship are under-developed, also allows youth to try out up to three different full-time trainings in VET schools, each for three weeks.”