Page 11, Executive summary
The following sentence in the second paragraph should read: “The second chapter presents two approaches to derive cross-country comparisons of health care spending efficiency and compares these indicators with existing performance indicators.”

Page 29, Figure 1.4
The legend of the y axis should read “Rates for 100 patients (%)”

Page 32, Figure 1.7
The source should read “Human Mortality Database (HMD), University of California, Berkeley; OECD Health Data 2009; OECD Regions at a Glance (2007).”

Page 61, Figure 2.4
The figure has been revised to show Ireland’s correct level of the general practitioners’ annual income as a ratio of per capita GDP.

Figure 2.4. Density and compensation levels of physicians
2006 or latest year available

1. Annual income as a ratio of per capita mainland GDP.
   Source: OECD Health Data 2009.
Page 66, Box 2.3
The last sentence of the last paragraph should read: “Since DEA results can be rather sensitive to the set of inputs and outcomes selected, results of alternative specifications are also provided.”

Page 68, Figure 2.7, Panel A
The legend for the right y axis should read: “Potential gains in amenable mortality (%)”

Page 69, Figure 2.8
The title of the figure should read: “Figure 2.8. Comparing DEA and panel regression results”

Page 73, Figure 2.10
The legend of the last panel “Number of consultations per doctor” should read: “Annual consultations per doctor”

Page 74, footnote 34
A new footnote after the 2nd sentence of the 2nd paragraph reads: “34. Data for administrative costs should be treated with caution. These data can be undervalued since it is often not clear how administrative costs should be shared between the different ministries concerned in the coordination, governance and delivery of health care. Moreover, it can be quite difficult for private health insurance companies to distinguish between the administrative costs linked to health insurance and those linked to other types of insurance contracts they propose.”

Page 75, footnote 35
The original footnote 34 becomes footnote 35.

Page 104, first bullet point
The first line should read: “User choice for basic insurance.”

Page 109, Figure 3.6, Panel C
The note should read: “The depth of coverage represents the level of the costs covered by health insurance (public and private) for key goods and services in the basic benefit package.”
The axes of the chart correspond to the first two factors of the PCA, i.e. those that explain the greatest part of the cross-country variance of policy instruments. The values on the horizontal (respectively vertical) axis correspond to the correlation coefficients with the first (respectively second) factor of the PCA.

2. The values on the horizontal axis (respectively vertical) correspond to weighted averages of policy instruments, weights being determined by the eigenvector associated with the first (respectively second) factor of the PCA.

Pages 129 and 130, Box 4.1
In the second paragraph, the numbered “models” 2 and 3 are duplicates and should be deleted. The three models are the: 1. public-integrated model; 2. public-contract model; and 3. private insurance/provider model.

Page 139, last bullet point
The second sentence should read: “Italy, Norway and Poland are doing quite well.”

Page 141, Figure 4.4
Panel B: the title should read: “Panel B. inequalities in health status, 2006” and the legend for the y axis should read “Standard deviation”. The source should read: OECD Health Data 2009; Human Mortality Database (HMD), University of California, Berkeley.

Page 166, Panel D
The definition of “Anti-inflam.” should read: “Anti-inflammatory, antirheumatism – defined daily dosage per 1000 inhabitants, per day”